

I-359 Debra Siebert

Comment Letter I-359

-----Original Message-----

From: debby siebert [mailto:dsiebert874@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, July 22, 2017 7:36 PM

To: Smith, Ashley

Cc: Slovick, Mark; Horn, Bill; Jacob, Dianne; Cox, Greg; Gaspar, Kristin; Ron-Roberts

Subject: Re: Newland Sierra proposed project

To Ashley and Mark,

I'm a resident of Deer Springs Oaks Mobile Home Park and I have several concerns I have and would like a response to:

I-359-1

1: Proximity of Mesa Rock Road and Deer Springs road where there would be expansion of the road, my home is 40 feet from Mesa Rock Road and 150 feet from Deer Springs rd. I'm the 1st home in the Park, how am I going to be

I-359-2

protected from the proximity of all this road expansion. There is not even proposals for a barrier wall against noise in the NS project, let alone the impact of my home being so close to the expansion, please explain what plans the project

will provide for the safety of my home and health. We own our homes and land here, we're not renters.

I-359-3

2: Water supply: how is it possible that it's OK for the county assess the current residents such a huge increase in their water bills to pay for this project. Please explain how your proposed cuts will impact me. Our Park already has restrictions for water use due to extremely high water bills and years of drought. One year of no drought doesn't make up for the water shortage in southern California.

I-359-4

3: Fire hazard: Where are all the emergency access routes for the thousands of new people expected. Look at Hidden Meadows, they only have one escape route, a terribly horrific possibility. Why haven't these emergency access routes

I-359-5

been better detailed?

4: Going against the General Plan: How can you dismiss a plan that was 10 years in developing. Why is this detrimental project any different than Merriam Mountains development that was rejected, none of the changes I read don't make

I-359-6

a dent in the impact on environment, noise, health, traffic, water and quality of life. Why are these all considered insignificant by the County Board?

5: Health: I haven't read one scientific study that doesn't show the deadly impact of what this development may cost the nearby residents of this project. I find it horrific that the county is manipulating proven scientific facts to dismiss these

I-359-7

very real hazards to the population. If this plan goes through, I'm sure I'll be one of many who documents baseline health and consistent health checks to monitor any health changes that can occur from the impact of blasting,

digging, etc. All these documentations of course will be handed down to family members. I have no respiratory issues at this point in my life. What a sad legacy we may have to give to our families if a class action suit comes down

the road 20 or so years from now. How does the county assess collateral damage to current residents as insignificant?

6: Affordable housing!!? Show me the numbers, I imagine by the time the first homes are built, the price tag will be in the high 7-900,000 + price range with a few scattered condos for 'affordable' housing, what does Newland Sierra consider to be affordable housing?

I-359-8

Deer Springs Oaks sits in a beautiful 18 acre park setting. Does Newland Sierra plan on trying to bully us out of our homes by causing such obvious hardships that will accompany this project? I read the 100 page summary and was shocked to find that the majority of all their findings were noted as 'insignificant'. I find this appalling and disheartening.

Debra Siebert
San Marcos

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK