

I-316 Debra Posey

I -316-1 The commenter explains that they are urging the County to oppose the project.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

I -316-2 The comment states that the project conflicts with the General Plan, would result in additional traffic, and would result in casualties during a wildfire or other disaster, when people need to evacuate.

Please refer to **Topical Response LU 1**.

Potential impacts associated with fire hazards and evacuations have been adequately analyzed in the Draft EIR, **Section 2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials** as well as, Appendix N, Fire Protection Plan and Evacuation Plan. Mitigation has been provided when necessary to avoid or lessen potentially significant impacts. Refer also to **Topical Response HAZ-1**.

Traffic impacts and proposed improvements are disclosed in Section 2.13 Traffic and Transportation. There are a number of roadway, interchange, and signal improvements that are included as part of the proposed project. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding these analyses and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I -316-3 The comment states that the area is starved for water and asks where the water would come from to supply the project.

Please refer to GR-UTL-1 (Water Shortage/Drought). The comment addresses utilities and service systems, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.14 Utilities and Service Systems. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I -316-4 The comment states that there is a lot of rhetoric about a housing crisis in the County, but that the shortage is for low-income housing, not for homes above \$500,000.

Comment Letter Responses

The County notes the comment does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR.

- I -316-5** The commenter asks that the County deny the project and explains that the EIR has been skewed in favor of the project.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.