I-289 Conrad Nagel

I-289-1 The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

I-289-2 This comment raises a general subject area (traffic), which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section 2.13, Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-289-3 This comment raises a general subject area (fire evacuation), which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section 2.8, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, as well as Appendix N-2, Fire Evacuation Plan for Newland Sierra. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. Please also refer to Topical Response HAZ-1. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-289-4 This comment raises general subject areas (water supply and biological resources), which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Sections 2.4, Biological Resources, and Section 2.14.1, Water Supply and Services, as well as Appendices H (Biological Resources Technical Report), S (Water Supply Assessment), T (Water Conservation Demand Study), and V (Supporting Water Supply Appendices). The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-289-5 This comment questions blasting and its effects on air quality. Please see Topical Response AQ-1. No further response is required.

I-289-6 This comment raises general subject areas (General Plan consistency), which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Topical Response LU-1, Draft EIR Section 3.3, Land Use and Plan, as well as Appendix DD, Land Use Consistency Table. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
I-289-7  This comment raises two issues (schools and traffic on I-15). With respect to the projects impacts to schools, the Draft EIR analyzed potential impacts to schools in Section 3.5, Public Services. The project proposes to reserve a 6-acre school site in the Town Center. Section 3.5.3 analyzes the proposed projects direct impacts to schools and determines impacts would be less than significant as the project would either pay state-mandated school fees or enter into School Mitigation Agreements to ensure schools are built as population increases. Section 3.5.5 analyzes cumulative impacts to schools and makes a similar conclusion that impacts would be less than significant.

With respect to traffic on I-15, please refer to Topical Response TR-1. No further response is required.

I-289-8  This comment raises general subject areas (water supply), which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Topical Response UTL-1, Draft EIR Section 2.14.1, Water Supply and Services, as well as Appendices S (Water Supply Assessment), T (Water Conservation Demand Study), and V (Supporting Water Supply Appendices). The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

With respect to the potential impact to ratepayers, this comment raises economic issues that do not appear to relate to any physical effect on the environment. No further response is required.

I-289-9  The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.