

I-352 Betty J. Service (3)

- I-352-1** The comment states that North County has conveniently located, warehouse-style shopping but is undersupplied with housing. The comment states new projects should take account of this reality and states the DEIR adequately describes how the community will change by the proposed project. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-352-2** The comment compares the proposed project with the existing general plan designation for the project site and questions “what massive waves of shoppers will do to our I-15 on and off ramps during peak times.” The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-352-3** The comment states that safety needs to be considered and that the public deserves to know which design is better for fire management. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-352-4** The comment states the DEIR “does a good job of evaluating and weighing the Newland Sierra project’s likely effects.” The comment states the likely effects must not be considered in a vacuum because the property will be developed into something, and suggests that be something the community needs. The County acknowledges the comment letter, and notes it expresses general support for the project, but does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK