I-221 Lynne Katopodis

I-221-1 The comment includes a list that states:

- No Transit
- No I-15 Improvements
- No GHG Standards
- No to 28,000 Cars per Day
- No Fire Evacuation Plan
- No Blasting
- No Newland Sierra

The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in Sections 2.3, Air Quality (blasting), 2.7, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, 2.8, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, 2.10, Noise (blasting), 2.13, Transportation and Traffic of the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

Please also refer to Topical Responses TR-1, HAZ-1, AQ-1, NOI-1 and LU-1.

The commenter also inquires if there would be an impact on Buena Creek and states that her homeowner’s insurance has doubled and her home has been rezoned as a high risk area. The commenter asks what company would insure as the risk continues to escalate. The comment requests that the County keep to their General Plan.

Section 3.2, Hydrology and Water Quality identified three watersheds within the Project site: South Fork Moosa Canyon Watershed, San Marcos Creek Watershed, and South Fork Gopher Canyon Watershed. Runoff from the Project site is not anticipated to drain into Buena Creek.

The County acknowledges the comment, and notes it expresses general opposition for the project, but does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.