## I-121 Tony Eason (2)

## Comment Letter I-121

 From:
 T. Eason < fteason@gmail.com >

 Sent:
 Monday, August 14, 2017 1:36 PM

 To:
 Smith, Ashley; Slovick, Mark

 Subject:
 Newland Sierra DEIR

------ Forwarded message -------From: T. Eason < fteason@gmail.com > Date: Mon, Aug 14, 2017 at 1:31 PM Subject:

To: Tony Eason < teason@cox.net >

## Ashley and Mark:

I really did not intend to write another comment on the Newland project but after reading the letter one of my neighbors in the Deer Springs Mobile Home Park sent to you 2 days ago, I would be remiss if I didn't bring it to your attention to explain how her comments express the concerns of our whole park. I will provide a copy of Carol Hanchrow's letter below for you to review.

The reasons she and her husband moved here was because the noise, traffic, pollution and stress of the city could be avoided in their "golden years" in this rural environment. This proposed development threatens to increase all those bad things and impact our senior community so much that many will be forced to move away.

Newland will be an attempt to drop a city the size of Del Mar onto a rural mountain without the infrastructure to support it. The hard won General Plan designates mostly commercial and professional development with only 99 homes for the area, thus maintaining its semi rural character if and when it is ever built out. It would be developed only after the roads, water, sewers and other infrastructure was in place to support it.

This is a high fire hazard area and 2100 new homes assures that a wild fire will happen sooner or later. Even if it could be evacuated in 4 hours the residents and the surrounding population would be trapped in the bottle-necks of failing roads and the I-15 as happened in the last large fire in 2007. The Vallecitos Water District says it will provide water for the project "if its available". The only way its plan would have the water is by further conservation after having cut back over 25% the last 2 years and importing water from other more expensive resources. Actions that current residents don't deserve. Air pollution from construction and traffic would be significant and unavoidable for a decade according to the EIR and these are very hazardous kinds of pollutants. Noise impacts from blasting, drilling and grading as well large trucks and equipment have been said to be mitigated by an inaccurate and incomplete noise study as has been described by a new independent noise expert. Sound walls have been recommended for several on-site areas but not for our park who's nearest home is only 100 feet away from the south boundary of the project.

The developers and the politicians write off all these problems as: THAT'S PROGRESS!

But is it intelligent, planned progress that is incremental and only built as the infrastructure is developed to support it? With the over turning of the General Plan this project requires the answer is NO.. this is "leap-frogging"... plain and simple.

Another excuse for such irresponsible building is that if it benefits the majority of the community it justifies hurting the minority.

Does this project benefit the majority? The EIR clearly describes significant impacts to communities such as Hidden Meadows, Champagne Village, DSO Park, Twin Oaks Valley residents, The Golden Door, the Hidden Valley Zen Center,

| I-121-1 | I-121-2 | I-121-3 | I-121-4 | I-121-5

1

residents in the Buena Creek area... to name just a portion of the affected areas. The failing roads will fail even more and the I-15 will be impacted from Temecula to Pomerado Road. So if it doesn't benefit the majority.... WHO does it benefit?

I-121-6 Cont.

Thanks for listening. Please do the right thing.

Tony Eason

From: LTerril <clynnterril@gmail.com>

Subject: NewlandEnvironment Impact Research on DSO

Date: August 12, 2017 at 4:35:45 PM PDT

To: Ashley Smith <ashley.smith2@sdcounty.ca.gov>

Fr: Carol and Jesse Hanchrow
To: Ashley.Smith2@sdcounty.ca.gov

Dear Ms. Ashley Smith:

After the meeting here at DSO last Tuesday night, I'm more convinced we need a "Sound Wall" to prevent not only noise pollution, but road-debris pollution.

The traffic now is already very heavy, and with a minimum of 4,000 cars projected for our streets, I can't imagine what living here will be like. Can you?

Please answer the the following for me:

"Can you provide concrete examples of how the County (and Newland) will protect us retired folks from probable pollution caused by the Newland project?"

We moved here with the intention of not having to move again, but now, we worry about being forced to relocate for the sake of our health, and where we will not experience the horrendous impact and upheaval to our wildlife and habitat—a project which may take years to complete?

"On what basis do you refute the biological surveys completed for this area concluding this project will fragment the Wildlife--causing it to disappear?"

I look forward to your response, and hope you listen to the people who are most impacted by this project. Thank you.

Carol Hanchrow, wife and caregiver for Jesse Hanchrow

I-121-7