

I-252 Sharon Lomayesva

- I-252-1** The commenter explains that she and her husband are homeowners in San Marcos and she is sending an email as a resident who will be impacted by the Newland Sierra Project and is voicing her opposition to the Project.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I-252-2** The comment states that the Project would add 10,000 more annual vehicle trips to the area. The commenter explains that she lives close to Twin Oaks Valley Road and has watched the traffic increase significantly in the past 22 years. The comment states that if the Project is built, the residents would take Twin Oaks Valley Road to SR 78 because it is a more direct route than taking I-15 and that Twin Oaks Valley Road, would have a substantial increase in traffic.

The comment addresses traffic issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

- I-252-3** The comment states that the Project would significantly impact her quality of life because of increased traffic noise, increased travel time, more air pollution, and would make the road more dangerous for everyone including the children that walk or bike ride to the elementary school that is on Twin Oaks Valley Road. The comment explains that Twin Oaks Valley Road is already a dangerous route and many accidents have happened on this road and some lives have been lost.

The comment addresses traffic, noise, and air quality issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Sections 2.13 Transportation and Traffic, 2.10 Noise, and 2.3 Air Quality. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

- I-252-4** The commenter explains that she is strongly opposed to the Project, because she chose to live in the area because it is rural and has less traffic than urban areas; but

Comment Letter Responses

the recent development in the area has impacted her quality of life. The commenter requests that the County vote against the Project and demonstrate that the quality of life for current residents takes higher priority.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.