I-95 Catherine Tylka and Richard Dascomb

- **I-95-1** The comment expresses concern over the lack of fire and police protection for the 2,135 homes. Please see **Topical Response PS-1**.
- I-95-2 The comment expresses concern over anticipated traffic flows. The comment addresses traffic issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-95-3 The comment expresses concern over impacts to safety, comfort, lack of public transportation, natural resources, and water. The comment addresses general topic areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-95-4 The comment estates that schools and other means of education have not been considered or paid for. The County disagrees with this comment. As stated in the Draft EIR, Section 3.5 Public Services, on page 3.5-17, the project has reserved a 6-acre site for a school. After the on-site school is built, K-8 students generated by the proposed project would have the opportunity to attend this new school, which would have adequate capacity and would provide relief to overcrowding in the San Marcos Unified School District. Even with the addition of a school on-site, the project would be subject to assessment of applicable school fees in all three districts at the appropriate rate. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- 1-95-5 The comment states that research encourages new housing near infrastructure close to employment. As stated in the Draft EIR, Section 1.0 Project Description, on page 1-24, the project is located at the Deer Springs Road interchange with direct access to I-15, providing regional access to existing job centers in San Marcos, Vista, Rancho Bernardo, Escondido, and Poway. The project Site is also located near Cal State San Marcos and Palomar College. Three Sprinter stations are within 6 miles of the project Site: the San Marcos Civic Center Sprinter Station, the Buena Creek Station, and the Palomar College Station, as shown in Figure 1-34, Proximity to Major Employment

Comment Letter Responses

Centers. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

- I-95-6 The comment states that the lack of emergency evacuation routes cannot be overlooked. An evacuation plan was prepared as part of the Draft EIR, Appendix N-2. Refer to **Topical Response HAZ-1**. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-95-7 The comment states that the proposed project has 210% more homes than the current General Plan allows. The County notes the comment; however, the comment does not raise an environmental issue within the meaning of CEQA. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue.