

I-373 Greg and Janet Sperbeck

- I-373-1** The comment states the commenters have lived in San Marcos for 30 years and have seen the City grow. The comment states that traffic has increased but that the commenters are “happy with the work and thought that has gone into making” San Marcos “a lovely unique town.”

The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow and notes the comment provides background information, but does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR.

- I-373-2** The comment states that even though the proposed Project is not in the City of San Marcos, the impact on the city’s roads would be enormous.

The County acknowledges the comments and notes that it restates information contained in the Draft EIR, specifically Section 2.13, Transportation and Traffic. As noted in Section 2.13, impacts to roadway facilities, including road segments and intersections, in the City of San Marcos would be significant. Mitigation measures are proposed to reduce those impacts to less than significant; however, because implementation of these measures are not under the jurisdiction of the County of San Diego, their implementation cannot be assured. Accordingly, impacts TR-4, TR-5, TR-11, TR-12, TR-13, TR-14, TR-23, TR-24, TR-25, TR-31 through TR-35, and TR-43, TR-44 and TR-45 were determined to be significant and unavoidable. See DEIR, Section 2.13.13, Conclusion.

- I-373-3** The comment states the commenters “love the two way” segment of Deer Springs Road because it “feel[s] like” being in the country.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

- I-373-4** The comment states the commenters are against the project and it would be a “huge mistake.”

Comment Letter Responses

The County acknowledges the comment, and notes it expresses general opposition for the project, but does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.

- I-373-5** The comment states there are already too many cars on the roads and the proposed Project would cause the commenter to “have to deal with more cars.” The comment states the commenter is worried about quality of life.

The comment addresses general subject areas, (traffic) which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically Section 2.13, Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

Regarding the concern about “quality of life,” the comment expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

Nonetheless, the commenter is referred to **Topical Responses AQ-1 through AQ-3, NOI-1, HAZ-1**, which address potential concerns related to air quality, noise and fire hazards and emergency evacuation, which the County notes may be the concerns of the commenter. As no specific issue regarding the DEIR analysis is raised, no more specific response can be provided or is required. No further response is required or necessary.

- I-373-6** The comment states the proposed Project would have a negative impact on “water, gas and electric, emergency services, and so much more.” The

The comment addresses general subject areas, (water, gas and electricity, and emergency services) which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically Sections 2.8.3, Wildfire Hazards and Emergency Response Plans, 2.14.1, Water Services, 3.1, Energy, and 3.5, Public Services.

The County notes that with respect to these concerns, the DEIR found that impacts related to Water Supply would be less than significant and incorporates mitigation measures to ensure impacts would remain less than significant through project implementation. The DEIR similarly found that impacts related to gas and electricity and public services would be less than significant. With respect to emergency

Comment Letter Responses

services, the DEIR found that impacts related to Emergency Response Plans would also be less than significant.

The comment does not raise any more specific issue regarding the DEIR analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-373-7 The comment states that the commenter’s understanding is that there is only one point of ingress/egress. The County does not concur with the comment. The proposed Project includes three access points, Mesa Rock Road, Sarver Lane, and Camino Mayor. The DEIR analyzes the proposed Project using these three access points and associated trip distribution. No further response is required or necessary.

I-373-8 The comment states the commenter’s understanding is the proposed Project would be “bombing” to “break up the ground and boulders.” The comment states the commenter “loves the ground and boulders” and that they are “what makes this area so unique.”

The County first notes that the proposed Project does not propose any “bombing,” rather, as analyzed through the DEIR, the proposed Project anticipated rock blasting and crushing. The DEIR has analyzed the potential impacts associated with such activities throughout, including in Section 2.1, Aesthetics, 2.3, Air Quality, 2.6, Geology and Soils, 2.7, GHG Emissions, and 2.10, Noise. As the comment does not raise any more specific issue regarding the DEIR analysis, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-373-9 The comment states “Please vote...no on behalf of my Husband and Myself.”

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it provides concluding remarks that do not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK