

I-206 Joan Van Ingen

- I-206-1** The County notes the comment provides background information and does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue.
- I-206-2** The comment states that the winds blow east every afternoon, which would bring detritus from blasting, rock crushing and each grading directly into Champagne Village. The comment also states that the health survey presented to the County proved that a majority of seniors living in the area would be adversely impacted. An Air Quality Technical Report and Health Risk Assessment have been prepared in order to analyze potential impacts associated with construction emissions and specifically the release of dust and debris from blasting and rock crushing. See Section 2.3 Air Quality, Appendix G Air Quality Technical Report, and Appendix F to Appendix G, Health Effects of Respirable Crystalline Silica in Blasting Dust Memorandum. Refer also to **Topical Response AQ-1**. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue.
- I-206-3** The comment expresses concern regarding the evacuation of Champagne Village residences during a fire. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials, and in Appendix N2 Evacuation Plan. Refer also to **Topical Response HAZ-1**. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding the analysis in the EIR and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-206-4** The comment states that a hindrance to evacuation is the large number of horses (past estimate of 2,000) in upper Twin Oaks. The County notes the comment provides factual background information and does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials, and in Appendix N2 Evacuation Plan. Refer also to **Topical Response HAZ-1**. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue.

Comment Letter Responses

I-206-5 The comment asks that the County please respect the General Plan. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. Please also see **Topical Response LU-1**. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.