I-24 Daniel Belling

- I-24-1 The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. The comment states that they are not in favor of moving forward with the proposed project and breaking the current zoning. The comment also states that they have watched the area grow and prosper but have also seen quality of life starting to erode. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. Please see **Topical Response LU-1**. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-24-2 The comment states that the General Plan clearly outlines that development should occur in areas with adequate infrastructure and closer to work centers. The comment is in favor of developing existing properties located near common rail and redevelopment of current high density areas into higher density areas. The County acknowledges the comment and notes that it expresses the opinion of the commenter, and does not raise an issue within the meaning of CEQA. Nonetheless, the commenter is referred to **Topical Response LU-1**, as well as Appendix DD to the EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-24-3 The comment expresses concerns regarding the lack of fire service, overcrowded roads in the Deer Springs area, and the disruption to wildlife and tranquility. They state that they purchased their home in this area with an understanding of the General Plan and they find it egregious that this project is being considered. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in Sections 2.4, Biological Resources, 2.13, Transportation and Traffic and 3.5, Public Services of the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County also acknowledges that this comment expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. As it relates to issues pertaining to fire, traffic, and biology, please see Topical Responses HAZ-1, TR-3, BIO-1, and BIO-2. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK