

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Neufeld, Darin</p> <hr/> <p>From: stevescasa@cox.net Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2016 11:08 AM To: Neufeld, Darin Subject: Lake Jennings Marketplace</p> <p>Darin,</p> <p>As you are likely aware, the project you have been assigned in a HUGE NO in this community. 30 plus years of defeated plans for the corner in question, have or should have been enough to have the County realize the COMMUNITY is VERY involved and has REPEATEDLY STATED very loudly, " NO MASJOR SHOPPING CENTER" on the corner in question. W-1</p> <p>A similar project was DEFEATED by well meaning CIIZENS, who STILL find it obtrusive and unwarranted with a Walmart Shopping Center less than 4 miles away, with several VACANCIES AVAILABLE OF RENT, currently. The just recently were able to fill the vacated grocery store left by the Vons Store leaving, then having a tenant come in that FAILED! W-2</p> <p>There is ample Retail Space available in the area tht is available, yet NOBODY is rucking to fill them. W-3</p> <p>There is also the question of intelligence when you consider the corner lot was already changed to residential usage AGAINST NEIGHBORHOOD CONCERNS for allowing a huge CONDO PROJECT to truly screw the entire area up for current and future resident. W-4</p> <p>The Owner/Developer of this parcel has stated he couldn't find a builder for the PREVIOUS Shopping enter he tried to stick US with. He also could NOT find a builder for his APPROVED CONDOS! W-5</p> <p>There is STILL little to NO agreement within THIS Community over the NEED and appropriateness of a shopping center that has no need. W-6</p> <p>I agree the corner needs to be developed. I even submitted what many felt was a plan to do so, that would KEEP the "RURAL NATURE" of the area as stated in the Community Plan 2020. It would call for a Community Entrance from I-8 East exit with like development on Both sides of the street AS CALLED FOR in current zoning, with a SMALLER Condo project behind the development on the corner in question, AS CALLED FOR IN CURRENT ZONING! W-7</p> <p>NEVER got a response on that idea, but the developer/Owner got approved. W-8</p> <p>Please consider this as MY Objection to any further consideration being given to a person or group with such a checkered past and seemingly lack of a REAL PLAN to HELP Blossom Valley, its residents and the county in general (Other than MONEY)!</p> <p>No More Special Treatment for Developers.</p> <p>Steve A. Hogue 619-246-8825 stevescasa@cox.net</p> <p>ent from Mail for Windows 10</p> <p>1</p> | <p>Response to Comment Letter W</p> <p>Steve A. Hogue September 1, 2016</p> <p>W-1 The commenter expresses opposition for the proposed project and this comment is noted. However, because this comment does not raise any specific issue related to the adequacy of the Draft Revised EIR, no further response is required. In addition, this comment is similar to the comments provided by the commenter in letter I. As such, please refer to responses to comments I-1 through I-12.</p> <p>W-2 Please refer to response to comment W-1.</p> <p>W-3 Please refer to response to comment W-1.</p> <p>W-4 Please refer to response to comment W-1.</p> <p>W-5 Please refer to response to comment W-1.</p> <p>W-6 Please refer to response to comment W-1.</p> <p>W-7 Please refer to response to comment W-1.</p> <p>W-8 The commenter expresses opposition for the proposed project and this comment is noted.</p> |
|--|---|