## I-379 Daniel Sullivan

**I-379-1** The comment states the commenter and his wife live in Hidden Meadows and that a public hearing was held on July 18, 2017 regarding the proposed project.

The County notes the comment provides factual background information and does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR.

**I-379-2** The comment states the commenter shares the objections shared at the meeting, specifically with respect to the following impacts.

The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

**I-379-3** The comment states that traffic problems on the I-15 would be exacerbated.

The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in Section 2.13, Transportation and Traffic, of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to **Topical Responses TR-1 through TR-3**. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-379-4** The comment states there is a lack of emergency routes out of the Hidden Meadows community, and congestion of I-15 would make that problem worse.

The County acknowledges the comment and refers the commenter to **Topical Response HAZ-1**.

**I-379-5** The comment states that local schools with be heavily impacted.

The comment addresses general subject areas, school services, which received extensive analysis in Section 3.5, Public Services, of the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the

comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-379-6** The comment states that the water supply will be further burdened.

The County refers the reader to **Topical Response UTL-1** regarding water supply. No further response is required or necessary. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-379-7** The comment states that because the proposed project will take years to complete, there will be continuing impacts including air pollution and noise which would interrupt the neighboring residents and balance of the environmental for wildlife.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes that the comment addresses general subject areas, air quality and noise, which received extensive analysis in Section 2.3, Air Quality; and 2.10, Nosie, of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to **Topical Responses AQ-1, AQ-2, AQ-3** and **NOI-1**. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.