

### I-243 Debbie Larson

- I-243-1** The commenter introduces herself and explains that her and her husband have been living in San Marcos (off of Deer Springs Road) for over 14 years and although much has changed she still considers it to be home. The commenter explains that she is not familiar with the EIR process.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I-243-2** The commenter explains that the community did not invite new development into the area.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I-243-3** The commenter explains that she and her husband chose to live in rural San Diego County to have more land, privacy, and peace and quiet.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I-243-4** The commenter explains that there has been an increase in traffic on Deer Springs Road associated with Cal State San Marcos and Palomar College and the increase in traffic associated with the Project would make it impossible to travel in the area. The commenter explains that there is a big wait to get onto Deer Springs Road to take her daughters to school in the morning and the Project would make it worse, despite the proposed improvements.

Traffic impacts and proposed improvements are disclosed in Section 2.13 Traffic and Transportation. There are a number of roadway, interchange, and signal improvements that are included as part of the proposed project. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part

## Comment Letter Responses

---

of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

- I-243-5** The commenter explains that the community trusts the County to do right by the existing residents of the area. The commenter explains that the previous Board of Supervisors voted against the Merriam Mountains Project, because it would not work in the area. The commenter explains that she hopes the County will save the remaining land in the County.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. Please see **Response to Comment O-1-377**.

The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.