Public Correspondence Item- 6- Oppose

From: <u>tina bottenberg</u>
To: <u>Madamba, Jessica</u>
Subject: Smilax avenue project

Date: Monday, October 12, 2020 4:30:10 PM

As a homeowner and resident of this neighborhood for 25 years, and an employee of Joli Ann Leitchtag Elementary school (located within 1000 ft of this project) I am strongly opposed to this project! I am not opposed to the property being developed, but not 65 units. We already have a huge problem in our neighborhood with street parking from the 1000 plus rental units on smilax ave.

This proposed development will add at least 130 more cars to our already congested sector.

School is not in right now because of covid, but we will be going back next week. Within 500 feet of this project is a 4 way stop. The stop has a crossing guard that crosses more than 300 students and about 300 parents and I don't know how many extra younger children along with the parent twice a day. The streets of smilax and mimosa are held up with at least 400 cars 2 times a day.130 extra cars would severely impact this already critical situation. All these cars are bumper to bumper twice a day. This would severely

be impacted by 130 cars feeding into or trying to crossover the already ridiculous traffic on smilax.

There has been a drive by shooting within 1000 feet of this location and one of my students was hit by a car last year. 3 years ago a 2 year old wandered into the street right across smilax from this property and was killed. Both sides of the street have no parking signs, so there is no street parking..

Please consider my perspective and please do not let this project be approved.

Tina Bottenberg Casey 449 Mimosa Ave Vista Ca 92081 760 717 7924 2tinacasey@gmail.com From: brianna davis
To: Madamba, Jessica
Subject: Smilax Townhome Project

Date: Monday, November 2, 2020 12:40:27 PM

Hello Jessica,

I just want to make sure you know that I live in the community of this proposed project. In fact I'm the home directly across the field from it. I signed the petition that went through my neighborhood and I'm just following up with this email so you have it when you notify us of the virtual meeting coming up. I am highly opposed to the pollution the construction will cause as my neighbor to the left has terrible scarring on his lungs that makes basic caring for himself difficult. The dust and debris from construction would literally put his life at risk. Not to mention all the congestion that already exists on Smilax witht and the deaths that the traffic and congestion has already caused. Adding more people and vehicles to this already clustered area, especially 62 possible families would be irresponsible to say the least.

Please add me to your email list.

Thanks you,

Brianna Davis

From: Jamie Cavoulas
To: Madamba, Jessica

Subject: Smilax townhomes vista ca

Date: Sunday, October 25, 2020 9:04:27 PM

To whom it may concern.

I amend and am heavily opposed to this townhome project on mimosa and smilax.

This area, is already heavy populated. The small old streets cannot take anymore traffic. There have been a few deaths on Smilax already, its a dark road and cars drive fast. The school children are at risk due to the heavy trafficm

Building more homes will be a huge negative impact on the community.

Please dont build anymore homes

Jamie

Concerned resident

From: <u>Idrisi, Nasseer@Wildlife</u>
To: <u>Madamba, Jessica</u>

Cc: Turner, Jennifer@Wildlife; Hailey, Cindy@Wildlife

Subject: Comment on the Smilax Townhome Project - SCH 2020089029

Date: Tuesday, September 8, 2020 3:29:00 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Ms. Jessica Madamba

Jessica.Madamba@sdcounty.ca.gov

Dear Ms. Madamba:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has reviewed the Smilax Townhome Project (Project) dated August 28, 2020 through the State OPR with SCH# 2020089029. CDFW is a Trustee Agency and a Responsible Agency pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA; §§ 15386 and 15281, respectively) and is responsible for ensuring appropriate conservation of the state's biological resources, including rare, threatened, and endangered plant and animal species, pursuant to the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code § 2050 et seq.) and other sections of the Fish and Game Code (1600 et seq.). CDFW also administers the Natural Community Conservation Planning (NCCP) program.

As indicated in the MND, the proposed Project would require removal of 2.2 acres of non-native grasslands in the Project property. The mitigation measure for BIO-1 (BIO-1) indicates that 1 acre will be purchased from the Brook Forest Mitigation Bank for the purpose of conserving this 1 acre to mitigate the 2.2 acres of non-native grasslands. CDFW would like to inquire why a 1:1 mitigation at the Brook Forest Mitigation Bank was not pursued.

CDFW would like to bring to your attention that on the first page of the Mitigated Negative Declaration, the project was titled as: Perrin Oak Ranch with a Record ID#: PDS2016-AD-16-023, and an Environmental Log#: PDS2019-ER-19-09-008. We believe this is inaccurate according to page 24 of the MND and the Notice of Intent, where the project name is: Smilax Townhome Project, with project ID #'s: PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, and PDS2019-STP-19-014. Please clarify as to the correct project information.

If you have any questions pertaining to biological resources for this project, please contact CDFW for additional coordination.

Thank you,

Nasseer Idrisi, Ph.D.

Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist) California Department of Fish and Wildlife South Coast Region 5 3883 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123

Nasseer.Idrisi@Wildlife.ca.gov

Phone: (858) 467-2720 Cell: (619) 495-0420



From: cooperzone4u@yahoo.com

To: <u>Madamba, Jessica</u>

Date: Friday, September 4, 2020 10:03:36 AM

Hi Jessica

Is this project still legal I thought that Trump signed an order to stop this kind of invasion into suburbs. Why are we still seeing this project continuing? What can we do to stop It? We are already having to deal with over flow of parking down our street from two complexes close buy. Not to mention several vehicle vandalism from the over crowding on the streets. Sorry to that We strongly object to this project of multifamily townhouses.

Glen&Corina Cooper

From: <u>brianna davis</u>
To: <u>Madamba, Jessica</u>

Subject: Re: SMILAX TOWNHOME PROJECT

Date: Wednesday, September 30, 2020 1:34:59 PM

Thank you. Yes please put me in the interested party list.

Brianna Davis

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 30, 2020, at 8:51 AM, Madamba, Jessica < <u>Jessica.Madamba@sdcounty.ca.gov</u>> wrote:

Good Morning Brianna,

The Notice of Intent is to inform you of the Smilax Townhome Project proposal. A Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) report was prepared to analyze the project and possible impacts to the environment. The MND found that the project does have impacts to the environment, but with mitigation measures, the level of impact is below significant. During this public review period, the County is accepting any comments/concerns from the public in regards to the MND. In addition, there will be a Planning Commission meeting and a Board of Supervisors meeting where the project will be heard and provide the public an opportunity to voice any comments/concerns they have. Those hearing dates are yet to be set, but another notice will be mailed to you and neighbors. I can also put you on an Interested Parties list to notify you via email of those hearing dates.

Please see the following link for more project information. https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/pds/cega/Smilax.html

Please let me know if you have any other questions, Jessica

From: brianna davis < brianna davis < brianna davis < briannabythesea@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2020 8:23 AM

To: Madamba, Jessica < Jessica.Madamba@sdcounty.ca.gov>

Subject: SMILAX TOWNHOME PROJECT

Good morning Jessica,

My name is Brianna Davis and I live on Poinsettia across the field from where this project is proposed. I received the NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION and I'm not sure what it's telling me my options are, or even what the notice means?

I'm looking for some clarity.

Sincerely,

Brianna Davis

To: Jimenez, Ann; Slovick, Mark; Smith, Ashley; Armstrong, Jacob; Flannery, Kathleen; Barnhart, Douglas; Pallinger,

David; Calvo, Yolanda; Seiler, Michael; Woods, Bryan; Beck, Michael; Edwards, Michael (LUEG)

Subject: New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing **Date:** Tuesday, November 10, 2020 3:39:41 PM

SpeakUp

New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing

Dale Metcalfe submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Planning Commission Hearing

Item: 6. Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-STP-19-014; North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area. If you would like to speak on this item call (619) 343-2539 and use ID 454 451 592#

eComment: Increased traffic will be problematic. Now traffic backs up on Oleander and Poinsettia when Joli Ann Leichtag Elementary School starts and in the afternoons, and along Poinsettia up to Oleander at rush hour. Also, tenants in the apartment complex on Smilex just north of 78 already park their vehicles on Mimosa Avenue. Many of us daily walk (with our dogs) the Mimosa/Oleander/Smilex block -- often in sections without sidewalks -- and increased car traffic will pose safety issues for us.

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com.

To: Jimenez, Ann; Slovick, Mark; Smith, Ashley; Armstrong, Jacob; Flannery, Kathleen; Barnhart, Douglas; Pallinger,

David; Calvo, Yolanda; Seiler, Michael; Woods, Bryan; Beck, Michael; Edwards, Michael (LUEG)

Subject: New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing **Date:** Wednesday, November 11, 2020 5:32:12 PM

SpeakUp

New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing

Lynell Ciranna submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Planning Commission Hearing

Item: 6. Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-STP-19-014; North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area. If you would like to speak on this item call (619) 343-2539 and use ID 454 451 592#

eComment: This project needs more green space or children's playgrounds. There is little space for kids to go outside and play. You would have to drive just to have a little outside space that is not a dog waste filled area. This is not the way families are intended to live. We must look after our mental and physical health by providing convenient outdoor outlets for children and families to use. Cut the number of condos and provide better living conditions by adding playgrounds and not condos.

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com.

To: Jimenez, Ann; Slovick, Mark; Smith, Ashley; Armstrong, Jacob; Flannery, Kathleen; Barnhart, Douglas; Pallinger,

David; Calvo, Yolanda; Seiler, Michael; Woods, Bryan; Beck, Michael; Edwards, Michael (LUEG)

Subject: New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing **Date:** Tuesday, November 10, 2020 1:25:23 PM

SpeakUp

New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing

Melanie Tudor submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Planning Commission Hearing

Item: 6. Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-STP-19-014; North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area. If you would like to speak on this item call (619) 343-2539 and use ID 454 451 592#

eComment: I live right down the street from this proposed project. Traffic is an absolute nightmare in the mornings and afternoons on Smilax and Oleander currently because of the school and now you plan on additional traffic? Also, we already have cars from the existing apartment complexes that use Poinsettia as a drag strip. I'm extremely concerned that adding more vehicles will cause further speeding issues along my street, which is a 45 MPH zone, not a 25 MPH. Melanie Tudor

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com.

To: Jimenez, Ann; Slovick, Mark; Smith, Ashley; Armstrong, Jacob; Flannery, Kathleen; Barnhart, Douglas; Pallinger,

David; Calvo, Yolanda; Seiler, Michael; Woods, Bryan; Beck, Michael; Edwards, Michael (LUEG)

Subject: New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing **Date:** Tuesday, November 10, 2020 3:20:36 PM

SpeakUp

New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing

Mindy Gurrera submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Planning Commission Hearing

Item: 6. Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-STP-19-014; North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area. If you would like to speak on this item call (619) 343-2539 and use ID 454 451 592#

eComment: Changing the zoning on the Similax project from 2 homes per acre to 15 is reckless to the safety of this community. This area is already impacted with traffic & parking gridlock. Safety to our children walking to the Elementary School is at risk & there has already been a fatality hit and run on this road recently. A builders desire to make more money should not override the needs of the community. Thank you for your sincere consideration. Mindy Gurrera

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com.

641 Mimosa Avenue Vista, CA 92081 October 12, 2020

County of San Diego Planning & Development Services 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310 San Diego, CA 92123

Attn: Mark Wardlaw, Kathleen Flannery, Jessica Madamba

Re: Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-STP-19-014

Dear Concerned:

It just came to our attention last night that this project was being considered in our neighborhood that requires a zoning change. PLEASE do not allow this to go through. It will negatively impact our neighborhood here and I will explain why.

We have lived here in this neighborhood for decades. We moved here because of the large lots and rural feel. We are an old neighborhood that is very diverse, with older farmhomes next to newer homes (photos attached from my walk this morning), all on large parcels (1/2 acre minimum). This entire large city block, which I walk twice a day with my dog, has ONLY single family homes on large lots with the exception of an elementary school, a church, and a plant nursery.

Smilax Road, which is the road that this property would exit onto, is a very narrow 2 lane road. Many years ago a small apartment complex (photo attached) was built across the street. It has a huge expansive lawn with trees facing the road. That is the only multi-unit building in our neighborhood.

Across the bridge on Smilax, under the freeway, there are several apartment complexes and once they were built, it became VERY congested on Smilax on that side of the bridge. The street parking around those apartments is always full in the late afternoon and early morning and so those tenants also spill out to park on Mimosa Avenue, the ONLY other street parking available, and walk the 1/4 mile or more back to the apartments. Every night that street is lined with parked cars. I am enclosing a photo that I took this morning AFTER 9 am, so you can see that even during the day it is crowded there. There is no parking allowed on Smilax because the road is so narrow. The exception to this are 3 parking spaces in front of the Smilax apartments. Those spaces are rarely open. I have enclosed a photo. WHERE are these residents and their visitors going to park? If there are only 2 parking spaces per condo, that takes care of a working couple, but what about their other roommates or driving teenagers, household workers, gardeners & maintenance people, let alone guests that come to visit?

We have a sidewalk on one side of Smilax that goes 1/2 way around our block. During school hours, before and after school, the sidewalk is VERY busy with mothers escorting their

young children to school and back, most of them walking from the apartments across the freeway. During Covid, every evening our sidewalks and walkways going around this city block have been very busy with pedestrians and their dogs. The point being, there are lots of people and dogs on foot and also, especially on Smilax, lots of fast moving cars. I'm always nervous pulling out onto Smilax from Mimosa because of that. During normal times when school is in session and folks are all working, Smilax and Poinsettia/Oleander get completely backed up with parents attempting to take their kids to school and pick them up. It becomes a log jam every morning and afternoon. We don't need 120+ more cars added to that!

I have attached photos but it is difficult to discern from them, that the project area, no matter where they place the road from the property to join Smilax, will come out to a blind hill turning left. It is VERY DANGEROUS pulling out onto that road. The property adjacent to this lot, at the top of the incline, lost their toddler child to death on that road. There have been other deaths also. Although the posted speed limit is 35 mph, drivers tend to speed on this road on their way to get to work. (They will drive down Smilax to Poinsettia & Oleander, and from there either go to Sycamore or Rancho Santa Fe to get to Highway 78). Adding over a hundred more vehicles to this tiny road every day is just unfathomable to me and looks like a recipe for more disasters. I read the EIR and quite honestly, it was very difficult to understand how they were assessing impact. In section XVII Transportation and Traffic they report insignificant impact?! Adding likely (at least) 150+ driving residents to our little neighborhood won't have any negative impact?! And section XIV: Population & Housing: NO impact?! Changing our low density zoning to high density?! This is definitely out of character for this neighborhood and a dangerous addition to our already busy traffic situation.

Thank you for your consideration of the neighborhood concerns. Had I known about this proposed project earlier, I would have gathered up more neighbors to protest, as I know that none of us want more density here.

The new property owner certainly has a right to develop this land, but changing the current zoning he/she does not have a right to do if it negatively impacts the community. PLEASE do not allow this zoning change. My biggest concerns are: 1) Traffic and safety of the current and future residents 2) Changing the rural, large lot character of our neighborhood.

I am available at 760-271-1601 if you'd like any further neighborhood input before allowing this project to move forward.

Sincerely,

Isobel (Belle) Hazlehurst

From: Stephanie Jackel
To: Madamba, Jessica
Subject: Smilax Townhome Project

Date: Monday, October 26, 2020 2:47:27 PM

I'd like to speak very strongly in opposition to the proposed townhome project at Mimosa Avenue and Smilax Road. The proposed zoning change would cram 62 living units into a 4.9-acre site, MUCH more dense than the surrounding residential area.

The only vehicle access to and from this project is a small private road (Smilax) which will create an exceedingly negative traffic impact to the area.

The proposed project will detrimentally affect all those who live in this area. I urge you not to approve the proposed zoning change or the proposed project.

Stephanie Jackel 1500 Green Oak Road Vista 92081 From: Kenneth Knight
To: Madamba, Jessica

Subject: Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-STP-19-

014

Date: Monday, October 12, 2020 11:00:46 AM

To whom it may concern,

I am writing this letter in order to register my objections to this project. I have lived in this neighborhood since 1976, at which time I moved from VERY CROWDED Ocean Beach to sparsely populated Vista to raise my children. At that time there was a half acre minimum per home. Over time, that half acre minimum eroded to allow tiny lots. Another recent trend that has made things more crowded is the proliferation of "granny flats", but this is understandable in order to help our aging parents and grand parents. Since 1976, high-density apartment complexes have been built in the immediate area. It's interesting to note that the overflow parking from these apartment units spills over more than a quarter mile onto Mimosa Avenue. This fact, along with the high-density apartments and new construction @ Sycamore and Hibiscus have created an unbelievable snarl of traffic throughout the day, and especially during rush hour. All of the industrial parks are contributing to the problem with their commuter traffic (primarily down Poinsettia Avenue to Oleander Avenue, Smilax and Mimosa) making it very difficult to leave or return to my home at certain predictable times every day. With the elimination of school busing, hundreds of cars line up and clog ingress and egress to the area every morning and afternoon. It is very obvious that this area is already over-built and the thought of adding to the traffic on the two lane roads adjacent to the project is beyond my imagination. It's too much already, and the idea of adding 62 units in the middle of it seems ludicrous. People are always hurrying and jockeying to get to their destinations, and it's sad to note that it feels very dangerous to walk along Smilax Avenue these days. And it is indeed sad that a child was struck and killed in front of the home bordering the project. It is time to say "NO" to these developers that could care less about the quality of life in our neighborhood. It is not a safe proposal for adults and children. We love to walk daily for exercise, with our friends, dogs and grand children. Please consider the negative impact of this proposed change to our land use designation. I don't know of even one neighbor who is in favor of this project. Thank you for taking my thoughts and feelings into consideration.

Kenneth Knight 641 Mimosa Avenue Vista, CA 92081 (760)518-7532 From: Caroline May
To: Madamba, Jessica
Subject: No to new Smilax Zoning

Date: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 7:41:03 AM

Hello,

I am a resident on Palm Crest Terr and want to voice my opposition to the rezoning of Smilax to allow for 62 new townhomes. This place is like a safe haven for me with it's secluded feel without the isolation and feel I had already seen far too much increased traffic and neighborly noise in the past year. Traffic on Smilax, Oleander, and Poinsettia are already brutal when schools and offices let out do to small roads with poor conditions. Developing so many new homes would force me out of the neighborhood I've come to love and even further from my work and family.

Please do not vote to rezone Smilax to allow for new housing limits per acre. It will over crowd and shove out the nice established neighborhood.

Thank you for listening to my concerns.



Planning & Development Services 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310, San Diego, California 92123

October 6, 2020

Ref: Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002,

North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area: General Plan Amendment Comments to the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration

Smilax Townhomes - 62 Residential Development Project located off of Smilax Rd., near Mimosa Ave., South of SR-78

Please consider our many concerns about this proposed rezoning. Zoning laws were created under the basic assumption that property must be protected against uses of neighboring property that will be harmful to the use or enjoyment of the property. Changing the zoning to allow this project benefits only the developer. It should only be allowed to proceed with the input and consent of all impacted neighborhoods, and with the developer required to mitigate any and all of the anticipated impacts to the surrounding neighborhoods.

This is an area currently zoned 1 residence per half acre. Streets, parking, drainage, and sewage systems are all designed around this zoning. The proposed 62 townhomes are too dense for the neighborhood.

Buiking A

- Apartments north of 78 are less dense than the proposed townhomes, and yet the parking from them is spilling over onto Mimosa.
- Assume that each townhome has an average of 2 residents, or about 124 people living on about 4.9 acres. If each resident owns a vehicle, then about 124 vehicles will need a place to park.
- Smilax is a two-lane road with no designated parking. Where will overflow parking be?

B Traffic and Pedestrian Safety

- County planning maps show Smilax connecting to Poinsettia Ave near the school. (See rounded lines area on the map.) As shown, the development would overlap this planned change.
 Medium to heavy traffic is already using Smilax. Sixty-two townhomes will significantly increase
- the traffic on this road.

 Stop signs may be needed to control traffic flow, both for the safety of school children and other
- pedestrians, and for the increased traffic.
 The planned entrance onto Smilax is at a point on Smilax where cars travelling on the street cannot see another car approaching. Already one family has lost a child to traffic on Smilax.

C Water runoff from the property

Three areas need to be addressed: Water runoff from the site, the impact to Poinsettia Ave from runoff, and County water and sewer lines.

Water runoff from the site

- Flood waters currently are absorbed by and flow across the property (currently an open field), continue past there and through a pipe under Poinsettia Ave, and then continue down a seasonal drainage way.
- When the property is graded as shown on the preliminary maps, provision should be made for water runoff. Because of the hardscape, most of the water would just run off, increasing flooding volume and frequency in Agua Hedionda creek.
- Water falling on the property should be required to be directed to a water retention basin located on the property of sufficient size to hold the expected runoff.

Impact to Poinsettia Ave

- Poinsettia Ave is designated a private road by San Diego County. The County does not provide upkeep.
- The road is dirt and gravel.
- Poinsettia Ave passes over Aqua Hedionda creek. In times of high water, the crossing is flooded to the point that it is unsafe for residents to cross. Without runoff mitigation, this development would increase the number of times this happens, negatively impacting residents access to their property.
- Both the bridge (crossing) and the pipe for flood water drainage on Poinsettia Ave are old and cannot handle higher volumes of water runoff.
- Because of all of these factors, Poinsettia Ave is not reliable for use as an emergency exit. If there is an emergency exit, it should be for fire access only and not for general use.
- The development should be required to secure agreement of the residents who currently maintain this private road before being allowed to use it for any purpose.

Impact to County Water and Sewer Lines

Water and sewer lines in this area are old. To support 62 townhomes, capacity would need to be increased.

D impact to Leichtag Elementary School (San Marcos School District) This school is nearby and would receive elementary school children from the townhomes. Leichtag Elementary is a "walk-to school" and many children will be passing by the townhouse entrance on

Smilax on their way to and from school each day.

.....

Leichtag is already at capacity.

F A suggestion If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably.

don't want them here.

ε

Sincerely, Name Adress 3309 Oleander HUE.
Address 3309 Oleander HUE.
Phone July State SAN MACCOS CA 92078
Phone July 734-4403

In Addition to the reasons contienned have in 90% of the people (Residents) in the surrounding area were never thomes in the surrounding area area alone in the surrounding area area area lots. This project allows by the surrounding a with 15 residents per themes in our boildings with 15 residents per themes in our olready headily impadent themes in the foundout about this project via Meddily impadent themes in the foundout about this project via or already headily inspected to the Soning Change. Signeduces collected to the Zoning Change. Signed to the Zoning Change. Signeduces collected to the Zoning Change. Signeduces collected to the Zoning Change.

11/31 of \$4 01/01

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely,
Name Marlix Mandoze + Diocelin Mandoze
Address 3301 Olemder Ave
City, State Son Marcos, CA 92078
Phone (760) 295-1251

Never Notified

Smilax with the increased traffic, and especially across the townhome entrance. Consideration needs to be given to ensure the safety for the school children walking along

F A suggestion

roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need. If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the

don't want them here: overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per \aleph acre.

Sincerely,

Mener Notified

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per N acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Name House Soz manger due City, State Week, CA 99081

Never Notified

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per N acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Name DCD (A MOOS MINE)
Address 539 M M MOS (A MOOS City, State VIS 101) - \$03 - 67 LO

Never Notified

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely

Name

Address

Addr

Smilax with the increased traffic, and especially across the townhome entrance. Consideration needs to be given to ensure the safety for the school children walking along

F A suggestion

roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need. If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the

don't want them here. overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per & acre.

Sincerely

3

noitsaggus A 7

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely,

Name

Address

Add

Never Notified

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per N acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Name Vosens Albertess State Williams Albertess State Wisher Albertess State Wisher State Wisher

Never Notified

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Mever Notified

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per ½ acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We

don't want them here.

Address City, State Phone

Sincerely, Name

3

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Name H: Polity, State Viste No. 1522 08
City, State Viste Viste Co. 9208

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per N acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Shawas Chily Shame Shame

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Name Julying Porner Aug Address 1/6/ Minora Aug City, State 1/60 - 1/50 - 5656

Never XLOTIFIED

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per N acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Mame Jose C. Cornsellin Address State V. State

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Name (And State V.5 for (A) 22081
City, State V.5 for (A) 22081

Consideration needs to be given to ensure the safety for the school children walking along
 Smilax with the increased traffic, and especially across the townhome entrance.

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Sincerely, Mame
Address 455 Minne
City, State
Phone

Meury Notified

Consideration needs to be given to ensure the safety for the school children walking along
 Smilax with the increased traffic, and especially across the townhome entrance.

noitseggus A 7

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per % acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

(200) 586-0661 1/54 Ca 92081 45/ Mimose out

Sincerely, Name Address 451 Minush Due City, State USAA CA, 4901)
Phone Tourst CA, 4901

Never Notified

Smilax with the increased traffic, and especially across the townhome entrance. Consideration needs to be given to ensure the safety for the school children walking along

F A suggestion

roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need. If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the

overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per ½ acre.

don't want them here.

Name 2 AMES & HANSEN HANSEN Address 32 & mimost AVE
City, State VISTA, CB 82081
Phone 760 277 4596

Mener Motified

69 total ->90% Never Notified

Name Rosie Aquilea	Name GARY L SMITH
Address 101 Marcos Vista in	Address 766 MARCUS VISTA CN
City, State an Marcos CA 9207 P	City, State Sow MARCUS CA
Phone 760-271-5868	Phone 760 643 -0294
Signature	Signature Hay L Smith
Name Alex Biller	Name Christopher & Smith
Address 834 Eugenie Ave	Address 766 Marcos Vista Lame
City, State Engilos, CA	City, State 9am Marcos, CA
Phone (760) 815 - 2978	Phone 760 492 1915
Signature All Bill	Signature Clouytroher Anoth
Name CAMSSA BULLEY	Name Laura Kenyan
Address 1754 SWALLOWALI RA	Address 713 Marcos vPsta Ln
City, State Oncintus, CA,	City, State Sun Murcos or
Phone $(10)579-8707$	Phone (858) 736-4213
Signature ///	Signature
Name Flor Alexanders land	
Name 10/ HVVVEZ-10Pex	Name Debra Worght
Address P WW/ S V St low	Address 547 Wildhoes Lu.
City, State Soul Mar 108 CAF	City, State
Phone 160-310-118	Phone (760) 525-5820
Signature VIII	Signature
	To 1 1 100 "
Name hell Engebrits	Name JACK WRIGHT
Address 182 Marcos Vistaln	Address 547 Wildhorse LN.
City, State Jan Marca 5 (4 97078	City, State JAN MARCOS
Phone 760.855-1771	Phone 760-727-7883
Signature Kall Empley	Signature of which

69 Total ->90% Never Notified

Name	Jasor Buergel	Name	Alison Buergel
Address	3131 Oleander Ave	Address	3131 Oleander Ave
City, State	San Marco, CA	City, State	San Marcas CA
Phone	C7601593-8095	Phone	760-672-6331
Signature	Jarof Rungel	Signature	White Bulger
	1, 20		
Name	Margaret Reinke	Name	Telda Troutman
Address	3131 Oleander Ave	Address	746 Poinsertia aux.
City, State	San Marcos, CA	City, State	San Marcos, Ca
Phone	805-444-3219	Phone	(951) 927-4996
Signature	Margaret Bunke	Signature	Nelda Trauman
Name	Dane Wouther	Name	Maggio Troutman
Address	746 Pomsettie Ave	Address	746 Poinsettiatue
City, State	San Men 105	City, State	
Phone	858-1224-2410	Phone	502-619-2777
Signature	Al Danto	Signature	Il (Se
Name	Heather Wilson	Name	Heather Miller
Address	755 Poinsettia Ave	Address	755 Poinselli & Rue
City, State	Vista, CA 92081	City, State	V:SAZ CA 92081
Phone	(215) 275 -6894	Phone	TUDES 357/0
Signature	Herry Mut	Signature	116000
Name	Monot Williams	Name	Russell Kollage
Address	755 Poin 80 than the	Address	763 Poinsettin An
City, State	Victo Ca 92081	City, State	Vista Ct
Phone	432.693.1428	Phone	760 518 4507
Signature	undally)	Signature	holl My

OPPOSED - Smilax Townhome Project: PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003,

42 of 54

636154 Total->90% Never Notified

The second secon	The state of the s
Name Mark Mora	Name Gassung Rostals
Address 872 Poinsethia Ave	Address 3109 Musicust Ct.
City, State SanMarco, CA	City, State San Macos Co
Phone 7602957762	Phone 760-727-8674
Signature Much Mono	Signature
Name Katelyn Crum	Name
Address 3114 Mooncrest Ct.	Address
City, State Sun Marcos, CA	City, State
Phone 760 809 4031	Phone
Signature Watelin A Co	Signature
Name Donna Crum	Name
Address 3114 Moongrest Ct.	Address
City, State Sun Marcos CA	City, State
Phone 760-599-9652	Phone
Signature Denville I'l Cruw	Signature
Name KELVIN CRUM	Name
Address 3/14 Moon crest Ct.	Address
City, State San Marcos CA	
Phone 760 599 9652	Phone
Signature Well	Signature
1 Angia Daga	
Name (Mari Col	Name
Address 3109 Moon crest of	Address
City, State San Marcos CA	City, State
Phone 7608774497	Phone
Signature Run, Roas	Signature

Of 694015490% Never Notified

	2, PDS2019-STP-19-014
Name Olya Mario Rameson	Name Lester Mubles
Address 508 WoldHorse Ru	Address SZY Wild HURS LN
City, State San Marcos Col	City, State SAW MARCOS CA
Phone 760-727-5477	Phone 760-727. 1886
Signature Quallac Rang	Signature Liste Morn
Name Elizabeth Flores	Name <u>Jenniter Peterson</u>
Address Syowildhorse HI	Address 2746 Matte Lane
City, State San Marcos Ch	City, State VISTA, CA 92081
Phone @ 760645 0708	Phone 160 892 4322
Signature S	Signature Welter
Name Claush myon	Name KENNETH BARNETT
Address 447 WinicsP	Address 655 MIMOSA NUE
City, State VISTIA CA	City, State UISTA CA.
Phone 760-727 3092	Phone <u>760 - 716 - 5428</u>
Signature Claude Myes	Signature Kenneth & Bound
Name Martha Pinal	Name Isobel Hazlehurst
Address 2782 Mate in	Address Lett Mimosa Ave
City, State VICTA CH 120	City, State VISTA CA 9208/
Phone 160-127-4166	Phone 760-271-1601
Signature —	Signature Solve Segurial
Name Dale Metcalf	Name Kenneth Knight
Address 641 Mimosa Aug	Address CH Mimasa Aly
City, State Valor CA 9208/	City, State Victor 1A 9208
Phone	Phone 740-518-7532
Signature Signature	Signature Jey Tu

45 of 54 69 TOTA-910% Never Notified

Name	Celeste Dela Victoria	Name
Address	639 Mimosa Avenue	Address
City, State	Vista, CA	City, State
Phone		Phone
Signature	(2)	Signature
		3
Name		Name
Address		Address
City, State		City, State
Phone		Phone
Signature		Signature
Name		Name
Address		Address
City, State		City, State
Phone		Phone
Signature		Signature
Name		News
Address		Name
City, State		Address
Phone Phone		City, State
Signature		
Jigilatare	- Aller	Signature
Nama		•
Name		Name
Address		Address
City, State		City, State
Phone		Phone
Signature		Signature

From: JOYCE F ODOM
To: Madamba, Jessica

Subject: Adopting a mitigated negative declaration **Date:** Monday, October 12, 2020 7:37:50 PM

SMILAX TOWNHOUSE PROJECTPDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-gpa-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-STP-19-014:

I am concerned about having another 64 unit housing development in this area, since it is in a single home area. However my biggest concern is that the zoning is going to be changed from Village Residential (VR-2) to a Village Residential (VR-15). Changing from Rural Residential (RR) to Mlitii-Family

Residential(RM)and building type from (single Detached only) to K to allow for multi dwelling units. I bought my house in this area so I wouldn't be in such a congested area. My street is private with no street

lights, sidewalks or curbs. I want to keep this area rural.

Thank

You

Joyce Odom

3049 Roadrunner Rd.

San

Marcos, Ca 92078

From: Garth Robbins
To: Madamba, Jessica

Cc: Elena Robbins (elenat223@gmail.com); Belle Hazlehurst; Ken Knight

Subject: Opposition to Smilax Townhome Project

Date: Monday, October 12, 2020 11:12:17 PM

Dear Ms. Madamba,

I hope it's ok I'm emailing you. My name is Garth Robbins and I live at 2763 Matte Lane, Vista, CA, 92081. This is nearby the proposed location for the Smilax Townhome Project.

Some neighbors just yesterday shared with me a copy of the "Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration" and this is the first opportunity I have to reach out on the matter. I apologize for missing the 4pm deadline I see is listed for earlier today.

For what it's worth, several neighbors and I are all quite reluctant to see this townhome project built. The area (Smilax) is already congested and doesn't seem to be designed even for the kind of traffic it already has. (Pulling from Mimosa onto Smilax is dangerous with the speed cars drive and the angle of that intersection very close to the proposed development property.)

My wife and I moved to this neighborhood just a couple years ago. We're in an area with all single-family homes.

Is there any way this project could be avoided? And do you know how I might get a "heads up" from the county when other projects like this are being proposed? There's already a similar, new development coming in, I know, right at Sycamore and Watson on the other side of our property. Traffic in the whole area is pretty gridlocked, we've learned, especially during rush hour.

Thank you for your consideration. Best,

Garth Robbins

Mobile: 858-229-6098

grobbins@omnitracs.com

San Diego, CA

Garth

From: Scott Samuels

To: Madamba, Jessica

Subject: Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-STP-19-

014

Date: Monday, October 12, 2020 9:41:43 AM

Dear Ms. Madamba and Planning and Development Team/Commission/Decision-Making Body Reviewing The Above-Mentioned Project,

Yesterday, a Sunday, I was made aware of the County's intent to create a 62-unit townhome condo project on 4.9 acres at Mimosa Avenue and Smilax Road in San Marcos. The Notice of Intent to Adopt A Mitigated Negative Declaration, dated 8-27-2020 says that comments on the General Plan Amendment and draft Mitigated Negative Declaration must be received no later than October 12, 2020 at 4pm, which is today, a Monday.

Unfortunately, the County's website indicates that email comments are not available, therefore I am sending my comments to you, since you are the only one listed on the Notice to contact for additional information. Please include my comments with those that have been received by mail.

I am a homeowner at 722 Thorntree Court, part of a 13-unit community with a single road, Thorntree Court, which empties onto Oleander Ave, near Smilax Road, just across the street from where the proposed Townhome Project would be located. The lights of cars traveling southbound and stopping at the intersection of Smilax Rd. and Oleander Avenue shine into my backyard and the backyards of my neighbors all evening long. Fortunately, in the late evening/early morning hours, this traffic is minimal, since the current configuration of the community consists of single family homes on large lots.

I would urge Planning & Development Services and its decision-making body to consider the character of the existing community in this area, as well as the physical limitations of Smilax Road; the intersections and proximity of the intersections between Smilax Rd., Oleander Avenue, Poinsettia Avenue, and Thorntree Court; the the proximity of the school grade school on Oleander Ave., along with the traffic it already creates; and the traffic flow limitations of the intersection between the very narrow Smilax Road and Santa Fe Avenue. Further, I would urge these decision-making parties to consider that while single family homes may mesh with the existing community in the surrounding area, which consists of single family homes on larger lots, with a rural atmosphere, there is not a single multi-unit family development located in close proximity to Smilax and Poinsettia. The apartment complexes which exist on Smilax north of Route 78 (this project is south of 78, and would add to traffic on Smilax Rd.) create a dangerously congested traffic pattern that, judging by the memorial crosses and flowers, has already resulted in accidents and deaths. There are many young children that live in this area and walk to school, traversing the adjoining streets to this proposed project -- another dangerous situation which will be made more dangerous by adding multi-family residential housing to the mix.

I am strongly opposed to this project and I suspect my neighbors would be as well, were they made aware of it. Unfortunately, it appears that little or no effort has been made to really engage with the local community regarding the Notice of Intent for the Smilax Townhome Project -- I've lived here for over a year now, and have received no notifications. I would urge Planning & Development Services and their governing board to not allow the rezoning that would enable this project to move forward. There is simply no way that it can "complement

the surrounding areas" as this area is currently zoned Rural Residential, and the inconsistency of building a 62-unit multi-family townhome in the midst of a Rural Residential area should be fairly obvious to even those who have no personal familiarity with the local geography of this neighborhood.

Thank you for including my comments along with those that have been mailed in. Had I been made aware of this project early on, via a mailed notice to homeowners in the area (as I received whenever there was a similar hearing my previous residence in Leucadia), I would have sent my comments by mail.

Sincerely,

Scott Samuels 722 Thorntree Court San Marcos, CA 92078 760-716-2228 From: Lois Sklar

To: Madamba, Jessica

Subject: Smilax townhome project

Date: Monday, October 26, 2020 3:31:34 PM

I am a resident of the unincorporated county and am very against this project. All the major streets surrounding this project are two lane roads that are already extremely impacted and overcrowded. The intersection of Buena Creek and Santa Fe is a nightmare due to the coaster station and these additional homes will greatly impact the already horrible traffic. Please consider improving the infrastructure before you add more people and cars to this area.

Thank you Lois Sklar 2954 Ora Avo Terrace Vista 92084

Sent from my iPad

Planning & Development Services 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310, San Diego, California 92123

October 6, 2020

Ref: Smilax Townhome Project; PDS2019-TM-5634, PDS2019-GPA-19-003, PDS2019-REZ-19-002, PDS2019-STP-19-014

North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area: General Plan Amendment Comments to the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration

Smilax Townhomes - 62 Residential Development Project located off of Smilax Rd., near Mimosa Ave., South of SR-78

Please consider our many concerns about this proposed rezoning. Zoning laws were created under the basic assumption that property must be protected against uses of neighboring property that will be harmful to the use or enjoyment of the property. Changing the zoning to allow this project benefits only the developer. It should only be allowed to proceed with the input and consent of all impacted neighborhoods, and with the developer required to mitigate any and all of the anticipated impacts to the surrounding neighborhoods.

This is an area currently zoned 1 residence per half acre. Streets, parking, drainage, and sewage systems are all designed around this zoning. The proposed 62 townhomes are too dense for the neighborhood.

A Parking

- Apartments north of 78 are less dense than the proposed townhomes, and yet the parking from them is spilling over onto Mimosa.
- Assume that each townhome has an average of 2 residents, or about 124 people living on about
 4.9 acres. If each resident owns a vehicle, then about 124 vehicles will need a place to park.
- Smilax is a two-lane road with no designated parking. Where will overflow parking be?

B Traffic and Pedestrian Safety

- County planning maps show Smilax connecting to Poinsettia Ave near the school. (See rounded lines area on the map.) As shown, the development would overlap this planned change.
- Medium to heavy traffic is already using Smilax. Sixty-two townhomes will significantly increase the traffic on this road.
- Stop signs may be needed to control traffic flow, both for the safety of school children and other pedestrians, and for the increased traffic.
- The planned entrance onto Smilax is at a point on Smilax where cars travelling on the street cannot see another car approaching. Already one family has lost a child to traffic on Smilax.

C Water runoff from the property

Three areas need to be addressed: Water runoff from the site, the impact to Poinsettia Ave from runoff, and County water and sewer lines.

Water runoff from the site

- Flood waters currently are absorbed by and flow across the property (currently an open field), continue past there and through a pipe under Poinsettia Ave, and then continue down a seasonal drainage way.
- When the property is graded as shown on the preliminary maps, provision should be made for water runoff. Because of the hardscape, most of the water would just run off, increasing flooding volume and frequency in Agua Hedionda creek.
- Water falling on the property should be required to be directed to a water retention basin located on the property of sufficient size to hold the expected runoff.

Impact to Poinsettia Ave

- Poinsettia Ave is designated a private road by San Diego County. The County does not provide upkeep.
- The road is dirt and gravel.
- Poinsettia Ave passes over Aqua Hedionda creek. In times of high water, the crossing is flooded to the point that it is unsafe for residents to cross. Without runoff mitigation, this development would increase the number of times this happens, negatively impacting residents access to their property.
- Both the bridge (crossing) and the pipe for flood water drainage on Poinsettia Ave are old and cannot handle higher volumes of water runoff.
- Because of all of these factors, Poinsettia Ave is not reliable for use as an emergency exit. If there is an emergency exit, it should be for fire access only and not for general use.
- The development should be required to secure agreement of the residents who currently maintain this private road before being allowed to use it for any purpose.

Impact to County Water and Sewer Lines

Water and sewer lines in this area are old. To support 62 townhomes, capacity would need to be increased.

D Impact to Leichtag Elementary School (San Marcos School District)

This school is nearby and would receive elementary school children from the townhomes. Leichtag Elementary is a "walk-to school" and many children will be passing by the townhouse entrance on Smilax on their way to and from school each day.

Leichtag is already at capacity.

• Consideration needs to be given to ensure the safety for the school children walking along Smilax with the increased traffic, and especially across the townhome entrance.

F A suggestion

If the 62 Townhomes development is allowed to proceed, it should be designed with solar panels on the roof, to help with the extra electricity they will need.

We are opposed to adding such high density townhomes in an area that is zoned one family per ½ acre. The number of residents added would probably exceed the current number of residents in our neighborhood. The disruption to our neighborhood would be immense. We would have to deal overcrowding in a place where we now live comfortably. Sixty-two townhomes don't belong here. We don't want them here.

Roy DSNO Maulyme Smith

Sincerely,
Roy and Marilynne Smith
571 Poinsettia Ave.
Vista, CA 92081
760-727-6133

From: <u>Tammy Daubach</u>

To: <u>Jimenez, Ann; Jacob, Dianne; Wilson, Adam; Koutoufidis, Nicholas</u>

Subject: BOULDER BRUSH FACILITIES PDS2019-MUP-19-002; PDS2019-ER-19-16-001

Date: Wednesday, November 11, 2020 11:16:35 PM

Our family does not support this project. This project is being built in San Diego County, but this project will be selling energy elsewhere. More power will be lost in transmission than necessary. It would be better to build the project closer to where it is needed. People do not use their utilities in their house from an extension cord in a garage. Why would we put an energy source that far away from the area that needs the power?

Submitted,

The Daubach Family 39954 Ribbonwood Road Boulevard, CA 91905