

MARK WARDLAW DIRECTOR

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 310, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds

PHONE (858) 694-2962 FAX (858) 694-2555

April 21, 2017

Environmental Review Update Checklist Form For projects with Previously Approved Environmental Documents

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF SUNSET VIEW ESTATE (TM) TIME EXTENSION: PDS2016-TM-5522RPL2TE

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) covering the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is required. This Environmental Review Update Checklist Form has been prepared in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15164(e) to explain the rationale for determining whether any additional environmental documentation is needed for the subject discretionary action.

1. Background on the previously adopted MND:

A MND for Sunset View Estates, Tentative Map No. 5522RPL2 was considered and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 11, 2009. The adopted MND found the project would not have any potentially significant effects.

 Lead agency name and address: County of San Diego, Planning & Development Services 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 110 San Diego, CA 92123

- a. Contact Gregory Mattson, AICP, Project Manager
- b. Phone number: (858) 692-2249
- c. E-mail: Gregory.Mattson@sdcounty.ca.gov
- 3. Project applicant's & engineer's name and address:
 - Dawn August, Lionhead Properties, LLC, 1411 Rock Terrace, Alpine, CA 91901
 - Anthony Kahn, Omega Engineering Consultants, 3440 Viewridge Ave., Suite B, San Diego, CA 92123

4.	Summary	v of the activities	authorized by	present r	permit/entitlement	application:
	Carring	y or this activities	addition2od by			application.

The proposed project is a six year TM Time Extension to the previously approved TM 5522RPL2; approved on September 11, 2009.

5. Does the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is now proposed differ in any way from the previously approved project?

YES NO ⊠

6. SUBJECT AREAS DETERMINED TO HAVE NEW OR SUBSTANTIALLY MORE SEVERE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS COMPARED TO THOSE IDENTIFIED IN THE PREVIOUS MND OR EIR. The subject areas checked below were determined to be new significant environmental effects or to be previously identified effects that have a substantial increase in severity either due to a change in project, change in circumstances or new information of substantial importance, as indicated by the checklist and discussion on the following pages.

⋈ NONE ☐ Aesthetics ☐ Agriculture and Forest ☐ Air Quality Resources ☐ Cultural Resources ☐ Geology & Soils ☐ Biological Resources ☐ Hazards & Haz Materials ☐ Greenhouse Gas ☐ Hydrology & Water **Emissions** Quality ☐ Land Use & Planning ☐ Mineral Resources ☐ Noise □ Population & Housing ☐ Public Services ☐ Recreation ☐ Transportation/Traffic ☐ Utilities & Service ☐ Mandatory Findings of Significance Systems

DETERMINATION:

No subschanges require in new enviolentified importar	in the circumstances under which the major revisions to the previous MND rironmental effects or a substantial is significant effects. Also, there is used in CEQ e, the previously adopted MND is	ent Services has determined that: project and there are no substantial ne project will be undertaken that will due to the involvement of significant ncrease in the severity of previously no "new information of substantial A Guidelines Section 15162(a) (3). Is adequate upon completion of an		
changes require significa previous substan 15162(a conform	in the circumstances under which the major revisions to the previous EIF int new environmental effects or a surfly identified significant effects. Also ial importance as that term is used in the major of the major	project and there are no substantial ne project will be undertaken that will R or ND due to the involvement of ubstantial increase in the severity of so, there is no "new information of used in CEQA Guidelines Section project is a residential project in fic Plan with an EIR completed after resuant to CEQA Guidelines Section		
in the ci major re environr identified importar Howeve severity the inco	rcumstances under which the project evisions to the previous ND due to nental effects or a substantial included significant effects. Or, there nee," as that term is used in CEQ or all new significant environmental of previously identified significant environmental	ject or there are substantial changes it will be undertaken that will require the involvement of significant new rease in the severity of previously is "new information of substantial A Guidelines Section 15162(a) (3). effects or a substantial increase in effects are clearly avoidable through agreed to by the project applicant.		
in the ci major re new env identified importar	Substantial changes are proposed in the project or there are substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous ND or EIR due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previous identified significant effects. Or, there is "new information of substantial importance," as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a) (3) Therefore, a SUBSEQUENT or SUPPLEMENTAL EIR is required.			
Samo		April 21, 2017		
Signature		Date		
Gregory Matt	son. AICP	Project Manager		
Printed Name	,-	Title		

INTRODUCTION

- 4 -

CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted ND or a previously certified EIR for the project.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15162(a) and 15163 state that when an ND has been adopted or an EIR certified for a project, no Subsequent or Supplemental EIR or Subsequent Negative Declaration shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole public record, one or more of the following:

- Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
- Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
- 3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR, was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:
 - a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or Negative Declaration; or
 - b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previously adopted Negative Declaration or previously certified EIR; or
 - c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous Negative Declaration or EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(a) states that an Addendum to a previously certified EIR may be prepared if some changes or additions are necessary.

The following responses detail any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that may cause one or more effects to environmental resources. The responses support the "Determination," above, as to the type of environmental documentation required, if any.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST

changes in circumstances under substantial importance" that caus vistas; scenic resources including	which the project e one or more effe but not limited to, t sting visual characte	opted, are there any changes in the is undertaken and/or "new informects to aesthetic resources including trees, rock outcroppings, or historic er or quality of the site and its surro	nation of g: scenic buildings
	YES	NO ⊠	
are there any changes in the project undertaken and/or "new information agriculture or forestry resources in Farmland of Statewide Importance agricultural use or Williamson Act Resources Code section 12220(g	ct, changes in circulon of substantial imp cluding: conversion to a non-agricultura contract, or convers g)), timberland (as c	5 Since the previous MND was add mstances under which the project is cortance" that cause one or more effe of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmlar al use, conflicts with existing zoning sion of forest land (as defined in Pu defined by Public Resources Code as defined by Government Code se	ects to nd, or for ublic section
	YES	NO ⊠	
changes in circumstances under substantial importance" that caus obstruction of implementation of applicable portions of the State Impublicable portions of the State Impublicable federal or state amb substantial pollutant concentration number of people?	which the project to one or more effect one or more effect on Diego applementation Plancing or projected air sutant for which the ient air quality stans; or creation of	dopted, are there any changes in the is undertaken and/or "new informects to air quality including: conflict: Regional Air Quality Strategy (R. (SIP); violation of any air quality staquality violation; a cumulatively consproject region is non-attainment undard; exposure of sensitive receptions objectionable odors affecting a sun NO	nation of s with or AQS) or andard or siderable under an eptors to ubstantial
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -	Since the previous	s MND was adopted, are there any	changes

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to biological resources including: adverse effects on any sensitive natural community (including riparian habitat) or species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in a local or regional plan, policy, or regulation, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; adverse effects to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act; interference with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with wildlife corridors, or impeding the use of native wildlife nursery sites; and/or

-			ation Plan, Natural Communit pitat conservation plan, policies	
	YES	NO ⊠		
the project, changes in ci information of substantial i including: causing a chang defined in State CEQA Guid	rcumstances und mportance that e in the significatelines Section 15 ature; and/or dist	der which the pro- cause one or mo ance of a historica 5064.5; destroying a	dopted, are there any changes ject is undertaken and/or "nore effects to cultural resource a unique paleontological resource remains, including those interest.	ew ces as rce
	YES	NO ⊠		
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from geology and soils including exposure of people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic-related ground failure including liquefaction, strong seismic ground shaking, or landslides; result in substantial soi erosion or the loss of topsoil; produce unstable geological conditions that will result in adverse impacts resulting from landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse; being located on expansive soil creating substantial risks to life or property; and/or having soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?				
	YES	NO ⊠		
changes in the project, char "new information of substatention of substatential effects associated	nges in circumsta antial importance iated with green	ances under which e" that result in o house gas emissio	MND was adopted, are there a the project is undertaken and one or more effects related ns or compliance with applica greenhouse gas emissions?	d/o tc
	YES	NO ⊠		

The California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) CEQA Climate Change White Paper (CAPCOA White Paper) dated January 2008 recommends using a 900 metric tons (MT) screening level to determine GHG impacts. As stated in the CAPCOA White Paper, 900 MT of GHG emissions are generally produced by a 50-unit single family residential or 70-unit multifamily development. Since the project proposes eight single family residential lots, the project's GHG

emissions would be below the CAPCOA-recommended 900MT screening level and impacts would be less than significant. The proposed Time Extension would not result in significant impacts related to GHG emissions. Further, there are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one of more effects relating to GHG emissions.

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from hazards and hazardous materials including: creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or wastes; creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment; production of hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school; location on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 creating a hazard to the public or the environment; location within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport; within the vicinity of a private airstrip resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area; impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan; and/or exposure of people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?



Decision: Since adoption, there have been changes in the circumstances under which the project was undertaken related to Hazards. The project site is located within the declared Urban-Wildland Interface (UWI) area and after consultation with the Alpine Fire Protection District staff; adequate design measures will be implemented as part of the project permitting. Such as building construction shall be constructed to meet the California Building Code 2016 Edition Chapter 7a standards as amended by the County of San Diego and adopted by the 2017-01 Ordinance of the Alpine Fire Protection District. The revised FPP has been approved by the Alpine Fire Protection District. Therefore, although there are changes in circumstances, these changes will not result in new significant environmental effects related to hazards.

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to hydrology and water quality including: violation of any waste discharge requirements; an increase in any listed pollutant to an impaired water body listed under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act; cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater receiving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses; substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level; substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area in a manner which would result in substantial erosion, siltation or

flooding on- or off-site; create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems; provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; place housing or other structures which would impede or redirect flood flows within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, including County Floodplain Maps; expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam; and/or inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

Discussion: In May 2013, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) for the San Diego Region reissued a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit, commonly known as MS4 Permit (Order No. R9-2013-0001; "Permit") to the County and other local jurisdictions in the San Diego area. Among other changes, the Permit lowers the minimum threshold necessary to trigger classification as a Priority Development Project (PDP) and sets forth stricter onsite requirements for stormwater pollutant control and hydromodification management for each of these projects.

The project engineer has provided the County with a revised SWQMP and MS4 studies, spreadsheets, design applications and hydromodification methodology for review. County staff has determined that the proposed stormwater management applications, use of a detention basin, filtering measures and other erosion control methods are in compliance with the current MS4 regulations.

YES NO ⊠

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to land use and planning including: physically dividing an established community; and/or conflicts with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

YES NC □ ⊠

<u>XI. MINERAL RESOURCES</u> -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to mineral resources including: the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state; and/or loss of locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

YES NC

XII. NOISE -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from noise including: exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies; exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels; a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; for projects located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, or for projects within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

YES NO ⊠

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING</u> -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects to population and housing including displacing substantial numbers of existing housing or people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

YES NO ⊠

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the following public services: fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities?

YES NO ⊠

XV. RECREATION -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in an increase in the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated; or that include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

YES NO ⊠

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to transportation/traffic including: an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system; exceedance, either individually or cumulatively, of a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways; a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks; substantial increase in hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment); inadequate emergency access; inadequate parking capacity; and/or a conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

YES NO ⊠

XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to tribal cultural resources including: causing a change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in Public Resource Code §21074?

YES NO ⊠

XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to utilities and service systems including: exceedance of wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board; require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects; require new or expanded entitlements to water supplies or new water resources to serve the project; result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments; be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs; and/or noncompliance with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

YES NO ⊠

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE: Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in any mandatory finding of significance listed below?

Does the project degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

YES NC

Attachments:

- Previous environmental documentation
- Addendum to the previously adopted MND

XVIII. REFERENCES USED IN THE COMPLETION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST FORM

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Fish and Wildlife Code, Section 1600 et. seq.

California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA Guidelines

California Environmental Quality Act. 2001. California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15382.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, Title 14, Natural Resources, Division 7

California Integrated Waste Management Board, Title 27, Environmental Protection, Division 2, Solid Waste

California Public Resources Code, CPRC, Sections 40000-41956

County Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 3

County of San Diego Scenic Highway Conservation/Open Space Element of the General Plan

County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance (Agricultural Use Regulation, Sections 2700-2720)

County of San Diego. Resource Protection Ordinance, Article II (16-17). October 10, 1991

County of San Diego. 1997. Multiple Species Conservation Program, County of San Diego Biological Mitigation Ordinance

County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO) (Ordinance Nos. 9424 and 9426, County Codes §§ 67801 et seq.)

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection

Order No. 2001-01, NPDES No. CAS 0108758, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region

Ordinance 8334, An Ordinance to amend the San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances relating to Flood Damage Prevention, Adopted by the Board of Supervisors on 12/7/93

Public Resources Code Sections 4290 and 4291

San Diego County Light Pollution Code (San Diego County Code Section 59.101)

The Importance of Imperviousness from *Watershed Protection Techniques* Vol. 1, No. 3 - Fall 1994 by Tom Schueler Center for Watershed Protection

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 1976

Uniform Fire Code, Article 9 and Appendix II-A, Section 16

Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin (9), California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region