

I-334 Kathie Rosvall

Comment Letter I-334

From: kathie Rosvall [mailto:kbrosvall@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 11:22 PM
To: Smith, Ashley; Jacob, Dianne; Cox, Greg; Gaspar, Kristin; Roberts, Ron; Horn, Bill; Wilson, Adam; Avina, Victor; Paguio, Jason; Granda, Adrian; melanie.wilson@sdcounty.ca.gov; Gretler, Darren M; jdesmond@san-marcos.net; esanchez@ci.oceanside.ca.us
Subject: Newland Sierra EIR

TO: Planning and Development Services
Ashley Smith, Environmental Planner
County of San Diego

CC: Board of Supervisors and other involved
governmental officials

RE: NEWLAND SIERRA DRAFT EIR

Dear Ms. Smith:

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Newland Sierra Development. After reviewing the document, I have a list of questions and concerns outlined below:

I-334-1

Why do we continually have to fight developers that try to change the General Plan? Millions of dollars of tax payer money and years of work to create a General Plan, and too often it is over-ruled. Newland Sierra is a prime example. It's

I-334-2

Comment Letters

just a modified Merriam Mountain Development that was turned down by the Board of Supervisors a few years ago.

The same problems exist, but they are worse today.

Total lack of infrastructure. The traffic in the area is already horrendous. Every stop light in a five mile radius has been given many

It is in an extremely high wild fire area. Escape routes are minimal. There are many horses in the area which will slow evacuation. The Fire Department has said that they can handle this situation. My answer to that is to ask the people in Rancho Bernardo and Scripps Ranch what happened in their fires, and those areas had much better infrastructure.

The Newland is a biologically sensitive area with major ecological corridors.

Public health be damned. Ten years of blasting and rock crushing, with the prevailing winds carrying the dust and pollutants directly into the Lawrence Welk Retirement Community.

Where is the water coming from? Projection is a 36% reduction for existing locals so that Newland Sierra can have water.

There is no "affordable" housing in the development, it is

↑
I-334-2
Cont.

I-334-3

I-334-4

I-334-5

I-334-6

I-334-7

I-334-8
↓

Comment Letters

called "attainable housing." At 800K plus for a single detached house on a lot, these houses are "attainable" for whom?

↑
I-334-8
Cont.

There is no public transportation within 6 miles of the development.

I-334-9

Survey after survey has shown that Millennials want housing near work and close to public transportation.

I-334-10

This is an insane leapfrog development that endangers the health and wellbeing of the surrounding existing communities.

I-334-11

This development involves three different school districts and will build no new schools. The largest of these school districts is already at capacity. The six acre site for an elementary school is totally inadequate.

I-334-12

I am not against development, but this one is insane. It is not fiscally responsible. It will cost the county more in tax money than it will generate.

I-334-13

Thank you for your consideration,

Kathie Rosvall

2386 Sugarbush
Terrace

Vista, CA 92084

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK