

I-366 Lois Sklar (2)

- I-366-1** The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- I-366-2** The comment states the proposed Project would sever wildlife corridors. The County does not agree. Please see **Topical Response BIO-2**.
- I-366-3** The comment states the proposed Project is being “carved out” of the North County MSCP before the North County MSCP is approved.. Please see **Topical Response BIO-1**.
- I-366-4** The comment addresses impacts to cultural resources, which received extensive analysis in Section ADD of the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-366-5** This comment states the project would bring traffic on I-15 to LOS and no new transit infrastructure is proposed. The County does not concur with this comment. Please see **Topical Response TR-1**.
- I-366-6** This comment states the project will proceed prior to improvements being designed for the I-15/Deer Springs Road interchange. The County does not concur with this comment. Please see **Topical Response TR-2**.
- I-366-7** The comment states the VWD projects a water supply deficit and will require existing customers to reduce water usage by 36% if the proposed project is approved. The County does not concur. Please see **Topical Responses UTL-1 and UTL-2**.
- I-366-8** This comment addresses the project’s location in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone and emergency access in the event of a fire. Please see **Topical Response HAZ-1**.
- I-366-9** This comment states the General Plan down-zones the project site to protect the project site from development. The County does not concur with the comment. Please see **Topical Response LU-1**.
- I-366-10** This comment states the County does not have a Climate Action Plan and should not consider the project until a CAP is implemented. The County refers the

Comment Letter Responses

commenter to **Topical Response GHG-3** regarding the County's 2018 CAP. The County adopted its CAP on February 14, 2018.

I-366-11 The comment restates similar material as comment (9), above. Please see response to comment (9), above.

I-366-12 The comment restates the above comments. Please see above responses.