

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held May 1, 2014, at 7:00 p.m., at the Ramona Community Library, 1275 Main Street, Ramona, California.

**ITEM 1: ROLL CALL (Piva, Chair)**

In Attendance:	Torry Brean	Scotty Ensign	Carl Hickman
	Eb Hogervorst	Kristi Mansolf	Donna Myers
	Jim Piva	Dennis Sprong	Paul Stykel
	Kevin Wallace		

Excused Absence: Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen, Richard Tomlinson

Jim Piva, RCPG Chair, acted as Chair of the meeting, Scotty Ensign, RCPG Vice-Chair, acted as the Vice-Chair of the meeting, and Kristi Mansolf, RCPG Secretary, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

**ITEM 2: Pledge of Allegiance**

**ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING 4-3-14.**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING APRIL 3, 2014.**

Upon motion made by Kevin Wallace and seconded by Scotty Ensign, the motion **passed 9-0-1-0-5**, with Paul Stykel abstaining, and Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**ITEM 4: Announcements and Correspondence Received**

**ITEM 5: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Group on any subject matter within the Group's jurisdiction that is not on posted agenda.**

Speaker: Dave Stone, Ramona Resident

Mr. Stone asked the RCPG to reconsider the Capital Improvement Project list (CIP) vote of April 3, 2014, where the RCPG voted on the CIP list and included the South Bypass on their list. He would like the South Bypass item reconsidered.

Speaker: Janet Worsham, Ramona Resident

Janet Worsham asked to be put on the RCPG agenda for the meeting June 5, 2014. She is a Ramona realtor. She currently has 4.11 acres listed at the intersection of Highway 67 and Dye Road on the northeast corner. A zoning variance is needed as well as a multiple parcel merge. She would like to give a full presentation on June 5.

Speaker: Paul Tarr, Ramona Resident

Mr. Tarr would like to make a presentation at the June 5, 2014, RCPG meeting, on a parcel listed at 500 A Street. Mr. Tarr asked to be placed on the agenda so he can make a presentation. The parcel is zoned C-37 and it could be used for a senior housing facility.

Speaker: Diane Chapman, Ramona Resident

Ms. Chapman said she thought Donna Myers should have had the opportunity to vote at the RCPG meeting of April 3, 2014, on the South Bypass issue. She felt it was wrong she was told by the Chair she could not vote. She thought the roads on the CIP list should have been voted on individually and not in a group. Each road has unique challenges. The RCPG members impacted by living near a project could have voted on the other roads. She also felt others were silenced by the declaration that the east portion of the South Bypass would not be built for 50 years. She feels there is no data to support the building of the South Bypass, nor enough people living off Keyes or using San Vicente Road to justifying building it. The weekend traffic will be the only traffic affected by it and once they realize it is longer to go around Ramona, they won't use it and it will be a road to nowhere.

Ms. Chapman feels building Phase 1 of the South Bypass is redundant because Caltrans will upgrade the Highland Valley/Dye/Highway 67 intersection to include a right turn lane to take SDCE traffic off of the through traffic. It can be extended by Mussey Grade Road. It is a waste of money to build a road that is not necessary. There is also no hard facts to justify building Phase 2 of the South Bypass. She feels the RCPG wants to build the South Bypass with growing opposition to do so. The Ramona Street Extension project is a growing black hole that is also unjustified.

Speaker: Lynn Hopewell, Ramona Resident

Ms. Hopewell would like to be on the RCPG agenda for the June 5, 2014, meeting to ask about having crosswalks at 6<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Streets. She would also like to see a right turn lane at the intersection going from 10<sup>th</sup> to Main Street on the agenda for discussion. She asked the RCPG to vacate the South Bypass, east side.

**ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF ORDER OF THE AGENDA (Action)**

No one had concerns with the order of the agenda, and no changes were made.

**ITEM 7: ACTION ITEMS:**

- 7-A: (South Subcommittee Review) AD 14-017, Lot #10, End of Tombill Cul-de-Sac, 4.27 Acres. Request To Clear 1.8 Acres of Brush to Develop Growing Fields for Use as an Organic Farm. Applicant: H. Eun (Continued from 4-3-14)**

Mr. Eun was in attendance.

Ms. Myers asked Mr. Eun what was decided between himself and the neighbors with the way he proposed to develop his property with an organic farm?

Mr. Eun said an agreement had been reached. None of the neighbors were in attendance at the meeting.

Ms. Myers asked what the soil was like on his property?

Mr. Eun said the soil was 200,000 years old. He recently drilled a well on the property and it is a good well.

Mr. Wallace said he heard of an organic farm in Bonita that got a black eye for drawing flies with their fertilizer. Would Mr. Eun be using a fertilizer that would not attract flies?

Mr. Eun said there would be no runoff from his property. He plans to use an animal based fertilizer that will not create a nuisance for the neighbors.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ADMINISTRATIVE PERMIT TO CLEAR 1.8 ACRES OF BRUSH TO DEVELOP GROWING FIELDS FOR USE AS AN ORGANIC FARM.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion **passed 8-1-0-1-5**, with Donna Myers voting no, Scotty Ensign stepping down, and Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**7-B: (Village Design Committee Issue) Request for RCPG to Make a Recommendation to Include Jim Hagey's Property within the Ramona Village Plan Boundaries Due to the Proximity to the current Ramona Village Plan Boundaries. This Unique Property Provides an Opportunity to Create a Village Square Element within the Community. Vote taken 4-3-14 may be Reconsidered based on Presentation of New Information.**

Carol Fowler presented an historical chronology of the properties that had been considered for a big box development in the past. In 2008 there was enthusiasm in the community when a developer said he may be able to bring a Target to Ramona. The developer proposed the development for a residential area and efforts were made after the meeting to put it into the Town Center with other commercial development. A potential site identified was across from Stater Bros. on Main between 16<sup>th</sup> Street and Day Street. At the time it seemed like a good fit and the owners liked the idea. The site was 11.98 acres of commercial that included some residential. A status of the 11.98 acre lot now has the 2.5 acre corner with plans to be developed. She has recently learned only about 5 acres is developable due to other constraints on the property. There is a pipeline that can't be built on and also vernal pools. There is no more vernal pool mitigation land in Ramona at this time. Not identifying a parcel will encourage development outside of the commercial core.

A larger store offers more items for sale. Ransom does well with a medium big box store. Someone said a big box kills small business, but it also keeps the business in Ramona.

Ms. Fowler said she also looked at and made a list of all businesses on Main Street. There are over 250 businesses. This includes restaurants, automotive, hair salons, liquor stores and professional services. Only about 66 businesses are retail. If K-Mart closed, probably another store would move in. We now have Big 5 and the 99 Cent Only stores. The space gets used.

She and Mr. Hagey have attended the CUDA and RCPG meetings. Ms. Fowler has 28 pages of history from articles in newspapers on the Town Square concept from past meetings they have attended that were reviewed by the paper. Tenants want an anchor tenant like a medium big box if a Town Square concept is pursued.

Mr. Hagey said if Ramona sits around, a big box will simply come to Ramona. A big box on his property would be a perfect spot, situated next to the pond. Mr. Hagey is not a big fan of big box

stores, but the Town Square would also be part of that. He does not want to build entry level housing.

Speaker: Steve Powell, Ramona Resident

Mr. Powell was on the Village Design Committee. He has mixed emotions about a big box store coming to Ramona. When the Target idea came up, everyone thought the proponent was really going to bring a Target to Ramona. Restrictions come into play if the Village Design Plan is applied to a big box store and Mr. Hagey's property is included in the Village Design Plan area.

Speaker: Chris Meador, San Diego Resident

Mr. Meador was born and raised in Ramona. After college, he moved out of Ramona as did many of his classmates. Ramona is not a place that fosters social connections among people of all ages.

Speaker: Kathy DaSilva, Ramona Resident

Ms. DaSilva likes the idea of the Town Square but she is concerned with a big box store moving in. She would like to see a store like a Trader Joes if a big box store is to be developed.

Speaker: Dawn Perfect, Ramona Resident

Ms. Perfect said that should a big box store come to Ramona to Mr. Hagey's property and it were already included in the Village Design Plan, the Village Design Plan would determine how it would look and be built.

Mr. Brean looked up Policy I-1 on the County website at the meeting. With regards to reconsideration, he read that if pertinent new information was presented, the RCPG could reconsider the vote taken at the meeting April 3, 2014.

Ms. Mansolf said the vote that was taken April 3, 2014, was "To move the Elliott Pond property into the Form Based Code/Village Design Plan." The motion failed 7-4-0-0-4, with 4 members voting no and 4 members absent.

Ms. Myers said she felt no new information was presented, and she did not think the item should be reconsidered.

A motion was made to reconsider the motion made April 3, 2014.

**MOTION: TO RECONSIDER THE MOTION MADE APRIL 3, 2014, "MOTION: TO MOVE THE ELLIOTT POND PROPERTY INTO THE FORM BASED CODE/VILLAGE DESIGN PLAN."**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Scotty Ensign, the motion **passed 8-2-0-0-5**, with Donna Myers and Kevin Wallace voting no, and Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

Mr. Brean asked Mr. Hagey what the size of the parcel was?

Mr. Hagey said it was 22.14 acres gross and 19.18 net. The County said 138 to 170 entry level homes could be built on it.

Mr. Brean said he is afraid of a big box moving in, although Trader Joes would be good. He would vote to not allow someone a blank check with respect to developing a big box store. He would like the community to have some control. A business would bring jobs. He would prefer a business to 170 homes, and if the property were already in the Village Design Plan area, standards would apply.

Mr. Stykel asked Mr. Sibbet, County staff, what would have to occur on the Hagey property for there to be commercial development if the property is not put into the Village Design Plan?

Mr. Sibbet said a rezone would have to occur and a General Plan Amendment. A “B” and “D” Designator would be added to the property.

Mr. Hagey said he would be selling 14 acres and keeping 6 acres to develop the Town Square.

Mr. Ensign said the pond is too close to the road and asked if there was any information on what would be required for the pond to be there?

Mr. Powell answered the question. The hydrology is messed up in the area and when there is a heavy rain the property floods into the street. Maybe the pond could be kept as an architectural element.

Mr. Hagey said he intends to keep the pond.

Ms. Myers said her concerns were the same as last month. She is concerned with pushing commercial into a residential area. What will happen to the property values of those already living in the area? She feels we should protect those already living there.

Mr. Hagey said he knocked on the doors of the residents who are in the vicinity of the property. The residents don't want low income homes. Almost everyone living in the area is renting now.

Ms. Mansolf said she supports the Town Square concept. She has been to the Old Town San Diego Town Square, and it is a great environment for people of all ages to mingle. There is a variety of small shops and places outside for people to sit together and eat or just hang out. The location is good as there are already businesses in the area and it is in close proximity to the high school and middle school. Kids could go there for something to do.

Mr. Sprong said Mr. Hagey has come to the RCPG for 8 years while he has been on the RCPG. Mr. Hagey has always been consistent with the walkable community concept. There could be 170 homes on the property. If the Village Design Plan/Form Based Code is on the property, it could be retail with less residential.

The Chair noted none of the neighbors to the project have come to speak on the project even though it has been on the agenda for 2 consecutive months.

**MOTION: TO MOVE THE ELLIOTT POND PROPERTY INTO THE FORM BASED CODE/VILLAGE DESIGN PLAN.**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the motion **passed 8-2-0-0-5**, with Donna Myers and Kevin Wallace voting no, and Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**7-C: (East Subcommittee Review) MUP 14-012. Verizon Wireless Telecommunications Facility Proposed just North of Deer Canyon on Highway 78, on North Side of the Road. 30 Foot Faux Water Tank, over 900 feet from Highway 78, a Scenic Corridor and Accompanying Equipment Structure. Goodman-Mercurio, Representative**

The project representative, Lisa Goodman-Mercurio, presented the project. The panel antennas will be placed inside a faux water tank that is metal but looks like wood. There will be a concrete block structure, as required per the fire code, to house the accompanying equipment, which will include a back up generator. She has learned that 10 feet will be required for the height of the equipment structure rather than the 8 feet shown on the plans. She asked that should the project be approved, the amendment be included.

Mr. Ensign said the East Subcommittee approved the project, which is 900 feet off Highway 78, a scenic corridor.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE AS PRESENTED WITH THE AMENDMENT OF THE GENERATOR ENCLOSURE HEIGHT RAISED UP TO 10 FEET.**

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the motion **passed 10-0-0-0-5**, with Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**7-D: (South Subcommittee Review) Flooding in Residential area near Barnett Elementary. Consideration of Asking County Flood Control to Investigate**

Mr. Sprong gave the South Subcommittee report on the flooding issue on Arena Drive. Property owner, Marty Barritt, has experienced severe flooding on her property when rains are heavy. Water runs down the street and inundates her property and her house. Major repairs were required after the first time it happened. She was unaware of the problem when she bought the property. She was able to redirect the water using sandbags once she knew there was a problem, but knows she won't be home every time it rains. County Road staff has come by to look at the problem. Some of her neighbors say that there was no flooding prior to the Barnett School being built. Ms. Barritt is asking for assistance with the flooding problem.

**MOTION: TO REQUEST COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL INVESTIGATE AND IDENTIFY SOLUTIONS.**

Upon motion made by Dennis Sprong and seconded by Eb Hogervorst, the motion **passed 9-0-1-0-5**, with Scotty Ensign abstaining, and Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**7-E: "O" Animal Designator Discussion carried over from 2-6-14 Meeting**

Ms. Mansolf said Mr. Stiehl was unable to attend the meeting. He said work hasn't started on the Agriculture Promotion Program yet, and that is probably where Animal Regulations updates will be included.

**7-F: (Transportation/Trails Review) Consideration of Signage in the County Road Right of Way to Promote the Winery Industry in Ramona**

David Billick introduced himself as the person who will be working on directional signage in the County road right of way to promote the winery industry in Ramona . He will be coming back in the future when he has a proposal to share, as he would like the RCPG input and support.

Mr. Hickman said the T&T Subcommittee also heard Mr. Billick at their meeting. No action was taken and Mr. Billick requested to be on the agenda for next month.

**7-G: (Transportation/Trails Review) Further Revisions to the Montecito Ranch Tentative Map through the County's Map Modification Process**

Chris Brown presented the information. The Montecito Ranch project was approved, and now the applicant is going through final mapping conditions. Since the project was approved, he came to the RCPG and talked about internal roads and a decrease in grading. He is presenting the timing considerations for project milestones and their conditions. If all road improvements happen at the same time, the end result would be traffic congestion. They are mitigating for the project impacts.

Mr. Brown discussed a couple of the items on the road construction timing summary. The Highland Valley/Dye/Highway 67 intersection will be improved sooner rather than later. The T&T Subcommittee wanted to change the timing for Montecito Road/Highway 67 intersection improvements.

Mr. Hickman said the T&T Subcommittee wanted a fair share contribution be paid prior to the recordation of Unit 1 of the Final Map for Montecito Road/Highway 67 intersection improvements.

David Sibbet, County staff, said the same road improvements are required of many developers. The first development built pays for the improvement, then they are paid back as more development occurs.

Mr. Ensign asked about construction traffic?

Mr. Brown said there is no road designated for construction traffic.

Mr. Sibbet said the grading ordinance contains construction traffic rules. The County also has specific rules with regards to construction.

Ms. Myers asked why the improvements need to be done in stages?

Mr. Sibbet said the final engineering goes through the County process. When docketed, the developer writes a check prior to the hearing.

Mr. Brown said if there was to be a hearing for Montecito Ranch in May, 2015, the check would be cut prior to the hearing.

Mr. Wallace said construction traffic will most likely come down Montecito Road. He asked if this has been considered as part of the project review?

Mr. Sibbet said there is a nuance traffic study. People tend to find the way that is quickest to their destination. Usually this information is included in a traffic study.

Mr. Wallace asked how construction traffic is handled?

Mr. Hickman said when building starts, the County will try to mitigate for construction traffic.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE LIST PRESENTED WITH THE FOLLOWING CHANGE: TO REQUIRE A FAIR SHARE CONTRIBUTION BE PAID PRIOR TO RECORDATION OF UNIT 1 OF THE FINAL MAP FOR MONTECITO ROAD/HIGHWAY 67 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.**

Upon motion made by Carl Hickman and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion **failed 7-2-0-1-5**, with Donna Myers and Kevin Wallace voting no, Paul Stykel stepping down, and Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**7-H. (South Subcommittee Review) MUP 14-013 Major Use Permit for Photo Voltaic (PV) Solar Facility For SDG&E. 1049 Creelman Rd, Project to be on 17.5 acres and Provide 4 MW. Height of PV Modules to Range from 4 feet to 11.4 feet. D2 and Other Scenic Area Designators Apply. Miller, Representative**

Ian Stewart represented SDG&E. To help meet California's 2020, 33 percent renewable energy goal, SDG&E is proposing a solar energy project near the Creelman substation. The project will produce 5 megawatts. It was filed with the County on April 1, 2014.

Mr. Sibbet, County staff, said the County forwards plans to the RCPG for discretionary applications for review of land use and planning issues. The project also goes to the Design Review Board for review of design issues.

Mr. Stewart gave an overview of the project updates. There was concern with the statement that the substation was in disrepair, so there will be a new fence and hardscape. SDG&E felt refurbishments were needed. There will be a primary gate at the facility. The CPUC approved the project on February 16, 2014. Paving of Creelman has been identified as an issue. The Urban Corps conservation group will plant trees to help mitigate visual impacts

Speaker: Joyce Lawrence, Julian Resident

Ms. Lawrence said she wanted to speak on the issue of fire protection. She felt the funding was corrupt. Money is being made from the taxpayers. The Board of Supervisors is making money off solar panels. She said that for fire protection, signs needed to be placed at each contact point for shutting off the power. Solar systems are more complicated than normal electricity on a house. Firefighters have to be trained to fight fires involving photo voltaic panels, and foam needs to be used.

Speaker: Jim Tate, Ramona Resident

Mr. Tate said SDG&E says in the project documents that there will be no glare. He doesn't believe this and finds the statement offensive.

Speaker: Vikki Tate, Ramona Resident

Ms. Tate says that after all of the meetings, there are lies and deceptions sitting in SDG&E's documents for the project. For biological resources, the "no" box was checked. There is dust constantly. The road has been a dead end for 26 years, and now it is a thoroughfare.

Speaker: Bob Romeo, Ramona Resident

Some progress has been made with the removal of AT&T poles scheduled. SDG&E is saying that the project will have less than 1 car a day going to the site. He has concerns about the potential for noise. In SDG&E documents, there are no details on the landscape plan.

Mr. Brean said the last solar facility said there would be no glare. He is concerned there will be impacts because the solar panels won't be tracking.

Ms. Mansolf asked about the relationship between the floodplain and the project, as there is a D2 Special Area Regulation on the property for the floodplain.

Michael Johnson, County staff, said the project will not be in the floodplain.

Carl Hickman is concerned with the impacts of the project and mitigation. He asked SDG&E to mitigate thoroughly for the benefit of the neighbors.

Mr. Sibbet said there has been discussion in the Department of Public Works about paving the road from the project entrance to San Vicente Road. Creelman, San Vicente to Ashley may be paved as part of the project.

Mr. Hickman suggested paving the final stretch of the road from Ashley to Keyes, too.

The Chair said with the Warnock solar project, we were led to believe they were going to plant 24 inch box trees. The contract expires in 25 years, before the trees will mature. He puts the responsibility on SDG&E to mitigate for the project. This will help to lessen negative impacts. It is better if SDG&E comes up with the mitigation for the neighbors. Any project SDG&E brings to us should have mitigation included. He is not against solar, but solar projects need good mitigation, especially when they are proposed for residential areas.

Ms. Myers said SDG&E has to build quality control into the project. The first thing is landscaping. The second is putting in a trail and maintaining it. The trail on Warnock was graded clear and now it is growing back. Unless quality control is built in – it doesn't just happen.

No action taken.

**ITEM 8: GROUP BUSINESS (Possible Action)**

**8-A: Santa Maria Creek Cleanup Update (*No Update*)**

**8-B: Committee Reports (Possible Action)**

**8-B-1: Parks and Recreation Subcommittee Meeting Business (*No Meeting*)**

Mr. Brean said there was no Parks meeting. In a month or 2 the PLDO priority list will come back to the community. The conversion of the tennis to basketball court project is moving forward as well as the baseball dugout project at Ramona High School.

The Chair said specific standards have to be met for PLDO projects. There are many requirements such as the need for insurance and paying prevailing wage. Volunteers are limited in the work they can do on PLDO projects with the strict standards that must be met.

**8-B-2: DESIGN REVIEW REPORT (Cooper) – Update on Projects Reviewed by the Design Review Board – DESIGN REVIEW CHECKLIST (*Design Review Checklist not Addressed*)**

Mr. Ensign gave the Design Review Board report. They reviewed a mural proposed to go on Mike's Liquor. A new Code Enforcement Officer has come on board, and the Design Review Board hopes to connect with him soon.

**8-B-3: VILLAGE DESIGN COMMITTEE REPORT (Brean, Stykel) (*See 7-B*)**

**8-B-4: County Application for Active Transportation Program Non-Infrastructure Grant Funding. Request for Letter of Support**

Ms. Mansolf said the County is requesting the RCPG to write a letter of support for the County grant application for the California Active Transportation Program. The grant will enhance alternative public transportation activities such as bicycling and walking throughout the community. Federal and State programs that provide transportation enhancement grant opportunities are being consolidated into 1 program. Ramona has a Trails Master Plan. Other communities have Bicycle Transportation Plans and Pedestrian Area Plans. Should the County be awarded funding, more communities will have more transportation enhancements.

Speaker: John Degenfelder, Ramona Resident

Mr. Degenfelder presented a letter of support he wrote and offered it to the RCPG to send to the County.

**MOTION: TO SEND THE LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM AS PRESENTED BY JOHN DEGENFELDER.**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the motion **passed 10-0-0-0-5**, with Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**8-B-5: Consideration of Supporting \$5,000 Grant to Benefit the Community**

Mr. Ensign said he was unable to make headway with the project.

**8-C: Discussion Items (Possible Action)**

**8-C-1. FORM 700 (Statement of Economic Interests) Annual Filing Due 4-1-14**

The County Registrar of Voters has not received all Form 700's from all RCPG members.

**8-C-2: Concerns from Members – *None***

**8-C-3: Future Agenda Item Requests**

The crosswalks on Main Street and the request for a right turn lane from 10<sup>th</sup> to Main Street as requested by Lynn Hopewell, will be on the next agenda. The winery sign issue will again be on the agenda, as well as the traffic on Hanson Lane issue that was brought up by a resident at the April 3 meeting.

**8-C-4: Addition and Confirmation of New Subcommittee Members**

Ms. Mansolf said Rochelle Hancock asked to be added to the CUDA Subcommittee.

**MOTION: TO ADD ROCHELLE HANCOCK TO THE CUDA SUBCOMMITTEE AND TO CONFIRM KEVIN WALLACE, SCOTTY ENSIGN AND KRISTI MANSOLF FOR THE EAST SUBCOMMITTEE, AND TO CONFIRM THE EXISTING LIST OF THE T&T SUBCOMMITTEE**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the motion **passed 10-0-0-0-5**, with Chad Anderson, Jim Cooper, Matt Deskovick, Barbara Jensen and Richard Tomlinson absent.

**8-D: Meeting Updates**

**8-D-1: Board of Supervisor and Planning Commission Meeting**

Ms. Mansolf announced the Oak Tree Ranch project appeal is going to the Board of Supervisors on May 7. The Village Design Plan/Form Based Code will go to the Planning Commission on May 16.

**8-D-2: Land Development Performance Review Committee Report of Meeting  
2-20-14**

The Chair reported that the Land Development Performance Review Committee is going to ask for clarification from the Board of Supervisors about what the role of the committee is.

**8-D-3: Future Group Meeting Dates – Next RCPG Meeting to be 6-5-14 at the Ramona Community Library, 7 p.m.**

**ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT**

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf