Comment Letter Responses

I-82  Chris Conlan

I-82-1 The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. The County also acknowledges that the comment also states general opposition to the project. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

I-82-2 The comment states that they consulted the General Plan before purchasing a home in the area and that the project is a major deviation from the existing plan, which is unfair to those who have chosen to live in the area. See Topical Response LU-1 (General Plan Consistency). The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

I-82-3 The comment states that the project will make traffic unbearable at the Deer Springs I-15 exit. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR; specifically, in Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-82-4 The comment expresses concern over evacuation during a wildfire. Potential impacts associated with wildfire hazards and evacuations have been adequately analyzed in Section 2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials as well as, Appendix N, Fire Protection Plan and Appendix N2, Evacuation Plan. Mitigation has been provided when necessary to avoid or lessen potentially significant impacts. Also see Topical Response HAZ-1. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-82-5 The comment states that they are already asked to conserve water and electricity yet another few thousand homes are proposed. The comment also states that deviating from the General Plan will drastically change the character of the area. Potential impacts associated with water supply and electricity have been adequately analyzed in Sections 2.14 Utilities and Service Systems, and 3.1 Energy, respectively. Regarding the impact to community character, as stated in Section 2.1, Aesthetics, on page 2.1-55,
“Several proposed project design features would help to reduce the visual impacts created by the proposed project but they would be unable to mask or substantially reduce the anticipated project visual contrast as viewed from I-15, Deer Springs Road at I-15, North Centre City Parkway and Mountain Meadow Road. Therefore, impacts to existing visual character and quality of the Site and surroundings would be significant and unavoidable (Impact AES-1).”

The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.