I-121 Tony Eason (2)

- **I-121-1** The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-121-2 The comment explains that one of their neighbors, Carol Hanchrow, came to live in the area to get away from noise, traffic, pollution, and stress. The County notes the comment provides background information and does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue.
- I-121-3 The comment states that the hard won General Plan designates the project site mostly commercial and professional development with only 99 homes. The Draft EIR directly compares the proposed project land uses to the Existing General Plan Land Uses in Section 4.5, Existing General Plan Alternative (Draft EIR, p. 4-16). Compared with the project, Section 4.5.5 has determined that the Existing General Plan Alternative would actually result in greater significant impacts to Transportation and Traffic, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Aesthetics and Mineral Resources compared to the project (Draft EIR, p. 4-24). For additional information see **Topical Response LU-1**.
- I-121-4 The comment expresses concern regarding fire hazards, evacuation, water supply, air pollution, and noise. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-121-5 The comment states that the proposed project is leapfrog development. The County disagrees with this comment. See Appendix DD, Land Use Consistency, for a project conformance analysis with General Plan Policy LU-1.2 Leapfrog Development. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-121-6** The comment asks if the project would benefit the majority when the EIR clearly describes significant impacts to surrounding areas. The comment also states that failing roads and the I-15 will be impacted from Temecula to Pomerado. The comment restates information contained in the Draft EIR and does not raise an

environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. Section 2.13.9 of the Draft EIR analyzes the project's impacts to the transportation network, including impacts to freeway segments. The DEIR identified impacts TR-18 (I-15 from Deer Springs Road to Pomerado Road) and TR-41 (I-15 from Old Highway 395 to Pomerado Avenue) as significant and unavoidable direct and cumulative impacts, respectively. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

I-121-7 The commenter attached Carol Hanchrow's public comment letter. Please refer to **I-174**. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.