Comment Letter Responses

I-387 Linda and Don Tonelli

I-387-1 The County notes this comment expresses general opposition to the project. This comment also expresses concerns about dust from rock crushing activities. Please see Topical Response AQ-1.

I-387-2 This comment states traffic from the project will spill onto Highway 395 (Champagne Boulevard and North Center City Parkway) and create gridlock. The DEIR addresses Traffic in Section 2.13, Transportation and Traffic. Table 2.13.17 shows Exiting plus Project Street Segment Operations. As shown in this table, the segments raised by the commenter continue to operate at an acceptable level of service (LOD or better) under the Plus Project condition. Table 2.13.27 shows Cumulative Street Segment Operations. As shown in this table, the segments raised by the commenter also continue to operate at an acceptable level of service (LOD or better) under the Cumulative condition. The DEIR analyzes the potential impacts consistent with the requirements of CEQA.

I-387-3 The comment states the traffic from the project will cause gridlock in the event of a fire evacuation. Please see Topical Response HAZ-1.

I-387-4 The County acknowledges the comment, and notes it expresses general opposition for the project, but does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.

I-387-5 The comment addresses impacts to wildlife, which received extensive analysis in Section 2.4, Biological Resources the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-387-6 The County acknowledges the comment and notes it raises economic issues that do not appear to relate to any physical effect on the environment. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR.
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