

## Valley Center Community Planning Group

**Minutes for a regular meeting held on July 8, 2019 at 7:00 p.m. in the Valley Center Community Hall, 28246 Lilac Road, Valley Center, California 92082.**

**CHAIR: Oliver Smith**

**VICE-CHAIR: Jeana Boulos**

**SECRETARY: Kathy MacKenzie**

A=Absent; Ab=Abstention; BOS=Board of Supervisors; PDS=Department of Planning & Development Services; DPW=Department of Public Works; DRB=Valley Center Design Review Board; GP= County General Plan; N=Nay; P=Present; PC=County Planning Commission; PSR=Property Specific Requests; R=Recused; SC=Subcommittee; TBD=To Be Determined; VCCPG=Valley Center Community Planning Group; VC= Valley Center; VCPRD=Valley Center Parks & Recreation District; Y=Yea

---

### A. Roll Call

- Meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. and a Quorum was established with 12 members present, 2 absences and 1 seat vacancy.

Jeana Boulos - P

Steve Hutchison - P

Kevin Smith - P

William Del Pilar - P

Susan Janisch - P

Dina Gharmalkar - P

Susan Fajardo - P

Kathy MacKenzie - A

Renee Wolf - A

James Garritson - P

LaVonne Norwood - P

Lisa Adams- P

Delores Chavez Harmes-P

Oliver Smith - P

### B. Pledge of Allegiance - Dee Chavez Harmes

### C. Approval of Minutes from Regular Meeting of June 10, 2019

- This item was postponed to the next meeting as some members hadn't seen final draft of the meeting minutes
- Motion: None

### D. Public Communication/Open Forum - Members of the public may address the Planning Group on any topic not on the agenda.

- No discussions.

### E. Action items

**1. Discussion and possible vote on Chair's VCCPG letter to PDS on the Zoning Ordinance Update for Small Cell Wireless Facilities by county's Planning & Development Services, input to county needed by June 30, 2019, need member approval (Chairman Smith)**

- Letter was sent June 30th because public comment period ended before the July meeting, so it didn't give planning group enough time, so Chairman Smith mailed letter.
- Chairman Smith explained the small wireless cell facilities are non-discretionary providing 5G wireless systems. Goal is to put them in without excessive delay (see attachment 1).
- Chairman Smith reminded the group to understand that reviews and recommendations by local groups would slow down the implementation of this technology.
- County is the one who would want to make the final decision on location and aesthetics.
- Vote for approval comes before the Board of Supervisors in two weeks.
- Motion:
  - **Motion:** To move to accept letter as written.
  - **Maker/Second:** Mr. K. Smith/Mr. Del Pilar
  - **Motion Carries** 11-1-0 (Y-N-Ab).

**2. *Discussion of the Rite Aid project and its progress. Reflection on what was done: right or wrong. (Chairman Smith)***

- There are two sides to the story with Arco and Rite Aid.
- What can we do to avoid this? Make sure we are one on one with the relationships with the developers.
- Developers baseline is the scoping letter. If they at least follow the scoping letter checklist, then they would still be approved by the County.
- DRB didn't really see the final product either.
- Dorothy Kennedy (audience) thinks the main issue was not just school next door, but also the landscaping / planting in front.
- Ms. Chavez Harmes said the planning group must be more diligent in checking all notifications avenues, including picking up correspondence at our PO Box regarding meetings held by the Board of Supervisors and that every board member should be contacted, not just a few when VCCPG should be represented at a board of supervisors meeting.
- Mr. Del Pilar thinks we've learned as a board that we need to have timely communications from the subcommittee to VCCPG Chairman and of course to check the mailbox in a timely fashion. Mr. Del Pilar added we need to also continue updating ourselves on all the county policies.
- Chairman Smith advised the applicant/developed said that they had not read the community general plan/guidelines when asked. Believe that they simply went through the options/county checklist regardless of the vote or opinion of the group.
- Mr. Del Pilar said it is all about relationships and we should work more closely with the

county planners on each project so that they are more responsive and care more about what we care about.

- No motion or vote is necessary.

**3. Discussion of comments and concerns regarding DPW Special Events Ordinance Update - The County is updating the existing ordinance for "Special Events" in the right-of-way. Special Events include neighborhood block parties, athletic events, and parades. See how this changes what Valley Center will see during local and national bicycle races through our fair community as well as how it affects local activities such as Western Days. (Chairman Smith)**

- Chairman Smith shared comments received back from the Fire District and person in charge of the parade. The concern that 90 days isn't enough time to keep a parade as scheduled. The parade group would like it to be more predictable and less chance of being turned down.
- Ms. Chavez Harmes said she would like to see less bureaucracy and for the process to be less cumbersome for getting community events approved/permited.
- Chairman Smith said bike races in the past caused major concern and issues with traffic, roads being blocked and liability of emergency vehicles to have access through roads and up to Palomar Mountain. Having some pre-knowledge is needed in order for groups to be able to properly plan ahead for these types of events. Chairman Smith believes this covers mainly timeframes and approval process, not the fees.
- Mr. Hutchison didn't see anything in the document that suggested consultation with the local groups.
- Ms. Norwood is concerned that an increase in fees will hamper and hurt nonprofits that use these events to fundraise money for scholarships, etc.
- Ms. Chavez Harmes doesn't think the current fees are exorbitant for a non-profit from each individual agency, but it would be expedient to have a one-stop for these fees as well as a way to update organizations as to whether their events have been approved earlier than just the night before.
- Mr. K. Smith thinks it would be helpful to get feedback from each organization/event about this so we better know the type of comments we should provide.
- Mr. Hutchinson believes the focus of this is an impact on Valley Center Roads and county roads and not private events / block parties.
- Mr. K. Smith asked what is the county's reasons for these changes. What are they hoping to accomplish?
- Chairman Smith said this may be what is needed, as long as it doesn't hold up processes for community events. Eventually, everyone would adapt and know the process and checklists.
- Ms. Adams believes permits are needed so the applicant understands the rules and decreases the county's liability.
- No motion was made or vote taken.

## **F. Group Business**

- 1. Welcome to new VCCPG member Lisa Adams & congratulations to Kevin Smith on**

***DRB position (Chairman Smith).***

Due to time limit, this item was not discussed.

***2. Discussion and vote on new Chair for South Village Subcommittee and Mobility Subcommittee (Chairman Smith).***

- Mr. Gharmalkar can take over mobility for just the next few months.
- The Cole Grade road project needs to be addressed. Chairman Smith can take it on for now.
- County would like to address our responses next month and then have a large forum to follow. Need to make sure everyone is notified well in advance. Chairman Smith needs that list.
- Why not combine the two villages now that there aren't as many projects?
- **Motion:** To combine the North and South villages into one subcommittee until it is determined that they need separated. The North Village Chair will be the chair.
- **Maker/Second:** Ms. Norwood/Ms. Chavez Harmes
- **Motion Carries** 10-2-0 (Y-N-Ab).

***3. Nominations Subcommittee update on search of candidates due to resignation of Jon Vick. Seat #8, term ends Jan. 1, 2024 (Fajardo)***

- Two applicants for seat Mr. Vick vacated
- James Radden - Resident of Valley Center for 1.5 years, originally from Alabama, fell in love with Valley Center; has a strong background in negotiating and working with large suppliers and corporations; and feels the community plan to be very comprehensive.
- Kathleen McCabe - Has a small business (florist) in Valley Center and has lived here as a child and now as an adult for the past four years. She has been extremely active in the community and has served many residents and businesses in town, allowing her to become very familiar with every corner and backroad of Valley Center. Her customer relationships has allowed her to gain a unique pulse on residents opinions and ideas on Valley Center's growth. She has experience on both sides of community planning; corporate side where developers present to planning boards and personal side where she has actively participated in design review applications and variances and conservation.
- Applicants will be voted on at the next planning group meeting.

***4. Report of the VC Emergency Evacuation Subcommittee. (Chavez Harms).***

- Report from last month, June meeting, was rescheduled.
- Joe Napier has vision.
- Objective: What has been done, what's needed, and where is it going.
- Battalion Chief, Cal Hendrie, has been added to subcommittee.

***5. VCCPG Standing Rules reviewed and recommendation vote taken on changes if needed, or keep the same. (Chairman Smith)***

- Only subcommittee that needs just one person is nominated.
- Ms. Norwood thinks something should be added about the Parliamentarian as a formal requirement to the standing rules: Add under page 5, Section II, “with majority vote, an individual may be elected to the position of parliamentarian (at the January election of officers) for the same period of time as the officer’s positions.
- Concern about how to keep a chair from overreaching. Robert’s Rules addresses this.
- It is the individual members responsibility to speak up if they did not understand the vote.
- Anything coming from the county whether it is sent to the chair or to a developer or to any individual of the public, it is considered public information we have a right to consider it.
- Standing Rules correction made tonight will be voted on at the August 2019 VCCPG meeting for full/formal final copy and then final acceptance will be voted on at the September 2019 meeting.

**6. Meeting Updates: Next Regular Monthly VCCPG meeting: August 12, 2019.**

**G. Reports of VCCPG Subcommittees**

**a. Community Plan Update - (Steve Hutchison, Chair)**

- Hoping to set a meeting in August.
- Two projects: The first one will be assigned, Chairman Smith will handle the second one..

**b. Emergency Evacuation Subcommittee – (Delores Chavez-Harmes, Chair)**

- See item 2 under Group Business.

**c. Member Training - (Oliver Smith, Chair)**

- No updates.

**d. Mobility – (Gharmalkar Chair)**

- No updates.

**e. Nominations – (Susan Fajardo, Chair)**

- No updates.

**f. North Village – (William Del Pilar, Chair)**

- No updates.

**g. Parks & Rec. – (LaVonne Norwood, Chair)**

- No updates.

**h. South Village – (William Del Pilar, Chair)**

- Combined with North Village.

**i. Tribal Liaison – (Jeana Boulos, Chair)**

- No updates.

**j. Website – (Kathy MacKenzie, Chair)**

- No updates.

### ***H. Correspondence Received for the July 8, 2019 Meeting***

1. DPW, Special Event Permit - email from [DPWSpecialEvent.Permit@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:DPWSpecialEvent.Permit@sdcounty.ca.gov) to Oliver Smith, Chair, VCCPG. Requesting community group review and provide comments by August 2019. Will have an applicant workshop on Wednesday, July 31, 2019 at 2:00 pm for permit holders to discuss the updates and community input. Department of Public Works, Traffic Engineering | Special Events, 5510 Overland Ave., Suite 410, San Diego CA 92123. [DPWSpecialEvent.Permit@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:DPWSpecialEvent.Permit@sdcounty.ca.gov).

### ***I. Adjournment***

- **Motion: Motion to adjourn.**
- **Maker/Second: Chavez Harmes /Del Pilar**
- **Motion Carries 14-0-0 (Y-N-Ab).**

The meeting adjourned at 9:09 p.m.

Minutes were approved on August 12, 2019.


**Kathy MacKenzie, Secretary**

---

**Attachments 1, 2**

Attachment 1

CONFIGURATION PREFERENCES



DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO SCALE – FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY

Small Cell Wireless Antennas are no more than three (3) cubic feet, and all other related equipment on the structure is no more than 28 cubic feet. Utility poles, street lights, and traffic signals range in height from 25 to 50 feet. The combined height of the structure and SCW shall not exceed 50 feet (including antennas).

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline****Section 1.** Section 6980 is amended to read as follows:

## 6980 WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

## 6981 CONFLICT RESOLUTION

Sections 6980 – ~~6994~~6993 are intended to be supplemental to the Zoning Ordinance. In case of conflict between the provisions represented in these sections and the provisions set forth in the Zoning Ordinance, the provisions of these sections shall apply.

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

## 6982 PURPOSE

The purpose and intent of this Section is to provide a uniform and comprehensive set of standards for the development, siting and installation of wireless telecommunications facilities. These regulations are intended to protect and promote the public health, safety and welfare of the residents of the unincorporated areas of San Diego County and to preserve community character and protect aesthetic quality in accordance with the guidelines and intent of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and to encourage siting in preferred locations to minimize aesthetic impacts and to minimize the intrusion of these uses into residential areas.

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

## 6983 DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of the Wireless Telecommunications Facilities regulations contained in Sections 6980 through ~~6994~~6993, certain abbreviations, terms and words shall be used, interpreted and defined as set forth in this Section. Unless the context clearly indicates to the contrary, words used in the present tense include the future tense and words used in the plural indicate the singular.

- a. Administrative Site Plan – A Site Plan, pursuant to Sections 7150 through 7174 of this Ordinance, that does not require community review except as noted in Section 6987 A of this Ordinance.

Antenna – Any system of wires, poles, rods, reflecting discs or similar devices designed for telephonic, radio, facsimile, data or television communications through sending and/or receiving of electromagnetic waves when such system is either external to or attached to the exterior of a structure. Antennas shall include, but not be limited to, devices having active elements extending in any direction, and directional beam-type arrays having elements carried by and disposed from a generally horizontal boom that may be mounted up and rotated through a vertical mast or tower interconnecting the boom and antenna support, all of which elements are deemed to be part of the antenna.

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

Antenna Height – The vertical distance measured from the ground surface at grade to the tip of the highest point of the proposed structure.

Antenna Support – Any pole, telescoping mast, tower tripod or any other structure that supports a device used in the transmitting and/or receiving of electromagnetic waves.

Applicant – A person who applies for a wireless facility siting. An applicant can be the owner of the property or someone who is representing the owner, such as a builder, developer, optional purchaser, lessor, consultant or architect.

- c. Camouflaged – Any telecommunications facility that is designed to blend into the surrounding environment. Examples of camouflaged facilities may include architecturally screened roof-mounted antennas, building-mounted antennas painted to match the existing structure, antennas integrated into architectural elements, towers made to look like trees and antenna structures designed to look like light poles. Camouflaged facilities may be considered low or high visibility depending on the type of facility, degree of camouflaging and compatibility with the surrounding existing environment (see definitions of low and high visibility).

Co-location – Locating wireless telecommunications equipment from more than one provider on a single site.

Commercial Zones - are defined as consisting of the following zones: C32, C34, C35, C36, C37, C38, C40, C42, and C44, and also S88 when the proposed site is in a commercial component of a Specific Plan.

Community Character – Those unique attributes including, but not limited to, architecture, historical and cultural features, historical development patterns, landscape, hardscape and the size, scale and spacing of buildings and other structures that define a community's identity.

- d. Decorative pole – Any pole that includes decorative or ornamental features, design elements and/or materials intended to enhance the appearance of the pole or the public right-of-way in which the pole is located
- e. Equipment Building, Shelter or Cabinet – A cabinet or building used to house equipment used by telecommunication providers at a facility.
- f. Façade Mounted Antenna – An antenna architecturally integrated into the façade of a building or structure.

Facility – See Wireless Telecommunications Facility.

Faux Trees – A term used to refer to Monopalms, Monopines and other camouflaged monopoles made to resemble different types of trees.

- g. Grade – The lowest point of elevation of the finished surface of the ground, paving or sidewalk within the area between the structure and the property line or, when the property

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 2

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, ~~Language Moved~~, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

line is more than 5 feet from the structure, between the structure and a line 5 feet from the structure.

Guyed Tower – A telecommunications tower that is supported, in whole or in part, by guy wires and ground anchors.

- h. High Visibility – The following shall be considered High Visibility facilities:
  - 1. Monopoles, lattice towers and guyed towers
  - 2. Non-camouflaged facilities
  - 3. Faux Trees
  - 4. Any and all wireless facilities not defined as invisible or low visibility.
  - 5. High Voltage Transmission Tower – a tower carrying transmission lines of at least 132 kilovolts.
- i. Industrial Zones – are defined as consisting of the following zones: M50, M52, M54, M56, M58, and also S88 when the proposed site is in an industrial component of a Specific Plan.
- j. Invisible – Facilities, including, but not limited to towers, antennas and equipment cabinets and any other ancillary equipment, that cannot be seen from any street and from all adjacent properties and that do not result in any apparent architectural changes or additions, including Community Identification Signs when the antennas are fully integrated into the sign. The addition of landscaping, walls, fences or grading as screening techniques does not meet the definition of invisible.
- k. Lattice Tower – A guyed or self-supporting three or four sided, open, steel frame support structure used to support telecommunications equipment.
- l. Low Visibility – the following shall be considered Low Visibility facilities if they do not exceed the height schedule pursuant to Sections 4610 - 4620 of this Ordinance:
- m. Monopalm – a monopole camouflaged to resemble a palm tree.  
 Monopine – a monopole camouflaged to resemble a pine tree.  
 Monopole – A wireless communication facility consisting of a single pole constructed without guy wires and ground anchors.
- p. Panel Antenna - An antenna or array of antennas designed to concentrate a radio signal in a particular area. Also called directional antennas.

Public Safety Communications facilities: Telecommunications facilities operated and maintained by public agencies that support Public Safety Communications Systems,

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 3

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, ~~Language Moved~~, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

which provide wireless communications to law enforcement, fire services, emergency medical services, and other public safety/service agencies. These facilities are considered Essential Services pursuant to Section 1335.

- r. Residential Zones – for purposes of this section, are defined as consisting of the following zones: RS, RD, RR, RM, RV, RU, RMH, RRO, RC, S80, S90, C30, C31, C46 and also S88 when the proposed site is in a residential component of a Specific Plan.

Roof Mounted Antenna – Any antenna with its support structure placed directly on the roof of any building or structure.

Rural Zones – are defined as consisting of the following zones: A70, A72, S81 and S92.

- s. Service Area – The area served by a single telecommunications facility.

Service Network – The telecommunications transmission system operated by a service provider in a community or jurisdiction.

Special Purpose Zones - are defined as consisting of the following zones: S82, S86, S94 and Solid Waste Facility.

~~Small Cell Wireless Facilities Facility (SCW) – are facilities~~ A wireless telecommunication facility and all related equipment, where each the antenna shall be is no more than three cubic feet in volume. ~~The wireless equipment and any pre-existing associated equipment on the structure must be and all other related equipment on the structure is~~ no more than 28 cubic feet in volume. ~~Small wireless facilities shall be mounted on new or existing structures in the public right-of-way. Small wireless facilities are also permitted on private property when attached to existing public utility poles or existing permitted telecommunication facilities.~~

Structure – A pole, tower, base station, or other building, whether or not it has an existing facility, that is used or to be used for the provision of personal wireless service (whether on its own or comingled with other types of services) or other such facilities as defined in 47 C.F.R. § 1.6002(m).

- t. Telecommunications – The transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received.

Telecommunications Tower - Any mast, pole, monopole, guyed tower, lattice tower, free standing tower or other structure designed and primarily used to support antennas.

Tower – See Telecommunications Tower

Travel Way – That portion of the right-of-way used for the movement of vehicles, people, equestrians, and goods, including travel lanes, curb and gutter, on-street parking spaces, bike lane facilities, travel lanes, turning lanes within the public ROW, sidewalks, shoulders, and pathways.

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 4

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, ~~Language Moved~~, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

- u. Utility pole – Any above-ground pole used to support electrical, telephone, cable, and internet wires.
- w. Whip Antenna – An antenna that transmits signals in 360 degrees. Whip antennas are typically cylindrical in shape and are less than 3 inches in diameter and measure up to 6 feet in length, including the mounting. Also called omni-directional, stick or pipe antennas.

Wireless Community Master Plan – a Master Plan of preferred sites and designs for wireless facilities for a defined geographic area prepared in cooperation with one or more wireless service providers; formally submitted by the community planning group or sponsor group or by a homeowners association representing at least 4,000 residents and at least 5,000 acres to the Director; reviewed by the Director for such issues as aesthetics and community compatibility; and following public review, approved by the Director. A Community Master Plan can be applicable to all providers or to selected providers as defined in the Plan.

Wireless Telecommunications Facility – Any facility that transmits and/or receives electromagnetic waves, including, but not limited to, antennas, dish antennas and other types of equipment for the transmission or receipt of such signals, telecommunications towers or similar structures supporting said equipment, equipment buildings, parking area and other accessory development. Also known as a wireless communications facility.

This definition does not apply to Amateur Radio Stations as defined by the Federal Communications Commission, Part 97 of the Commission's Rules nor to TV and radio transmission facilities, nor to Public Safety Communications facilities.

(Added by Ord. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)  
 (Amended by Ord. No. 10162 (N.S.) adopted 8-3-11)  
 (Amended by Ord. No. 10222 (N.S.) adopted 9-25-12. Opr. 11-26-12)  
 (Amended by Ord. No. 10359 (N.S.) adopted 10-29-14)

~~6984.A NON-DISCRETIONARY PERMIT REQUIREMENTS~~

~~Small cell wireless facilities located within the public right of way or on private property and attached to now or existing utility poles shall be processed as non-discretionary permits. Plans submitted for non-discretionary review shall comply with the following requirements:~~

- ~~A. A detailed site plan with sufficient detail to determine compliance with the requirements set forth below shall be submitted.~~
- ~~B. Small cell wireless facilities on existing poles or structures shall be designed to visually and operationally blend into the surrounding area and shall be the same or similar color as the facility or structure to which they are attached.~~
- ~~C. Small cell wireless facilities on new poles or structures shall be an earth tone color that blends with the surrounding environment and shall not be reflective or otherwise painted to attract attention.~~

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 5

Legend: Language Removed, ~~Language Moved~~, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

- ~~D. Small cell wireless facilities on new or existing poles or structures and related equipment shall not impair pedestrian use of sidewalks or pathways, shall be designed and sited in compliance with all ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) accessibility requirements, and shall not inhibit equestrian activities on designated public or private trail systems.~~
- ~~E. Small cell wireless facility equipment on new or existing poles or structures and related fixed equipment shall not be located within the recommended clear recovery zone alongside County roadways and shall not impede sight distance at intersections or driveways for all roadway users based on County Public Road Standards for these requirements.~~
- ~~F. No net loss in required parking spaces shall occur as a result of the installation of any small cell wireless facility.~~
- ~~G. Height: A small cell wireless facility shall comply with the following height requirements, whichever is least restrictive:~~
- ~~1. no taller than 50 feet (including their antennas),~~
  - ~~2. no more than 10 percent taller than other structures in the area within 100 feet,~~
  - ~~3. or, where the small cell wireless facility is affixed to an existing structure, that structure is not extended in height by more than 10 percent as a result of the deployment.~~
  - ~~4. A small cell wireless facility allowed by Section 6985.a shall not increase the height of an existing telecommunications facility.~~
- ~~H. When located on private property, small cell wireless facilities shall comply with all zoning requirements.~~

**6984.B DISCRETIONARY WIRELESS FACILITY APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS — NON-SCW**

In addition to meeting standard application submittal requirements for discretionary permits, all applicants for wireless telecommunications facilities shall provide 3 copies of the information listed below. One copy shall be distributed by the Department to the appropriate Planning or Sponsor Group. When a facility meets all requirements for processing under Tier 1, the requirements of Sections B and C 1 shall not be required. The Director may waive any of the submittal requirements listed below or require additional information based upon specific project factors:

- A. Geographic Service Area. Identify the geographic service area for the subject installation, including a map showing all the applicant's existing sites in the local service network associated with the gap the facility is meant to close. Describe how this service area fits into and is necessary for the company's service network.
- B. Visual Impact Analysis. A visual impact analysis shall be provided showing the maximum silhouette, viewshed analysis, color and finish palette and proposed screening. The analysis shall

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 6

Legend: Language Removed, Language Moved, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

include photo simulations and other information as necessary to determine visual impact of the facility. A map depicting where the photos were taken shall be included.

## C. Narrative.

1. Height. Show the height of the facility. Carriers must provide evidence that establishes that the proposed facilities have been designed to the minimum height required from a technological standpoint for the proposed site. If the tower will exceed the maximum permitted height limit, as measured from grade, a discussion of the physical constraints (topographical features, etc.) making the additional height necessary shall be required.
2. Maintenance. Describe the anticipated maintenance and monitoring program for the antennas, back-up equipment and landscaping.
3. Noise/Acoustical Information. As part of the Application for Environment Initial Study, provide manufacturer's specifications for all equipment such as air conditioning units and back-up generators, and a depiction of the equipment location in relation to adjoining properties.
4. If the site is not a preferred site as described in Section 6986, provide the information required in Section 6986 B.
5. Concept Landscape Plan. Provide a plan showing all proposed landscaping, screening and proposed irrigation with a discussion of how the chosen material at maturity will screen the site.
6. Fire Service. Provide evidence of compliance with Fire Policy FP-2 or a service letter from the applicable fire district.
7. Hazardous Materials. Listing of all hazardous materials to be used onsite.
8. For all applications for facilities located in the public right of way, include on the plot plan the location of parking for maintenance personnel.
9. A letter stating the applicant's willingness to allow other carriers to co-locate on their facilities wherever technically and economically feasible and aesthetically desirable.
10. The lease area of the proposed facility on the plot plan.
11. For all applications for wireless facilities operating below 1200 megahertz, submit a copy of the Federal Communications Commission Licensing Application Form 601, Main Form, Pages 1 through 4, Schedule A, Page 1, Schedule D, Page 1 and Schedule H, Pages 1 through 3. The application shall be reviewed by the Sheriff's Wireless Services Unit to determine potential interference with the Regional Communication System. Interference with that system may be grounds for denial.

~~6985. A NON-DISCRETIONARY APPLICATION PROCESSING~~

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 7

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, ~~Language Moved~~, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

~~Any small cell permit application that proposes to collocate on an existing approved Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3, or Tier 4 facility described below shall not require any further discretionary review if it complies with the application requirements of Section 6984.A.~~

**6985.B DISCRETIONARY WIRELESS FACILITY APPLICATION PROCESSING — NON-SCW APPLICATIONS**

Although a tier may be assigned at project intake, a re-evaluation of the project tier may occur at any point in the process, including, but not limited to, review by the Planner, Environmental Analyst or Hearing Officer.

- A. Applications will be processed based upon the following 4-tier permitting system, subject to the exceptions and general regulations found in Sections 6985 B and C:

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

Strike-through/Underline

**TIER 1 – ADMINISTRATIVE SITE PLAN**

Facilities meeting any of the following criteria shall be processed as an ADMINISTRATIVE SITE PLAN:

**Industrial and  
Commercial Zones**

- Invisible facilities
- Facilities on:
  - CALTRANS structures, "cobra-style" streetlights and poles in the public right of way, or an existing park and ride light standard, when they meet all the following:
    - The antennas do not project more than 24 inches above the structure,
    - No more than a total of two antennas are located on a site
    - The equipment cabinet is no larger than 6 cubic feet.
    - The equipment cabinet is concealed from public view through the use of undergrounding or screening by means other than walls or fences.
  - Façade mounted antennas integrated into the architecture in such a manner that no change to the architecture is apparent and no part of the facility can be seen from public view.
  - Facilities not subject to the "B", "D", "H" or "J" Designators and are:
    - Hidden from public view through the use of architectural treatments (cupolas, etc.); and
    - Consistent with the existing building and community character.

Any Zone

- Antennas located on high voltage transmission towers if they increase the bulk and scale of the structure by less than 5 percent.

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline****TIER 2 – SITE PLAN WITH COMMUNITY REVIEW**

Facilities meeting any of the following criteria shall be processed as a SITE PLAN WITH COMMUNITY REVIEW:

**Commercial, Industrial and Special Purpose Zones**

- Low visibility facilities.

**All Zones**

- Facilities covered by a Wireless Community Master Plan when the design and siting are consistent with the plan.

**TIER 3 – MINOR USE PERMIT**

Facilities meeting any of the following criteria shall be processed as a MINOR USE PERMIT:

- All facilities other than those meeting the criteria of Tiers 1, 2, or 4.

**TIER 4 – MAJOR USE PERMIT**

**Facilities meeting the following criteria shall be processed as a MAJOR USE PERMIT:**

- Non-camouflaged towers greater than 60 feet, or 15 feet above the maximum allowed height limit in the zone, whichever is lower, shall require a Major Use Permit in all zones (except where they are prohibited).
- All facilities in Residential and Rural zones except as specified in Tiers 1 and 2.

**B. Exceptions**

1. In addition to all other requirements in Sections 6980 through 6991, any proposed facility on a structure currently subject to a Major or Minor Use Permit shall obtain approval of the facility through the modification of the permit in accordance with

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

Section 7378 of this Ordinance for a Use Permit or by Minor Deviation in accordance with Section 7609 of this Ordinance when the facility is invisible.

2. Major Use Permits for Wireless Telecommunications Facilities shall be under the original jurisdiction of the Planning Commission.

## C. General Regulations

1. Non-camouflaged monopoles, lattice towers and guyed towers are prohibited in Residential and Rural zones.
2. All buildings and structures built to contain equipment accessory to a facility may not exceed 10 feet in height measured from the base of the foundation unless a greater height is necessary to maximize architectural integration and shall be screened by landscaping.
3. No more than three facilities are allowed on any site or parcel in commercial, industrial, rural or special purpose zones. No more than one facility is allowed on any parcel or site in a Residential zone. This requirement may be waived by the Director if a finding is made that co-location of more facilities is consistent with community character.
4. Telecommunications towers located adjacent to a residential use shall be set back from the nearest residential lot line by a distance at least equal to its total height or 50 feet, whichever is greater. The setback shall be measured from that part of the tower that is closest to the neighboring property (i.e., the setback for a faux tree would be measured from the end of the branch closest to the neighboring property).
5. No tower or equipment shall be located in a front, rear or side yard setback in any zone and no portion of any antenna array shall extend beyond the property lines.
6. Noise from any equipment supporting the facility shall meet the requirements of the County's Noise Ordinance on an average hourly basis.
7. The Director may grant an exemption from the requirement to process a Site Plan permit pursuant to Section 7156 of this Ordinance if he or she finds that all of the purposes and requirements of the Site Plan have been or will be fulfilled by another discretionary permit, or where the Director finds the proposed development or improvement is minor in nature and that the public purpose for which the Site Plan permit would normally be required will not be harmed by granting a Site Plan permit exemption. The Director's decision may be appealed pursuant to Section 7200 of this Ordinance.
8. All facilities located on a utility pole shall be promptly removed at the operator's expense at the time a utility is scheduled to be undergrounded.
9. Maintenance vehicles servicing facilities located in the public or private right of way shall not park on the traveled way or in a manner that would obstruct traffic.

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 11

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, ~~Language Moved~~, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

- 10. Equipment cabinets and antenna structures shall be secured to disallow unauthorized access.
- 11. Use Permits for high visibility facilities shall have a maximum term of 6 years for facilities valued at less than \$10,000; 10 years for facilities valued from over
- 12. \$10,000 to \$500,000; and 15 years for facilities valued at \$500,000 or more. This may be extended for an additional period of time by modifying the permit if it is found that no smaller or less visible technology is available or feasible to replace the facility.
- 13. As a condition of approval, prior to use of the facility, submit evidence, such as photos, to the satisfaction of the Director to show proof that the facility is in conformance with photo simulations provided pursuant to Section 6984 (B) of this Ordinance.

**6986 PREFERRED SITES – NON-SCW APPLICATIONS**

A. The County has determined that certain zones and locations are preferable to others for siting wireless facilities due to aesthetics and land use compatibility.

1. The preferred zones are as follows:

PREFERRED ZONES	NON-PREFERRED ZONES
<p>(a.) C32, C34, C35, C36, C37, C38, C40, C42, C44, M50, M52, M54, M56, M58, S82, S86, S94, and S88 when the facility would be located in a commercial or industrial component of the Specific Plan.</p> <p>(b.) Upon approval by the Director of a Wireless Community Master Plan, the Preferred Zones for that defined geographic area shall be replaced by the locations shown in that Plan for the provider or providers covered by the plan.</p>	<p>All other zones</p>

2. The preferred locations are as follows:

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

PREFERRED LOCATIONS	NON-PREFERRED LOCATIONS
<p>(a.) (1) Existing structures, including, but not limited to, water tanks, utility towers and poles, traffic lights, "cobra-style" street lights, and roadway overpasses in non-residential zones when the size and scale are compatible.                      (2) Commercial and industrial buildings.                      (3) County or other government facilities (e.g., fire district buildings, road stations, freeway park and ride lots), excluding Elementary and Middle schools and County parks.                      (4) Co-location in zones other than residential to a total of three (3) towers each.</p> <p>(b.) Upon approval by the Director of a Wireless Community Master Plan, the Preferred Locations for that defined geographic area shall be replaced by the locations shown in that plan for the provider or providers covered by the plan.</p>	<p>All other locations</p>

B. Each application shall identify the zone and location preference that the proposed facility is meeting. If the proposed facility is not in a preferred zone identified in 6986 A (1) or if it is not in a preferred location identified in 6986 A (2), the applicant shall provide a map of the geographical area and a discussion of preferred sites that could potentially serve the same area as the proposed site and describe why each preferred site was not technologically or legally feasible. Facilities proposed to be located in County parks are excluded from this requirement when the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation has issued a letter of concurrence.

C. Projects in a non-preferred zone or non-preferred location shall not be approved when siting in a preferred zone or preferred location is feasible unless a finding is made that the proposed site is preferable due to aesthetic and community character compatibility.

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

(Amended by Ord. No. 10222 (N.S.) adopted 9-25-12. Opr. 11-26-12)

**6987 DESIGN REGULATIONS – NON-SCW APPLICATIONS**

A. All applications at sites subject to a "H", "J", "B" or "D" design review designator shall also meet all requirements pursuant to Zoning Ordinance Sections 5700 – 5747 for "H" designators, 5749 for "J" designators, 5750 – 5799 for "B" designators or 5900 – 5910 for "D" designators.

B. All camouflaged facilities shall be designed to visually and operationally blend into the surrounding area in a manner consistent with community character and existing development.

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

The facility shall also be appropriate for the specific site (i.e., it should not "stand out" from its surrounding environment, such as a faux tree standing alone in a field or standing at a greater height (five feet or more) than other trees on the site).

C. No facility shall be allowed on any building or structure, or in any district, that is listed or eligible for listing on any Federal, State or local historical register unless it is determined by the Historic Site Board that the facility will have no adverse effect on the appearance of the building or structure or its eligibility for historic designation. No change in architecture nor High Visibility facility is permitted on any such building, any such site or in any such district.

D. In cases where the facility site is visible from a Scenic Highway, as identified in the General Plan, the facility shall be designed and located in such a manner as to avoid adverse visual impacts. Such locations shall use design methods such as, but not limited to, type of facility, camouflaging, screening and landscaping. No monopoles, lattice towers or guyed towers are permitted.

E. Façade-mounted antennas shall be architecturally integrated into the building design and otherwise made as unobtrusive as possible. If possible, antennas should be located entirely within an existing or newly created architectural feature so as to be completely screened from view. Façade-mounted antennas shall not extend more than 24 inches out from the building face.

F. All facilities shall be designed to minimize the visual impact to the greatest extent feasible by means of placement, screening, landscaping with native species, whenever feasible, and camouflage, and to be compatible with existing architectural elements, building materials and other site characteristics. The applicant shall use the least visible antennas possible to accomplish the coverage objectives.

G. Colors and materials for facilities shall be non-reflective and chosen to minimize visibility. Facilities, including support equipment and buildings, shall be painted or textured using colors to match or blend with the primary background. All cabinets visible to the public shall be treated with a graffiti-resistant coating.

H. Beacon lights shall not be included in the design of facilities unless required by the Federal Aviation Administration and shall be included when calculating the height of the facility.

I. No High Visibility facility, including ancillary support equipment, may be located between the face of a building and a public street, bikeway, trail or park.

J. No signs, striping, graphics or other attention getting devices are permitted on the transmission tower or ancillary facilities except for warning and safety signage with a surface area of no more than three square feet. Such signage shall be affixed to a fence or ancillary facility and the number of signs is limited to no more than two unless a greater number is required by law.

K. All high visibility facilities shall be sited in such a manner as to cause the least detriment to the viewshed of adjoining properties.

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

L. Roof mounted antennas shall be constructed at the minimum height possible to serve the operator's service area and shall be set back as far from the edge of the building as possible or otherwise screened to minimize their visibility.

M. No net loss in required parking spaces shall occur as a result of the installation of any wireless telecommunications facility.

N. Cabinets and other equipment shall not impair pedestrian use of sidewalks or other pedestrian pathways, nor inhibit equestrian activities on designated public or private trail systems and shall be screened from the sidewalk by landscaping, undergrounding or other means, excluding new walls and fences.

O. In cases where the facility site is visible from a County park or is proposed to be located in a County Park, the facility shall be designed and located in such a manner as to avoid adverse visual impacts. Such locations shall use design methods such as, but not limited to, type of facility, camouflaging, screening and landscaping. No monopoles, lattice towers or guyed towers are permitted.

P. The use of chain link fences for security of equipment is permitted if the fence is fully screened by landscaping. No razor wire or barbed wire is permitted. Slats do not satisfy the requirement for screening.

Q. Site lighting shall be kept to a minimum in every instance, shall be shielded to direct the light downward, shall be controlled by a manual switch or timed switch of no greater than one hour's duration and shall not be used except when nighttime maintenance is necessary.

R. No facility sited on a ridgeline or hilltop shall be approved unless the facility blends with the surrounding existing and man-made environment to the maximum extent possible and a finding is made that no other location is feasible.

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

(Amended by Ord. No. 10162 (N.S.) adopted 8-3-11)

## 6988 MAINTENANCE

A. All graffiti on any components of the facility shall be removed promptly in accordance with County regulations. Graffiti on any facility in the public right-of-way must be removed within 48 hours of notification.

B. All landscaping shall be maintained at all times and shall be promptly replaced if not successful.

C. If a flagpole is used for camouflaging a facility, flags must be flown and must be properly maintained at all times.

D. All wireless telecommunications sites shall be kept clean and free of litter.

**POD-XX-XXX**

**ATTACHMENT X**

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

E. All equipment cabinets shall display a legible operator's contact number for reporting maintenance problems.

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

**6989 ABANDONMENT OR DISCONTINUATION OF USE**

A. All operators who intend to abandon or discontinue the use of any wireless telecommunications facility shall notify the County of such intentions no less than 60 days prior to the final day of use.

B. Wireless telecommunications facilities with use discontinued shall be considered abandoned 90 days following the final day of use.

C. All abandoned facilities shall be physically removed by the facility owner no more than 90 days following the final day of use or of determination that the facility has been abandoned, whichever occurs first.

**6990 REVOCATION**

Failure to comply with any condition of approval or standard in this ordinance shall constitute grounds for possible revocation of use pursuant to Sections 7174, 7380 and 7382 of the Zoning Ordinance.

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

**6991 AMORTIZATION OF HIGH VISIBILITY FACILITIES IN RESIDENTIAL AND RURAL ZONES**

Notwithstanding any other sections regulating wireless facilities, all facilities defined as "high visibility" by this ordinance, and located in a Residential or Rural Zone shall be brought into conformance with this ordinance under the following amortization schedule. The time allowed shall be measured from the effective date of this ordinance. The Director may extend the amortization period upon a showing of economic hardship to the owner.

Fair Market Value on Effective Date	Minimum Years Allowed
Under \$10,000.....	6
\$10,000 - \$500,000.....	10
Over \$500,000.....	15

(Added by Ord. No. 9549 (N.S.) adopted 4-30-03)

**6992 SMALL CELL WIRELESS FACILITY APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS**

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 16

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, Language Moved, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

Small Cell Wireless facilities located within the public right-of-way or on private property and attached to new or existing utility poles. Facility (SCW) permit applications shall be processed as non-discretionary permits. Plans submitted for non-discretionary review shall comply with the following requirements according to the following standards:

**A. Development Standards**

1. Location. To better assist applicants, minimize clutter, and limit other impacts to aesthetics and community character, subsection A.2. below sets out listed preferences for locations of SCWs. Applications that involve least preferred locations may be approved so long as the applicant demonstrates either: 1) no most preferred locations exist within 1,000 feet from the proposed site; or 2) any available most preferred locations within 1,000 feet from the proposed site would be technically infeasible.
2. Location Preferences.
  - i. Most Preferred Locations include:
    1. Industrial Zones
    2. Commercial Zones (except C34 & C35)
    3. Special Purpose Zones
  - ii. Least Preferred Locations include:
    1. Rural Zones
    2. Commercial Zones C34 & C35
    3. Residential Zones
3. SCWs shall not be located within 1,000 feet of schools, child care centers, hospitals, or churches. Distance, without regard to intervening structures, shall be a straight line measured from the closest property lines.
4. In addition to the location preferences above, SCWs are encouraged to locate in the public right-of-way.
5. SCWs with a proposed location in the public right-of-way shall be classified by the same zoning district as that of the nearest adjacent parcel. Form Based Code or Fallbrook Village Code Zoning Districts shall follow the most similar zoning district from lists above, based on the approved uses.
6. When located on private property, small cell wireless facilities SCWs shall comply with all zoning requirements.
7. Configuration. To better assist applicants, minimize clutter, and limit other impacts to aesthetics and community character, subsection A.8. below sets out listed preferences for configurations of SCWs. Applications that involve configurations in

Revised | 5/30/19

Page | 17

Legend: ~~Language Removed~~, Language Moved, Language Moved - New Section, New Language

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

subsections 8.i.4., 8.i.5., or 8.i.6. may be approved so long as the applicant demonstrates either: 1) no preferred configurations in subsection 2.i.1. or subsection 2.i.2. exist within 1,000 feet from the proposed site; or 2) any available structures in subsections 8.i.1., 8.i.2., or 8.i.3. within 1,000 feet from the proposed site would be technically infeasible.

8. Configuration Preferences.
  - i. Wherever possible, a SCW shall be installed in one of the following configurations, ordered from most to least preferred.
    1. Co-location with an existing facility
    2. Existing or replacement utility poles
    3. Existing or replacement structure, or roof-mounted in Industrial & Commercial Zones only
    4. New utility poles
    5. County-owned street light poles
    6. County-owned traffic signals
  - ii. SCWs shall not be placed on decorative poles.
  - iii. SCWs permitted on existing structures shall be co-located where possible, provided, however, that no more than two (2) SCWs shall be co-located on the same structure. SCWs shall be constructed and sited to accommodate the future co-location of up to one (1) additional facilities.
9. Visual Separation Requirements for New Structures. Wherever possible, no two SCWs owned by the same provider shall be located within 500 feet of one another in Most Preferred Locations, or within 1,000 feet of one another in Least Preferred Locations in order to minimize clutter and other impacts to aesthetics and community character within the right of way.
10. ~~Small cell wireless facility equipment on new or existing poles or replacement structures required to support SCWs and related fixed equipment shall not be located within the recommended clear recovery zone alongside County roadways.~~
11. New structures and related fixed equipment ~~and~~ shall not impede sight distance at intersections or driveways for all roadway users based on County Public Road Standards for these requirements.
12. ~~Small cell wireless facilities~~ SCWs on new or existing poles or structures and related equipment structures shall not impair pedestrian use of sidewalks or pathways, shall be designed and sited in compliance with all ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) accessibility requirements, and shall not inhibit equestrian activities on designated public or private trail systems.
13. Height:

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

- i. A small cell wireless facility shall comply with the following height requirements, whichever is least restrictive: When a SCW is attached or mounted on a structure, the least restrictive of the following height requirements shall apply:
    - 1. no taller than The combined height of the structure and SCW shall not exceed 50 feet (including antennas),
    - 2. The combined height of the structure and SCW can be no more than 10 percent taller greater in height than other structures in the area located within 100 feet.
    - 3. or, where the small cell wireless facility is affixed to an existing structure, that structure is not extended in height The combined height of the structure and SCW shall not increase the height of the original structure by more than 10 percent as a result of the deployment.
  - ii. A small cell wireless facility allowed by Section 6985.a Any SCW shall not increase the height of an existing telecommunications facility.
14. Undergrounding. With the exception of antennas, electrical meters, and any other equipment that must be placed above ground to function, all SCW equipment must be undergrounded unless the applicant can demonstrate that undergrounding is technically infeasible.
15. Design.
- i. Small cell wireless facilities on existing poles or structures SCWs shall be designed to visually and operationally blend into the surrounding area and shall be the same or similar color as the facility or structure to which they are attached.  
Small cell wireless facilities on new poles or structures shall be an earth tone color that blends with the surrounding environment and shall not be reflective or otherwise painted to attract attention.  
No net loss in required parking spaces shall occur as a result of the installation of any small cell wireless facility.
  - ii. SCWs shall comply with the Design Regulations contained in Section 6987 of this Zoning Ordinance, Subsections C, D, E, F, G, H, J, and M.
- I. Operational and Maintenance Standards
- 1. SCWs shall be placed in operation only after receipt of post-inspection approval.

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X

DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

2. Noise. Noise from any equipment supporting the SCW shall meet the requirements of the County's Noise Ordinance on an average hourly basis.
  3. Plant Disturbance. The applicant shall avoid or minimize disturbance to the natural landscape and existing vegetation. All vegetation disturbed during project construction shall be replanted with compatible vegetation and soils disturbed by development shall be reseeded to control erosion.
  4. Signage. No signs, striping, graphics or other attention getting devices are permitted on SCWs and supporting structures, except for warning and safety signage.
  5. Compliance with Law. All SCWs must be designed and sited in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, restrictions and conditions, which includes without limitation the California Building Standards Code, General Plan and any applicable specific plan, the County of San Diego Municipal Code, the Federal Communications Commission Rules and Regulations, and any conditions or restrictions in any permit or other governmental approving issued by any public agency with jurisdiction over the facility.
  6. Continuous Compliance. Wireless communications service providers and their operational SCWs must comply at all times with conditions of approval, this code, and all other applicable standards and laws. Failure to comply with a condition, standard or law is grounds for possible revocation pursuant to this code.
  7. Removal. The removal of an existing SCW shall comply with Section 6989 of this ordinance. In addition, SCWs removed by the County shall be stored for no less than fifteen (15) days and thereafter may be disposed of by public auction, if deemed to be of value by the County, or otherwise permitted by law. The SCW provider shall provide the County with a copy of the discontinuation notice sent to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) or Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
- J. Small Cell Wireless Permit Application Contents
1. A detailed site plan Scope of Work: Applicant shall submit a scope of work with sufficient detail to determine compliance with the requirements set forth below ~~shall be submitted.~~
  2. Structural Evaluation: Applicant shall submit a structural pole evaluation completed by a Licensed Civil Engineer or Licensed Contractor prior to placing a SCW on any County-owned utility pole, street light, or traffic signal.
  3. Site Agreement: Applicant shall submit a written authorization signed by the structure owner authorizing the applicant to place a SCW on the structure.

POD-XX-XXX

ATTACHMENT X


DRAFT May 30, 2019

**Strike-through/Underline**

4. Traffic Control Plan: Applicant shall submit a traffic control plan completed by a Licensed Civil Engineer or Licensed Contractor prior to installing an aboveground SCW on any County-maintained road.
5. Fire Service. Applicant shall submit evidence of compliance with Fire Policy FP-2 or a service letter from the applicable fire district.
6. Notice. Any application for a new or co-located SCW shall include evidence of compliance with the following notice requirements:
  - i. A notice of intent to request a permit for a new SCW shall be provided to all property owners within 500 feet of the proposed facility prior to or at the time of the submittal of a request.
  - ii. A notice of intent to request a permit for a new SCW shall be provided to any applicable community planning or sponsor group where the proposed facility is prior to or at the time of the submittal of a request.

6993 SCW APPLICATION PROCESSING


- A. Any ~~small-cell~~ SCW permit application that proposes to co-locate on an existing approved Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3, or Tier 4 facility described below shall not require any further discretionary review if it complies with the application requirements of Section ~~6984.A~~ 6992.
- B. Any permit issued under this section is subject to the validity of the FCC Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order adopted September 26, 2018 (FCC 18-133). The permit will expire 12 months from the date the FCC Ruling is repealed or overturned.



### Small Cell Wireless Zones - Valley Center

**Legend**

- Most Preferred Zones**  
Industrial Zones (M50, M52, M54, M58, and M59)  
Commercial Zones (C32, C36, C37, C38, C40, C42, and C44)  
Special Purpose Zones (S82, S86, S94 and Solid Waste Facility)
- Least Preferred Zones**  
Rural Zones (A70, A72, S81 and S92)  
Commercial Zones C34 & C35  
Residential Zones (R3, RD, RR, RM, RV, RU, RHM, RRO, RC, S80, S90, C30, C31, C46)  
Farm Based Code Zones & Specific Plan Zones
- Community Villages
- Tribal Lands



Source: City of Valley Center, Planning & Community Development Department, GIS Department  
P:\GIS\2019\07-19-2019\small\_cell\_wireless\small\_cell\_wireless\_data\_06-2019.aprx

## Valley Center Community Planning Group

PO Box 127 Valley Center CA 92082



Oliver Smith  
(seat 11)  
Chair

[oliver.smith@philips.com](mailto:oliver.smith@philips.com)

Jeana Boulos  
(seat 9)  
Vice Chair

[jeana.h.boulos@gmail.com](mailto:jeana.h.boulos@gmail.com)

Kathy MacKenzie  
(seat 15)  
Secretary

[valleycenterplans@gmail.com](mailto:valleycenterplans@gmail.com)

Susan Fajardo  
(seat 14)

[susanfarr@scweb.org](mailto:susanfarr@scweb.org)

James Garrison  
(seat 12)

[vc@garrison.com](mailto:vc@garrison.com)

Dina Gharmalkar  
(seat 4)

[dinargharmalkar@yahoo.com](mailto:dinargharmalkar@yahoo.com)

Delores Chavez Harmos  
(seat 5)

[dee@cfcw.com](mailto:dee@cfcw.com)

Steve Hutchison  
(seat 2)

[hutchisonsm@gmail.com](mailto:hutchisonsm@gmail.com)

Susan Janisch  
(seat 3)

[socalj@ctc.com](mailto:socalj@ctc.com)

LaVonne Norwood  
(seat 10)

[lavonne@armorfabrication.com](mailto:lavonne@armorfabrication.com)

William Del Pilar  
(seat 1)

[wildpilar\\_vccpg@outlook.com](mailto:wildpilar_vccpg@outlook.com)

Kevin Smith  
(seat 7)

[saks8@gmail.com](mailto:saks8@gmail.com)

Seat 8 (Open)

Renee Wolf  
(seat 6)

[renee@essentialaddictions.com](mailto:renee@essentialaddictions.com)

Lisa Adams  
(seat 13)

[lhadamca@yahoo.com](mailto:lhadamca@yahoo.com)

June 29, 2019

To: Tara Lieberman  
San Diego County Planning and Development Services  
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310  
San Diego, CA 92123 [MS O-650]

RE: Comments on draft Zoning Ordinance Update for Small Cell Wireless Facilities

Tara:

The Valley Center Community Planning Group has reviewed the draft Zoning Ordinance Update for Small Cell Wireless (SCW) Facilities and would like to make the following recommendations:

- 1) There is a concern that the discretionary process currently in use for full size cell towers will change to a non-discretionary process. We make it a point to carefully evaluate cell tower applications and talk to the neighbors involved to get their input. Is this opportunity to evaluate and make recommendations to the county for SCW facilities in Valley Center going away?
- 2) Does VCCPG have the opportunity to make comments on the preferences and avoidance areas for SCW facilities noted on the county provided maps?
- 3) Who makes the decision as to whether there is an aesthetics issue with a SCW facility location? Will VCCPG be given an opportunity to evaluate and make recommendations for this issue?
- 4) Will VCCPG be notified of proposed SCW facilities prior to their being approved?
- 5) Please advise where in the ordinance any undergrounding of equipment is required to have any public and/or private lands used repaired to at least the previously existing conditions.

Because of the public notice time frame of May 30, 2019 to June 30, 2019 and the only VCCPG meeting in that time frame being May 10, 2019, there was insufficient time for VCCPG to corporately review and make a formal recommendation. Therefore, I am submitting these recommendations in advance of a VCCPG recommendation vote to be held at our regular meeting on July 8, 2019. If the recommendation of the group is not to support this letter, I will be in contact.

If you have further questions, I can be reached at (760) 703-1455.

Respectfully,

Oliver Smith, Chair  
Valley Center Community Planning Group

## ATTACHMENT 2

<b>Valley Center Community Planning Group</b> <b>Emergency Evacuation Sub-Committee</b> July 8, 2019 Valley Center Community Hall 28246 Lilac Road Valley Center, CA 92082	
	
<u>Committee</u> Delores Chavez Harmes Chair  LaVonne Norwood Vice Chair  Lisa Adams  Sgt. George Crysler SD Co. Sheriff  William Del Pilar  Susan Fajardo  Dorothy Kennedy  Chief Joe Napier Valley Center Fire  BC Cal Hendrie Cal Fire	
	
<u>Representatives</u>  Benjamin Mills SDCo. Public Safety  Kevin Johnston SDCo. Planning Dept.  Sgt. Patrick Shannon - SDCo. Sheriff  Mike Shore Fire Marshall	<p><b>A. Vision:</b> Chief Napier has a clear vision of several options to provide supplemental traffic flow for emergency evacuation. Included in this vision is arrangements with property owners, Valley Center Water and others with existing land rights.</p> <p><b>B. Legislature:</b> Currently 100 bills or more in state legislature addressing various evacuation and wildfire funding. Committee has consulted with county on request for funds from municipalities and legislative agencies.</p> <p><b>C. Mobility Report August 2012:</b> Report not applicable to Emergency Evacuation as figures address construction costs only.</p> <p><b>D. Objective:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What's done?</li> <li>2. What's needed?</li> <li>3. Where are we going?</li> </ol> <p><b>E. Approval of Cal Fire Replacement:</b> Cal Fire Chief Rick Johnson appointed Battalion Chief Cal Hendrie as representative to Valley Center Emergency Evacuation subcommittee.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Move to approve Cal Hendrie to subcommittee</li> </ol> <p><b>F. VC Emergency Evacuation Subcommittee:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Dee Harmes</li> <li>2. LaVonne Norwood</li> <li>3. Lisa Adams</li> <li>4. Sgt. George Crysler</li> <li>5. William Del Pilar</li> <li>6. Susan Fajardo</li> <li>7. Dorothy Kennedy</li> <li>8. Chief Joe Napier</li> <li>9. BC Cal Hendrie</li> </ol>
<p><b>Public Information Method</b></p> <p><b>Access and Correction of Personal Information</b>            You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections</p>	