

I-259 Lynne Malinowski

- I -259-1** The comment explains that they are a property owner in San Marcos and a horse owner in Twin Oaks Valley/ Deer Springs area and opposes the project.

The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I -259-2** The comment states that the project affronts the efforts of the General Plan to create smart growth and preserve the rural nature of Twin Oaks Valley.

Please refer to **Topical Response LU-1**.

- I -259-3** The comment states that in addition to the demands on local infrastructure, the project would result in population growth, traffic, and pollution which is not in character with the region.

The comment addresses utilities and service system, population and housing, traffic, and air quality issues which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.14, Utilities and Service Systems, 2.12, Population and Housing, 2.13, Transportation and Traffic, and Section 2.3, Air Quality. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding these analyses and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

- I -259-4** The comment states that people who have purchased land, and built homes and businesses, did so with the expectation that the region would be maintained as a rural area. The comment states that if the project is approved, then this communicates to the existing residents that the County does not care about existing residents' welfare.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I -259-5** The commenter states that the proposed blasting activities and Deer Springs Road expansion would result in undesirable consequences.

Comment Letter Responses

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I -259-6** The commenter states that if housing is needed, the County should rely on the 2020 General Plan and should not change the zoning of the project area or amend the 2020 General Plan.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.