

O-14 San Diego County Archaeological Society, Inc.

- O-14-1** The comment states that the commenter has reviewed the Draft EIR and offers the following comments on the cultural resources mitigation measures in Section 7.4 of the Draft EIR. The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- O-14-2** The comment requests that the number of archaeological monitors and/or Native American monitors be clarified based on the nature and possible locations of work requiring monitoring at the discretion of the project archaeologist and the Native American monitors. The County acknowledges the comments and notes that the appropriate monitoring level will be determined by the project’s archaeological monitoring program and potential data recovery program, which would both be implemented pursuant to the County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources and per CEQA. As required by mitigation measure M-CR-7, “[b]oth the Project Archaeologist and Luiseño Native American monitor are to be onsite during all earth disturbing activities. The frequency and location of monitoring of native soils will be determined by the Project Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American monitor.” The County notes that the comment does not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.
- O-14-3** The comment states that the term “internment” should be “interment.” The County agrees with the comment. Section 2.5, Cultural Resources, of the Final EIR has been revised to make this edit as requested.
- O-14-4** The comment requests that the sample size of 3D scanning referenced in M-CR-5d be “as deemed appropriate by the project archaeologist and include any additional material which the Bands wish to include.” The comment adds that each item must be 3D printed to confirm the quality of the scan and the digital files, and sample 3D print should be curated at a County-approved facility, and additional prints and copies be provided to the tribes. In response, the details of 3D scanning will be determined as part of the Data Recovery and Index Sampling Plan, as required by M-CR-5. This plan would comply with the research design and performance standards provided in Appendix D of the project’s Cultural Resources Report, which is Appendix I of the Draft EIR, and would be agreed to by the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Tribes. The County notes that the comment does not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.

Comment Letter Responses

- O-14-5** The comment requests that the historic materials mentioned in M-CR-5f be curated in a County-approved facility. The County agrees that historic materials would be curated in a County-approved facility, pursuant to the Data Recovery and Index Sampling Plan provided for in M-CR-5. The County notes that the comment does not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.
- O-14-6** The comment requests that the final report cited in M-CR-5g “clearly document which materials were reinterred, which were 3-D scanned and to whom files and prints were provided, and which were provided for curation.” The County acknowledges the comment and notes that the final report will be prepared in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band, and will follow the County’s requirements for such reports, including documenting the requested details. The County notes that the comment does not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.
- O-14-7** The comment requests that the final report cited in M-CR-5g be included in the final report for the project, and suggests involvement of Ken Hedges in the program. The County acknowledges the comment, and notes that the Data Recovery and Index Sampling Plan will be a part of the Treatment Plan Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band. The County notes that the comment does not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.
- O-14-8** The comment states that the cap mentioned in M-CR-7b(4) and M-CR-8c require further definition in the EIR, including the depth of the cap and nature of the capping soil. The County acknowledges the comment and notes that, as required by M-CR-7b(4), “the soils shall be treated in accordance to the terms reflected in the Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.” The County notes that the comment does not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. No further response is required.
- O-14-9** The comment requests that the second bullet on M-CR-7b(6) be revised to clarify or delete the reference to “if feasible.” The County acknowledges the comment and notes that M-CR-7b(6) has been updated for clarification.
- O-14-10** The comment states that M-CR-7d should be revised to address the 3D scans and prints that are addressed above. Please see **Response to Comment O-14-6**, above.
- O-14-11** The comment states that the San Diego County Archaeological Society appreciates the opportunity to review and comment on the project. The County acknowledges the

Comment Letter Responses

comment and notes that it provides concluding remarks that do not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK