

## Public Correspondence Item 3

**From:** [noreply@granicusideas.com](mailto:noreply@granicusideas.com)  
**To:** [Barnhart, Douglas](#); [Calvo, Yolanda](#); [Edwards, Michael \(LUEG\)](#); [Flannery, Kathleen](#); [Hitzke, Ginger](#); [Hough, Tommy](#); [Jimenez, Ann](#); [Lynch, Dahvia](#); [Nicoletti, Vince](#); [Pallinger, David](#); [Ashman, Ronald](#); [Slovick, Mark](#); [Smith, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** [External] New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 2:11:02 PM

---

[SpeakUp](#)

---

# New eComment for Planning Commission Hearing

Laura Hunter submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Planning Commission Hearing

Item: 3. Inactive Project Ordinance Amendment; PDS2020-POD-20-013

eComment: I urge you to shorten the length of time that projects can continue without progress. Time spent on these inactive projects is time/bandwidth lost to other actions that are ready. Allowances can and should be made if conditions outside proponent's control occur, but multiple extensions should be contingent on threshold assessment (Proof of substantial evidence of overriding condition..) Keeping inactive projects 'live' for up to six years or longer has a cost to making progress elsewhere. Thank you

[View and Analyze eComments](#)

This email was sent from <https://granicusideas.com>.

[Unsubscribe](#) from future mailings