

DRAFT FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (~~D~~FEIR~~FEIR~~)

**Project DEIRFEIR
Hoskings Ranch Tentative Map
PDS2003 3100-5312 (TM) , PDS2003 3910-03-10-005 (ER)
State Clearinghouse (SCH) Number 2003081154**

Lead Agency:

**County of San Diego
Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS)
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123**

November 2015

~~DRAFT-FINAL~~ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (~~DEIR~~FEIR)

Project **DEIR**FEIR
Hoskings Ranch Tentative Map
PDS2003 3100-5312 (TM) , PDS2003 3910-03-10-005 (ER)
State Clearinghouse (SCH) Number 2003081154

Lead Agency:

County of San Diego
Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS)
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123
Contact: Dennis Campbell, PDS Project Manager
858-505-6380

Preparer:

Mark Thompson
TRS Consultants
~~438 Camino del Rio South Suite 233~~
~~San Diego, CA 92108~~ PO Box 2057
Imperial Beach, CA 91933

Project Proponent:

Lettie Flowers
Genesee Properties
3550 General Atomics Ct.
San Diego, CA 92121-0122

November 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY 1

S.1 PROJECT SYNOPSIS..... 1

S.2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES THAT REDUCE OR AVOID THE SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS.....1

S.3 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY 2

S.4 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED BY THE DECISION-MAKING BODY 2

S.5 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES..... 3

S.6 LIST OF PERSONS, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PUBLIC AGENCIES THAT COMMENTED ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (DEIR)..... 4

CHAPTER 1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING..... 1-1

1.1 Project Objectives..... 1-1

1.2 Project Description 1-1

 1.2.1 Project’s Component Parts..... 1-1

 1.2.2 **DFEIR/FEIR** Technical, Economic, Environmental Characteristics 1-5

1.3 Project Location 1-7

 1.3.1 Regional Setting 1-7

 1.3.2 Environment On-site and in the Immediate Vicinity 1-9

1.4 Intended Uses of the **DFEIR/FEIR** 1-10

 1.4.1 Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits..... 1-11

 1.4.2 Related Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements 1-11

1.5 Project Inconsistencies with Applicable Regional and General Plans..... 1-11

1.6 List of Past, Present, and Reasonably Anticipated Future Projects in the Project Area1-11

1.7 Energy Conservation 1-12

1.8 Growth-Inducing Effects..... 1-17

CHAPTER 2.0 SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT 2-1

2.1 Biology..... 2-1

 2.1.1 Existing Conditions..... 2-1

 2.1.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance..... 2-5

 2.1.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2-22

 2.1.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2-25

 2.1.5 Mitigation..... 2-27

 2.1.6 Conclusion 2-31

2.2 Cultural Resources 2-33

 2.2.1 Existing Conditions..... 2-33

 2.2.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance..... 2-34

 2.2.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2-37

 2.2.4 Significance of Impact Prior to Mitigation..... 2-39

 2.2.5 Mitigation..... 2-40

 2.2.6 Conclusion 2-40

2.3 Traffic 2-43

 2.3.1 Existing Conditions..... 2-43

 2.3.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance..... 2-44

 2.3.3 Cumulative Impacts..... 2-50

 2.3.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2-51

 2.3.5 Mitigation..... 2-51

 2.3.6 Conclusion 2-51

CHAPTER 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT 3-1

3.1 Effects Found Not Significant as Part of the **DFEIR/FEIR Process**..... 3-1

3.1.1	Visual Resources.....	3-1
3.1.2	Agricultural Resources	3-8
3.1.3	Air Quality/Global Climate Change	3-16
3.1.4	Geologic Resources.....	3-38
3.1.5	Groundwater Resources.....	3-45
3.1.6	Fire Hazard.....	3-53
3.1.7	Surface Water Resources	3-62
3.1.8	Noise.....	3-74
CHAPTER 4.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES		4-1
<u>4.1</u>	<u>Rationale for Alternative Selection</u>	<u>4-1</u>
<u>4.2</u>	<u>No Development Alternative (NDA)</u>	<u>4-1</u>
4.2.1	Analysis of NDA Effects.....	4-2
<u>4.3</u>	<u>No Project/Legal Lot Alternative (NPLL)</u>	<u>4-3</u>
4.3.1	Analysis of NPLL Effects.....	4-3
<u>4.4</u>	<u>Reduced Project Alternative (RPA).....</u>	<u>4-5</u>
4.4.1	Analysis of RPA Effects.....	4-5
<u>4.5</u>	<u>Consolidated Project Alternative.....</u>	<u>4-7</u>
4.5.1	Analysis of CPA Significant Effects.....	4-9
<u>4.6</u>	<u>Environmentally Superior Alternative</u>	<u>4-34</u>
CHAPTER 5.0 REFERENCES		5-1
CHAPTER 6.0 LIST OF DFEIR/FEIR PREPARERS AND PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED		6-1
CHAPTER 7.0 LIST OF MITIGATION MEASURES AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS		7-1
<u>7.1</u>	<u>Biology 2.1.....</u>	<u>7-1</u>
7.1.1	Mitigation.....	7-1
7.1.2	Design Considerations	7-4
<u>7.2</u>	<u>Cultural Resources 2.2</u>	<u>7-5</u>
7.2.1	Mitigation.....	7-5
7.2.2	Design Considerations	7-5
<u>7.3</u>	<u>Traffic 2.3</u>	<u>7-6</u>
7.3.1	Mitigation.....	7-6
7.3.2	Design Considerations	7-6
<u>7.4</u>	<u>Visual Resources 3.1.1</u>	<u>7-6</u>
7.4.1	Design Considerations	7-6
<u>7.5</u>	<u>Agricultural Resources 3.1.2.....</u>	<u>7-6</u>
7.5.1	Design Considerations	7-6
<u>7.6</u>	<u>Air Quality/Climate Change 3.1.3.....</u>	<u>7-7</u>
7.6.1	Design Considerations	7-7
<u>7.7</u>	<u>Geologic Resources 3.1.4.....</u>	<u>7-10</u>
7.7.1	Design Considerations	7-10
<u>7.8</u>	<u>Groundwater Resources 3.1.5.....</u>	<u>7-10</u>
7.8.1	Design Considerations	7-10
<u>7.9</u>	<u>Fire Hazard 3.1.6.....</u>	<u>7-10</u>
7.9.1	Design Considerations	7-10
<u>7.10</u>	<u>Surface Water Resources 3.1.7.....</u>	<u>7-13</u>
7.10.1	Design Considerations	7-13
<u>7.11</u>	<u>Noise 3.1.8</u>	<u>7-14</u>
7.11.1	Design Considerations	7-14

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title
SUMMARY	
Figure S-1	Regional Vicinity Map
Figure S-2	Aerial Photograph
CHAPTER 1	
Figure 1-1	Project Tentative Map
Figure 1-2	Offsite Impacts
Figure 1-3	Close-up of Proposed Open Space Vacations on Primary Project Design
Figure 1-4	Secondary Access
Figure 1-5	USGS Quadrangle Map
Figure 1-6	Surrounding Land Uses
Figure 1-7	Master Cumulative Impacts Map
Figure 1-8A	Cumulative Impacts Map
Figure 1-8B	Cumulative Impacts Map
Figure 1-8C	Cumulative Impacts Map
Figure 1-8D	Cumulative Impacts Map
Figure 1-8E	Cumulative Impacts Map
CHAPTER 2	
Figure 2-1-1A	Biological Resources Map – West
Figure 2-1-1B	Biological Resources Map – Central
Figure 2-1-1C	Biological Resources Map – East
Figure 2-1-2	Existing Open Space Easements and Associated Biology
Figure 2-1-3	Wetland Delineation
Figure 2-1-4	Rare Plant Survey
Figure 2-1-5	Open Space, Fencing and Signage Plan
Figure 2-1-6	Proposed Project – RPO Encroachments
Figure 2-3-1	Existing Circulation Network
Figure 2-3-2	Project Trip Distribution
Figure 2-3-3	Sight Distance Constraints
CHAPTER 3	
Figure 3-1-1	Topographic Viewshed
Figure 3-1-2	Key View Index
Figure 3-1-3	Key Views 1 and 2: SR 78/79 Plan and Profile, Looking East
Figure 3-1-4	Key View 3: SR 78/79 Looking West
Figure 3-1-5	Key View 4: Looking North from Pine Hills Residential Area
Figure 3-1-6	Key View 5: From Southeast Corner of Project Looking North on Pine Hills Road
Figure 3-1-7	Key View 6: Looking Northwest from Pine Hills Road
Figure 3-1-8	Key Views 7 and 8: From Pine Hills Road
Figure 3-1-9	Key View 9: Looking West from Van Duesen Road

~~Figure 3-1-10~~ ~~Fire Station Location, Looking West Along SR 78/79~~

~~Figure 3-1-11~~ ~~Fire Station Location, Plan View~~

Figure 3-1-~~12~~-10 Key Views Photosimulation Looking East on SR 78/79

Figure 3-1-~~13~~-11 Key View 4: Photosimulation

Figure 3-1-~~14~~-12 Cumulative Projects Map

Figure 3-2-1 Site on Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Map

Figure 3-2-2 Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Map Legend

Figure 3-2-3 Cumulative Projects on Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Map

Figure 3-5-1 Groundwater Study Area and Well Locations

Figure 3-6-1 Typical Fire Clearing Design

Figure 3-7-1 Noise Measurement Locations

Figure 3-7-2 Future Noise Level Contours

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4-3-1 No Project Legal Lot Alternative

Figure 4-4-1 Reduced Project Alternative

Figure 4-5-1 Consolidated Project Alternative

Figure 4-5-2 Consolidated Project Alternative Open Space

Figure 4-5-3 Open Space, Signage, and Fencing Plan for Consolidated Project Alternative

Figure 4-5-4 Close-up of Proposed Open Space Vacations on Consolidated Project Alternative

Figure 4-5-5 Key View Index

Figure 4-5-6 Key Views 1 and 2, SR78/79, Plan and Profile, Looking East

Figure 4-5-7 Key Views 1 and 2, Photosimulation, SR78/79, Looking East

Figure 4-5-8 Key View 3, SR78/79 Looking West

Figure 4-5-9 Key View 4, Looking North from Pine Hills Residential Area

Figure 4-5-10 Key View 4, Photosimulation

Figure 4-5-11 Key View 5, From Southeast Corner of Project

Figure 4-5-12 Key View 6, Looking Northwest from Pine Hills Road

Figure 4-5-13 Key View 7 and Key View 8, From Pine Hills Road

Figure 4-5-14 Key View 9, Looking West from Van Duesen Road

Figure 4-5-15 Key View 10, Looking North on Pine Hills Road

~~Figure 4-5-16~~ ~~Fire Station Location, Looking West along SR78/79~~

~~Figure 4-5-17~~ ~~Fire Station Location, Plan View~~

Figure 4-~~56~~-~~18A~~-16A Consolidated Project Alternative Biological Resources – West

Figure 4-~~56~~-~~18B~~-16B Consolidated Project Alternative Biological Resources – Central

Figure 4-~~56~~-~~18C~~-16C Consolidated Project Alternative Biological Resources – East

Figure 4-~~56~~-~~19~~17 Consolidated Project Alternative – RPO Encroachments

Back Pocket: Project on Biological Resources Map

Consolidated Project Alternative on Biological Resources Map

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title
SUMMARY	
Table S-1	Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures that Reduce or Avoid the Significant Effects
Table S-2	Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts to Significant Proposed Project Impacts
CHAPTER 1	
Table 1-1	Cumulative Projects
<u>Table 1-2</u>	<u>Project Energy Use by Energy Types and Use Categories (In text table)</u>
<u>Table 1-3</u>	<u>Title 23 2014: Selected Energy Efficiency Requirements(In text table)</u>
CHAPTER 2	
Table 2-1-1	Biological Impact Table
Table 2-2-1	Historical Resources (CEQA)
Table 2-2-2	Historical Resources (RPO)
Table 2-2-3	Historical/Archaeological Resources (CEQA)
Table 2-2-4	Archaeological Resources (CEQA)
Table 2-3-1	Project Trip Generation
Table 2-3-2	Existing Plus Project Roadway Segment Conditions
Table 2-3-3	Allowable Increase of Congested Intersections
Table 2-3-4	Existing Plus Project Intersection Conditions
Table 2-3-5	Existing Configuration Sight Distance Summary
CHAPTER 3	
Table 3-2-1	Cumulative Project List
Table 3-3-1	Maximum Daily Emissions Thresholds
Table 3-3-2	Summary of Construction Emissions (Pounds Per Day) With Project Design Considerations
Table 3-3-3	Quantification of Carcinogenic Risks and Noncarcinogenic Hazards (Short-Term Construction Activity)
Table 3-3-4	Summary of Operational Emissions
Table 3-3-5	<u>Expected</u> Greenhouse Gas <u>CO₂e</u> Emissions <u>Summary(Metric Tons Per Year)</u>
<u>Table 3-3-6</u>	<u>Expected Greenhouse Gas Operational Emissions Summary</u>
Table 3-5-1	Anticipated Groundwater Needs at Maximum Buildout
Table 3-8-1	San Diego County Code Section 36.404 Sound Level Limits in Decibels (dBA)
Table 3-8-2	San Diego County Code Section 36.410 Maximum Sound Level (Impulsive) Measured at Occupied Property in Decibels (dBA)
Table 3-8-3	San Diego County Code Section 36.410 Maximum Sound Level (Impulsive) Measured at Occupied Property in Decibels (dBA) for Public Road Projects

CHAPTER 4

Table 4-2-1	Biological Impact Table – Consolidated Project Alternative
Table 4-2-2	Comparison of the CPA and the Project
Table 4-2-3	Traffic Analysis Summary

LIST OF SUBJECT-AREA APPENDICES

- Appendix A** A Biological Resources Survey Report for the Hoskings Ranch Project TM RPL3 and Consolidated Project Alternative, July 2013.
by Vincent N. Scheidt
- Appendix B** Hoskings Ranch TM 5312, Log. No. 03-10-005, Draft Conservation Grazing Management Plan, May 2013
by Vincent N. Scheidt and Mark H. Thompson
- Appendix C** Cultural Resources Assessment for the Hoskings Ranch Project, Julian San Diego County, California TM 5312RPL3, Log No. 03-10-005, Revised July 2013
by Affinis
- Appendix D** Hoskings Ranch Traffic Impact Study TM 5312, September 2012
by KOA Corporation
- Appendix E** Visual Resources Impact Report for Hoskings Ranch, Log No. 03-10-005, July 2013
by TRS Consultants
- Appendix F** Agricultural Conversion Analysis for Hoskings Ranch TM 5312RPL³, ER# 03-10-005, June 2013
by TRS Consultants
- Appendix G** Lot by Lot Analysis of the Agricultural Capacity of a 24-Lot Design for Hoskings Ranch TM5312 RPL3, Log No. 03-10-005, March 2013
by TRS Consultants
- Appendix H** Air Quality Study, Hoskings Ranch, TM 5312, Log No.03-10-005, October 31, 2011
by Urban Crossroads, Inc
- Appendix I** Global Climate Change Analysis, Hoskings Ranch TM 5312 ~~RPL2, Log No. 03-10-005~~, ~~October 22, 2015~~ April 10, 2012
by Urban Crossroads, Inc.
- Appendix J** Geologic Reconnaissance Study, 1,416.5-Acre Hoskings Ranch, Julian San Diego County, California, February 2011
by AECOM
- Appendix K** Final Hydrogeologic Investigation, 1,416.5-Acre Hoskings Ranch, Julian, San Diego County, California, April 2012
by AECOM
- Appendix L** Fire Protection Plan, Hoskings Ranch TM5312 RPL2/3, February 10, 2013
by Lamont Landis
- Appendix M** CEQA Level Preliminary Drainage Analysis, Hoskings Ranch TM 5312RPL3, March 13, 2013
by Masson & Associates, Inc.
- Appendix N** Major Stormwater Management Plan (Major SWMP), for Hoskings Ranch, Highway 78 and 79, Julian, San Diego County, California, TM 5312RPL3, March 13, 2013
by Masson & Associates, Inc.
- Appendix O** Technical Memorandum: Design of IMPs for Hydromodification and Water Quality Purposes for The Hoskings Ranch Development, October 31, 2011.
by Tori R. Walker Engineering, Inc.

- Appendix P** Preliminary Noise Study, Hoskings Ranch Subdivision TM5312 RPL2,
~~February 21, 2011~~September 24, 2015
by Jeremy Louden
- Appendix Q** Agricultural Conversion Analysis for Hoskings Ranch 34-Lot Alternative TM
5312RPL³Alt, January 2013
by TRS Consultants
- Appendix R** Technical Appendices Addendum to SWMP for 34-Lot Alternative Major
Stormwater Management Plan / Hydro Modification, March 13, 2013
By Masson & Associates, Inc.
- Appendix S** Visual Resources Impact Report for The Consolidated Project Alternative
Hoskings Ranch, July 2013
by TRS Consultants
- Appendix T** County of San Diego Notice of Preparation & Environmental Initial Study,
August 24, 2003
- Appendix U** County of San Diego NOP Comments, October 16, 2003
- Appendix V** Confidential Appendices to Cultural Resources Assessment of the Hoskings
Ranch, Revised July 2013
by Affinis

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AASHTO	–	Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ACOE	–	United States Army Corps of Engineers
AB	–	California Assembly Bill
ADT	–	Average Daily Trips
afy	–	Acre Feet Per Year
AMSL	–	Above Mean Sea Level
AP	–	Administrative Permit
APCD	–	Air Pollution Control District
APN	–	Assessor’s Parcel Number
A–P	–	Alquist–Priolo Fault
AQMD	–	Air Quality Management District
AWM	–	County Department of Agricultural Weights and Measures
BA	–	Boundary Adjustment
BAU	–	Business as Usual
BMO	–	Biological Mitigation Organization
BMP	–	Best Management Practices
BP	–	Before the Present
BRCA	–	Biological Resource Core Area
CAA	–	Clean Air Act
CAAQS	–	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CalEPA	–	California Environmental Protection Agency
CALFIRE	–	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CALVENO	–	Caltrans Highway Design Manual California Vehicle Noise Emission Levels
CAPCOA	–	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	–	California State Air Resources Board
CBC	–	California Building Code
CCR	–	California Code of Regulations
CDFW	–	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CEQA	–	California Environmental Quality Act
CESA	–	California Endangered Species Act
CFR	–	Code of Federal Regulations
CGMP	–	Conceptual Grazing Management Plan
CMP	–	Congestion Management Plan
CNEL	–	Community Noise Equivalent Level
CNF	–	Cleveland National Forest
CPA	–	Consolidated Project Alternative
CPUC	–	California Public Utilities Commission
CRWQCB	–	California Regional Water Quality Control Board
CSD	–	County of San Diego
CSS	–	Coastal Sage Scrub
CWA	–	Clean Water Act
CY	–	Cubic Yards
dB	–	Decibels
dBA	–	A-weighted Decibels
DEH	–	Department of Environmental Health
DDEIR	–	Draft Environmental Impact Report
DOC	–	California Department of Conservation

DPS	County of San Diego Department of Planning and Development Services
DPW	County of San Diego Department of Public Works
DU	Dwelling Units
ECA	Environmentally Constrained Area
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
FCI	Forest Conservation Initiative
FEIR	<u>Final Environmental Impact Report</u>
FEMA	<u>Federal Emergency Management Agency</u>
FESA	Federal Endangered Species Act
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FMZ	Fuel Management Zone
FPP	Fire Protection Plan
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GCC	Global Climate Change
GHG	Green House Gasses
GP	General Plan
GPA	General Plan Amendment
GPM	Gallons Per Minute
GWO	County of San Diego Ground Water Ordinance
HABS/HAER	Historic American Building Survey/Historic American Engineering Record
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutants
HCFC	Hydrochlorofluorocarbon
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HGP	Historic General Plan
HLP	Habitat Loss Permit
HMP	Habitat Management Plan
IFC	International Fire Code
IMP	Integrated Management Practices
JCFPD	Julian/Cuyamaca Fire Protection District
JCP	Julian Community Plan
LARA	Local Agricultural Resource Assessment Model
LBZ	Limited Building Zone
LCC	Land Capability Classification
LCFS	Low Carbon Fuel Standard
LE	Land Evaluation (score) Standards
LID	Low Impact Development
LOS	Level of Service
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCAS	Marine Corp Air Station
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Levels
MEI	Maximum Exposed Individual
7MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPH	Miles Per Hour
MSCP	Multiple Species Conservation Program
MSL	Mean Sea Level
MUP	Major Use Permit
MWD	Municipal Water District
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGPRA	<u>Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act</u>

NCCP	–	Natural Community Conservation Planning
NCCPA	–	Natural Community Conservation Plan Act
NDA	–	No Development Alternative
NEPA	–	National Environmental Protection Act
NFPA	–	National Fire Protection Association
NOP	–	Notice of Preparation
NPLL	–	No Project Legal Lot Alternative
NRCS	–	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NSLU	–	Noise Sensitive Land Uses
OEHHA	–	California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard
OPR	–	Governor’s Office of Planning and Research
OSE	–	Open Space Easement
PAMA	–	Pre-Approved Mitigation Area
PFE	–	Public Facility Element
PM	–	Particulate Matter
RAQS	–	Regional Air Quality Strategy
REL	–	Reference Exposure Level
RMP	–	Resource Management Plan
RPA	–	Reduced Project Alternative
RPL	–	Replacement Map
RPO	–	Resource Protection Ordinance
RWQCB	–	Regional Water Quality Control Board
RZ	–	Rezone
SA	–	Site Assessment (score)
SAMP	–	Special Area Management Plan
SANDAG	–	San Diego Association of Governments
SB	–	California Senate Bill
SCAQMD	–	South Coast Air Quality Management Board
SCH	–	State Clearing House
SDAB	–	San Diego Air Basin
SDAPCD	–	San Diego Air Pollution Control District
sfd	–	single family dwelling
SP	–	Site Plan
SIP	–	State Implementation Plan
SLT	–	Screening Level Threshold
SP	–	Specific Plan
SR	–	State Route
SRA	–	San Diego Regional Area
SSM	–	Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance
STP	–	Shovel Test Pit
SUSMP	–	County of San Diego Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan for Land Development and Public Improvement Projects
SWMP	–	Stormwater Management Plan
TAC	–	Toxic Air Contaminants
T-BACT	–	Toxic Best Available Control Technology
TeNS	–	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement
TIF	–	Transportation Impact Fee
TM	–	Tentative Map
toc	–	Time of Concentration

TPM –	Tentative Parcel Map
URF –	Unit Risk Factor
USFWS –	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS –	U.S. Geological Survey
V/C –	Volume to Capacity Ratio
VOC –	Volatile Organic Compounds
WA –	Wildlife Agencies (California Department of Fish & Game and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service)
WPO –	Watershed Protection, Stormwater Discharge and Discharge Control Ordinance
WRP –	Wetland Revegetation Plan
ZOI –	Zone of Influence