## I-261 Sandra Marak

**I -261-1** The comment states that the project has been rejected twice before and could spoil the area.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

**I -261-2** The comment states that the project would exacerbate the continued water shortage and traffic congestion and would damage the peaceful character of the area.

Please refer to **Topical Response UTL-1**. The comment addresses utilities and service systems, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.14 Utilities and Service Systems.

Traffic impacts and proposed improvements are disclosed in Section 2.13 Traffic and Transportation. There are a number of roadway, interchange, and signal improvements that are included as part of the proposed project. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I -261-3** The comment states that the area does not need new shopping and high density living. The commenter asks if the County has considered the damage to resources and that water security would become a greater issue with climate change.

Please refer to **Topical Response UTL-1**. The comment addresses utilities and service systems, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.14 Utilities and Service Systems.

**I -261-4** The comment states that the project is a mistake for the greed of developers.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK