

I-307 Constance Peabody

- I-307-1** The comment explains that they are concerned about the Project and to approve the Project would be unconscionable.

The County notes the comment; however, it does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR.

- I-307-2** The comment states that existing traffic conditions are dangerous when considering egress in case of emergency and residents and fire safety personnel are concerned about congestion and the timely escape during an evacuation. The comment states that there is apprehension that the intersection of I-15 and Deer Springs/Mountain Meadow Road is the only exit and could be overrun with the project.

Potential impacts associated with fire hazards and evacuations have been adequately analyzed in the Draft EIR, **Section 2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials** as well as, Appendix N, Fire Protection Plan and Evacuation Plan. Mitigation has been provided when necessary to avoid or lessen potentially significant impacts.

- I-307-3** The comment states that the project disregards the General Plan because it encourages urban sprawl. The comment states that developing near areas of employment would mitigate the tax on resources, destruction of wildlands and wildlife, and the value those areas create for the human population. The comment explains that sprawl should be prohibited as suggested in the General Plan.

Please refer to **Topical Response LU-1**. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding the Draft EIR's land use analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

- I-307-4** The comment explains that they view the Project with suspicion, because it completely disregards the well-being of the population and habitat it would impact. The comment explains that few people would profit from the Project and those people would not be present when consequences come to fruition. The comment states that the denial of the Project is the right thing for the County Board of Supervisors to do.

The County notes the comment; however, it does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final

Comment Letter Responses

decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR.