From: Ana Rosvall [mailto:ana.c.rosvall@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 1:17 PM
To: Smith, Ashley; Jacob, Danne; Cox, Greg; Gaspar, Kristin; Roberts, Ron; Horn, Bill; Wilson, Adam; Arina, Victor; Paguio, Jason; Granda, Adrian; Wilson, Melanie; Gretler, Darren M; jdesmond@san-marcos.net; esanchez@ci.oceanside.ca.us
Subject: Newland Sierra EIR

Dear Ms. Smith,

My name is Ana Rosvall and I am a member of the Twin Oaks Valley Community Sponsor Group. We have submitted a letter as a group opposing the project and I already submitted most of my concerns within that letter.

I am also writing as an individual to express my personal opposition to this project and to ask some questions that I feel are not properly addressed in the EIR.

There are so many reasons to oppose this project, it’s overwhelming, I don’t even know where to start. I have lived here my entire life, and love our rural area. I am deeply disturbed about so many things regarding this project.

First off, it’s not part of our General Plan - we spent 13 years and 7 million dollars to decide where development would go. This is NOT it. We made so many concessions, we spent all this time and money and now that’s being disregarded for a project that completely goes against our General Plan.

Our General Plan should not be amended for a terrible project like this. Our General Plan is our land constitution - and for it to be amended for a project that is the epitome of urban sprawl and right into our PAMA land.

The mitigation land that was purchased in Ramona isn’t the same and isn’t appropriate as it’s not even the same. It’s too far inland to be the correct habitat for the animals that would be displaced by the Newland Sierra project (such as the gnatcatcher).

It was a bad project when it was denied before as the Merriam Mountains project. The changes, now that it’s Newland Sierra are negligible. It’s still a bad project, and there is really no denying that.

And there are so many things that we still do not know about this project. So many things are "to be determined". We don’t know what they’re going to do to the I-15 onramp and offramp - CalTrans has said they are looking at it, but as of right now, have no plans on altering it. The I-15 is already overcrowded - this project would bring it to an F (failing) grade.

Newland Sierra’s plans for onsite biological mitigation of the area is all wrong. They are building homes in the prime habitat areas, chopping up the areas that would remain open space into little islands, totally cutting off the connectivity. This is going to be devastating to our the
species that live there as they won't even be able to move or go anywhere, effectively being
girded on all sides by homes, a freeway and a 4 lane road.

All of these things are already addressed by so many other people so I would like to address
something that I don't understand that I don't think has been addressed by anyone else as of
yet.

I would like to address the issue of the rock crushing.

I am concerned about the air emissions, noise, and vibration that would result from Newland’s on-site
rock crushing operations during construction. The EIR makes it very difficult to determine how much rock
crushing will occur. The EIR’s air quality section on page 2.3-32 states that there will be 5,650 tons of
rock crushing per day per crushing facility.

Then in the noise section on page 2.10-18 it says there will be two rock-crushing locations. Does this
mean that there will be two separate locations crushing 5,650 tons of rock per day? How many days will
this occur? Are there days when rock crushing will occur at both locations? Will the rock crushing occur
at the same time at both locations? Does the EIR analyze the full extent of rock crushing at both
locations occurring at the same time for to 5,650 tons per day at both locations for noise, vibration, and air
quality emissions? I do not think that it does.

Also, I would like to know how the air emissions, noise, and vibration impacts of the rock crushing are
analyzed. Are they analyzed only as an “average” throughout the day?

Because air emissions, noise, and vibration would occur at each instance of rock crushing, NOT as a
steady average throughout the day. To understand the actual impact on the community, it’s important
that we fully understand the impacts at the moment of the crushing.

Also, I would like to know if the combined impact of two rock crushings occurring at the same time at both
rock crushing locations analyzed?

This is all very confusing. Once the full extent of rock crushing and its impacts is clearly described in the
EIR, it should be recirculated for public review so that people who live and work in the community can
understand how this will affect our daily lives and provide input to the County about our concerns.

I thank you all for your time and attention to this matter that is so important to me, my family, my
community and all the wild creatures that we share this land with.

Sincerely,

Ana Rosvall
3286 Sugarbush Terrace
Vista, CA. 92084
(760) 458-3883

Member, Twin Oaks Valley Community Sponsor Group

Ana Rosvall
Realtor, Century21 Award
760-458-3883
arosvall@century21award.com
BRE# 0194537

"A diamond is just a piece of charcoal that handled stress exceptionally well."