

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held January 7, 2016, at 7:00 p.m., at the Ramona Community Library, 1275 Main Street, Ramona, California.

**ITEM 1: Pledge of Allegiance**

**ITEM 2: ROLL CALL (Piva, Chair)**

In Attendance:	Torry Brean (Arr 7:15)	Jim Cooper	Scotty Ensign
	Eb Hogervorst	Barbara Jensen	Frank Lucio
	Kristi Mansolf	Elio Noyas	Jim Piva
	David Ross	Dan Scherer	Paul Stykel
	Rick Terrazas	Richard Tomlinson	

Excused Absence: Donna Myers

Jim Piva, RCPG Chair, acted as Chair of the meeting, Scotty Ensign, RCPG Vice-Chair, acted as Vice-Chair of the meeting, and Kristi Mansolf, RCPG Secretary, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

**ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING 12-3-15**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING DECEMBER 3, 2015, AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Jim Cooper, the motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Torry Brean and Donna Myers absent.

**ITEM 4: Announcements and Correspondence Received**

The Chair announced that there was a Santa Maria Creek Cleanup planned for January 9 – however, due to the recent rain, it was postponed until the following Saturday, January 16, from 9 to 1. People who want to help are invited to do so. People will be meeting at the former Ramona Community School site. For more information, people can contact the Ramona Sheriff's Substation.

**ITEM 5: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Group on any subject matter within the Group's jurisdiction that is not on posted agenda – None**

**ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF ORDER OF THE AGENDA (Action)**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED.**

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Elio Noyas, the motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Torry Brean and Donna Myers absent.

**ITEM 7: ACTION ITEMS**

**7-A: County Zoning Ordinance Amendment related to Limited Wholesale, Boutique And Small Wineries (POD 14-005) Draft Winery Ordinance.**

**Department of Environmental Health will be in attendance to give a brief overview of food service as it relates to wineries. Ad Hoc Committee will present findings from their meetings. Planning Commission is scheduled to hear the item 2-5-16**

Ms. Mansolf announced the County moved the Planning Commission hearing date for the Draft Winery Ordinance Amendment from January 22, 2016, to February 5, 2016.

The Chair said that Mr. Stykel met with an ad hoc committee almost weekly since the last RCPG meeting, December 3, 2016, to discuss the Draft Winery Ordinance Amendment. The purpose of the ad hoc committee was to go over the issues, hear all sides, and build consensus.

The Chair introduced Heather Buonomo, Food & Housing Division, Department of Environmental Health (DEH). Ms. Buonomo made a presentation on food service as it relates to the winery industry. Heather Lingelser, the Project Planner for the Draft Winery Ordinance Amendment, from Planning and Development Services, was also in attendance to answer any questions.

Ms. Buonomo represents the food side of the winery ordinance. She talked about permit requirements. For boutique wineries, a non profit can have temporary food vendors and mobile food with a permitted temporary or community event. Six events can be held in a 12-month period. Catered food is allowed for occasional private functions, but a permit from DEH is required as well as access to a commercial restroom.

DEH has a mini permit that is required for handing out prepackaged foods. A Plan Check submission also is required. For prepackaged food – the customer takes the food and opens it. The winery doesn't do anything for them. For events, a temporary food vendor can be used. Mobile food is allowed, and requires a permit. Food trucks are being proposed in the draft ordinance.

For the Boutique Tier, catered food is allowed. When food is catered, all food is prepared at the caterer's kitchen. Less than 25 square feet of the winery can have non-perishable, prepackaged items. People can bring their own food. For the small tier, a set number of people for a set amount of time is usual. A Major Use Permit is required for a commercial kitchen. The kitchen would be required to go through a plan submittal process.

Ms. Lingelser said the ordinance is going to the Planning Commission on February 5, 2016. The final draft ordinance will be available 10 days prior to hearing on the County website. Ms. Lingelser clarified that the existing ordinance allows catered food service, but no food could be prepared at the winery – only food finishing.

The Chair thanked Ms. Lingelser and the County for coming to Ramona and answering questions.

Mr. Stykel gave the report for the ad hoc committee, item by item. Many issues were brought up during the course of the ad hoc committee meetings. One item that was not completely resolved was the percentage of grapes to be grown in Ramona, San Diego County, and elsewhere. The ad hoc committee ended up providing a preferred percentage option (On Premises – 25 percent, San Diego County – 50 percent, Anywhere – 25 percent) and an alternative percentage option (On Premises – 25 percent, San Diego County – 65 percent, Anywhere – 10 percent) for grapes used for production based on the location where they were grown.

Another issue is the need for clarification that large equipment used for wine production could be stored in space on a property not used for production and not be included in allowable production

for footage. There was a concern about wineries not being able to sell items such as winery accessories or branded items in their winery. A final concern was that people who use their private patios for wine tasting may be restricted in the private use of their patio. Mr. Stykel said the committee recommended the ordinance specify that the public use the area when the winery is open.

Ms. Lingelser said she would present both options to the County relating the production-based-on location-where-grown issue..

Speaker: Bruce Steingraber, Ramona Resident

Mr. Steingraber is a nearby neighbor to some of the wineries on the eastern side of Ramona. He said it costs a considerable amount of time and money to get a Major Use Permit. He sees a benefit to wineries growing within the community without having to get the full commitment of having a Major Use Permit to run a winery.

Speaker: Nancy Riegler, Ramona Resident

Ms. Riegler is supportive of the winery industry in Ramona but has concerns with noise when there are private events at wineries. She would like to see wineries be good neighbors.

Speaker: Beth Edwards, Ramona Resident

Ms. Edwards still has an issue with the times shown in the ordinance for wineries to be closing. If the time is 6 o'clock for closing and someone comes in at 5 minutes to 6, they will be there about an hour. She feels 6 o'clock is too late. Regarding the small winery category – there was a discussion on leasing land. She would like this removed as it wasn't covered in the EIR. For people having wineries on the east side of Ramona – she hopes the proprietors realize they are in a valley/bowl where noise carries and be careful of the noise allowed on the property.

Speaker: John Little, Ramona Resident

Mr. Little asked about the Street Fair?

Ms. Lingelser said a non profit can get a Community Event Permit, which organizes all of the different events. Only 6 are allowed in a 12 month period.

Speaker: Micole Moore, Ramona Resident

Mr. Moore attended the ad hoc committee meetings, and he has no concerns and he appreciates being able to give input.

Speaker: Teri Kerns, Ramona Resident

Ms. Kerns said she was happy with the outcome of the ad hoc committee meetings.

Speaker: Cathy Little, Ramona Resident

Ms. Little felt there was conflicting information in the ordinance with what was allowed as relates to catering.

Speaker: Terry Jorgensen, Ramona Resident

Mr. Jorgensen said he felt a good job was done in reviewing the ordinance.

Speaker: Robert Bradley, Ramona Resident

Mr. Bradley said Ramona Family Naturals sees the benefits of the winery industry. He feels there needs to be respect with the neighbors, noise, etc.

**MOTION: TO PRESENT THE COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT WINERY ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO THE COUNTY, AS PRESENTED BY THE AD HOC COMMITTEE.**

Upon motion made by Paul Stykel and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion **passed 14-0-0-1**, with Donna Myers absent.

- 7-B: MUP 15-023, Proposed “Ramona Senior Garden” located on 1236-1240 H Street, was approved by the RCPG as follows on 11-5-15:  
MOTION: TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED WITH THE CONDITION THAT THE OVERHEAD UTILITIES BE RELOCATED TO UNDERGROUND FOR AT LEAST 2 POLES. The motion passed 13-0-0-2, with 2 members absent. Upon further investigation, it has been revealed to the applicant that undergrounding of three poles will be seriously cost prohibitive because of the complexity of the area. Supporting documents and estimates will be presented. The applicant is requesting a Waiver from Undergrounding Utilities (Policy I-92) and a Design Exception Request for poles to remain at their current location. The RCPG must vote to reconsider the previous action taken 11-5-15 prior to taking any further action.**

RCPG Chair Jim Piva stepped down from hearing the item due to having family living in the vicinity of the proposed project. Mr. Ensign, RCPG Vice Chair, acted as Chair for the item.

Mr. Jamil said that he has received a rough estimate from SDG&E on how much it would cost him to underground utilities on his property, and it is to cost over \$130,000. This amount of money is cost prohibitive for the project. He asked the RCPG to recommend a Waiver from Undergrounding Utilities and recommend a Design Exception Request to not move the poles. Mr. Jamil passed out photos of the existing project area.

Ms. Mansolf said one of the criteria for consideration of the undergrounding of utilities is what the existing area looks like. According to the photos, there are already numerous power poles in the area.

Mr. Cooper said he wanted to see the property cleaned up. There was no intent to up-end the project with the utility pole requirement. The project will add services to the community.

**MOTION: TO RECONSIDER THE MOTION MADE ON NOVEMBER 5, 2015: TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED WITH THE CONDITION THAT THE OVERHEAD UTILITIES BE RELOCATED TO UNDERGROUND FOR AT LEAST 2 POLES.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Dan Scherer, the motion to reconsider **passed 13-0-0-1-1**, with Jim Piva stepping down and Donna Myers absent.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE WAIVER REQUEST FOR UNDERGROUNDING UTILITIES (POLICY I-92) AND TO APPROVE THE DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST FOR ALLOWING POLES TO REMAIN AT THEIR CURRENT LOCATION.**

Upon motion made by Dan Scherer and seconded by Paul Stykel, the motion **passed 13-0-0-1-1**, with Jim Piva stepping down and Donna Myers absent.

- C: Presentation by San Diego County Sheriff's to provide the CPG with background and project information for a regional Emergency Vehicle Operations Course (EVOC), and to gather feedback from the Ramona community. The proposed project is a joint initiative by the local agency partners that operate the Regional Law Enforcement Training Academy at San Diego Miramar College, including the County of San Diego, City of San Diego, and San Diego Community College District. The EVOC facility would utilize approximately 80 acres and include a course to provide driving experience/training through a variety of scenarios experienced by emergency vehicle operators. An administrative building would consist of classrooms and simulator rooms, offices, a break room, locker rooms, restrooms, and multi-purpose storage areas. A garage or covered area would also be needed for training vehicles and equipment. Item is informational.**

Mr. Ross stepped down from hearing the item as he works for the Sheriff's Department.

Corporal Pepin, Roy Ross, Lt. Williams, Deena Raver and Jodi Maze were present for the presentation.

Brian Samson, Executive Director of the Joint Powers Authority, presented the project. The facility would serve the region. Drivers of emergency vehicles would receive required training to perform their jobs. Right now they are operating at Qualcomm, but conditions are less than ideal.

Corporal Pepin is a trainer. At Qualcomm, there are light poles, a transient population and the stadium is often busy with constant traffic. Emergency vehicle drivers need to practice specific maneuvers. Four hours of training is required. They practice all types of scenarios that they may be using on the job at different times of day. There will be noises such as engine acceleration. There have been over 2,000 deaths related to emergency vehicle operation.

Mr. Samson said they have looked all over the County, and have not found a site that met their criteria. They need land that is flat, usable and not environmentally sensitive. There has to be good access and they don't want the facility to be very far away. There are 6 parcels on the property, and they have not yet made an offer to buy it. There have been discussions, but no contract is in place. They wanted to get the community input. Part of the property is in ag preserve. Should the property be purchased, two-thirds of will be removed from ag preserve. They don't want to develop the project near the road.

Speaker: Carolyn Marler, Ramona Resident

Ms. Marler said her questions were answered in the presentation.

Speaker: Kim Lasley, Ramona Resident

## RCPG Minutes 1-7-16

Ms. Lasley has knowledge of the project from attending the Sheriff's Advisory Group meetings. Cadets and other participants will be encouraged to carpool to the property. She asked if there could be a benefit to Ramona students, and was told an assembly on distracted driving would be a possibility, as well as offering assistance for students regarding drugs.

Speaker: Terry Tennebessy, Ramona Resident

Mr. Tennebessy said a generalized location was given – but the project will be in his front yard. He would like to see the facility closer to the highway.

Speaker: Beth Edwards, Ramona Resident

Ms. Edwards said the project will be at the headwaters of Hatfield Creek. This is habitat for Golden Eagles. She feels this is not an appropriate use for this property. Where she lives (east Ramona), she can hear Barona. The valley is a bowl and noise travels. This project would have one way in and out. The property is ag land.

Speaker: Miguel Jimenez, Ramona Resident

Mr. Jimenez represented the owners of a property on the east side of Ramona. They are very concerned with issues such as noise if the project goes in.

Speaker: Sally McSpadden, Ramona Resident

Ms. McSpadden lives on the east side of Ramona, and she is concerned with the loss of peace and quiet. Noise travels throughout the valley.

Speaker: Nancy Riegler, Ramona Resident

Ms. Riegler said that should the facility go in, it would only be for emergency training; however, she is concerned it could grow into something much bigger in the future, such as a major homeland security facility. Will other phases of activities be introduced, such as shooting?

Speaker: Claudia Von Buening, Ramona Resident

Ms. Von Buening is concerned with this type of a training facility going into the area.

Speaker: Scott McLellan, Ramona Resident

Mr. McLellan is a lead training officer with the City of San Diego. This is a slippery slope and the people planning and operating the facility will get a foot in the door. Activities will be carried on all year. People will not carpool but will drive individually.

Speaker: Lynn Hopewell, Ramona Resident

Ms. Hopewell said she often travels the road, which is beautiful and scenic. This type of facility should not be in this valley.

Speaker: Kevin Carey, Ramona Resident

## RCPG Minutes 1-7-16

Mr. Carey has been breeding horses since 1995 on his property near the site. He is part of a law firm. He suggested putting the facility in a commercially zoned area like Pomona, where there is a CHP training facility. In the valley by his home, noise travels. The project won't be able to be mitigated for. The proponents will have their foot in the door. This is one of the most beautiful valleys in Ramona. Mr. Carey asked that the RCPG recommend to not put the project in this area and ask the proponents to find another spot.

Speaker: Linda Laird, Ramona Resident

Ms. Laird is a breeder and farmer in the area. A number of questions can't be answered. Why would the proponents buy the whole property when 560 acres will be all that is used? The property has the "O" Animal Designator on it and the land in this area is zoned A-72 for agriculture.

Speaker: Kimberly McLellan, Ramona Resident

Ms. McLellan said the area is residential and agricultural. Some buildings are not allowed to go up in this area due to the proximity of the projects to the scenic highway.

Mr. Samson said the property is large. They are looking to possibly purchase it because it is available and it looks like it will meet their needs. They have looked at being by airports, but then the FAA would have to approve it. If they bought the Golden Eagle property, it would be \$7.8 million, compared to properties they have looked at in Otay Mesa, for example, that were 40 acres and cost \$25 million. They have a \$15 million budget, including development of a site. This won't be a speedway – they just want to teach people how to drive. Mr. Samson said they have met with County Planning and were told it would take about 1 year to get through the process. If they use 80 acres for the facility, they will have to preserve 80 acres of open space. All new uses proposed would go back through the process. Wells will not be contaminated from the project because they will use asphalt. They will try to mimic residential areas and leave everything as natural as possible. There is no design yet – just a concept. The facility would use normal Monday through Friday working hours. Night driving would occur on a limited occasion. The academies are 3 or 4 times a year. One day each month there would be night driving. There would be no added lights for night driving. Qualcomm can cancel them using their current facility on short notice. They have no control if they rent property, so they decided to own the property.

Corporal Pepin added that drivers are not driving correctly if tires are squealing.

Mr. Cooper has many concerns with the project. He is concerned with impacts on horse breeding activities in the area. Once they begin to drill wells, they could pull too much water out. The property is adjacent to a scenic corridor. Many people/tourists pass through this area to go to Julian. He has concerns of ag land being converted to a commercial use.

Mr. Ensign said this is a pristine valley with tourists. He suggested looking elsewhere.

Mr. Brean said he ran a campaign to protect ag land. It is always being proposed for other uses.

Mr. Hogervorst said this is an ag valley, of which there are not many left. There was an unanimous vote to deny the solar project that was developed on ag land. We don't need industrial uses in agricultural valleys.

The Chair said the community wants cohesiveness. This project is like fitting a square peg into a round hole. There would need to be a lot of mitigation for the project. We support the Sheriff's Department, but this project is a tough sell. He would like to see the proponents seek other options.

(Mr. Stykel left at 9:10).

**7-D: (Transportation/Trails Subcommittee Project) San Diego County Traffic Advisory Committee Item – Consideration of a 4 way stop at 5th and D. Currently it is a 2 way stop, with traffic on D stopping.**

Speaker: Joe Minervini, Ramona Resident

Mr. Minervini said there is a stop sign on D Street. Cross traffic doesn't stop. He does not feel another stop sign is needed on 5<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Ensign gave the subcommittee report. D Street has a stop sign. All streets crossing D have a stop sign, except 5<sup>th</sup>. There have been 2 collisions in the last 5 years at the intersection, with 1 involving an injury. There was no more information available on the history of the intersection and the subcommittee did not feel their was enough compelling evidence to recommend a stop sign on 5<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Scherer said he felt the subcommittee was getting reactive. It is the only intersection on D Street that does not have a 4 way stop.

Ms. Mansolf said the Traffic Advisory had this item on their agenda, and the RCPG requested to pull it to discuss

The Chair said that if this issue were important to people, they would be there.

**MOTION: THERE IS NOT ENOUGH COMPELLING EVIDENCE TO CHANGE THE 2-WAY STOP TO A 4-WAY STOP; REQUEST TO KEEP THE INTERSECTION AS IT IS AT THE INTERSECTION OF 5<sup>TH</sup> AND D STREET.**

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Jim Cooper, the motion **passed 12-1-0-0-2**, with Dan Scherer voting no, and Donna Myers and Paul Stykel absent.

**7-E: Public Review of San Diego County Code related to Amendments to the Water Conservation in Landscaping Ordinance (POD) 15-003 Public Review ends 1-15-16. Available online at:**

[http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/pds/Public\\_Review\\_Non-CEQA.html](http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/pds/Public_Review_Non-CEQA.html)

Mr. Ensign looked over the ordinance and said he did not feel the RCPG needed to comment on the ordinance at this time.

**7-F. (Transportation/Trails Subcommittee Project) County (DPW) Multi Year Resurfacing Program, Letter Response to the RCPG**

Mr. Ensign said the letter received from the County on the Multi Year Resurfacing Program, in response to the RCPG's inquiry, was presented and discussed.

Mr. Cooper said the RCPG had received an initial letter from the County, on the topic of resurfacing, and upon comparison, it was discovered that there were discrepancies, so we had asked if some roads were forgotten. He learned that these roads will be reviewed as future funds become available.

**ITEM 8: GROUP BUSINESS (Possible Action)**

**8-A: Election of Officers for 2016: Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary**

The Chair opened nominations for Chair for 2015.

Mr. Cooper nominated Jim Piva and Mr. Ensign seconded the nomination.

Speaker: Joe Minervini, Ramona Resident

Mr. Minervini said he would like to see the RCPG Chair position spread out over other RCPG members. He felt there are many qualified individuals on the RCPG, who have been seated for a while, who would do an excellent job of acting as Chair. He feels that the newer RCPG members would also do an excellent job of chairing the RCPG. He doesn't want to see one person dominate the position of RCPG Chair. The Board of Supervisors rotate the position of Chair, and he would like to see the RCPG do the same.

The Chair said that 2016 is an election year and he doesn't intend to run again for the RCPG in 2016. Should he be selected as Chair, it would be his last term as Chair. Eight RCPG seats will be up for election in November.

The Chair asked if there were any other nominations. None were made, and the Chair closed nominations. The vote would confirm Mr. Piva as RCPG Chair.

***Vote:***

Torry Breaun:	Jim Piva
Jim Cooper:	Jim Piva
Scotty Ensign:	Jim Piva
Eb Hogervorst:	Jim Piva
Barbara Jensen:	Jim Piva
Frank Lucio:	Jim Piva
Kristi Mansolf:	Jim Piva
Donna Myers:	Absent
Elio Noyas:	Jim Piva
Jim Piva:	Jim Piva
David Ross:	Jim Piva
Dan Scherer:	Jim Piva
Paul Stykel:	Absent
Rick Terrazas:	Jim Piva
Richard Tomlinson:	Jim Piva

The Chair opened nominations for Vice Chair for 2016.

Mr. Ensign nominated Dan Scherer for Vice Chair, with the nomination seconded by Dan Scherer.

Mr. Lucio nominated Torry Breaun for Vice Chair, with the nomination seconded by Jim Cooper.

RCPG Minutes 1-7-16

The Chair closed nominations.

***Vote:***

Torry Brean:	Torry Brean
Jim Cooper:	Torry Brean
Scotty Ensign:	Dan Scherer
Eb Hogervorst:	Dan Scherer
Barbara Jensen:	Dan Scherer
Frank Lucio:	Torry Brean
Kristi Mansolf:	Torry Brean
Donna Myers:	Absent
Elio Noyas:	Torry Brean
Jim Piva:	Dan Scherer
David Ross:	Dan Scherer
Dan Scherer:	Dan Scherer
Paul Stykel:	Absent
Rick Terrazas:	Dan Scherer
Richard Tomlinson:	Dan Scherer

Dan Scherer: 8 votes – Dan Scherer confirmed as RCPG Vice Chair for 2016

Torry Brean: 5 votes

The Chair opened nominations for Secretary.

Mr. Ensign nominated Kristi Mansolf for secretary, with the nomination seconded by Mr. Ensign.

There were no more nominations. Nominations were closed. The vote would confirm Ms. Mansolf as RCPG Secretary for 2016.

***Vote:***

Torry Brean:	Yes
Jim Cooper:	Yes
Scotty Ensign:	Yes
Eb Hogervorst:	Yes
Barbara Jensen:	Yes
Frank Lucio:	Yes
Kristi Mansolf:	Yes
Donna Myers:	Absent
Elio Noyas:	Yes
Jim Piva:	Yes
David Ross:	Yes
Dan Scherer:	Yes
Paul Stykel:	Absent
Rick Terrazas:	Yes
Richard Tomlinson:	Yes

**8-B: Mandatory Annual Planning Group Member Training Dates: 1-23-16, 1-30-16, 2-27-16**

Upcoming training dates for RCPG members was announced. Training is mandatory and soon will be available online in case members are unable to attend one of the in-person trainings.

**8-C: DESIGN REVIEW REPORT (Ensign) – Update on Projects Reviewed**

Mr. Ensign said the Village Park Apartments will be on the next meeting agenda. There is a new art gallery opening by the motel. The Design Review Board also reviewed a Sherwin Williams project. Rubio wants to add signage, however, there are infractions on the property. The sign on Ramona Street was never approved. The lighting on the patio is not approved. Mr. Powell came to the board with new colors for the Feghali Center, and they were approved. There was a preliminary review of a 23,000 square foot medical marijuana growing facility. This one will be different from those we have seen before. It will use city water, air conditioning and hydroponics.

**8-D: Discussion Items (Possible Action) – None**

**8-D-1: Concerns from Members**

Mr. Scherer said the Chevron gas station is now a Mobil gas station. Did the project go to the Design Review Board?

Mr. Ensign said it did not.

**8-D-2: Future Agenda Item Requests**

Mr. Ross said he has asked Mike Helms of the Sheriff’s Department to come and speak to the RCPG about medical marijuana facilities.

Mr. Terrazas said he may be able to get someone from the U. S. Attorney General’s office to speak, too.

**8-D-3: Addition and Confirmation of New Subcommittee Members – None Brought Forward**

**8-D-4: Process of Subcommittee Meeting Scheduling**

Mr. Cooper said he has a concern about subcommittee meeting scheduling. He had a conflict last month when he was at the CUDA Subcommittee meeting, and it wasn’t over when the South Subcommittee meeting was due to begin. He is a member of CUDA and if he had left, CUDA would have lost a quorum. Plus CUDA was having a fairly detailed discussion. He is the chair of the South Subcommittee, and was late to the meeting. He would like the subcommittee meetings to be more spread out so that there is no conflict.

Mr. Piva said he will address this at the next meeting.

**8-E: Meeting Updates**

**8-E-1: Board of Supervisor and Planning Commission Meetings – Integrated into the Meeting**

**8-E-2: Future Group Meeting Dates – Next RCPG Meeting to be 2-4-16 at the Ramona Community Library, 7 p.m.**

**ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT**

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf

**The RCPG is advisory only to the County of San Diego. Community issues not related to planning and land use are not within the purview of this group. Item #5: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the RCPG on any subject within the group's jurisdiction that does not appear as an item on this agenda. The RCPG cannot discuss these matters except to place them on a future agenda, refer them to a subcommittee, or to County staff. Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please fill out a speaker request form located at the rear of the room and present to Vice Chairperson.**

*Public Disclosure*

*We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.*

*Access and Correction of Personal Information*

*You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.*