

### I-153 Sean-Michael Gettys

- I-153-1** The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-153-2** The comment states that the proposed project would be built behind a Buddhist Temple and traffic on the freeways would become horrible. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-153-3** The comment states that the project does not contribute to the solution of the “so-called housing crisis,” but creates even greater problems, and that the development is not supportable by I-15 or I-78. The County expresses the opinions of the commenter and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The comment addresses general subject areas including traffic and population and housing, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically Section 2.12 Population and Housing, and Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-153-4** The comment expresses concern about the number of cars that will be passing the Hidden Valley Zen Center, and concern about potential impacts from blasting, rock crushing, truck noise, silicate particle pollution, traffic, light pollution, air pollution, and higher water rates. The comment addresses general subject areas including aesthetics, air quality, noise, transportation and traffic, and water supply, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR; specifically in Section 2.1 Aesthetics, Section 2.3 Air Quality, Section 2.10 Noise, Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic, and Section 2.14 Utilities and Service Systems. Please also see **Topical Response NOI-1** concerning construction and blasting noise, **Topical Responses AQ-1 and AQ-2** concerning blasting impacts to air quality and the blasting schedule, and **Topical response UTL-1** concerning water shortage/drought. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

## Comment Letter Responses

---

- I-153-5** The comment states that the Draft EIR did not provide a proper sound study that shows how noises will resound in the valley and that the Hidden Valley Zen Center is not mentioned at all, let alone a noise sensitive location. The County disagrees with this comment. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, Section 2.10 Noise. An adequate and comprehensive acoustical analysis was prepared and included as Appendix Q to the Draft EIR. The comment is general in nature and does not raise any specific issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR or its analysis. Given that the comments are general, a general response is all that is required. (*Paulek v. California Dept. Water Resources* (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 35, 47.) The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-153-6** The comment states that the County Board of Supervisors spent millions of dollars and 10 years developing a General Plan that protected, in fact down zoned, this area. Refer to **Topical Response LU-1** concerning General Plan consistency. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.