Roll Call and Advisory Role Statement
Tom Kumura was absent so Karen Binns (Co-Vice chair), called the meeting to order: Present was Sandra Farrell (Secretary), Gil Jemmott, Rob Peterson and Eric Chapman (Co-Vice Chair)

Review of Minutes  Only three of the members present during the May meeting were in attendance so the vote to approve the minutes was postponed until the June meeting.

Public Communications, Presentations and Announcements:
1. Mike Hunsaker gave an update on Vallecitos Water District meetings saying that although existing residents were required to reduce or not water front yard landscaping, new development was exempt. He said the last water plan by VWD didn’t consider impacts by Newlands Sierra or other proposed projects.
2. Karen Binns said Eric Lardy from the County would be at the next meeting and it would be a good time to ask questions regarding land use and community planning questions.
3. Rob Peterson said SDGE has been entering private property and cutting down trees without owner approval.

1. PDS2012-3100-5573, Tomlinson Property at Hollyberry and Buena Creek, APN:181-180-56 (3574 Hollyberry Drive, Vista, CA) , and vacant parcel on APN: 181-180-84 & 86; No one representing the applicant was present for the project. Binns gave a brief description from County supplied documents. There were several people in attendance who lived near the project site and expressed concerns regarding the proposed design. Some people said they were bothered by making it a gated community which would separate their neighborhood from the adjacent neighborhood. Another person wanted it developed but it needed to maintain the 1 acre lot size and ½ acres was inappropriate for the area. Binns tabled further discussion until someone representing the applicant could be present to address community concerns.
2. Leap Frog Development. County General Plan Policy LU-1.2 prohibiting leapfrog development is a major obstacle to approving General Plan Amendments for “New Villages” at urban densities in rural areas of unincorporated communities. Valley Center Planning Group circulated template letter to the planning groups explaining concerns they have of the proposed change in interpretation of the General Plan LU-1.2. They felt it would result in damaging the intent of LU-1.1 and allow urban leapfrog development in areas now designated rural and semi-rural. Farrell and Binns discussed a similar letter Kumura was supposed to write based on the past action by the Twin Oaks Valley Community Sponsor Group. Farrell moved to support the letter Binns wrote from the Valley Center Planning Group’s template. Binns added that the Twin Oaks Community Sponsor Group had previously taken an action regarding Leed ND and would be submitting a formal letter under a separate cover to address the previous Sponsor Group motion. Jemmott second. The motion passed 5-0-0
3. Legal notices. After a discussion about changing the public notice from the Union Tribune to The Paper, several members said that they don’t receive “The Paper” but do get the Union Tribune. Another concern was there would be no way to pay to notify everyone about the change from the UT to The Paper. After taking input from the public and other Sponsor Group members, Farrell moved to continue using the Union Tribune for legal notification. Binns seconded the motion and it passed 5-0-0

Group Business
Binns gave a description of the problems she has had getting reimbursed for out of pocket expenses connected with the Sponsor Group. She presented a list of bills for ink and paper.
Farrell moved to recommend the County approve reimbursing Binns for expenses. Peterson seconded and the motion passed 4-0-1 with Binns abstaining.

Binns mentioned the Sponsor Group has one vacant seat and said the group was looking for new members.

Binns adjourned the meeting at about 8:00pm

Respectfully Submitted, Sandra Farrell, Secretary