

I-99 Beverly Amsbary Davenport

- I-99-1** The comment expresses concern over the traffic and fire evacuation. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. There are number of roadway, interchange, and signal improvements that are included as part of the proposed project. These improvements are disclosed in Section 2.13 Traffic and Transportation of the Draft EIR. Potential impacts associated with fire hazards and evacuations have been adequately analyzed in Section 2.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials as well as, Appendix N, Fire Protection Plan and Evacuation Plan. Mitigation has been provided when necessary to avoid or lessen potentially significant impacts. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-99-2** The comment asks how the County can expect people in within the Vallecitos Water District to cut water by 36%. As stated on page 43 in Appendix S, Water Supply Assessment Report, the water conservation requirement of 36% to meet 2020 demands during multi-dry year conditions is part of VWD's water supply plan to meet future demands. The water conservation requirement is reduced to 26% to meet the 2025 and 2035 demand requirements during multi-dry year conditions. The 36% and 26% water conservation requirements are not being imposed due to the proposed project. With the implementation of water conservation measures, the proposed project will sufficiently contribute towards VWD's intent to use water conservation to meet 36% and 26% of its future demand projections under multi-dry year conditions through 2035. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-99-3** The comment states that there must be areas within San Diego County where building homes will not cause all these problems. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- I-99-4** The comment expresses concern over rock crushing activities due to noise and air pollution. The Draft EIR has adequately analyzed potential impacts, including air quality and noise, from rock crushing activities as disclosed in Section 2.3, Air Quality and Section 2.10, Noise. As stated on page 2.3-32 in Section 2.3 Air Quality, the daily emissions by phase for the rock crushing operation and associated diesel engine-generators are shown by phase in Table 2.3-10. Emission calculations are

Comment Letter Responses

provided in Appendix C of the Air Quality Technical Report (Appendix G). All grading activities, blasting, and rock crushing operations are anticipated to be completed by the end of 2022 when major earthwork activity would be completed for both phases; therefore, emissions generated after 2022 (2023–2027) would only result from general construction activities including building construction, utility work, paving, architectural coating, and landscaping. Additionally, due to the anticipated grading and earthwork schedule, it is anticipated that individual blasting or rock crushing activities during Phases 1 and 2 would occur sequentially and not overlap. See Appendix A and Appendix B of the Air Quality Technical Report (Appendix G) for construction schedule and additional details.

Furthermore, as stated on page 2.3-50, impacts from CO, DPM, or crystalline silica would be less than significant during construction; therefore, impacts to sensitive receptors would be less than significant.

Regarding noise from rock crushing activities, as stated on page 2.10-19 in Section 2.10 Noise, preliminarily, two rock-crushing locations would be located within or adjacent to the Hillside and Knoll neighborhoods, as depicted in Figure 2.10-8, Potential Rock Crusher Locations. The closest existing off-site residence property line or NSLU would be located more than 1,800 feet from the proposed rock-crushing areas and acoustically shielded by rugged intervening terrain. At this distance, the noise level (both 8-hour average and impulsive noise) associated with the rock-crushing activities would be less than significant. In addition, there would be intervening topography that would shield adjacent homes from the rock-crushing facilities.

- I-99-5** The comment states that the project gives many who choose to live in the rural area great heartache and stress. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commentator, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.