

EAST SUBCOMMITTEE MINUTES
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP
15873 HWY 67, RAMONA, CA 92065
RAMONA COMMUNITY CENTER
434 AQUA LANE, RAMONA
January 7, 2014, 6:30 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. DETERMINATION OF MEMBERS PRESENT – Consideration Will be Given to Members Who Have Missed Consistently. They Will Be Removed And Will Need to Reapply for Membership.
Members Present: Scotty Ensign, Chair; Kristi Mansolf, Kevin Wallace
3. ANNOUNCEMENTS – None
4. PRESENTATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS ONLY – None

Action Items:

5. Requested Zoning Change on J. Hawkin’s Parcels #287-032-08-00, 287-032-11-00, and 287-050-0200, Previously Property Specific Request RM 22, from RL 80 to RL 40. Littlepage Rd.

Ms. Hawkins property on the east side of Ramona used to be a 10 acre minimum lot size. In the GP Update, they went to an 80 acre minimum lot size (RL 80). Her parcels were never subdivided and had been handed down through the family. The property is under Williamson Act Contract and has been since the 1970’s. When the GP Update was being processed, she heard about possible changes to her property first from the Citizens for Private Property Rights (October, 2010). She lost her house in the 2007 fires and has since rebuilt. She made every attempt to go to all of the meetings for the GP Update to be informed on the disposition of her property. The property was going through drafts as RL 40, then at the end of the process it became RL 80. Her property is 10 miles from the Ramona Town Center. A County road goes through her property (Littlepage). She is within close proximity to several fire stations. A CAL FIRE station is 1.5 miles away. Intermountain/County Fire Authority is 3 miles away. In January, 2012, there was a hearing/workshop. Of 100 property owners, her property was one of 3 to be selected to be referred back to the RCPG. The RCPG wrote a letter of support in March, 2012, for her property to be RL 40. The 2003 fire was contained on her property by the fire department due to low vegetation and good access. She has little roads throughout her property making the majority of the property very accessible. Neighbors adjoining her property have 10 and 15 acre parcels. While her neighbors on the other side have larger lots, they, like her, chose never to subdivide. Ms. Hawkins never received closure from the County directly on her property. When she contacted the County after she heard that

East Subcommittee Minutes 1-7-14

a decision had been made on her property (RL80), the people she had been in contact with before no longer worked there.

MOTION: Present case to the RCPG and ask to create a letter to the Chief of Advance Planning bringing new information to the table regarding the Hawkins parcel.

The motion passed 3-0-0-0-0.

6. Approval of East Subcommittee Minutes 10-7-13 – *Not Addressed*
7. Adjournment

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf