

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held June 6, 2019, at 7:00 p.m., at the Ramona Community Library, 1275 Main Street, Ramona, California.

**ITEM 1: Pledge of Allegiance**

**ITEM 2: ROLL CALL (Lynch, Chair)**

In Attendance:	Jim Cooper	Scotty Ensign	Lawrence Graham
	Lynn Hopewell	Casey Lynch	Kristi Mansolf
	Robin Joy Maxson	Elio Noyas	Paul Stykel
	Dan Summers	Richard Tomlinson	Kevin Wallace

Absent: Torry Brean, Chris Holloway, Donna Myers

Casey Lynch, RCPG Chair, acted as Chair of the meeting. Scotty Ensign, RCPG member, acted as Vice Chair of the meeting, and Kristi Mansolf, RCPG Secretary, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

**ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF 5-2-19.**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MAY 2, 2019 MEETING AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Robin Maxson, the motion **passed 12-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**ITEM 4: Announcements and Correspondence Received**

The Chair said he went to the Chair meeting at the County on May 18<sup>th</sup> for Chairs of Planning and Sponsor Groups. A common theme throughout the workshop is public participation and it is going good. They had breakout sessions and trained on how to better assist people. As far as the updating of the General Plan, as the County moves forward they are working to make it easier for the public to read. The County is currently working on an update, and if we know of any items that need to be cleaned up, we can send them to the County.

The Chair said that regarding the house flooding on Highway 67, where the owner was present at the May meeting to discuss this item with the RCPG, he was already working with the County since February, 2014 on this issue. They are working to eventually get the debris out of the stream.

**ITEM 5: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Group on any subject matter within the Group's jurisdiction that is not on posted agenda.**

Speaker: Larry Converse, Ramona Fire Chief

Chief Converse introduced himself as the Fire Chief for Ramona. He has been in the industry for 33 years and he lives in East County. They are working with us on evacuation and repopulation. Mr. Cooper is on it. He said the process has to be better. The fire industry has come up with a process and a plan. Ramona is gridded out on a map. During an incident, there may be a shift in

the grid. People/property owners have the job to keep the fuels on their property low by creating defensible space, where needed, so the property can be protected. He is proactive and not reactive and recommends property owners are, too. He also recommended planning for a fire and following a process to do so.

The Chair said we are committed to evacuation planning and safety. He would like to bring together other agencies on this issue.

Speaker: Beth Edwards, Ramona Resident

Ms. Edwards wanted to talk about a separate issue related to the Demler project operations. She lives down Highway 78 from the Demler Egg Ranch. She attended the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee meeting where the manure processing plant was discussed, but the issue she wanted to bring up was the carcasses from the poultry. She said the Natural Resource Conservation Service works with carcasses. She printed out information from the Natural Resource Conservation Service website on this issue and gave it to Chris Brown. Ms. Edwards said the odor is worse when the wind blows.

Speaker: Chip Splinter, Ramona Resident

Mr. Splinter said he thinks processing manure on the Demler Egg Ranch is a good idea. The odor has been worse over the last year or so.

**ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF ORDER OF THE AGENDA (Action)**

**MOTION: TO MOVE ITEM 7-B TO BEFORE 7-A, AND TO MOVE 7-C TO AFTER 7-B (B, C, A).**

Upon motion made by Richard Tomlinson and seconded by Lynn Hopewell, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Breaun, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**MOTION: TO MOVE ITEMS 7-F AND 7-L TO BELOW ITEM 7-H.**

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Scotty Ensign, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Breaun, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**ITEM 7: ACTION ITEMS:**

**7-B: Invitation by the County to host a Santa Maria Creek Cleanup Community Meeting (*Taken out of Order*)**

Michael Long introduced himself and Teni Garcia. They are with watercourse enforcement and have had some complaints about the area from Wellfield Park to over by the Ramona Airport, along the Santa Maria Creek. They are working with 5 property owners with the intent to cleanup dead branches and dead trees in the creek bed. There are 60 properties in violation of the watercourse ordinance. Instead of giving the property owners all a notice of violation, the County would like to have a community meeting and invite the property owners to the meeting. Originally they were intending to have the meeting on July 11, but now they are looking at August 1. They want to encourage property owners to comply with the watercourse ordinance. If it rains the condition of the creek could cause flooding. If there was a fire, it would be worse due to the amount of material in the creek.

## RCPG Minutes 6-6-19

There was discussion on when to have the meeting.

The Chair asked for the preferred date?

Mr. Long said they want to give people plenty of notice of the meeting, and they would like to have the meeting at 6 – about an hour before the RCPG meeting.

Mr. Stykel asked what type of violations are occurring?

Ms. Garcia said there is a lack of maintenance and there are dead branches and trash in the creek. They want to clean up the creek so the capacity stays the same. There are guidelines to improving the condition of the creek.

Mr. Stykel asked how this would be accomplished? Would the Army Corps of Engineers or the Fish and Wildlife Service be involved? Would a bulldozer be used?

Ms. Garcia said they would use guidelines from the Army Corps of Engineers and the Fish and Wildlife Service. They would also get environmental feedback. For sediment, they could scoop out the dirt.

The Chair asked if any grants are available?

Mr. Long said there may be Fire Safe Council and County Fire Authority resources.

Mr. Stykel said he felt the whole creek needed to be dredged.

Mr. Cooper said that 500 goats were used in Wellfield Park one year ago and they opened the riverbed area where they were working.

Mr. Long said he would like to have 2 meetings for property owners – one from 3 to 5 on August 1, and one possibly from 7 to 9 pm, since not everyone can make an afternoon or evening meeting.

Speaker: Neil Talmadge, Ramona Resident

Mr. Talmadge is a homeowner and his property is on the map. He has only owned the property a year. A lot of material comes down the creek. The lower part of the creek gets choked off. His area where his neighbor's fence is eroded, and the lowest area is 10 to 12 feet. It is hard to pull material out of the creek. Will the County tell them where the property lines are?

Mr. Long said he is trying to resolve concerns. He will see if he is able to have Supervisor Jacob at the meetings.

The Chair said that California drainage laws are complicated. Supervisor Jacob sponsored a creek clean up in the past. Possibly Community Block Grant Funds can be obtained. He feels it is important for people to know their responsibility as property owners. Once they know, the project can move forward.

**7-C: MUP 10-004, Demler Egg Ranch Manure Processing Plant. Currently manure is hauled offsite for processing. With the MUP, manure will be processed onsite into pellets and then transported offsite. 25818 Highway 78 between Rancho Santa Teresa Dr and Casner Rd (Taken out of Order)**

## RCPG Minutes 6-6-19

Chris Brown introduced Steve Wragg who presented the project. Mr. Brown clarified that the item was only informational and they are waiting for the project scoping letter before bringing this item to the RCPG as an action item.

Ms. Mansolf said that the item came from the County saying action was required, so it was seen by at least one subcommittee as an action item.

The Chair said he feels it is important to listen to people who want to speak on the project to make a decision. The item may be tabled in the end.

Mr. Brown clarified that the item before the RCPG is the manure processing plant and not the egg ranch.

Mr. Wragg said the project site is the old Pine Hills Egg Ranch. The applicant is asking for a use permit for a manure processing facility. There are 3 new chicken houses and 3 more will be built. All current uses are ministerial as the property is A-72, and all uses are outside the scenic corridor.

It has been decided the manure processing plant will be in a building. The process of making the pellets can be outside, but the applicant chose inside. Twelve old chicken houses have been removed. He talked about the progression of the egg ranch from 2014 to 2019. The egg ranch is 362 acres on 6 or 7 lots. There are currently 2 million chickens.

The manure processing plant will be on 3.4 acres. A use permit is needed for the processing facility only. The processing plant will be 16,200 square feet. It will be 32 feet to the top of the building. The nearest residence will be 1,079 feet away. The project will be above any houses that are close. There will be 3,000 cubic yards of grading done. They are required to get the MS4 permit for stormwater only. Stormwater will go into a basin then go to the west.

The manure will go onto a conveyor belt. Manure is currently collected in a truck. The trucks are filled. Currently there are 5 truckloads per day and each truck takes 3 hours to fill. With the manure processing plant they won't need as many trucks on the road. The manure will go into a pelletization mill and be heated to 170 degrees. The process will take about 2 hours. Trucks will move manure from the old hen houses to the manure processing building and will use conveyor belts to move manure from the new hen houses.

The project won't be that visible due to topography. The buildings will match the buildings on site now.

Mr. Ensign said the Design Review Board tabled the project.

Speaker: Beth Edwards, Ramona Resident

Ms. Edwards sat through meetings on the project. After the East Subcommittee meeting, she became worried about odor. She feels it is important to keep the project separate from the egg ranch. The manure processing plant will be indoors which will reduce the odor from the manure.

Speaker: William Lee, Ramona Resident

Mr. Lee lives above the egg ranch. At one time it was 2 buildings and a handful of chickens. He watched the property and the business develop. Mr. Demler is a good businessman. However, Mr.

## RCPG Minutes 6-6-19

Lee does not feel Mr. Demler is looking after the environment. There are many small farms (8 acre minimum) between Ramona and Santa Ysabel. This area is agricultural and should not be a manufacturing area. Coyotes drag chicken carcasses around. The smell of the egg ranch is really strong. This problem has been solved elsewhere.

The Chair asked people to speak to the manure processing plant.

Speaker: Don Cavan, Ramona Resident

Mr. Cavan said that with the new poultry operation the entire process should be looked at and the egg ranch should be listed on the application. He felt the application was flawed. The chicken and waste process should be analyzed completely.

Speaker: Cammie Morgan, Ramona Resident

Ms. Morgan said there are millions of chickens on the egg ranch. There were citations years ago. The owner had said it was good to scatter the carcasses. The project is grandfathered in so they can do what they want.

Speakers: Robert and Tamara Martin, Ramona Residents

Ms. Martin lives near the egg ranch and said her son can't go run around outside cause the quality of the air is contaminated. She is afraid this contamination will get worse with the manure processing plant. She is concerned with the stormwater runoff contaminating neighbors' wells.

Speaker: Ralph Mattern, Ramona Resident

Mr. Mattern said there is not enough information to make an assessment. Water is used for manure. The dry gases given out will be ammonia. He wants the nitrates to not get any worse. He has a concern with dust and noise.

Speaker: Ron Matuszek, Ramona Resident

Mr. Matuszek is not trying to inhibit business, but he believes water will be affected by the ammonia created by the project. Five semi truck loads is 400 pounds of ammonia. The project should not pollute.

Speaker: Gwen Nowrick, Ramona Resident

Ms. Nowrick is impacted by odor. When does agriculture turn into industrial? She is also concerned with how much noise will be generated by the project. The manure has to be dried – how much dust will that make? Her house has a coating of white dust. For compost – most of the odor comes from rotting chicken carcasses not being handled properly.

The Chair reminded people to stay on the topic of the manure processing plant.

Speaker: Sheryl Heyser, Ramona Resident

Ms. Heyser asked if the conveyor belt will be exposed to the air? Right now particulates are visible in the air. Four hundred pounds of ammonia is generated per 5 trucks of manure.

Speaker: Scott Beverly, Ramona Resident

Mr. Beverly asked how much the project will affect groundwater? He has seen more wells drilled on the property. The well water table in the area will drop. He is concerned about water.

Speaker: Earnest Solomon, Ramona Resident

Mr. Solomon said the processing unit business is being integrated with the other egg ranch business. He feels there is a good chance the new business will fall into the current bad process.

Speaker: Steve Shimele, Ramona Resident

Mr. Shimele said he smells the egg ranch all of the time now. There is no accountability for the behavior of the egg ranch. How can we trust this new aspect of the egg ranch will work if the current issues are not being taken care of?

Ms. Maxson said the East Subcommittee saw the project. Not that many members of the public showed up to the meeting. The pellet process is sold as odor reducing. The East Subcommittee approved the project.

The Chair said a lot of the items brought up need to be discussed, but are not in the purview of the manure processing plant.

Mr. Stykel said he would like to talk about what was brought up by the neighbors – odor, hours of operation, etc.

The Chair said when the scoping letter is available, it should come back to a subcommittee and the RCPG. Tabling the project will give the applicant more time to get the scoping letter completed.

**MOTION: TO TABLE THE PROJECT.**

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Richard Tomlinson, the motion **failed 6-6-0-0-3**, with Lawrence Graham, Elio Noyas, Paul Stykel, Dan Summers, Richard Tomlinson and Kevin voting no.

**MOTION: TO TABLE BUT GIVE A LIST OF QUESTIONS THEY (COUNTY AND APPLICANT) CAN RESPOND TO REGARDING WATER, AIR QUALITY NOISE AND GAS IN THE AIR.**

Upon motion made by Paul Stykel and seconded by Richard Tomlinson, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

- 7-A. Scenic Area Regulation, Site Plan Waiver Request. The applicant is proposing a basement level Boutique Winery with Tasting Room, attached to a proposed single family residence. The subject property is located adjacent to the designated scenic highway, Highway 78. Street view images appear to indicate that the proposed structure will not be visible from Highway 78 due to topography. Additionally, a majority of the Boutique Winery level is located below grade. 26401 Highway 78, APN 286-102-07 (Taken out of Order)**

Mr. Chip Splinter presented the project. His property is close to Casner Road on Highway 78. He wants to build a house and a winery. His property is within the scenic area. Improvements to the property will not be seen from Highway 78 due to topography and much of the building to be done will be underground.

Speaker: Beth Edwards, Ramona Resident

Ms. Edwards is a neighbor to the property, and their property lines touch. She supports the project. Any view of the improvements will be pretty well blocked. There are a lot of trees to cover up any building.

Speaker: Kim Flinn, Ramona Resident

Kim Flinn is a colleague of Mr. Splinters and spoke in favor of the project.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE SCENIC WAIVER AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Lynn Hopewell and seconded by Robin Maxson, the motion **passed 11-0-0-1-3**, with Lawrence Graham stepping down and Torry Brean, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**7-D: TM 5633. Address and nearest cross street: 2216 Raymond Street. & Hunter Street. The project consists of two parcels with an acreage of 7.18 acres located at 2216 Raymond Ave. The General Plan Land Use Designation is Village Residential (VR-2) 2Du/AC. A 12 lot subdivision is proposed. The site is relatively flat with an average slope of 4%. There are two existing homes to be removed. A 24' private road with a cul de sac will provide access to the lots 1 thru 10. Lots 11 and 12 will front Raymond Avenue. D2 Special Area Designator applies**

Larry Walsh presented the project. The biology has been done for the project. There will be some land in open space. A landscape plan was presented to the Design Review Board, and they have addressed the landscape plan comments since the Design Review Board meeting. The project applicant is requesting a waiver of the overhead power lines. There will be no fewer power poles than are there now with the project undergrounding the utilities. They are also requesting a waiver to put in curb, gutter and sidewalk, since there is no curb, gutter and sidewalk already in the neighborhood. For landscaping, the applicant agrees with the Design Review Board.

The Chair made a point of clarification – the project is being reviewed for tentative map approval, the waiver of the undergrounding of utilities, and the waiver of curb, gutter and sidewalk.

Mr. Ensign said the Design Review Board looked at the landscaping and made a motion to table. The trees proposed along the project frontage appear to be suitable. They are great trees, small in stature and not large.

Speaker: Neil Talmadge, Ramona Resident

Mr. Talmadge said the property to be developed is behind his house. He doesn't know what's on the property and he can't see the map. Mr. Talmadge has concerns about the layout of the site drainage. He feels he owns most of the drainage. There is a difference in elevation from one side of the lot to be developed to the other side of the lot. He has a well and he wants an environmental

study to be performed for the well. He is concerned about the possibility of having chemicals from the project contaminating his well.

Speaker: Tony Fouts, Ramona Resident

Mr. Fouts lives next to the proposed project. This area has major flooding issues. The culvert is the issue. There is more development to the east which makes the problem worse. This area has flooded many, many times.

Speaker: Derrick Ferguson, Ramona Resident

Mr. Ferguson is next to the proposed project, on a hill. He is concerned with noise and with the environment. He is concerned with traffic. It is hard to get out on Main Street. An environmental study should be done. There is a lot of wildlife in this area. Fixing the bridge culvert would help out.

Mr. Cooper said there are concerns from the community. CUDA could have answered these questions. He would prefer to have CUDA provide input.

Mr. Ensign asked if the property owner is the developer?

Mr. Walsh said the property owner is the developer. There are 25 trees to remain on the property. There will be stormwater facilities which can accumulate debris. Water will be filtered before moving on. There is a D2 Special Area Regulation on the property and a portion of the property is within the floodplain. The project will be staying away from both the drainage on the property and the floodplain. The biology study maps out the habitat buffer. The project won't impact the 7 property owners along the creek. The lots will be ½ acre lots. There is a Limited Building Zone on the map. The initial consultation for biology and cultural resources has been done.

Someone asked if eucalyptus are a fire hazard?

Mr. Ensign said no eucalyptus are proposed.

Mr. Tomlinson said every developer expects the RCPG to rubber stamp the waiver of the undergrounding of utilities on their property.

The Chair said that we need more housing. Ramona is one of the last spots to have developable land. The project fits into the neighborhood. No waiver could kill the project. He is in favor of the project. Where the floodplain is on the property, hydrology studies will be done. Our job is to answer the question, does this project belong here? Issues will get addressed during the engineering process.

Mr. Ensign asked if the streets impacted by the project are part of the Safe Routes to School area?

The Chair said Etcheverry Street is part of the Safe Routes to School area.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE SITE PLAN AS PRESENTED AND TO INCLUDE A WAIVER OF THE UNDERGROUNDING OF UTILITIES AND A WAIVER OF CURB, GUTTER AND SIDEWALK FOR THE PROJECT.**

Upon motion made by Paul Stykel and seconded by Robin Joy Maxson, the motion **passed 9-3-0-0-3**, with Jim Cooper, Elio Noyas and Richard Tomlinson voting no, and Torry Brean, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**7-E: Mt. Woodson Parking Issue –The ad hoc committee formulated questions asking the County about their plans to develop the Mt. Woodson parking lot and surrounding area now that the purchase of the property for the parking lot is final – these questions will be brought forward**

Ms. Mansolf said the Mt. Woodson ad hoc met and suggested questions to ask the County regarding their plans to develop the Mt. Woodson parking lot and surrounding area.

The Chair suggested that Ms. Mansolf prepare a letter to send to the County and have it ready to be reviewed by the next RCPG meeting.

**MOTION: TO CREATE A LETTER SUBMITTAL FOR THE COUNTY REGARDING MT. WOODSON PARKING BASED ON AD HOC MINUTES FOR FUTURE REVIEW.**

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Lynn Hopewell, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**7-H: Update on Highway 67 Ad Hoc members speaking at SANDAG meetings and 5/22 news conference with Dianne Jacob regarding SR 67**

Mr. Summers gave the report. Six meetings were attended and 3 meetings are left to attend. Meeting summary: May 17 – SANDAG Transportation (Mr. Summers); May 24 – SANDAG Board (Mr. Summers, Mr. Brean); May 31 – Caltrans Tabletop Exercise (Mr. Cooper); June 3 – Communications Summit, CAL FIRE, CHP, County Sheriff (Mr. Cooper); June 5 – News Conference SR-67, Supervisor Jacob, Supervisor Gaspar, CAL FIRE Unit Chief Tony Mecham, Sheriff Union Representative, San Marcos Mayor (Mr. Summers, Mr. Cooper, Ms. Maxson); June 6 – Economic Development Chamber of Commerce, Advocacy Advisory Council – Letter to SANDAG – Use Transnet revenue on roads; June 7 – SANDAG Transportation (Mr. Summers); June 21 – SANDAG Transportation (Mr. Summers, Mr. Cooper); June 28 – SANDAG Board – present Mr. Cooper’s 10 page evacuation evaluation (Mr. Summers, Mr. Cooper).

Mr. Cooper would like to make 100 copies of the evacuation evaluation he prepared and will be presenting, if possible, by June 19 so the copies can be delivered to SANDAG prior to the meetings.

Ms. Mansolf will see if the County can make the copies.

**7-F: Ramona Community Protection and Evacuation Plan (CPEP)  
Discussion on concerns from members, Section E (Taken out of Order)**

Mr. Cooper gave the report from Transportation/Trails. A letter was sent to the County and Caltrans asking if there have been scientific studies done for traffic modeling on SR-67, SR-78, Highland Valley Road and Wildcat Canyon. The letter was dated September 23, 2018. Only verbal responses were received. Through a follow up communication to Richard Chin at the County, it was learned through an email response that no studies have been done at the County level. Mr. Chin suggested contacting Mr. Nissen at the Office of Emergency Services, and Caltrans may be aware of such a study or may do a study in the future. Mr. Cooper brought up the letter to Caltrans

at the tabletop exercise and Caltrans said if the RCPG resend the letter, they understand and will respond. The Supervisors give their support for a road capacity study being done.

**7-G: County of San Diego's Annual Road Resurfacing Program Update (Taken out of Order)**

Mr. Cooper said the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee reviewed the Annual Road Resurfacing Program update, and came up with the following motion:

**MOTION: RECOGNITION AND APPRECIATION BE EXPRESSED IN OUR MINUTES TO THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ROAD RESURFACING PROGRAM FOR THEIR EXCELLENT WORK. ALSO INCLUDED IN THE MOTION IS A REQUEST THAT THE RCPG CONTACT THE COUNTY TO REQUEST A REVIEW OF THE STATUS OF RANGELAND ROAD NORTH OF THE INTERSECTION WITH HIGHLAND VALLEY ROAD AFTER THE LARGE PIPE INSTALLATION BY THE RMWD LAST YEAR.**

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Lynn Hopewell, the motion **passed 11-0-0-1-4**, with Dan Summers stepping down, and Torry Brean, Chris Holloway, Donna Myers and Kevin Wallace absent.

**7-L: Report on Caltrans Tabletop Exercise 5-31-19 (Taken out of Order)**

Mr. Cooper attended the tabletop exercise led by Caltrans on May 31, 2019. This four hour exercise involved all agencies that would be involved with fire communications management and decision making associated with the evacuations.

The exercise was attended by all regional involved parties associated with fire management in San Diego County. The scenario closely followed the Cedar Creek fire from 2003, with initial focus on threat location sharing, communications network coordination, assets management, and area decision making.

Near the end of the exercise, discussions focused on evacuation decisions and then vehicle movement coordination.

What Mr. Cooper got out of the tabletop exercise follows:

First, a great deal of attention by all agencies is being focused on a prospect of another fire endangering the surrounding geography of Ramona. Lessons from the 2003 and 2007 fire are being most seriously reviewed with everyone's efforts being focused on solutions.

Second, the constraints of SR-67 are being fully reviewed to identify possible solutions. This overall agency review is being undertaken in two specific ways (see below next paragraph):

Third, it was recognized that vehicle evacuations may not be the very best actions for everyone; shelter-in-place options are recognized and research is ongoing to identify such locations and their limitations.

(Review of SR-67 constraints): First, an overall review from the 'What currently exists' position. All SR-67 roadway factors that would limit this roadway from having a three-lane capacity have been studied by Caltrans and others.

One result is the current Caltrans review of a one-half mile section that currently is not wide enough to support the emergency creation of three lanes. The ability to widen this section, by Caltrans contract, is under current review.

A second concern is the existing channelizers. These may have to be immediately removed so that lanes can be established using traffic cones. The repositioning of equipment, etc. is now being looked at. In addition, the repositioning of some traffic guide barriers, etc is also being reviewed. The overall dedication to this effort was exceptionally evident by all involved agencies.

Secondly, the SR-67 review at the ‘What is the permanent solution’ position.

It was recognized that all the above efforts are short-termed but all within the authority of the attendees. The eventual ‘permanent solution’ requires a major roadway construction project, with a goal of developing a ‘Scripps Poway Parkway’ type four lane roadway extending from the Dye Road/Highland Valley/SR-67 intersection to the Scripps Poway Parkway intersection.

This will only be done via SANDAG.

These factors clarify why the RCPG must continue their full-court for SR-67 limitation resolution along the above two identified separate courses of action. Both are essential if a success is ever expected.

Mr. Cooper was also invited to the CAL FIRE exercise at the San Pasqual Fire Station on June 3 2019. Here again he was impressed with the depth of involvement of all organizations and the steady focus displayed during the exercise with a goal for life safety.

After both of these exercises, Mr. Cooper was approached by CAL FIRE with an interest to attend a Ramona community wide presentation on fire protection efforts, both from a personal as well as a community wide perspective...

Casey Lynch and Dan Summers will have the lead on developing such a session, looking at mid-August or so.

**7-I: Disposition of letter regarding Ramona Community Trails Master Plan, regarding Obstruction of Trails**

Mr. Cooper said the email response from Margaret Diss was presented to the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee. After some discussion on the email, it was noted that Ms. Diss attends the monthly Greenway Trails meeting in Ramona, and all interested parties of the Ramona Community Trails Master Plan attend the meeting. It was suggested that a letter be sent to Ms. Diss requesting that she attend a meeting immediately following the Greenway Trails meeting in Ramona.

**MOTION: TO SEND A LETTER TO MARGARET DISS REQUESTING THAT A MEETING (ON THE RAMONA COMMUNITY TRAILS MASTER PLAN, REGARDING OBSTRUCTION OF TRAILS) BE HELD IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE GREENWAY TRAILS MEETING TO ADDRESS THE COMMUNITY CONCERNS AS ALREADY OUTLINED.**

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Lynn Hopewell, the motion **passed 11-0-0-1-4**, with Dan Summers stepping down, and Torry Brean, Chris Holloway, Donna Myers and Kevin Wallace absent.

**7-J: Warnock Solar Project Landscaping Update**

The response has been very good from the County and Mr. Kuhn. Dead plants are being removed. There is a walk through scheduled for June 17 to look at the progress.

Ms. Mansolf said she was sent photos of the new plants being put in by Mr. Kahler and Mr. Kuhn. She would like to forward the photos to everyone to show the extent of the work being done.

**7-K: Creelman Solar Project Landscaping**

Mr. Ensign is still unable to get in touch with Mr. Gabaldon at SDG&E to discuss the RCPG concerns. They are doing weed whipping but there are no plants. Is that what they agreed to?

**7-M: Report on Planning and Sponsor Group Chair Meeting 5-18-19 (*More information presented at the beginning of the meeting, under Announcements*)**

The Chair said stormwater reporting by DPW was also discussed at the Chair meeting. He was working on getting support for using Transnet funds for highway improvements rather than transit.

**7-N: General Plan Update Discussion, if Needed – *Not Discussed***

**7-O: Public Review of Draft Zoning Ordinance Update for Small Wireless Facilities**

The Chair said if the RCPG wanted him to, he would ask the County planner for this item to make a presentation to the RCPG on this issue in the future.

Although no motion was formally made, several RCPG members said they would like a presentation on this issue in the future.

**ITEM 8: GROUP BUSINESS (Possible Action)**

**8-A: DESIGN REVIEW REPORT (Ensign) – Update on Projects Reviewed**

Mr. Ensign gave the Design Review board report. The Design Review Board is working on the A-1 Car Wash on their sign violation. The item was tabled and will be back next month. New Jersey Mike's adjusted their signage and was approved. Wrench Rebel Mechanic Shop at 2291 Main made adjustments to their signage and were also approved. The Raymond Street project was reviewed and recommendations were made for tree changes and the item was tabled. Jim Dardeen is taking over the One Stop rental. He's going to sell everything and demo the illegal buildings. They will work on keeping the existing permitted barn and adding a metal barn of 3,000 square feet. The bathroom on the property is not permitted and it will probably be a fight.

**8-B: Training Reminder**

The Chair asked if everyone had taken their training, and gave a reminder to everyone about completing their training if they did not.

**8-C: Discussion Items (Possible Action)**

**8-C-1: Concerns from Members**

Mr. Cooper said the County (PDS) did evacuation studies of 2 communities in 2012 – Valley Center and Jamul-Dulzura. He reviewed the Valley Center study and would like to request a similar study for Ramona. He would like this request to go through the Highway 67 ad hoc Committee. The request would go to PDS to do a study for Ramona similar to Valley Center and Jamul-Dulzura studies.

**8-C-2: Future Agenda Item Requests**

It was requested to put chicken carcasses at farms and Code Enforcement on the July 11, 2019 agenda.

**8-C-3: Addition and Confirmation of New/Continuing Subcommittee Members**

Ms. Maxson said she would like to add Maya Phillips and Kevin Wallace to the East Subcommittee.

Ms. Mansolf said she would like to add Jim Cooper to the Mt. Woodson ad hoc Committee. Steve Powell had been on the committee previously, but hasn't confirmed wanting to serve again, so she will wait til he confirms that he wants to remain on the committee before bringing his name forward.

**MOTION: TO ADD MAYA PHILLIPS AND KEVIN WALLACE TO THE EAST SUBCOMMITTEE, AND TO ADD JIM COOPER TO THE MT. WOODSON AD HOC COMMITTEE.**

Upon motion made by Robin Joy Maxson and seconded by Kristi Mansolf the motion **passed 12-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Chris Holloway and Donna Myers absent.

**8-C-4: Old Business: Review of spread sheet categories and discuss/identify possible projects to include on the spread sheet**

The Chair passed out a list of “old business” to the RCPG members for their review. He asked the secretary check to see if responses were received from the recipient on all issues.

**8-D: Meeting Updates**

**8-D-1: Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and TAC Meetings**

Mr. Cooper said there were no Ramona items on the upcoming TAC meeting.

**8-D-2: Future Group Meeting Dates – Next RCPG Meeting to be July 11, 2019 at the Ramona Community Center, 434 Aqua Lane**

**ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT**

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf

The RCPG is advisory only to the County of San Diego. Community issues not related to planning and land use are not within the purview of this group. Item #5: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the RCPG on any subject within the group's jurisdiction that does not appear as an item on this agenda. The RCPG cannot discuss these matters except to place

them on a future agenda, refer them to a subcommittee, or to County staff. Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please fill out a speaker request form located at the rear of the room and present to Vice Chairperson.

*Public Disclosure: We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.*

*Access and Correction of Personal Information: You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.*