

**County of San Diego
Ramona Community Planning Group
MEETING MINUTES**

July 7, 2022

Meeting was In Person

7:00 PM @ the Ramona Community Library, 1275 Main Street, Ramona

ITEM 1: Call to Order

ITEM 2: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ITEM 3: ROLL CALL (Maxson, Chair)

In Attendance:	Scotty Ensign	Debbie Foster	Lynn Hopewell
	Kristi Mansolf	Robin Joy Maxson	Elio Noyas
	Matt Rains	Michelle Rains	Andrew Simmons
	Paul Stykel	Dan Summers	Kevin Wallace

Members Absent: Torry Brean, Casey Lynch, Dawn Perfect

ITEM 4: APPROVAL OF MINUTES 6-2-22 (Action)

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JUNE 2, 2022, MEETING, AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Michelle Rains and seconded by Andrew Simmons, the motion **passed 10-0-2-0-3**, with Robin Joy Maxson and Kevin Wallace abstaining, and Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

ITEM 5: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to Group on any subject matter within the Group’s jurisdiction that is not on posted agenda. (Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes)

Speaker: Ken Brennecke, Ramona Resident

Mr. Brennecke made the following comments: Through no fault of their own, Community Planning Groups have been implementing an I-1 voting policy that violates their own meeting rules and unfairly counts votes from ineligible planning group members (those not present at the meeting, having recused themselves, or having abstained). Consequently, decisions have been made based on a faulty interpretation of the votes cast. The harm this has caused to California will never be adequately measured but it is immense. But let me be perfectly clear, in the case (example) I originally cited, where the proposed Ramona Street Extension was retained on the Capital Improvements List even though the majority of eligible voters clearly wanted it dropped from that list, I want total redress. Specifically, I want the lawful majority vote on this topic to be upheld and the proposed Ramona Street Extension be dropped from the Capital Improvements List. I also reserve the right to seek further remedies at a later date based on the harms I have incurred.

David Sossaman wanted to speak on a non-agenda item, but the item he wanted to speak about was related to Code Compliance, so his request to speak was moved to under Item 8-G.

ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF ORDER OF THE AGENDA (Action)

Mr. Wallace said there were several people in the audience who were interested in Item 8-H. He made the following motion:

MOTION: TO MOVE ITEM H UP TO BELOW ITEM 8-A.

Upon motion made by Kevin Wallace and seconded by Paul Stykel, the motion **failed 2-10-0-0-3**, with Scotty Ensign, Debbie Foster, Lynn Hopewell, Kristi Mansolf, Robin Joy Maxson, Elio Noyas, Matt Rains, Michelle Rains, Andrew Simmons and Paul Stykel voting no, and Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Michelle Rains and seconded by Andrew Simmons, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

ITEM 7: Consent Calendar:

7-A: Ratification and Continuance of Teleconferencing Meeting Option Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e).

In order to continue the teleconferencing meeting option, when needed, the following motion was made:

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE RATIFICATION AND CONTINUANCE OF THE TELECONFERENCING MEETING OPTION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e)

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Elio Noyas, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

ITEM 8: ACTION ITEMS:

8-A: Update from San Diego County Sheriff's Department - Ramona Substation for the community of Ramona

Lieutenant Vengler said he had no news on the future construction of the new Sheriff's Substation.

Mr. Ensign asked if the plans were in plan check yet?

Lieutenant Vengler said the plans are in the design phase.

8-B: New Signs (2) – 406 16th St # 104-A (behind 1662 Main St.) – AT&T Simmons, CUDA Subcommittee

Mr. Ensign, reporting for the Design Review Board, said the applicant did not show up to the Design Review Board meeting, so no action was taken on the item by the Design Review Board or by CUDA. The Zoom portion of the meeting was not available to participants as there was no host due to extenuating circumstances. It is possible the applicant tried to join the Zoom meeting but was unable to.

MOTION: TO MOVE ITEM 8-B (AT&T SIGNS) TO NEXT MONTH'S AGENDA.

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Lynn Hopewell, the motion **passed 12-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

**8-C: New Sign – 1441 Main St. - Ransom Brothers Lumber & Supply
Simmons, CUDA Subcommittee**

Mr. Ensign said the True Value store is changing to Ace Hardware and they are updating the signage. The Design Review Board approved the project as no letters or other embellishments were over what is allowed. Everything was within the Form Based Code standards.

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE NEW SIGNS, AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Lynn Hopewell and seconded by Scotty Ensign, the motion **passed 12-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

**8-D: ZAP 22-006. The proposed project would be a request for a Minor Use Permit to construct 39 megawatts (MW) / 78 megawatt hours (MWH) of battery energy storage located on approximately 1.70 acres at the southwest corner of an 11-acre property on the north side of Creelman Ln between Ashley and Keyes. The property has a single-family residence. The proposed project would include 32.2-foot long, 5.6-foot wide, and 8.7-foot-tall battery storage containers on individual concrete equipment pads, approximately 5-feet apart, and would connect to the substation on the south side of Creelman Lane via underground lines.
Noyas, South Subcommittee**

Skyler Tennis and James Beach from Enersmart presented the project. The proposed battery storage project will allow for the growth of renewable energy within the community. Previously fossil fuel has been used for energy. Wind and solar are alternatives for the growing need for renewable energy within the community. When power is taken off line, there is a need for batteries. Batteries will work for about 2 hours after the power is taken offline. The battery containers look like shipping containers. They had a powerpoint presentation, however, there was no equipment available on which to present.

Mr. Noyas gave the South Subcommittee report. No one from the public was at the meeting. The power will stay within the community. The South Subcommittee approved the project.

Mr. Tennis said as far as uses of the road – technicians will go out to look at equipment as

needed – usually once a month. Filters will be changed every couple of months.

Mr. Noyas said there was no landscaping plan proposed. One of the walls will be 12 feet tall. The containers will be 9 feet tall. The committee didn't feel the wall needed to be that tall.

Speaker: Tawny, Speaking for Parents

Tawny said the road to access the project is a concern. The road should be on the other side of the telephone pole on the property. If they use Creelman, the road should be moved to where it was supposed to be a dead end road.

Speaker: Bob Romeo, Ramona Resident

Mr. Romeo has lived at a property in the Creelman area for over 35 years. He has concerns over the minor use permit. There is already a major use permit on the site with the Creelman Solar project on the same property. This is a residential area and the property these projects are going on is residential. The existing project has been extremely disruptive and noisy. It will depreciate the properties in the area. He asked about the height of the wall that will be 12 feet tall?

Mr. Tennis said the wall will be 12 feet tall to help reduce noise generated that will come from the property.

Mr. Romeo said the battery storage project will take up about 1.5 acres. What about the rest of the acreage on the property? Will it be filled up with batteries? This project is 200 feet from his front door. Who will be making money from this project? This is about quality of life. This is not a rural project. Property values and costs of living keep going up. This area is agricultural and zoned 4 acre minimum. They should take this project to a commercial area.

Speaker: Francie Step-Bolling, Ramona Resident

Ms. Step-Bolling lives across the street from the project. She is all for solar. She has horses and wants the area to stay rural. She doesn't think a technician using the road once a month to visit the property is too much. She prefers this project over housing.

Speaker: Sue Thygesen, Ramona Resident

Ms. Thygesen was unable to attend the teleconferencing meeting. She called in, was initially able to connect but then was disconnected and unable to rejoin.

Mr. Noyas asked about landscaping for the project.

Mr. Tennis said they have added landscaping to the plans. It is an 11 acre property and they will be using 1.7 acres for the battery storage project.

Mr. Stykel asked what kind of noise (decibel) level is expected?

Mr. Tennis said the decibel level will be about 7 decibels plus fans per unit.

Mr. Beach said there is a battery storage project on the I-15 at Highway 78. People can go to this location to see what type of noise is generated from the project. There are 75 containers at the site.

Ms. Hopewell asked how the power will be used and generated?

Mr. Tennis said the batteries will be connected to the substation on the site.

Ms. Hopewell asked if there would be any damage to the road?

Mr. Tennis said there would be no damage to the road.

Ms. Hopewell asked if the dust caused from construction will be kept down?

Mr. Tennis said that construction dust will be kept down.

Ms. Hopewell asked if there were security cameras on site?

Mr. Tennis said there is a motion sensor that would actively alert someone to there being problem.

Ms. Hopewell said that a 12 foot wall will be harder for people to climb over.

Mr. Ensign said he was disappointed that there was no presentation. This will be out third renewable project within the community. He doesn't know if he would want to have this project 200 feet from his door. The Creelman solar project was shoved down our throats as well as the Warnock solar project. It is cheap to put these projects in Ramona. There is discussion on a big wall. Maybe the wall could be lower. Right now he is thinking the project will look like a big barn. For the road – this is another issue. He would like to see a landscape plan for the project. The whole valley could be covered with solar. There are many other areas renewable energy projects could be placed.

Ms. Foster said that if there is a fire, there will be a massive amount of batteries at the site. She is all for creating battery power. Ramona's power gets shut down when there is wind and fire. She has a problem with the noise. She is in favor of the concept but not in people's back yards. The property owner still has about 10 acres on the property to put more projects of this nature on.

Mr. Rains asked about lithium biophosphonate?

Mr. Tennis said using lithium biophosphonate would make for a lower fire risk.

Mr. Rains asked how the 39 battery storage units would connect to the grid?

Mr. Beach said that the battery storage units do not get connected to the high voltage lines. A study was done where it was determined that the battery storage project was needed on Creelman to help with when power goes down.

Ms. Mansolf said there has been a problem with landscape maintenance for the existing renewable energy properties that have been built, including the Creelman site. The RCPG has to keep pointing it out to the County when it happens, for the to be done.

Mr. Wallace asked if the project was approved by SDG&E?

Mr. Beach said SDC&E wants a distribution level. Anything that helps with their power distribution they are going to pay Enersmart for and charge it back again. There is no profit here. They are trying to get cheaper power. The battery storage project stores and releases power. The electricity goes to where it is needed and it stays within the community.

Ms. Hopewell said she can't support the project as there was no presentation and she feels a thoughtful decision is needed.

MOTION: TO SEND THE ENERSMART PROJECT BACK TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE NEXT MONTH FOR FURTHER REVIEW.

Upon motion made by Elio Noyas and seconded by Dan Summers, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

- 8-E: County of San Diego, Department of Parks and Recreation will give an update on the Mt. Woodson parking lot project that will include the project timeline, the project design, and the Caltrans Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE). Draft interpretive signage layouts will be provided for review at the meeting.
Mansolf, Mt. Woodson ad hoc Committee**

Emily Hubbard, Senior Parks Manager, presented the information. Andy Quinn and Nicole Revelo, both with County Parks were also in attendance. The County wants to provide safe access to Mt. Woodson for hikers and they also want to get people off of Highway 67. Ms. Hubbard shared the concept plan for the parking lot at Mt. Woodson. While the RCPG has seen the concept plan before, it is now in its final form. The County has been working with Caltrans on this issue, and the County has created an Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) that Caltrans has now in its final form and they are just waiting for Caltrans final approval. A left turn will be created heading north on Highway 67 into the parking lot, and there will be a deceleration lane heading south on Highway 67 that will take people into the parking lot. A right of way permit will need to be obtained from Caltrans by the County. This process won't start until the ICE is approved by Caltrans and the right of way permit may take some time to finish. The environmental documentation will go out this fall for public review and they expect the project to go to bid during the winter with construction to start in late spring.

Ms. Revelo said there is a stream area that is near to where people will be driving, and they will

be crossing over it. Consideration is being given to putting a bridge in at this location.

Ms. Hubbard said there will be signage at a kiosk with rules for the hikers and also interpretive signage about the history of the area. There will also be a sign about the flora and fauna of the area.

Mr. Summers said the RSRS Subcommittee has a concern over lack of directional signage in the area while we wait for the project to move forward. He was told the signs will come with the parking lot, so if there is a delay in construction, there will be a delay in getting the signs. People from out of town see the parking on the side of the road and no signage. The RSRS Subcommittee doesn't want to wait until the project is completed for the directional signage.

Ms. Hubbard said that the directional signage is Caltrans' purview and the County can't do anything in the right of way until they have the right of way permit from Caltrans.

Mr. Wallace suggested putting in a longer culvert pipe, where they are talking about the need for a bridge. This may be a quick fix that could be used.

Ms. Hubbard said she hopes the bridge issue won't delay the project. They also have to make sure the work is maintained, whatever they do.

Ms. Revelo said the environmental document for the project will be a Mitigated Negative Declaration. The bridge would be a mitigation measure. The Regional Water Quality Board has jurisdiction over the creek and the Army Corps of Engineers. Anything involving water needs a permit from one or both of these agencies. They will probably remove the culvert. Sometimes there are high rain conditions so they have to prepare for all possibilities.

The Chair asked Lieutenant Vengler if the Sheriff's have the ability to do enforcement along Highway 67?

Lieutenant Vengler said the Sheriff can enforce, but the CHP has primary jurisdiction.

The Chair said if the neighbors were to be impacted like at Cedar Creek Falls, would the Sheriff be able to fix the problem?

Lieutenant Vengler said a problem as described would not be the Sheriff's main priority.

The Chair asked if Ramona residents would have to pay \$3 each time they use the trail?

Mr. Quinn said that the \$3 is cost recovery for maintenance. If people want unlimited access to use all County parks, they can purchase an annual pass for \$40. If people want to use one park, they can purchase an annual pass for \$25. There are also no cost annual passes for seniors.

Ms. Rains asked about a gate for security?

Ms. Hubbard said that the gate will be solar operated. It will allow people to enter and leave.

After dusk, people will not be able to enter but will still be able to leave.

Mr. Quinn said there will be some patrolling by rangers in the area and there will also be some solar operated cameras.

Ms. Rains asked about porta potties?

Ms. Hubbard said there would be porta potties. More will be added based on need.

Ms. Foster said she feels that the 2 lane to 1 lane from Archie Moore Road when entering onto Highway 67 is unclear.

Ms. Hubbard said they are working with Caltrans on this. They are also working with Caltrans on the restriping of the road.

Ms. Revelo said a traffic study was done that helped to guide many decisions that have been made with respect to Highway 67 and the project.

Mr. Ensign asked if the City Departments and contractors who visit the towers on Mt. Woodson would be able to go through the parking lot or will they still have to take access through the gate on Mt. Woodson Road?

Ms. Hubbard said she will check on this.

Speaker: Jim Edwards, Ramona Resident

Mr. Edwards has lived in the Mt. Woodson area for 40 years and he is concerned with the security of the area. Additionally, people need bathroom facilities of some sort that are using Mt. Woodson.

Mr. Summers said Archie Moore, World Light Heavyweight Boxing Champion, owned about 100 acres in this area. Boxers were trained on this land. He asked that Archie Moore's name be considered somehow in the current Mt. Woodson process.

8-F: Update on Ramona Skatepark project by the County of San Diego, Department of Parks and Recreation

Ms. Hubbard said that there is a budget and use hierarchy in place for the Ramona Intergenerational Community Campus (RICC). The Health and Human Services Agency will be prioritized first. The apartments for seniors currently in the design phase will be next. This part of the project will also include a senior center and a PACE Wellness Center for the elderly. After that, the park and recreational aspects of the project will be addressed. It is anticipated that funding for the recreational amenities will be approved in 2024, and they may hold public meetings to look at the type of recreational amenities the public wants and that can be accommodated. Recreational amenities at the RICC are scheduled in the 2024-25 budget.

Although she is aware of the desire for a skatepark in Ramona, she can't say that it will be part of the project. The County will listen to comments and suggestions from the public, but nothing will be designed until funding is in place.

Speaker: Cyndi Wear, Ramona Resident

Ms. Wear said she has been in Ramona forever. She doesn't mind going to a skatepark out of the area. It is distressing to hear that it may be a couple of more years before there is a skatepark in Ramona. She appreciates the pop up skatepark but believes that more can be done for Ramona's youth.

Speaker: Mischa Dobrotin, Ramona Resident

Mr. Dobrotin said that talks of putting a bowling alley in Ramona and also a skatepark have been ongoing for years. We need something for kids to do in Ramona. A skatepark doesn't cost much to build and kids can enjoy it.

Speaker: Brando Duran, Ramona Resident

Mr. Duran really appreciates having the pop up skatepark to use. Not everyone wants to do field sports or basketball, and a skatepark gives kids a place to go where they can have fun. But it would be nice to have a permanent skatepark here. Generations have gone by and still there is no skatepark in Ramona. Kids have a lot of time on their hands.

Speaker: Tracy Engel, Ramona Resident

Ms. Engel said the skatepark is part of the plan of the RICC. It would be nonsense to wait for the RICC process to be fulfilled to consider having a skatepark. They have waited 25 years for a skatepark and it is part of the RICC master plan. The people who want a skatepark formed a corporation and circulated petitions. Mr. Lubich assured us that a skatepark would be part of the RICC. Now we are hearing that County Parks is not sure about having a skatepark at the RICC.

Ms. Hopewell said she has asked kids in Ramona what they would want in recreational facilities, and she was told they want a skatepark and BMX.

Mr. Rains asked about the wait for funding?

Ms. Hubbard said they are waiting for the funding for the design.

Mr. Simmons said he is in support of the skatepark.

Ms. Foster said kids have been begging for a skatepark for years.

Mr. Wallace said he thought it was a done deal.

Ms. Rains said the RICC is a great place for skatepark.

Mr. Summers said when Ramona tries to get something, we are light on population and then we are short on dollars, so we don't get a lot of the amenities we want.

Mr. Noyas asked if a "Go Fund Me" program would help?

Ms. Engel said they need land for the skatepark.

Mr. Stykel said we will have to get the money together ourselves or it won't happen.

The Chair said we need to keep the light on this project. There are many children in the community that would benefit from having a skatepark. This project needs to be a high priority.

Ms. Hubbard thanked everyone for the good feedback. She will need to do more research on having a skatepark in Ramona.

8-G: Presentation and Update on County of San Diego Code Compliance Changes, and Recommendations for the Community to Manage/Report Suspected Code Compliance Issues.

Vince Nicoletti and Cameron Powers from County Code Compliance made the presentation. Mr. Nicoletti said the name was change from Code Enforcement to Code Compliance. He gave statistics of the number of cases opened and closed. Voluntary compliance is sought. Fifty one percent of the cases closed within 12 months. They respond within 24 hours. Code Compliance enforces the entire Zoning Ordinance. Noise and grading are 2 of the things they enforce. Vehicles like a trailer parked outside on a property is another issue. Sometimes the property owner has mental health issue.

Mr. Powers said sometimes people don't know the dimensions allowed. A vendor may set up a business and not realize they need a permit. It is common to move a trailer on a property, but there may be septic issues. They look at unpermitted uses. As far as the cannabis industry – there have been relatively no complaints. If someone wants to complain about a rooster crowing, they would call the Department of Animal Services. Barking dogs and generators fall under Code Compliance. They are reactive, not proactive.

Mr. Nicoletti said that 8 additional Code Compliance staff were recently hired. With more staffing, there can be more community engagement. They are more proactive on certain types of violations. There have been more complaints recently about homeless situations.

Speaker: Dave Sossaman, Ramona Resident

Mr. Sossaman lives in the Sutherland Dam area. A neighbor has 60 acres and has made a motorcycle course. It is the Slayground Corporation. They have done grading on 40 acres. They have had the X Games on the property. When Mr. Sossaman came to the area and built his house, there were concerns over the Quino Checkerspot Butterfly. No rules have been followed. There is no permit. The land is agricultural land. They had a big TV event on the property and

the Sheriff's can't do anything. Code Compliance is not working on it. He is bringing it up to the RCPG. The X Games have been on the property more than once and he is afraid it will become an annual thing.

Mr. Nicoletti said Code Compliance went out to the property and issued a warning. They are aware of the problem. The property owner can only have a certain amount of clearing that includes the house area on the property and then they are out of compliance.

Mr. Summers said the Slayground property owners have sunk a well and the neighbors are losing water. He spoke with Brian Jones and Supervisor Anderson about this. It is a David and Goliath situation.

Mr. Nicolette said Code Compliance goes for consistency. The owners said they will get permits and not be in violation again.

Mr. Sossaman said they had a private event this year with professional riders and filming. It was on Facebook.

Mr. Rains asked if Code Compliance follows up with the complainer?

Mr. Nicoletti said the information is available online. A parcel can be pulled to see what action was taken. A lot of people do the right thing.

Ms. Foster said there was a pop up rodeo in Ramona this past year.

Mr. Ensign said the Design Review Board has had many Code Compliance issues and wants to work with Code Compliance. People open up new businesses and their signs are out of compliance. They say they didn't know the rules. Landscaping is another problem.

Ms. Hopewell said Code Compliance has helped with resolving issues such as people having bright lights on buildings. They have done an amazing job.

Mr. Nicoletti said a budget meeting is coming up soon. Communities need more Code Compliance Officers, so they are looking to increase the budget in this area to fill this need.

8-H: Request for Ramona Community Planning Group feedback on concept of a locally-servicing hotel

The applicant was not in attendance to present the project. The Chair said the item will be put on a future agenda when the applicant wants to make the presentation.

Speaker: Chelsea, Ramona Resident

Chelsea lives near the area where the hotel is being proposed. She questions the need for a hotel in Ramona. She called the Ramona Inn and they are hardly ever booked up.

Speaker: Cyndi Wear, Ramona Resident

Ms. Wear heard that a hotel was going to be built, but what Ramona needs is a skatepark. No one makes money off of a skatepark.

8-I: Monthly update from Caltrans on status of State Route projects

1. SVC Multimodal Project EIR progress

Mr. Summers said the RSRS Subcommittee had an update on Caltrans projects. Marvin Canton and Sam Amen, the new District Division Chief of Engineering, were in attendance. The EIR for the SVC Multimodal project will take 4 years to complete. Consideration is being given to having a retaining wall stuck on each side of the corridor.

2. Progress on repaving SR 67

For the repaving project, Caltrans will be tearing up the road and completely repaving it. The work will be starting in the Town Center area.

3. Progress on Mina De Oro

The work by Mina De Oro appears to be complete, but Caltrans says they still have some more work to do in the area.

**4. Progress on “Welcome to Ramona” sign
Summers, RSRS Subcommittee**

Mr. Amen said a “Welcome to Ramona” sign is a good idea and he is going to see if he can make it part of the SVC Multimodal project.

8-J: SVC Multimodal Project Draft Proposal

1. Report on proposal from Dan Summers (See Item 8-H-2 below)

2. Request for public and Planning Group member comments. Go to San Vicente Comprehensive Multimodal Corridor Plan CMCP. There you will have access to the draft plan in English and a place for your input.

Summers, RSRS Subcommittee

Mr. Summers wrote up comments on the SVC Multimodal project for the public comment period for consideration by the RCPG to send to Caltrans. Among other things, the letter recommends more lanes be added to Highway 67 for safety and evacuation. The public comment period was extended to July 30.

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE COMMENT LETTER, AS PRESENTED, ON THE SAN VICENTE CMCP.

Upon motion made by Michelle Rains and seconded by Andrew Simmons, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Torry Brean, Casey Lynch and Dawn Perfect absent.

8-K: Directional signs to Mt. Woodson Hiking - status report.
Summers, RSRS Subcommittee (*Discussed under Item 8-E*)

8-L: Intersection of Mussey Grade Rd and SR 67 - status report from Caltrans communication.
Summers, RSRS Subcommittee

The RSRS Subcommittee made a strong point to Caltrans about the condition of Mussey Grade Road and how it needs to be fixed temporarily until the work can be done permanently.

ITEM 9: OTHER BUSINESS (Possible Action)

9-A: Announcements and Correspondence Received

Ms. Hopewell said the candidacy filing period for RCPG members opens July 18 and closes August 12.

McDonalds will get the permit to work on the property in the first part of August. They were notified of the degradation of the fencing.

Mr. Summers announced that Ms. Maxson was chosen woman of the year by Assemblyman Randy Voepel of the 71st District. He will be presenting the award to Ms. Maxson in Ramona on July 16 at 10 a.m. in Ramona.

9-B: DESIGN REVIEW REPORT (Ensign) – Update on Projects Reviewed

Mr. Ensign gave the Design Review Report. The new Ace Hardware signs were approved. A T-Mobil facility out at Calistoga Place is stealthing their existing cell site as a 50 foot monopine, and this was approved. Replacement signs at the Hawaiian BBQ were approved (Code Compliance issue).

9- C: Discussion Items (Possible Action)

1. Concerns from Members

Ms. Foster said she has a concern about the lack of chairs at the RCPG meetings. She is looking forward to having a 3rd ambulance. One of our ambulances had to go to Mesa Grande on July 4.

Mr. Summers said Ramona's 3rd ambulance is at Station 82. It is not staffed yet.

2. Future Agenda Item Requests

The Enersmart project will be on August 4 agenda. The Vehicle Miles Traveled information will be presented at the August meeting, also.

3. Addition and Confirmation of New/Continuing Subcommittee Members (*None brought forward*)

9-D: Meeting Updates

1. Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and TAC Meetings

No update was given.

2. Future Group Meeting Dates – Next RCPG Meeting to be 8-4-22, Location/Format to be Determined

ITEM 10: ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf

The RCPG is advisory only to the County of San Diego. Community issues not related to planning and land use are not within the purview of this group. Item #5: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the RCPG on any subject within the group's jurisdiction that does not appear as an item on this agenda. The RCPG cannot discuss these matters except to place them on a future agenda, refer them to a subcommittee, or to County staff. Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please fill out a speaker request form located at the rear of the room and present to Vice Chairperson.

Public Disclosure: We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.