County of San Diego
Ramona Community Planning Group
MEETING MINUTES
August 5, 2021
7:00 PM @ the Ramona Community Library, 1275 Main Street, Ramona
Meeting is an In Person Meeting, but People were also able to Join the Meeting Via Zoom:
Join Zoom Meeting
https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83126510183?pwd=UUpTemJxeFVkcGh0Kyt4NDVjSnFmQT09
Meeting ID: 831 2651 0183
Passcode: 243612
Dial in numbers: +1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose), +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
Meeting ID: 831 2651 0183
Passcode: 243612
Find your local number: https://us06web.zoom.us/u/kcFyheNSOc

Additional Information
A Temporary email address has been created to request agenda item information via email.
Please send an email to: rcpcovid19meetinginfo@gmail.com to request documents for each item to follow along with during the teleconference.

Requests for documents will be responded to before and during the meeting as possible to accommodate any member of the public wishing to participate during the meeting. Members of the public are requested to make a speaker request via email prior to the meeting as well. This request is to ensure everyone is heard and has an equal opportunity to speak during the meeting as well as providing the RCPG a chance to organize our speakers ahead of the meeting to ensure we have ample time for each item. Please indicate if you are speaking as PUBLIC COMMUNICATION or as an AGENDA ITEM and note the agenda item you wish to respond to and please limit your written response to 3 minutes or less.

Written comments to be read into the record will also be accepted via email prior to the meeting. Please indicate the item number you wish to respond to and please limit your written response to 3 minutes or less.

ITEM 1: Call to Order

ITEM 2: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ITEM 3: ROLL CALL (Maxson, Chair)

In Attendance: Torry Brean Scotty Ensign Casey Lynch
Kristi Mansolf Robin Joy Maxson Elio Noyas
Matt Rains Michelle Rains Dan Summers
Kevin Wallace

Attending via Zoom:
Lynn Hopewell Dawn Perfect Paul Stykel

Absent: Debbie Foster, Andrew Simmons

ITEM 4: APPROVAL OF MINUTES 6-3-21, 7-1-21 (Action)
Ms. Mansolf said the July 1, 2021, minutes are not yet completed and so will be presented for approval at the meeting September 2, 2021.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE JUNE 3, 2021, MINUTES AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Casey Lynch and seconded by Kevin Wallace, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

**ITEM 5: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Group on any subject matter within the Group’s jurisdiction that is not on posted agenda.**

Kathleen Lippitt requested to speak about the Cannabis Ordinance item, and was asked to present her comments when the Cannabis Ordinance item came up on the agenda, since the item was agendized.

**CALL FOR ANY ADDITIONAL SPEAKERS**

**VICE-CHAIR SHALL ANNOUNCE EACH SPEAKER REQUEST BY NAME, THE SPEAKER SHALL STATE THEY ARE ON THE CALL AND BEGIN. THE TIME LIMIT IS 3 MINUTES AND THE CHAIR SHALL CALL TIME AT THE END OF 3 MINUTES AND THE SPEAKER WILL STOP ON THE CALL.**

**ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF ORDER OF THE AGENDA (Action)**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Elio Noyas, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

**ITEM 7: ACTION ITEMS:**

A. **Presentation by Priscilla Allen, San Diego Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) Staff, on the Draft Municipal Service Review recently completed by LAFCO on the Ramona Region. The draft is scheduled to be presented to the LAFCO Commission on Monday, August 2nd at 9AM. LAFCO will be seeking community input on the draft at the RCPG meeting August 5th.**

Priscilla Allen made the presentation. Ms. Allen is a staff analyst for LAFCO. The Ramona Municipal Water District (RMWD) was reviewed by LAFCO as a municipal entity and she was in attendance at the RCPG meeting to present the findings.

LAFCO was formed in 1963 and it establishes political subdivisions in 58 counties in the State of California. The municipal review of the RMWD was to evaluate its range, level of service and adequacy. Looked at in the review were public resources, the sphere of influence, boundary changes and other changes.

The RMWD area is smaller than the Ramona Community Planning Area, which is 130 square miles. There are approximately 38,000 residents. Ninety four percent of services provided in Ramona for potable water, wastewater, fire protection and emergency medical services, parks and recreation, and recycled water in the region. The RMWD has access to an irrigation district. The
The key things in the report are Ramona is growing; the growth has generated more service demands, and the RMWD has affirmatively responded to these changes; RMWD provides adequate service capacity except during high rain periods; there is a need for capital improvements investments within the RMWD; they are in good financial standing.

The RMWD has reached its limit, and it is time to consider the RMWD becoming a Community Service District (CSD). This report was approved by the LAFCO Board on August 2. There is 45 day public review period to allow for comments.

Mr. Summers said that the RCPG has a third ambulance subcommittee. When Stations 80 and 81 are on call, other unincorporated communities or the City of Poway have to respond from wherever they are.

Mr. Lynch asked how the RMWD forming a CSD would be beneficial? When incorporation is considered, will it be for all of Ramona?

Ms. Allen said forming a CSD expands the powers of the RMWD. They could take on a function such as street lighting. After forming a CSD, incorporation could be a next step. Ramona has talked about incorporation before.

Mr. Lynch said Ramona had over 9 Specific Planning Areas. There is only 1 left to construct. That won’t add much to a tax base. We need to have local control, and if we were an agency, we would have control. Poway can stand on its own.

Mr. Wallace said he is against the incorporation of Ramona.

Mr. Rains asked what triggered the study?

Ms. Allen said LAFCO has a 5 year study schedule. Ramona was on the list for review. They are looking for overall feedback. Is this what the region wants? No action needs to be taken. Formal comments can be submitted to LAFCO.org

Ed Sprague, LAFCO consultant, said that during a municipal service review, they are looking under the hood of the local agency.

The Chair said Poway incorporated in 1981. They had no tax base at the time but built one up quickly. We could have the RMWD become a CSD and later have incorporation.

Ms. Allen said on October 4 the RMWD review will go back to the LAFCO Board.

7-B: County of San Diego Cannabis Update
The following items were not introduced to the RCPG until 7-1-21:
1. Transition to Adult Use Sales (recreation)
2. Sell Branded Merchandise
3. Sell Edible and Drinkable Products
4. Transfer Business Licenses
5. Expand up to 10,000 sq ft with Ministerial Building Permit
6. Exemption from B-Designator and Community Design Review
7. Exemption from S-Designator – Scenic Corridor

Lynch, Cannabis Ordinance Ad Hoc Committee
Mr. Lynch said he was unable to have the Cannabis ad hoc Committee meeting, but he plans to have a meeting prior to the next RCPG meeting. He would like to ask permission to go to the Planning Commission hearing the next day and talk on this topic. Existing cannabis businesses will be able to expand to 10,000 square feet with a ministerial permit. The Scenic exemption will not apply to the businesses. Things are moving very quickly. We only learned about many of the new conditions on July 1.

Speaker: Kathleen Lippitt

Ms. Lippitt said she works on interventions that prevent unsafe behaviors. Branded merchandise is what it stands for – stand alone products. Products are made that could be attractive to kids. The name transfer for “cookies” is named after Girl Scout cookies. She asked that the branding names not be allowed to be used.

Becky Rapp was not in attendance, but had sent an email with comments prior to the meeting. Mr. Brean read the letter into the record.

My name is Becky Rapp, I'm a parent and youth group mentor deeply concerned with the marijuana ordinance the county is bringing forward. I’d like to bring to your attention that tomorrow the Planning Commissioners will hear from staff regarding the 5 existing dispensaries of which three are located within your purview. Please take a second to read through the staff report or view the report on the you-tube link. I’ve also included a link with instructions on how to register for the meeting and hope you will speak out against the ordinance. The recommendations are egregious and sadly only the beginning of what is to come.

Thank you!
County Planning Commission will be presented the information re the BOS June 9th meeting where they voted (4-1) to change the County Municipal Code to enable the existing five pot shops to
1. Operate past the sunset date of April 14, 2022,
2. Sell cannabis for adult use,
3. Expand up to 10,000 square feet, (with only an ministerial review instead of a discretionary review or presentation to the County Planning Group with purview),
4. Transfer business licenses to others,
5. Sell edible and drinkable cannabis products, (and by extension favored products like vapes, candies), and

Ms. Rapp, in her email/letter included the three links to: 1) the Staff Report for tomorrow; 2) the YouTube re Staff Report, and 3) instructions re speaking the next day.

The Chair had a motion prepared.

MOTION: THE RCPG TO SEND CASEY LYNCH TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO REPRESENT THE RCPG ON THE 7 ITEMS ON THE AGENDA: 1) TRANSITION TO ADULT USE SALES (RECREATION); 2) SELL BRANDED MERCHANDISE; 3) SELL EDIBLE AND DRINKABLE PRODUCTS; 4) TRANSFER BUSINESS LICENSES; 5) EXPAND UP TO 10,000 SQ FT WITH MINISTERIAL BUILDING PERMIT; 6) EXEMPTION FROM B-DESIGNATOR AND COMMUNITY DESIGN REVIEW; AND 7) EXEMPTION FROM 2-DESIGNATOR (SCENIC CORRIDOR).
(Discussion on the motion)

The Chair said Supervisor Anderson had a meeting with the planning and sponsor group chairs. He appreciated the specific recommendations that came from Ramona. Supervisor Anderson has established funds of $400,000 to start up an enforcement team and close down illegal cannabis shops.

Mr. Wallace said he is not clear on what the community feels on this issue.

Mr. Lynch said there is not enough time for community input. The July list came out at our July meeting, and there is not enough time to address these issues.

Mr. Ensign said he has concerns with items 5, 6 and 7. He would want there to be input, such as the Design Review Board’s input on visual aspects.

(Voting on the motion)

Upon motion made by Dan Summers and seconded by Scotty Ensign, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

The Chair encouraged people to attend the Planning Commission hearing the next day.

7-C. Jacumba Valley Ranch Solar Project. Jacumba Sponsor Group asks for Support for the community to not have the solar project, which will be larger than the community of Jacumba. Project to go to the Board of Supervisors 8-18-21. Maxson, Chair

Cherry Diefenbach from the Jacumba Sponsor Group was in attendance. She would like the RCPG to support Jacumba’s efforts in protecting their community from a 600 acre solar project that has been in the pipeline for many years and is moving forward. They want to put this 600 acre project on the village boundary. It passed at the Planning Commission meeting and is scheduled to go to the Board of Supervisors on August 18. There are already 100 acres of solar panels in Jacumba. She is reaching out to other planning and sponsor groups for support, too. She asked that the RCPG write a letter of support for Jacumba’s position. She provided a sample letter. Jacumba would like for an alternative plan to be used, where there will only be 300 acres of solar panels.

Mr. Lynch said we could provide feedback based on our experience with 2 solar panel projects. There is little oversight after the permit is issued.

Mr. Noyas said the screening has to be put in before the project is built.

Ms. Diefenback said the project is too big. It is in the floodplain. 300 acres would be more reasonable. The property was zoned as an SPA years ago. A Major Use Permit was obtained for the property. It can be decommissioned in 2058, and the owners can request an extension of the Major Use Permit. It is a huge project, extending from Mexico to I-8. Jacumba wants to see the project moved away from the community. They are calling the project an interim project.

Mr. Brean said he thought the counter proposal made sense. There needs to be better mitigation.

Mr. Rains asked if the farmland is usable, who owns it?
Ms. Diefenback thinks a corporation owns it. An agreement has already been made.

The Chair said that the County has renewable energy goals. They are placing these projects in rural areas. They would be better off in more urban areas where they can put them on parking garages and similar elements.

Mr. Lynch said he would like to revise the letter/motion to be sent, to include a seventh bullet point that specifies strong mitigation for the project and to provide a method of conflict resolution and enforcement moving forward.

**MOTION:** TO APPROVE SUPPORT OF THE LETTER SUBMITTED BY THE JACUMBA HOT SPRINGS SPONSOR GROUP AND ADD A SEVENTH BULLET POINT FOR MITIGATION – STRONG MITIGATION – TO PROVIDE A METHOD OF CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND METHOD OF ENFORCEMENT FOR THE FUTURE.

Upon motion made by Kevin Wallace and seconded by Michelle Rains, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

**7-D:** PLDO Priority List for Year 2020 – 2021

1. Skate Park Development. Approved up to $250,000 from previous priority list
2. Development of a 5K course
3. BMX Park

Wallace, Parks and Recreation

Ms. Perfect said the PLDO Priority List should show 2021-2022. She presented the list that was approved from the RCPG Parks and Recreation subcommittee meeting.

1. Wellfield Park Lighting for athletic fields and an additional scoreboard
2. 5K Route and Scoring Equipment
3. Skatepark

Ms. Rains asked why the skatepark was last?

Ms. Perfect said the projects get done in the order to be done. The County is working on the lighting. The 5K course should be easy. Marcus Lubich and Ieszic Formeller attended the Parks and Recreation subcommittee meeting. The lighting project has been drafted. The Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JEPA) was done at the at the first of the year. Mr. Lubich said the building permit has been extended to November, 2021. There has been no progress since February. They didn’t want to put the 5K course later than the skatepark.

Mr. Rains asked if the 5K course would be trails?

Ms. Perfect said the trails in Wellfield Park are existing and they could be used for the 5K course. Ms. Perfect said on the subject of the lighting for the athletic fields and an additional scoreboard – $700,000 has been allocated.

Mr. Rains asked if there were lights out there now? Ms. Perfect said there were not
MOTION: TO APPROVE THE FOLLOWING PLDO PRIORITY LIST (2021-2022): 1) WELLFIELD PARK LIGHTING FOR ATHLETIC FIELDS AND AN ADDITIONAL SCOREBOARD; 2) 5k ROUTE AND SCORING EQUIPMENT; AND 3) SKATEPARK.

Upon motion made by Dawn Perfect and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

7-E: Wellfield Park Update: $700,000 for lighting. Wallace, Parks and Recreation

Ms. Perfect the County is working on the Wellfield Park lighting. There has been no tangible movement in the last 6 months. It’s been over a year since it was approved by the RCPG.

7-F: Status of letter from RCPG to RMWD regarding 3rd ambulance. Summers, Third Ambulance Ad Hoc Committee

Mr. Summers asked if the letter from the July 1, 2021, meeting, regarding the need for a third ambulance in Ramona, was sent to the RMWD?

Ms. Mansolf said the letter was sent to the RMWD and a copy was included with a copy of all RCPG letters sent out from the July 1 meeting to RCPG members.

7-G: Status of research into emergency 911 dispatches into Ramona (92065) from mutual aid units outside Ramona. Research should cover a period of 10 years, if possible, to demonstrate the need for a 3rd ambulance in Ramona. Summers, Third Ambulance Ad Hoc Committee

Mr. Summers said when medics at Station 80 and Station 81 are on call, the closest available unit responds if there is a need for a third ambulance. This ambulance may come from Warner Springs, Julian, Barona or Poway. These ambulances will be responding from where they are. The ALS standard for the City of San Diego is 8 minutes. They have many more units to respond. Time is critical for many medical issues. Mr. Summers asked Jenna Lee, a secretary with CAL FIRE, for information regarding emergency dispatches for third and fourth units dispatched from outside the area and he got a three to four year timeline. A solution is getting a third ALS at Station 82. The cost would be one-quarter of a million. They would need 6 full time firefighters/paramedics to staff it. How to pay for everything is the question. The RMWD started a third ambulance committee. Joe Stupar of the Ramona Chamber of Commerce supports a third ALS. Mr. Summers had lunch with Supervisor Anderson, Joe Stupar, and Jim Piva and Jim Hickle of the RMWD. All are interested in having a third ambulance. The quorum for the third ambulance committee was lost and the meeting ended a little early. Discussion will continue at the next meeting.

7-H: Monthly update from Mr. Marvin Canton on;
7-H-1: Major resurfacing project. Summers, Ramona State Routes

Marvin Canton shared that right now they’re still working on the changes for the major resurfacing project for SR 67 and there is no update. Mr. Canton postponed the environmental documents meeting due to the ability of limited staff to attend. The meeting will now take place in August. Marvin continues to work on the permit to enter portion of the San Vicente Corridor Improvement Project, and it’s an ongoing project right now. 900 letters requesting access on
properties along the San Vicente Corridor were sent out, and of those 12 property owners denied access. Caltrans is continuing working on acquiring permits to enter. They hope to start work in October.

7-H-2: Micro-resurfacing project. Summers, Ramona State Routes

Mr. Canton shared that by Friday, July 30, 2021 they should be back finishing the striping on the micro surfacing.

7-I: Update on meetings with SANDAG Mayors
7-I-1: Mayor Sotelo-Solis 8/10/21 at 1:30 pm. Summers, Ramona State Routes

National City Mayor Alejandra Sotelo-Solis has agreed to meet with RSRS via Zoom on August 10, 2021, at 1:30pm.

7-I-2: Awaiting Keith Corry/Mayor Gloria. Summers, Ramona State Routes

RSRS is unable to meet with San Diego Mayor Todd Gloria but can meet with his representative Keith Corry once he returns from vacation.


Mr. Summers attended the SANDAG meeting on July 23, 2021, thinking they were back to public meetings and they are not. He was able to get on the public comments line, and had a three-minute speech, but only got one minute. He complimented Marvin Canto and Marcello Peinado on the great job they are doing and how they are accessible to the RCPG. Mr. Summers plans to attend future meetings and discuss social equity.

7-K: 8/11 San Vicente Corridor public workshop. Summers, Ramona State Routes

Mr. Summers shared that there is another public workshop for stakeholders on the San Vicente Corridor on August 11. This is a meeting that a number of people from CalTrans will be attending, and they want input from the public. It’s our opportunity to provide input regarding the Hwy 67 project. He suggested checking the Caltrans website for meeting information

7-L: SANDAG accepting commentaries about SD County road improvement priorities at pio@sandag.org. Summers, Ramona State Routes

Mr. Summers announced that SANDAG is accepting commentaries about San Diego County Road improvement priorities at pio@sandag.org, and they are listing priorities. It’s our opportunity to share our thoughts about being overlooked with Transnet money, some of which has been promised to rural communities but is being spent along the coast. We need to remind SANDAG that the San Vicente Corridor needs to be addressed.

The Chair said SANDAG has multiple projects and they are asking for feedback. She attends the meetings and always the same groups are attending. SANDAG decides where money is spent. There is going to be a 2021 Transportation Plan, SD Forward meeting the next day, August 6.
7-M:  Chair of the Transportation and Trails Subcommittee to attend Ramona Municipal Water District meetings on behalf of the RCPG for purposes of gathering information regarding the development of an Emergency Evacuation Route. Maxson, Chair

The Chair said that if Mr. Lynch is going to represent the RCPG at the RMWD meetings with respect to an emergency evacuation route, he would need a letter of support from the RCPG to do so, and we would need to vote on it. She requested that an RCPG member make that motion.

MOTION: CHAIR OF THE TRANSPORTATION AND TRAILS SUBCOMMITTEE TO ATTEND RAMONA MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MEETINGS ON BEHALF OF THE RCPG FOR PURPOSES OF GATHERING INFORMATION REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN EMERGENCY EVACUATION ROUTE.

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

7-N:  County of San Diego Needle Exchange Program - Supervisor Anderson's request to Community Planning Groups to provide a letter to the Board of Supervisors with conditions regarding a Needle Exchange Program in our community. Proposed RCPG letter for discussion and vote. Maxson, Chair

The Chair said the Needle Exchange Program (NEP) is moving forward at the Board of Supervisors. Right now it looks as though a mobile site is preferred by Supervisor Anderson rather than a fixed building location. The mobile unit will work better in the rural areas but may not be preferred by the Board of Supervisors. She has drafted a letter which she presented to the RCPG to be voted on based on past discussions at RCPG meetings on this issue.

Mr. Lynch said he hopes that community outreach would be included when this issue is introduced into the community.

The Chair said there will be an annual review on this issue to look at impacts. There will be wrap around surfaces for people experiencing homelessness. The mobile unit may be at a fire station.

Mr. Lynch said he is a little concerned about having the mobile unit be at a fire station and thinks an official building would be a better location. He hopes that any reference to the NEP will not be on the side of a mobile unit should a mobile unit end up being the final choice.

MOTION: TO SEND OUT THE LETTER ON THE NEP PROGRAM AS PRESENTED TO JOEL ANDERSON AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH THE AMENDMENT OF ADDING THAT IF A MOBILE UNIT IS USED, WORDING ON THE TRUCK/VAN USE DISCRETION RELATING TO THE PURPOSE OF THE USE OF THE VEHICLE.

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Casey Lynch, the motion passed 11-2-0-0-2, with Paul Stykel and Kevin Wallace voting no, and Debbie Foster and Andrew Simmons absent.

ITEM 8:  GROUP BUSINESS (Possible Action)

8-A:  Announcements and Correspondence Received

The Chair made the following announcements:
1. Brian and Ashley - on Arena Way in Rancho San Vicente sent us an email asking if we would consider including their area in the ongoing Traffic Calming studies.

2. Supervisor Joel Anderson met with the CPG/CSG Chairs. He reported that he was sending feedback to the Planning Commission on Cannabis and gave Kudos to Ramona for the outstanding work done by the ad hoc committee. Also, Anderson reported he is advocating for enforcement to close illegal cannabis shops by establishing permanent funding for this activity including a standing enforcement unit composed of 1 Lieutenant, 1 Sargeant, 4 Deputies, 1 Crime/Intelligence Analyst and 1 Prosecutor. 2.6 million would be funded for ongoing expenses and 400K for the start up of this unit.

3. Supervisor Joel Anderson also reported that he was advocating for a mobile unit with wrap around services with respect to the Needle Exchange Program. He was requesting Community Planning Group feedback on this issue. He requested that Planning Groups call into the BOS meeting.

4. Supervisor Joel Anderson encourages constituents to contact him via the contact form found on his website.

5. County announced that the presentation to the BOS on Battery Storage related to the Renewable Energy Ordinance update had been postponed to September 1 in order to include details about decommissioned battery storage, including recycling of the decommissioned batteries and site clean up.

6. The County announced that the Brown Act Suspensions are ending September 30th - all group meetings will be in-person and group member attendance will be in-person. Public can attend virtually to help reduce GHG via travel.

8-B: DESIGN REVIEW REPORT (Ensign) – Update on Projects Reviewed

Mr. Ensign said the 2 new Design Review Board members will likely be appointed by the Board of Supervisors in mid August. Officers will be elected next month.

The ALDI monument sign plan was presented and approved. They want to put another sign on the building, and with the addition of the second sign, they will exceed their signage allotment as per the Form Based Code. So rather than the proposed 169 square feet of signage – which is way over what is allowed – a motion was made to approve combined signage to 150 square feet, and it passed. Building signage and color were discussed for the Sunbelt Rentals building. The DRB would like Sunbelt Rentals to change the color of the top of the building. They agreed to remove all the bright green painted area beyond the sign area to match the same taupe/gray color as the rest of the building front. The 30 inches by 16 feet long sign area is to be centered directly under the apex of the current fake front, with letters not to exceed 12 inches high and the logo not to exceed 24 inches high.

There is a new Code Compliance spreadsheet for Ramona. Hopefully the new spreadsheet will help to get issues resolved. Some projects on the spreadsheet are 15 years old.

Mr. Summers asked when ALDI would be opening?

Mr. Ensign said they were expecting it to open in the month.
Mr. Rains asked if the spreadsheet were public?

Mr. Ensign said he will send the new spreadsheet. There are some projects/businesses on there that are 15 years old.

8-C: Discussion Items (Possible Action)

8-C-1: Concerns from Members

Mr. Lynch said he has seen a travel trailer on a property in Ramona.

The Chair said one of the presentations on September 2 will cover travel trailers on properties.

Mr. Lynch said if there is a travel trailer on a property, a medical permit should be applied for.

8-C-2: Future Agenda Item Requests

The Chair said LAFCO comments from the RCPG regarding the LAFCO review of the RMWD would be on the next agenda. A presentation discussing homeless solutions may also be on the agenda.

Ms. Rains would like to see a dog park at Wellfield Park in Ramona.

Mr. Summers said there is a dog park at SDCE.

8-C-3: Addition and Confirmation of New/Continuing Subcommittee Members

No Names Brought Forward

8-D: Meeting Updates

8-D-1: Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and TAC Meetings – No Additional Meeting Information Brought Forward

8-D-2: Future Group Meeting Date – Next RCPG Meeting to be 9-2-21, Location/Format to be Determined

ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf

The RCPG is advisory only to the County of San Diego. Community issues not related to planning and land use are not within the purview of this group. Item #5: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the RCPG on any subject within the group’s jurisdiction that does not appear as an item on this agenda. The RCPG cannot discuss these matters except to place them on a future agenda, refer them to a subcommittee, or to County staff. Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please fill out a speaker request form located at the rear of the room and present to Vice Chairperson.

Public Disclosure: We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County’s disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.