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CA-SDI-9822: Bedrock Milling Feature 6
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CA-SDI-9822: Bedrock Milling Feature 8
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CA-SDI-9822: Bedrock Milling Feature 11
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CA-SDI-9822: Bedrock Milling Feature 12
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All formed artifacts and debitage from CA-SDI-9822 were combined for the purpose of analysis. Of the 68 pieces of debitage, 10 (15%) were technologically diagnostic of 2 different reduction technologies (Table 4-10), while 58 (85%) were technologically undiagnostic (Table 4-11). Seven different lithic toolstone materials were represented in the CA-SDI-9822 assemblage (including both technologically diagnostic and undiagnostic debitage). These materials include 25 metavolcanic, 16 quartz, 5 quartzite, 12 volcanic, 1 chert, 5 Piedra de Lumbre chert, and 4 obsidian.

### Table 4-10

**CA-SDI-9822 Diagnostic Nodule Core Debitage by Material**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metavolcanic</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single-Facet Platform</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFP-6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFP-11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, Single-facet Platform</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% Diagnostic Debitage)</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biface</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203.E-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400.E-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, Multi-faceted Platform</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% Diagnostic Debitage)</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% Diagnostic Debitage)</td>
<td>30.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-11

**CA-SDI-9822 Undiagnostic Debitage by Material**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undiagnostic Flake Fragments</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metavolcanic</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uwl/icc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uwo/c</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% of Column)</td>
<td>37.90%</td>
<td>27.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common reduction technology identified in the assemblage was nodule core reduction. Six (60%) of the technologically diagnostic debitage supported nodule core reduction (see Table 4-10). Only one nodule core platform type was represented at CA-SDI-9822. Single-facet platform debitage was represented by all six debitage. This suggests that for this portion of CA-
SDI-9822 a single-facet platform configuration was the primary means of platform preparation on cores. The collection is small however, and does not likely represent the full range of lithic technologies that occurred at CA-SDI-9822. The most frequently occurring single-facet platform debitage category was SFP-11 (n=5). While flakes in this category are ideal flake blanks, these specific flakes were broken or were too small for use and likely discarded.

For this analysis, biface reduction debitage was divided into five reduction-oriented technological categories (as defined by Flenniken 2002) that were, in turn, employed to define the reduction sequences used at CA-SDI-9822. These include core reduction (Category 1), edge preparation (Category 2), percussion bifacial thinning (Category 3), pressure bifacial thinning (Category 4), and undiagnostic fragments (Category 5).

However, in the present assemblage only Categories 2, 4, and 5 bifacial reduction debitage were identified. The following technological definitions have been offered by Flenniken (2002) for the previously mentioned bifacial technological categories:

1. Core reduction, that is, primary decortication debitage segregated on the basis of approximately 100% cortex on the dorsal surface and platform configuration; secondary decortication debitage separated based upon partial dorsal cortex and platform type; and interior debitage categorized by platform attributes, dorsal arris count and direction, flake cross/long-section configuration, and especially, absence of dorsal cortex;

2. Edge preparation, that is, bifacial reduction debitage classified on the basis of multifaceted platform configuration and location, location of remnant bulb of force, dorsal arris count and direction, flake termination, flake cross/long-section orientation, and presence or absence of detachment scar;

3. Percussion bifacial thinning, that is, debitage segregated on the basis of multifaceted platform configuration, size, lipping, and location, dorsal arris count and direction, flake termination, cross/long-section orientation, and presence or absence of detachment scar;

4. Pressure bifacial thinning, that is, debitage separated on the basis of multifaceted platform configuration and location, dorsal arris count and direction, flake termination, platform-to-long axis geometry, cross/long-section orientation, and presence or absence of detachment scar (Confidential Appendix C); and

5. Undiagnostic fragments, that is, potlids (995.PL), bipolar shatter (996.SH), and flake fragments, with cortex (including type [997.UP and 998.UI]) or without cortex (999.UN).

A limited number of technologically diagnostic flakes (n=4) were identified within the technologically diagnostic portion of the assemblage as biface reduction debitage (see Table 4-
Two flakes were edge preparation flakes (203.E-), while the remaining two were early (400.E-) stage pressure flakes. The presence of these technological categories suggests bifacial tools were thinned and/or resharpened by pressure flaking at CA-SDI-9822.

A total of 58 technologically undiagnostic flake fragments were also identified from this assemblage (see Table 4-11). Only 5 fragments possessed cortex (Uw/icc), while 53 were cortex-free (Uwo/c). The cortex noted on these flakes includes only flakes with incipient cone cortex common on local lithic materials. The overall lack of cortex on debitage suggests that the cores used to produce flakes at CA-SDI-9822 had been prepared (decorticated and shaped) at another location away from this portion of CA-SDI-9822.

Nodule core reduction technology is the most common technology identified in this lithic sample from CA-SDI-9822. Products of nodule core reduction are the most abundant in the site as measured by percent of technologically diagnostic flakes. This simple and expedient technology was so commonly used because of the local abundance of nodule metavolcanic materials. Furthermore, this technology provided a simple and relatively effortless method to produce useful flake blanks intended for further reduction.

Variability among the analyzed assemblages can be studied at two scales: individual artifacts, and artifact assemblages. This variability is explained by several factors: the shape and size of raw material packages, stages of reduction, and site-specific knapping activities.

Pebbles, cobbles, and to a lesser extent, boulders were selected for size, shape, material quality, and platform location. Nodules with natural platforms were reduced directly by percussion in a circular manner around the natural platform. The location of dorsal cortex indicates the sequence of flake removals (Confidential Appendix C). Cores with faceted platforms are nodules that required platform preparation prior to reduction. This occurred usually when a nodule of high quality material was selected, but the nodule did not possess a suitably shaped platform. It was therefore necessary to create a functional platform by percussion flaking. The desired products of nodule core reduction were flake blanks that were thin in cross-section, long and narrow in plan-view, and effectively range from between 4 and 10 centimeters in length.

A source of intra-site variation may result from initial nodule core reduction conducted at one site, and then transported and later reduced at a second location or site. It appears that cores were not always entirely reduced at a single location; instead, initial shaping may have been performed at one site and subsequent core reduction performed at another. This idea is portrayed by the minimal number of early reduction stage flakes, and the higher frequency of late reduction stage flakes recovered from CA-SDI-9822. Alternatively, this pattern could be explained as a result of sampling bias resulting from incomplete or non-representative artifact collection.
Intended end products of this technology (i.e., flake blanks and flake tools) were likely transported for use or further reduction outside of the study area, since the most useful blanks (NP/SFP/MFP-11s) were absent from the analyzed collection, and when present (i.e., SFP-11), were broken or too small to have served as useful tool blanks.

Biface reduction is not well represented at this site. The biface reduction debitage sample consists of four flakes previously discussed. The recovery of one biface fragment and four biface reduction debitage from the present excavation supports the manufacture of bifacial tools at CA-SDI-9822. This sample is small, however, and does not lend itself to a lengthy discussion concerning the employment of bifacial technology at CA-SDI-9822.

The single quartz biface fragment was recovered from STP 1 at the 20–30-centimeter level. The biface is the mid-section of an early stage bifacial preform that likely fractured during manufacture. This is evidenced by the presence of bending fractures near the distal and proximal sections of the biface. Bending fractures most commonly occur when the knapper fails to support the objective piece properly during the percussion stages of bifacial shaping. As is to be expected, the biface was likely abandoned at this juncture as no evidence of further reduction beyond the bending fracture is apparent (i.e., early/late stage pressure flaking). The biface fragment measures 26.5x28.3x12.7 millimeters with a weight of 11.58 grams. Although the final intended use for the specimen is unknown, the biface falls within the weight range of bifaces produced for most dart points (>3.5 g). However, this does not exclude the biface from the core or knife categories.

Based on the technological analysis of debitage from the excavations at CA-SDI-9822, the following anthropological descriptions of nodule core reduction are offered. First, nodules of primarily fined-grained metavolcanic materials were selected for direct free-hand percussion core reduction. All of these nodules were reduced using single-facet platforms. This suggests nodules were selected and prepared outside of the present site area. A single pattern of flake removal could not be identified within the present core reduction techniques. Interestingly, sizeable, useable flakes without dorsal surface cortex were not noted in this assemblage suggesting these flakes were produced at CA-SDI-9822, but transported elsewhere (such as the core area of the site). Nodule core reduction is the most commonly occurring technique noted at CA-SDI-9822. Biface reduction was poorly represented at CA-SDI-9822, as evidenced by the presence of four biface reduction flakes. These flakes and the biface fragment suggest bifaces were at least prepared and possibly used at CA-SDI-9822.

CA-SDI-9822 Ceramic Analysis

A total of 13 ceramic sherds were recovered during the boundary testing of site CA-SDI-9822. Of these 13 ceramic sherds, the majority were too highly fragmented to facilitate analysis. As such, because of the small size and fragmentary condition of the assemblage, no samples were
submitted for thin-sectioning or source analysis. A visual analysis of the specimens indicates that the fragments are likely Tizon Brown Ware and portions of vessel bodies.

**CA-SDI-9822 Shell Bead Analysis**

For San Diego County, a processual understanding of manufacture, distribution, and use of shell artifacts has not been achieved. In addition, the range of morphological types of beads utilized in the San Diego region is not well understood. In contrast to other regions of California, there is little information concerning the process by which shell artifacts were manufactured and used, or the modifications these artifacts may have gone through over time. The analysis of shell artifacts from other regions of California (more notably the Chumash culture area) has demonstrated considerable anthropological value in the understanding of prehistoric economies, trade systems and networks, and the organization of wealth and status in prehistoric societies (Fenenga 1988). For these regions, particular styles of shell artifacts have been established as chronologically diagnostic in a number of archaeological sites. The shell artifact assemblage from this portion of CA-SDI-9822 is small in comparison to other sites in the north San Diego region. Although the present data will not answer some questions that may be resolved by a greater regional study of multiple archaeological sites, it will certainly contribute to the presently limited body of data, and will be of value to future research issues regarding shell artifacts.

For the present analysis, the typology developed by Gifford (1947) will be employed. A single shell artifact was recovered from the present excavations at CA-SDI-9822. The Gifford Type F5 *Olivella* sp. shell bead is identified as spire-ground. Although the two primary manufacturing techniques (spire-ground and spire-lopped) have often been split into separate types by various archaeologists (Bass and Andrews 1977; Bennyhoff 1986; Bennyhoff and Fredrickson 1967; Bennyhoff and Heizer 1958; Bennyhoff and Hughes 1987; Gibson 1973; King 1982), it is more likely that the difference in these beads is a matter of manufacturing preference rather than stylistic change. This is supported by the fact that the two manufacturing techniques often occur at the same time within the same assemblage. These specimens are primarily whole shells that have the spire end modified by grinding to produce a hole for stringing or attachment. These are a simple and time-efficient form of shell bead to manufacture. In general, whole *Olivella* sp. shell beads are not considered to be reliable time markers throughout California. However, spire-lopped/ground *Olivella* sp. shell beads are likely the oldest form of shell bead known from California (Fenenga 1988). Evidence from CA-SDI-11079 in Otay Mesa, California, suggests the employment of *Olivella* sp. shell for beads as early as 9,000 years ago (Kyle and Gallegos 1998), and ethnographic evidence demonstrates that their use continued throughout historic times (Dietz and Jackson 1981; Howard 1974; Roop and Flynn 1978).
CA-SDI-9822 Invertebrate Faunal Analysis

Invertebrate remains recovered from the three positive STPs at CA-SDI-9822 totaled 64.11 grams. All shell recovered was identified to species, order, and class. A total of 3 species, 2 orders, and 1 class of invertebrate remains were identified within the three analyzed STPs. These shellfish species were representative of primarily a bay/lagoon/estuary environment. Each shell was weighed and examined to identify genus and species. All shell was speciated in order to determine habitat exploitation patterns, and to identify environmental setting.

The majority of the 64.11 grams of invertebrate remains was recovered from the 0–40-centimeter levels, decreasing in quantity from 40–50 centimeters, and becoming negligible from 50–60 centimeters (Table 4-12). Of the 64.11 grams of shell recovered, 47.23 grams were identifiable to species. The remaining 16.88 grams were determined to be too fragmentary or weathered for proper identification. Table 6-7 illustrates that the majority of the identifiable shellfish species recovered from the three STPs were Chione sp. (79.7%), Argopecten sp. (15.7%), and Ostrea lurida (4.6%). This data indicates a primary exploitation focus on bay/lagoon/estuary habitats by the inhabitants of CA-SDI-9822.

In summary, the invertebrate shell data suggests that the inhabitants of CA-SDI-9822 primarily exploited bay/lagoon/estuary habitats for shellfish. It is likely that this exploitation pattern represents a focus on primarily one environment. Given the results of shellfish analysis for CA-SDI-9822, the inhabitants likely exploited the nearest lagoon habitat (Buena Vista Lagoon). However, as with the lithic analysis, the present sample is small and may not be representative of shellfish exploitation for CA-SDI-9822.

Table 4-12
Shell by Depth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STP</th>
<th>0-10cm</th>
<th>10-20cm</th>
<th>20-30cm</th>
<th>30-40cm</th>
<th>40-50cm</th>
<th>50-60cm</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.11</td>
<td>34.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>41.84</td>
<td>65.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>64.11</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>22.13%</td>
<td>18.03%</td>
<td>24.18%</td>
<td>20.68%</td>
<td>13.88%</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Weight in grams
** Note: STPs 3 and 4 were negative
CA-SDI-9822 Vertebrate Faunal Analysis

The 13.1 grams of bone fragments recovered from CA-SDI-9822 lack the morphological features that would allow them to be identified to a taxonomic category greater than their class. The present specimens are representative of primarily small to medium size mammals. The category of small mammals (10.3 grams of the collection) roughly equates to all undiagnostic vertebrate fragments whose sizes are between a mouse and a jackrabbit. Those fragments defined as medium size mammal (2.8 grams of the collection) roughly equate to undiagnostic vertebrate fragments wherein sizes are larger than a jackrabbit but smaller than a deer. Evidence of burning was present on only two of the specimens. These specimens were calcined suggesting direct exposure to a fire hotter than 800º Celsius (Ubelaker 1978). This may represent bone that was severely burned during preparation, or may also be the result of having been discarded in a fire hearth (Wing and Brown 1979).

CA-SDI-9822 Summary

The boundary assessment program for this portion of CA-SDI-9822 included the excavation of five STPs. In all, the present phase of work produced 68 debitage, 1 biface, 13 ceramic fragments, 1 *Olivella* sp. shell bead, 64.11 grams of shell, and 13.11 grams of bone. Disturbance from construction and bioturbation was noted in all STPs. Flake production from locally available nodules suggest flake tool use and/or biface production. Most likely, these tools were manufactured and used at the site. The remains and the range of small to medium size mammal bone demonstrate the range of foods collected, hunted, and processed. In addition, the presence of shellfish and obsidian indicates trade/travel from the inland location of CA-SDI-9822 to the coast, as well as travel to such areas as the Salton Sea to acquire obsidian. The current study resulted in the extension of the site boundary to the west, north, and east of the fenced site area to include additional bedrock milling features and the pictograph feature; and to the south to include the newly identified portion of CA-SDI-9822 south of Deer Springs Road. Examination of the archaeological collection from previous work efforts resulted in the conclusion that it lacks provenience and cannot be used for interpretation of site structure or to address issues of diachronic change in assemblage composition. It does, however retain value as a comparative collection and as a broad index of the site constituents.

4.2.2.4 CA-SDI-10747H

Site CA-SDI-10747H was originally recorded by Cardenas (1986b) for the Sycamore Ridge project. Site CA-SDI-10747H is located adjacent to the west edge of site CA-SDI-9253 (see Section 4.2.2.2 for description of CA-SDI-9253). Site CA-SDI-10747H represents the remnants of a post-1930s homestead, consisting of a three-room house, a rock and mortar hearth/chimney structure, a stone and concrete one-room foundation, and a partially collapsed wood structure.
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(Cardenas 1986b). White and White (n.d.) conducted a patents record search for Stonegate Development and identified one homestead patent issued in Section 13 of Township 11 South, Range 3 West. White and White (n.d.) stated that CA-SDI-10747H is most likely associated with homestead patent #0046358 issued to Orland Arthur Rush in 1931.

CA-SDI-10747H Test Results

Testing included historical research and GPS mapping of the structure foundations (Figure 4-27, Confidential Appendix B). The location for the structure foundations does not appear on early USGS maps, and the structure foundations appear to be more recent than 1930s. Background historical research confirmed that Orland Arthur Rush homesteaded the property located at CA-SDI-10747H. Rush acquired 400 acres from the government on October 5, 1931, under the 1916 Homestead Entry-Stock Raising statute. Disturbance at the site includes foot traffic on the adjacent trail, modern trash dumping, and some off-road vehicle activity.

CA-SDA-10747H Summary

Testing included historical research and GPS mapping of the structure foundations present at the site. Site CA-SDI-10747H consists of the remnants of a post-1930s three-room house, a rock and mortar hearth/chimney structure, a stone and concrete one-room foundation, and a partially collapsed wood structure. None of the early maps reviewed (1901 Escondido 15’, 1901 San Luis Rey 15’, 1942 Escondido 15’, 1948 San Marcos 7.5’, and 1968 San Marcos 7.5’) identified a historic structure in this area. Additionally, no structure at this location is present on aerial photos from 1967 or earlier. Therefore, on the basis of foundation remnants and absence of a structure on early maps and photographs, it appears that the extant remains at CA-SDI-10747H represent structures that are less than 50 years old. These remains may have replaced earlier structures at the site which were not noted on historic maps, or simply do not have distinguishing characteristics that clearly identify them as at least 50 years old. Although clear evidence is not available to date the extant foundations, the 1916 Homestead Act did require improvements to any granted homestead within three years of the claim; as no other structures were identified in the area which could correspond to an early 1930s homestead, it appears this is the location of the Rush homestead, even if nothing remains of the original buildings/structures. Disturbance at the site includes foot traffic on the adjacent trail, modern trash dumping, and some off road vehicle activity.

4.2.2.5 CA-SDI-17264

Site CA-SDI-17264 consists of a lithic scatter located in the southwest portion of the project area (Figure 4-28, Confidential Appendix B). This site consists of one debitage, two handstones, and one millingstone fragment located within a dirt road. Because of the dense vegetation the site boundary is unknown. Site CA-SDI-17264 was tested for the current project to determine site significance.
CA-SDI-17264 Test Results

Testing included collection of surface artifacts, excavation of 12 STPs, and artifact cataloguing and analysis (see Figure 4-28, Confidential Appendix B and Table 4-13). Cultural material recovered from the test program included one debitage, two handstones, and one millingstone fragment. Disturbance at the site included off-road vehicle traffic and previous grading of the road.

Four surface artifacts were collected from site CA-SDI-9253 (see Table 4-13). Cultural material recovered from the surface collection included one debitage, two handstones, and one millingstone fragment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Material</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debitage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handstone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millingstone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twelve shovel test pits (STPs) were excavated to determine the presence or absence of subsurface materials and extent of the subsurface deposit (see Figure 4-28, Confidential Appendix B). STP excavation resulted in twelve negative STPs.

CA-SDI-17264 Lithic Analysis (with Tracy Stropes)

The artifact assemblage from CA-SDI-17264 consists of a narrow range of artifact types including one debitage, two handstones (one complete and one fragment) and one millingstone fragment. All artifacts were recovered from the surface of CA-SDI-17264.

A single piece of volcanic debitage was identified in the present collection. The single undiagnostic specimen gives little indication of the flintknapping activities that may have occurred at the site. It is likely that because of the small size of the present sample, the specimen represents the maintenance and/or minimal reduction of a prepared nodule core(s).

CA-SDI-17264 Groundstone Analysis

All groundstone materials recovered from CA-SDI-17264 were selected for analysis and interpretation. Groundstone implements may include a wide range of objects used to or created by the processes of abrasion, impact, or polishing (Adams 2002). Often, groundstone tools are...
associated with the processing/milling of plants, seeds, nuts (i.e., acorns, walnuts, holly leaf cherry), and small mammals. In addition, ethnographic evidence indicates that bone, clay, and pigments may also have been processed with the same tools (Gayton 1929; Kroeber 1925; Spier 1978). Implements of this type may be identified by the pattern of wear developed through milling stone against stone. This process often results in a smooth and/or polished surface, depending on the substance ground and the lithic material type. Often these surfaces are pecked or resharpened when ground too smooth. These implements are sometimes shaped into a desired form by pecking, grinding, or flaking. Thus, tool identification is based on the presence of ground or smooth surfaces, pecked or resharpened surfaces, and evidence of shaping of the tool form. Tools are then separated into three groups: handstones/millingstones, unidentifiable groundstone fragments, and battered implements. Unidentifiable groundstone is defined herein as a fragment of lithic material with a minimum of a single ground surface, but with no technologically identifiable characteristics to indicate tool form.

Two handstones were recovered from the surface of CA-SDI-17264. Of the two handstone specimens recovered, one is complete or nearly complete and one is fragmentary. Both handstones recovered are granitic nodules. Bifacial use-wear and extended use is apparent on specimen CA-SDI-17264-1. Both handstones show evidence of shaping, suggesting extended use or curation of the handstones. There is end battering present on one of the specimens, which also exhibits a pecked grinding surface. The end battering visible on the specimen may indicate that the handstones were also used as hammers to sharpen millingstone grinding surfaces when they became too slick to grind. The overall curvature of each handstone face is slight indicating that the opposing milling surface the handstones were ground against (i.e., millingstone, milling slick) was not very deep in form. In addition, the grinding patterns evident on the faces of each handstone indicate that the handstones are basin handstones used primarily in a reciprocal stroke manner in concert with shallow basin millingstones (Adams 2002). The relatively small milling assemblage recovered from CA-SDI-17264 suggests that the inhabitants of the site had only a minimal dependence on food packages that required milling for processing (i.e., grass seeds) or that the present integrity of the site is poor.

Millingstones and millingstone fragments are identified based on the presence of at least one concave ground surface. Only one block style granitic basin millingstone fragment was identified within the present collection. Block millingstones are too heavy to transport and are defined by Binford (1980) as “site furniture.” The presence of a large block millingstone may be evident of a longer period of site occupation. The block millingstone is unifacial and retains a shallow grinding surface. Flat basins retain a more planer grinding surface and may have been used to process less oily products such as fibers (Kowta 1969), while the shallow basins may have been used for the processing of products such as hard seeds. The present basin morphology identified for the millingstone fragment suggests primarily a reciprocal stroke. The specimen also
demonstrates evidence of pecking to rejuvenate the grinding surface in addition to evidence of shaping in the form of pecking, flaking and or grinding generally around the outer circumference. Flaking and pecking would have acted to remove unnecessary mass and aid in producing the desired shape. Final grinding may have helped to even the overall surface but was not always necessary.

**CA-SDI-17264 Summary**

Testing at CA-SDI-17264 produced one debitage, two handstones (one complete handstone and one handstone fragment), and one millingstone fragment. Disturbance from both bioturbation and organic materials (i.e., roots) was noted in the excavated STPs. The present sample is too small to make any definitive statements concerning the past activities of the inhabitants of CA-SDI-17264. It is probable that the site represents an artifact scatter where milling of plants and seeds and a brief period of occupation may have taken place.

**4.2.2.6 CA-SDI-17265**

Site CA-SDI-17265 consists of a single bedrock milling feature (BRM-1) located in the west portion of the project area, within a flat valley (Figure 4-29, Confidential Appendix B and Figure 4-30). BRM-1 is a flat, low-lying boulder and consists of a large slick, approximately 60x30 centimeters in area. Heavy weathering and exfoliation of the bedrock were noted. Disturbances included off-road vehicle activity and previous grading adjacent to the site.

**CA-SDI-17265 Test Results**

Testing included excavation of eight STPs, and documentation of one bedrock milling feature (see Figure 4-29). Cultural material recovered from the test program included one debitage.

Eight shovel test pits (STPs) were excavated to determine the presence or absence of subsurface materials and extent of the subsurface deposit (see Figure 4-29). STP excavation resulted in one positive and seven negative STPs. One debitage was recovered from STP 2 within the 10–20-centimeter level.

**CA-SDI-17265 Summary**

Testing at site CA-SDI-17265 included excavation of eight STPs and documentation of one bedrock milling feature. In all, testing at site CA-SDI-17265 produced one debitage. Seven STPs were negative and one STP was positive. The present sample is too small to make any definitive statements concerning the past activities of the inhabitants of site CA-SDI-17265. Disturbance noted included off-road vehicle activity and previous grading adjacent to the site.
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Overview of Site CA-SDI-17265 Showing Outcrop with Milling. Facing West-Southwest

Site CA-SDI-17265: Close-up Showing Outcrop with Milling. Facing West-Southwest
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4.2.2.7 CA-SDI-5951

Site CA-SDI-5951 is a habitation site located at the south end of the project area in the Deer Springs Road off-site improvement area (Figure 4-31, Confidential Appendix B). This site consists of 14 bedrock milling features, a midden deposit, projectile points, flakedstone tools, cores, lithic debitage, ceramics, groundstone tools, vertebrate and invertebrate remains, a ceramic bow pipe fragment, and possible human remains in a 49 x 92 m area. Site CA-SDI-5951 was tested for the current project to determine site significance. Two potential midden areas were identified at the site: one in the center of the site on a north south trending terrace between two narrow, steep-walled drainages, and the second at the southeast corner of the site. The remainder of the site consists of decomposing granite and granite bedrock/outercrops. Dense chaparral vegetation covers the site, with tall, dense grass present between shrubs which limited ground surface visibility to less than 25%. Extensive disturbances were noted, particularly in the eastern portion of the site.

CA-SDI-5951 Test Results

Testing included collection of surface artifacts, excavation of nine STPs and one STU, documentation of bedrock milling, and artifact cataloguing and analysis (see Figure 4-31, Confidential Appendix B and Table 4-14). Cultural material recovered from the test program included 332 pieces of debitage, three cores, one flake tool, eight projectile point fragments, two hammerstones, and four handstone fragments, one pestle, two indeterminate groundstone fragments, 117 ceramic fragments, 125.6 grams of fire-affected rock, charcoal samples, 105.3 grams of bone, and 56.0 grams of shell. Surface artifacts were predominantly recovered from a disturbed/cleared area adjacent to a utility pole, with a limited number collected from immediately north of the disturbed area. Disturbances at the site included installation of the utility pole, rodent burrows, construction of Deer Springs Road, and grading on the east end of the site which involved pushing large boulders into the site. The site likely extended further south, as midden soil and artifacts can be observed in the bank of the road.

Sixty-four (64) surface artifacts were collected from site CA-SDI-5951 (see Table 4-14). Cultural material recovered from the surface collection included 35 pieces of debitage, one core, one flake tool, two projectile points, one percussing tool (hammerstone), three handstones, one pestle, one indeterminate groundstone fragment, 19 ceramics, 0.4 grams of invertebrates, and 4.7 grams of vertebrates.
Nine shovel test pits (STPs) and one shovel test unit (STU) were excavated to determine the presence or absence of subsurface materials and extent of the subsurface deposit (see Figure 4-28, Confidential Appendix B). All STPs were positive except STP 2 and 3, which did not produce any cultural material. STP 2, excavated adjacent to Feature 14, contained midden-like dark brownish-grey silty loam to a depth of 40 cm which contained an abundance of modern bottle glass fragments from the ground surface to terminal depth. STP 3 was excavated in the road cut adjacent to Feature 14, as midden-like soil was observed eroding down the slope into the road. STP 3 contained similar soil to a depth of 26 cmbs, and was underlain by light brown silty load to a depth of 50 cmbs.

STP 1, located in the cleared area adjacent to the utility pole, was excavated to a depth of 30 cmbs, where the unit was terminated due to the presence of decomposing granite. STP 1 produced 7 pieces of debitage, one core, one percussing tool, four ceramic sherds, 2.3 grams of vertebrates, and 1.0 gram of invertebrates. All materials were recovered from the upper 20 cmbs, except 0.6 g of shell. Soil in STP 1 consisted of loose, black silty loam midden to a depth of 30 cm, underlain by decomposing granite.

STP 4 contained the same soil as STP 1 to a depth of 20 cm and was also underlain by decomposing granite. STP 4 produced 10 pieces of debitage, one handstone, four ceramic sherds, 0.6 grams of vertebrates, and 16.0 grams of invertebrates.

---

### Table 4-14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Material</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>STPs</th>
<th>STUs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debitage</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cores</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flake Tools</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projectile Points</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percussing Tools</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handstones</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pestles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate Groundstone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire-Affected Rock (g)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>125.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charcoal Samples (g)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invertebrates (g)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertebrates (g)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>105.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STP 5, 6, 7, and 8 contained the same midden soil as STPs 1 and 4, and were excavated to depths of 40 cm, 25, 40, and 75 cm, respectively. All four STPs were terminated at bedrock. STP 5 produced 30 pieces of debitage, four ceramic sherds, 9.6 grams of vertebrate remains, 5.8 grams of invertebrate remains, one charcoal sample, and one historic can. STP 6 produced 27 pieces of debitage, two groundstone fragments, one ceramic fragment, 4.1 grams of invertebrates, and 2.0 grams of invertebrates. STP 7 produced 57 pieces of debitage, 11 ceramic fragments, 2.7 grams of vertebrates, 5.1 grams of invertebrates, and two charcoal samples. STP 8 contained 57 pieces of debitage, 2 projectile points, 40 ceramic sherds, 17.3 grams of vertebrate remains, 2.1 grams of invertebrate remains, and three charcoal samples.

STP 9 was excavated to a depth of 20 cm, whereupon decomposing granite bedrock was encountered. STP 9 contained light brown sandy loam and produced 9 pieces of debitage, 0.6 gram of invertebrate remains, and one charcoal sample.

STU 1 was excavated approximately 20 m north of STP 1, in an area where midden soil was observed at the surface and appeared likely to contain a deep accumulation of midden. STU 1 was excavated to a depth of 50 cm and produced 156 pieces of debitage, one core, four projectile points, 34 ceramics, 63.4 grams of vertebrate remains, 24.1 grams of invertebrate remains, 125.6 grams of fire-affected rock, and 5.6 grams of charcoal. Artifact density remained relatively constant throughout the unit with midden soil (10YR 2/1 black) silty loam with 30% pebbles present throughout. Scattered pieces of fire-affected rock were observed in the unit during excavation; however no pattern/organization of the FAR was observed from 0-40 cm. A few pieces of FAR were collected as a sample, but the majority were discarded. Excavation of the unit was terminated at 50 cm when an increase in charcoal and FAR was observed, particularly in the southeast corner of the unit. No pattern could be discerned in the FAR, but based on the quantity of charcoal, it was determined that an intact feature may be present but further excavation of the STU would not be able to delineate and characterize it properly. Additional excavation during data recovery efforts will examine the potential feature. Charcoal samples from STU 1 were not submitted for radiocarbon dating at this time, as all samples were recovered while screening. If, during data recovery, further excavation of the feature fails to produce in situ charcoal deposits suitable for dating, then one or more of the samples will be submitted for dating.

Fourteen bedrock milling features (see Figure 4-30, Confidential Appendix B), all of which are situated on granite boulders/outrcrops, were recorded at the site. Many of the bedrock outcrops are overgrown by dense vegetation and/or are obscured by accumulated soil/sediment, so it is possible additional features are present. The 14 bedrock milling features contain a total of 3 saucer mortars, one basin, and 15 slicks. Not all milling features were completely cleared of vegetation/sediment, so additional milling elements are highly likely; during data recovery
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Excavations each milling feature will be cleaned and recorded in full. Milling features are predominantly clustered immediately north and west of the primary midden deposit.

CA-SDI-5951 Lithic Analysis

The lithic assemblage from CA-SDI-5951 contains a variety of artifact classes including debitage, cores/core tools, hammerstones, a retouched flake, and projectile points (and fragments thereof).

A sample of 209 pieces of debitage from STPs 1-4 and STU 1, and the surface collection were analyzed by flake type and flake size to determine flakedstone production choices (see Tables 4-15 and 4-16). The debitage assemblage is split evenly between diagnostic flakes (types 1-8; n=106; 50.7%) and non-diagnostic shatter (types 10-13; n=103; 49.3%). High percentages of shatter are typical for expedient cobble-core reduction, particularly the initial stages of core reduction or from hard, low-quality volcanic and quartz materials. At this site, the materials chosen for tool production are the cause of the high percentage of shatter, considering the near absence of cortical debitage (n=6; 2.9%). A substantial portion of debitage are pressure flakes (n=48; 23.0%), indicating late stage tool production and/or maintenance was an important task performed at the site. Flake size confirms late stage tool production and maintenance, as virtually all debitage (n=194; 92.8%) is less than 3 cm. Quarrying and/or cobble procurement was likely performed off-site, with cores and bifaces transported to the site for final production. The near-absence of decortification flakes (n=3; 1.4%) and cortical shatter (n=1; 0.5%) confirms initial cobble-core reduction was only a minor task occurring at the site.

The vast majority of debitage (90.9%) consists of locally available quartz and volcanic specimens. These materials dominate the lithic assemblages of almost all archaeological sites in San Diego County. Chert debitage sourced to the Piedre de Lumbre source are the only other material type recovered in more than trace amounts from the site (6.2%). Piedre de Lumbre chert is sourced to an outcrop in northern San Diego County west of the site; although limited in geographic origin, it is found widely dispersed in northern San Diego County, particularly in Luiseno territory.

The wonderstone and obsidian pieces of shatter indicate long distance trade, as neither are locally available. One source for wonderstone is known along the eastern shore of the Salton Sea, and the other is located in northern Baja California, south of Imperial County. The nearest source for obsidian is Obsidian Butte, also located near the Salton Sea; however, this piece does not contain the white inclusions typical of Obsidian Butte material. The obsidian shatter recovered here is more likely from the Coso source, in Inyo County, although the artifact was not tested to identify its specific source at this time.
Given the limited sample size and volume of excavated matrix, it is possible that the sample is not representative of the overall site. However, the unanalyzed portion of the assemblage is generally similar to the analyzed sample (i.e., dominated by quartz and volcanic shatter) and, overall, the total collection is similar to the assemblages at CA-SDI-9822 and CA-SDI-4558; given the proximity and similar geology between the three, the current assemblage is likely an accurate representation of the overall site.

**Table 4-15**
Debitage by Flake Type and Material (CA-SDI-5951)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chert</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdL Chert</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsidian</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderstone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (n)</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (%)</strong></td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: 1, primary decortification; 2, secondary decortification; 3, early interior; 4, late interior; 6, early biface thinning; 8, finishing/pressure; 10, cortical chunk; 11, cortical non-diagnostic shatter; 12, interior non-diagnostic shatter; 13, Indeterminate*

**Table 4-16**
Debitage by Flake Size and Material (CA-SDI-5951)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chert</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdL Chert</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsidian</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderstone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (n)</strong></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (%)</strong></td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The single retouched volcanic secondary flake has two worked edges displaying a combination of microflaking, polish, unifacial flaking and step-fracturing. Some post-depositional damage was noted as well on the second edge. As only a single flake tool was recovered, it is difficult to extrapolate preferences regarding tool use and manufacture. However, its maximum length of 87.11 mm is itself an oddity, as no debitage at the site is longer than 6 cm. this could suggest even flake tools, should others be present, were also transported to the site as blanks/raw flakes, and then sharpened/re-toched on site or nearby. The absence of formed flake tools, such as scrapers, and non-projectile point bifaces is odd, considering the percentage of late-stage debitage, and may be a reflection of the small sample size.

Eight projectile point fragments were recovered from the site. Four are tip fragments, likely for Cottonwood or Desert Side-Notched points based on their size, particularly their thickness (Cat. 2, 32, 33, and 131), one is a Cottonwood point concave base (Cat. 36), and three are almost complete Cottonwood points with concave bases (Cats.3, 145, and 147). All are made from locally available quartz and volcanic material. Two of the point tips (Cat. 32 and 131) are unifacial points, while the remainder are all bifacial. Due to the fragmentary nature of the points, detailed metrics are not presented here. Cottonwood projectile points date the Late Prehistoric Period (A.D. 500-1769) and Ethnohistoric Period (post-A.D. 1769) and were used for hunting with the bow and arrow.

Two volcanic hammerstones were recovered, one from the surface collection grab sample, and one from STP 1. One of the hammerstones (Cat. 10) was likely used as a core, based on the number of visible partial flake scars, and subsequently used as a hammerstone with battering on the end. However, it is a small fragment, so it could not be determined if it was a core, or if flakes were removed simply for shaping. Cat. 113 shows battering on the end and margin on interior surfaces and was either likely discarded into a fire pit/hearth as it has been fire-affected. Volcanic hammerstones like these were used for hard-hammer percussion, typically indicative of cobble-reduction and early-stage tool production. Late-stage tool production generally used soft-hammer percussion and pressure flaking tools, such as bone and antler. The absence of soft-hammer and percussion tools is not surprising, even considering the abundance of pressure flakes and single biface thinning flake, as generally such materials are perishable.

CA-SDI-5951 Groundstone Analysis

Five groundstone artifacts recovered from CA-SDI-5951 were analyzed for morphological and use-wear patterns (see Table 4-17). These include four handstone fragments, and one indeterminate fragment. The pestle and second indeterminate groundstone are not included in this analysis Other types of groundstone not present in the current assemblage include millingstones and mortars.
Three handstones and the indeterminate fragment were recovered from the ground surface and the remaining handstone was recovered from STP 4. All five are granite and fragmentary: two are margin fragments, two are end fragments, and one is indeterminate. The indeterminate fragment is a thin portion of just the ground surface of the tool, similar to a flake, which may represent re-sharpening or re-shaping of the tool. Bifacial use-wear is present on one fragment (Cat. 5), while the others are either unifacial or indeterminate. The four larger fragments show evidence of shaping, but due to the fragmentary nature, the degree of shaping cannot be determined. Shaping of groundstone tools is indicative of a choice to invest more time and effort into the tool, compared to unshaped tools which would indicate expediency collected, used, and discarded tools.

All ground surfaces are polished, with only a few evidencing striations and pecking. End blunting and polish are not present on the two end fragments, indicating at least those portions were not used for grinding or as hammerstones. Two of the fragments recovered from the ground surface have been burned, suggesting either they were recycled into thermal features after breaking, which is quite common in southern California, or were burned during a wildfire. The relatively small groundstone assemblage is likely a function of the limited volume of excavated sediment, as the number of milling features indicates a substantial reliance on food processed via grinding.

Table 4-17
Groundstone Attributes (CA-SDI-5951)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundstone</th>
<th># Specimens</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shaping Degree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unshaped</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surface Frequency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total # Surfaces</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surface Shape</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-17  
Groundstone Attributes (CA-SDI-5951)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundstone</th>
<th>Convex</th>
<th>Indeterminate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Smooth</th>
<th>Irregular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polish</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Striae</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pecking</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Attributes</th>
<th>Fire Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CA-SDI-5951 Ceramic Analysis

As with the lithic assemblage, only a sample of the ceramic assemblage was fully analyzed. The analyzed sample includes one pipe fragment, two rim sherds, and 58 body sherds. All ceramics are Tizon brownware and, with the exception of the pipe fragment and three body sherds which are buffware.

The pipe fragment consists of the small flanged handle from a bow (curved) pipe. A small notch is present at the bottom where cordage could have been tied. Ethnographic and archaeological evidence in San Diego County are inconclusive as to the origin, extent, and use of ceramic pipes (Laylander 2005). As the pipe flange is a buffware, it likely originates in the Colorado Desert, suggesting trade with the Kumeyaay or Cahuilla inhabitants of the desert. The same can be said for the other three buffware sherds. A few sherds show evidence of remnant coils along the breakages, consistent with coiling and paddle/anvil production methods observed throughout southern California ceramics and as described in ethnographic studies (Kroeber 1925).

The two rims sherds are both Tizon brownware; one has a square lip and recurved rim (Cat. 60), and the other is a square lip with a straight rim (Cat. 34). The recurved rim is a thick sherd with a large diameter opening, and is heavily burned on the exterior, suggesting it is likely a cooking
Cultural Resources Report
for the Newland Sierra Project

pot. The straight rim (Cat. 34) is a much smaller fragment, so extrapolating rim diameter and therefore vessel type is much more difficult. It likely has a large diameter opening, indicative of a cooking pot.

Other notable sherds include one body sherd (Cat. 121) which has a biconically drilled hole along one of the broken edges and seven burned sherds (in addition to the burned sherds noted above). Drilled holes in ceramic sherds are indicative of attempted repairs wherein broken vessels were tied together with cordage. The burned shreds have charcoal and soot on the exterior surfaces, likely from use as cooking pots. One probably edge-ground brownware sherd was also collected from the ground surface (Cat. 209). Due to its small size, it is not possible to determine the purpose of artifact (such as a pendant or gaming piece) or if the artifact was repurposed from a different kind of vessel.

Ceramic artifacts arrive in San Diego in the Late Prehistoric Period (post-A.D. 500) and are generally thought to originate from the east. While they are found in greater abundance in southern and eastern San Diego County than in the north, many sites in traditional Luiseno territory contain substantial ceramic assemblages.

CA-SDI-5951 Faunal Analysis

A complete faunal analysis on the vertebrate and invertebrate collection was not performed at this time. A basic analysis was performed identifying invertebrates to the family or genus level, and categorizing the vertebrates to generalized categories such as large/medium mammal, small mammal/rodent, reptile, and fish. This analysis was performed on a sample of the recovered remains from STP 1-4, STU 1, and the surface collection (40.6 grams of invertebrates and 71.0 grams of vertebrates).

Invertebrate remains include *Argopecten* sp. (17.4 grams), *Chione* sp. (15.3 grams), *Donax* sp. (6.7 grams), *Chiton* (0.3 grams), and 0.9 grams of unidentifiable fragments. Shellfish species indicate that inhabitants procured directly or traded for marine resources for subsistence, some 14 miles to the west. Although somewhat limited compared to coastal and lagunal sites in southern California, the invertebrate assemblage is quite diverse for inland sites, and shows evidence that site inhabitants were accessing (through trade or direct procurement) a variety of marine locations, as these species inhabit different environments (i.e., tidal mudflats and sandy beaches). Vertebrate remains consist of predominantly small mammal and rodent remains (such as mouse and jackrabbit), with one snake vertebrate, and one rib bone of a medium/large sized mammal (likely deer). No bone tools were identified. Many of the vertebrate, and some invertebrate, remains have been burned, likely from cooking during meal preparation. The sites location along a large drainage would have attracted a wide variety of animals, and therefore
would have provided a local source of food for site inhabitants. A more detailed analysis of vertebrate remains will be performed as part of mitigation efforts which should be able to elicit more nuances regarding animal procurement subsistence practices, particularly if data recovery excavation is performed which will increase the sample size.

**CA-SDI-5951 Human Remains**

Of the burned bone fragments identified within the collection, multiple specimens were separated from the general collection, as they displayed characteristics consistent with cremated human remains, and could not positively be identified as non-human by Dudek’s forensic anthropologist Samantha Murray. These specimens were separated from the general faunal collection, and were brought to Dr. Madeline Hinkes to determine if they were human. Dr. Hinkes identified eight specimens as possibly human (none were positively identified as human) on July 13, 2016. As a result of this identification, Dr. Hinkes notified the San Diego County Coroner, Julio Estrada, who in turn notified the NAHC. The NAHC determined the San Luis Rey Band of Mission Indians as the most Likely Descendant on July 14, 2016. Seventeen additional potential human remains were identified during additional field efforts on September 27, 2016. These remains were analyzed by Dr. Hinkes November 3, 2016. Of these, only two bones were identified as possibly human. All 10 of the potentially human bones have been burned, indicating they were cremated. Consultation regarding treatment and disposition of the human remains is in progress; this report will be updated upon completion of the consultation process. Documentation regarding human remains is included in Appendix F.

**CA-SDI-5951 Summary**

Testing at CA-SDI-5951 produced eight projectile point fragments, one flake tool, three cores, 332 pieces of debitage, two hammerstones, four handstone fragments, one pestle, two indeterminate groundstone fragment, 117 ceramic sherds, fire-affected rock, charcoal samples, 105.3 grams of bone, and 56.0 grams of shell. Of the bone, 10 individual specimens were identified as being potential human remains. The artifact assemblage indicates that flakedstone tool production, finishing, and re-sharpening occurred at the site from cores procured and initially processed off-site. Given the geographic proximity and similarity in artifact assemblage, as well as the overlapping chronological period, it is reasonable to assume that occupation at this site is related to the occupation at site CA-SDI-9822. As this site is smaller, and appears to contain a more limited deposit, it may be a concurrent satellite site, or possibly an occupation site prior to occupation at CA-SDI-9822. The presence of European trade beads at CA-SDI-9822, but not at CA-SDI-5951, suggests that this location may have been abandoned prior to European contact; further excavation at CA-SDI-5951 could confirm or reject that possibility.
Disturbance from both bioturbation, grading (both for the construction of Deer Springs Road and leveling of the adjacent parcel), and installation of utility poles have impacted the site. Although disturbances to the site are substantial, the majority of the midden deposit appears to be intact and contains a considerable variety and quantity of artifacts that have the potential to provide information relating to trade, subsistence, and settlement patterns in San Diego prehistory. The likely thermal feature identified in STU 1, also has the potential to provide an absolute date for the site, as intact charcoal deposits are one of the best ways to date a site, and also has the potential through detailed faunal analysis, to address questions relating to subsistence practices.
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5 INTERPRETATION OF RESOURCE IMPORTANCE AND IMPACT IDENTIFICATION

5.1 Resource Importance

Twelve cultural resource sites (CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-9822, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, CA-SDI-17265, and the 1901 historic structure/location) and two isolates (SDM-W-3880C and P-37-025968) were identified within the Newland Sierra Project area. Six sites (CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-9822, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, and CA-SDI-17265) were tested to determine site significance in compliance with County of San Diego and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines. Sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-5639, and CA-SDI-5640 were not tested, as these sites have been destroyed by development or were incorrectly mapped and are located outside of the project area. Isolate finds are identified as not significant. The testing program included a review of previous work, surface collection, documentation of milling features, excavation of STPs and test units, artifact analysis, and a determination of site significance.

No structures, features, or other remains were identified at the mapped location for a historic structure shown on early maps (1901 Escondido and San Luis Rey USGS maps). As no evidence for the structure or potential associated features was identified, no evaluation was performed at this time. If subsurface features are present they may be able to provide important information on early homesteading in north San Diego County. Therefore, implementation of a subsurface exploratory research program for the 1901 historic structure/location will be performed to identify any potential features and will address historical archaeological research issues such as functional artifact pattern recognition and consumerism studies to determine the types of activities represented, and therefore, provide a contribution to the early history of the San Marcos/San Diego region.

San Diego County is the lead review agency, and therefore all archaeological sites within the project area have been evaluated for eligibility to the CRHR under CEQA Guidelines, as well as being evaluated for importance under the County Guidelines. While sites may be recommended as eligible or not eligible for listing on the CRHR, under the County Guidelines, all sites are considered “important.” Although all sites are considered important under the County Guidelines, the “importance” of sites recommended as not eligible for listing on the CRHR can be exhausted through recordation, testing, curation of artifacts (if recovered), and grading monitoring.
Evaluation of significance requires the development of an understanding of each identified resource in such a way that its historical significance can be assessed. CEQA mandates the consideration of the historical significance of a resource in an effort to gauge whether it has the potential to be listed on the CRHR. Criteria 1–4 of CEQA are a set of standards for determining the eligibility of a resource to be considered a historical resource eligible for listing on the CRHR. These criteria were discussed in Chapters 1 and 2.

The following sections provide a discussion of eligibility to the California Register of Historic Places, site testing results, research value, and significance determination for sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-9822, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, CA-SDI-17265, and the 1901 historic structure/location.

5.1.1 Site Integrity

Current archaeological methods allow a great deal of information to be extracted from cultural resources, providing certain criteria are met. Generally speaking, archaeological sites useful for addressing important research questions must retain a minimum amount of stratigraphic integrity and/or an assemblage that can be confidently assigned to a cultural group. If these criteria are not in place, cultural materials recovered within the course of an excavation cannot be differentiated by time period or by culture. This greatly diminishes the value of the resource as a record of the human story. However, for a single deposit locality, integrity is not as critical as a multi-deposit site. Site integrity for CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, and CA-SDI-17265 is poor, as these sites are shallow and disturbed from off-road vehicle activity, previous grading, foot traffic, bioturbation, and modern trash dumping.

Site integrity for site CA-SDI-4588 is fair to good, although disturbance at the site includes road and residential construction, and previous disking. Cook et al. (1977) stated that a substantial cultural deposit, with a depth of 130 cm, was present.

Site integrity for CA-SDI-5951 is fair to good. Disturbances, which include construction of Deer Springs Road, installation of a utility pole, and some grading of the adjacent parcel are primarily located along the edges of the site. The central portion of the site where the primary deposit is located is relatively undisturbed, other than some rodent burrowing. The evaluation excavation perfumed at this time identified a substantial cultural deposit to a minimum depth of 50 cm.

Site integrity for CA-SDI-9822 is also fair to good. Previous excavations by Palomar Community College identified a significant cultural deposit with a depth of 120 cm. Major
disturbance at the site includes previous work by Palomar Community College, and the construction of Deer Springs Road and the trailer park to the south of Deer Springs Road.

Site integrity for the 1901 historic structure/location is fair to good. Disturbance at the historic location includes foot traffic on the adjacent trail, minor modern trash dumping, and some off-road vehicle activity. Nevertheless, the historic location is situated in an undeveloped valley area and buried foundations and associated features may still be present.

Sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-5639, and CA-SDI-5640 were not relocated at their mapped locations as a result of prior disturbances or being mapped incorrectly. In either case, none of these five sites retain any integrity.

5.1.2 Research Potential

The current field survey and test program identified nine previously recorded cultural resources (CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-9822, and CA-SDI-10747H), two new cultural resource sites (CA-SDI-17264 and CA-SDI-17265), and a 1901 historic structure/location within the project area and off-site improvement areas. Sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, and the 1901 historic structure/location were not relocated and may have been destroyed by road and residential development. Therefore, they cannot provide any information regarding the research questions. Because of the paucity of cultural material present at sites CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, and CA-SDI-17265, research questions regarding chronology, trade and travel, and settlement and subsistence could not be addressed.

However, research questions regarding the above topics were addressed for sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822. For site CA-SDI-4558, previous work by Cook et al. (1977) and the current study were used to address the research questions. For site CA-SDI-5951, the current study was used to address the research questions. For site CA-SDI-9822, previous work by Palomar Community College was used to address the research questions.

Although it appears that the 1901 historic structure/location may have been previously destroyed, subsurface features (i.e., privy pits, wells, refuse dumps, and architectural foundations associated with the 1901 occupation) may still be present. As such, research topics regarding historic activities including community development and economic and social concerns of the Merriam Mountains region from the late 1800s to the early 1900s may be addressed through completion of a subsurface exploratory program to identify, document, and excavate any potential features.
5.1.3 Resource Importance and Significance Evaluation

San Diego County is the lead review agency for the project, therefore the sites have been evaluated for eligibility to the CRHR under CEQA Guidelines as well as evaluated for importance under the County Guidelines.

Under the County guidelines all evaluated sites (CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-9822, and CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264 and CA-SDI-17265) are considered “important.” Although all sites are considered important under the County Guidelines the “importance” of the sites recommended as not eligible for listing in the CRHR will be considered mitigated through testing, documentation, curation or archaeological materials, and archaeological monitoring of initial ground disturbance for the entire project area.

Sites CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, and CA-SDI-17265 have poor site integrity, and produced a low amount of artifactual and ecofactual materials to adequately address the research questions posed. Given the results of the testing program, additional work at sites CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, and CA-SDI-17265 would not significantly contribute to our understanding of these sites or past use of the site locations or the site occupants. Given the poor site integrity and low amount of artifactual and ecofactual materials recovered, sites CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, CA-SDI-17264, and CA-SDI-17265 are recommended as not significant under County RPO and CEQA, and not eligible for listing in the CRHR and local register; however, they are considered important under County Guidelines.

Sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-5639, and CA-SDI-5640 are all milling stations (single features comprised of only one or two elements) that have been destroyed by development. As these four sites are either destroyed or mapped incorrectly they are recommended as not significant under County RPO and CEQA, and not eligible for listing in the CRHR and local register and are not considered important under County guidelines.

Sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 are identified as significant under CEQA. Site CA-SDI-4558 was also identified as significant and eligible for placement on the National Register of Historic Places (Cook et al. 1977; White 2005). Given the presence of possible human cremated bone at CA-SDI-5951, the presence of human cremated bone and a pictograph feature at CA-SDI-9822, and that CA-SDI-4558 was identified as eligible for placement on the National Register of Historic Places, all three sites are identified as RPO significant. Based on current work and previous work by Cook et al. (1977) and Palomar Community College, sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 have fair to good site integrity, and produced a large amount of diverse artifactual materials that can be used to address important research questions.
In addition, it is clear that the analysis and comparison of site CA-SDI-4558 with sites CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 give archaeology a rare opportunity to compare and contrast a primarily Early Period site (CA-SDI-4558) with primarily Late Period sites (CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822), situated in the same environmental setting. This opportunity is especially important for the northeastern portion of the San Diego region, as few habitation sites have been carefully analyzed and compared to one another. This situation provides a rare opportunity to compare Early and Late Period trade patterns, subsistence patterns, settlement patterns, lithic technologies, and to answer a range of other research questions for San Diego County.

No material remains of the 1901 historic structure/location have been found, therefore this location is considered under County guidelines to be not significant under CEQA. However, there is still a potential for encountering historic subsurface features (i.e., privy pits, refuse dumps, and architectural foundations) at this location which could be associated with the first historic settlers of the Merriam Mountains area, as well as material remains of later era residents. Such data could provide valuable information on early settlement in north San Diego County.

5.1.4 Native American Heritage Values of Tested Sites

Native American consultation is currently being performed by the County and is on-going. Any information provided by the NAHC or Tribes in the area regarding archaeological sites or potential Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) or Tribal Cultural Resources (TCRs) will be documented as an addendum to this report after such details are provided by the County. To date, no formal TCPs are recorded within the project area.

During the current archaeological evaluation, artifacts and remains were identified or recovered that could be reasonably associated with such practices. Aside from human bone, evidence of ceremony or items of cultural patrimony include burned beads (shell, stone, glass) and projectile points, pottery whirls, and pipe fragments, among other unique objects. Sites SDI-5951 and SDI-9822 have especially dense concentrations of these materials and thus the importance of these locations as places to which Native Americans attach religious or cultural significance should be self-evident.

Pechanga Ethnography

The Pechanga Band of Luiseno Indians provided an ethnography tethered to the Newland Sierra Project area to the Applicant. The unmodified ethnography, summarized here, is attached to this report as Confidential Appendix G.

The Pechanga tribe has noted that the Project site intersects a named landscape of traditional cultural importance to the Luiseño, known as Pavxin. Ethnographic/ethnohistoric information relating to Pavxin was compiled by the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians and submitted for the record concerning the Project (Woodward 2017).
The Pechanga Ethnography provides substantial detail regarding manner in which local Indians interacted with the land in and around the Project site. According to that ethnography, the Luiseño traditional territory encompasses approximately 2,000 square miles, including all of Western Riverside County and northwestern San Diego County. The Luiseño aboriginal territory is determined by our oral traditions (i.e., songs and historical accounts) and is defined by place names, rock art, pictographs, petroglyphs, and an extensive artifact record. (Pechanga Ethnography (2017), p. 3.)

The Luiseño creation story centers around a wise man named Wuyóot, who was both a teacher and protector of his people. The Pechanga Ethnography states that “through his creation, travels, and death, Wuyóot drew the design for the Luiseño ancestral territorial landscape.” (Pechanga Ethnography (2017), p. 5, citing Applegate 1979; Curti 2013; Pechanga Band 2016; White 1957:4-5, 1963:361.) As explained in the Pechanga Ethnography, the Luiseño understand time not as a linear progression of events, but “as a circular re-folding, where past, present, and future continually co-exist in and through different stories, relations, places, and activities.” (Pechanga Ethnography (2017), p. 6.) In addition, history, identity, and spirituality are intimately tied to specific environment, geographical features, landmarks, and landscapes, even though the boundaries of these landmarks and landscapes are sometimes not clearly delineated.

According to the Pechanga Ethnography, the southern portion of the proposed Project encompasses a tribal cultural resource known as Pavxin which served functions important to Luiseño lifeways and practices. Information provided by the San Luis Rey Band of Mission Indians also supports this view. Based on consultation with both Tribes and with the applicant, the County has determined that, for purposes of this cultural resources inventory, the area known as Pavxin, anchored locally by CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822, will be deemed eligible for listing as a Traditional Cultural Property (TCP) as that term is used under state and federal law. Accordingly, project-related impacts to Pavxin may be considered significant and require mitigation.

5.2 Impacts Analysis

Thresholds of Significance

The significance criteria listed below are derived from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, and CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5. The criteria were used to determine the significance of Project impacts on historical resources and unique archaeological resources. The criteria includes
whether Project impacts would disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries (e.g., at historic homesteads, as part of an archaeological site).

For purposes of CEQA, the term “historical resources” includes a resource listed in, or determined to be eligible for listing in, the CRHR. The CRHR listing criteria includes whether the resource: (a) is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California’s history and cultural heritage; (b) is associated with the lives of persons important in our past; (c) embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values; or (d) has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in pre-history or history.

A “historical resource” is also defined as a resource included in a local register of historical resources, as defined in CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(a)(2), or identified as significant in a historical resources survey meeting the criteria in section 5024.1(g) of the California Public Resources Code.

A “unique archaeological resource” is defined under CEQA (Public Resources Code section 21083.2(g)) to mean an archaeological artifact, object, or site about which it can be clearly demonstrated that, without merely adding to the current body of knowledge, there is a high probability it meets any of the following criteria:

1. The archaeological artifact, object, or site contains information needed to answer important scientific questions, and there is a demonstrable public interest in that information;
2. The archaeological artifact, object, or site has a special and particular quality, such as being the oldest of its type or the best available example of its type; or
3. The archaeological artifact, object, or site is directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or historic event or person.

An archaeological artifact, object, or site that does not meet the above criteria is a non-unique archaeological resource (California Public Resources Code section 21083.2(h)). An impact on a non-unique resource is not a significant environmental impact under CEQA (CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(c)(4)).

In general, impacts to the recorded archaeological resources would be less than significant if the resource has been evaluated and determined not to be significant or was previously destroyed. No mitigation is required for impacts to sites that are not significant or where resources are not present. Moreover, impacts to the site would be considered significant if the recorded
archaeological resource has been determined to be significant as either a “historical resource” or a “unique archaeological resource” as defined under CEQA.

Regarding human remains, implementation of the proposed Project would have a significant adverse impact if it would disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries. CEQA Guidelines sections 15064.5(d) and (e) assign special importance to human remains and specify procedures to be used when Native American remains are discovered. These procedures are detailed further under California Public Resources Code section 5097.98 and California Health and Safety Code section 7050.5.

Guidelines for the Determination of Significance

For the purposes of this EIR, any of the following will be considered a significant impact to cultural resources:

1. The project, as designed, causes a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines. This shall include the destruction, disturbance, or alteration of characteristics or elements of a resource that causes it to be significant in a manner not consistent with the Secretary of Interior Standards.

2. The project, as designed, causes a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines and Public Resources Code section 21083.2(g). This shall include the destruction or disturbance of an important archaeological site or any portion of an important archaeological site that contains or has the potential to contain information important to history or prehistory. It also includes disturbance to any human remains, including those interred outside formal cemeteries.

3. Activities or uses damaging to significant cultural resources as defined by the Resource Protection Ordinance are proposed and the project fails to preserve those resources.

The significance guidelines listed above have been selected for the following reasons:

- Guideline 1 and 2 are derived directly from CEQA, as well as the County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources (County of San Diego 2007b). Sections 21083.2 of the Public Resources Code and 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines requires that cultural resources on a project site be evaluated for significance. If any resources qualify as significant, CEQA then requires that the project under review be assessed for its potential to significantly impact those resources. Any significant impact identified through this evaluative process would then have to be mitigated, unless
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mitigation is infeasible. Additionally, CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(d) and (e) assign special importance to human remains and specify procedures to be used when Native American remains are discovered.

- Guideline 3 was selected because cultural resources are protected under the County RPO. The County RPO does not allow non-exempt activities or uses damaging to significant prehistoric lands on properties under County jurisdiction. The only exempt activity is scientific investigation (County of San Diego 2007a). The project is required to be in conformance with applicable County standards related to cultural resources, including the noted RPO criteria for prehistoric sites. Non-compliance would result in a project that is inconsistent with County standards.

Cultural Resources Considered Eligible for Listing in the California Register

Three archaeological sites have been evaluated and recommended eligible for listing in the CRHR: CA-SDI-4558, -5951, and -9822. CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 contain rich archaeological deposits dating primarily to the Late Prehistoric period (post A.D. 500) and include large amounts of glass, shell, and stone beads, projectile points, ceramic sherds, pipe fragments, and other relatively rare aboriginal artifacts encapsulated in dense midden soils. Together, artifacts from both sites can help address research questions focusing on aboriginal socioeconomics just prior to and after Euro-American contact because many non-aboriginal artifacts were found at these sites, such as glass trade beads. The density and diversity of artifacts at CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 is rare in San Diego County and qualifies them as a “unique archaeological resource” under CEQA guidelines. The rock art at CA-SDI-9822 underscores this site’s uniqueness as well.

CA-SDI-4558 is one of the best known examples of a Pauma Complex site (Archaic period; pre A.D. 500) with only minor amounts of Late Prehistoric artifacts. The Pauma Complex is considered an inland San Diego County manifestation of the Millingstone Pattern that appears in California from the beginning of the Holocene 10,000 years ago and persisting until approximately 1500 years ago. Single-component Pauma Complex archaeological deposits are rare. For these reasons, CA-SDI-4558 is considered eligible for CRHR listing and also considered a “unique archaeological resource” under CEQA.

Impact Analysis (Guidelines 1 and 2: Historical Resources)

The 1901 historic structure/location was not re-located, and the structure appears to have been destroyed. However, because subsurface features may be present that can provide information on early homesteading in north San Diego County, and because project-related construction
activities may encounter the 1901 historic structure/location, development of the proposed project may result in **potentially significant impacts** to a historic resource **(Impact CR-1)**.

**Impact Analysis (Guidelines 2 and 3: Archaeological and Tribal Cultural Resources)**

As presently planned, the project would directly or indirectly affect eight archaeological sites and two isolates: sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9822, and CA-SDI-17264, and isolates SDM-W-3880C and P-37-025968. Three other sites, CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, and CA-SDI-17265, would be avoided through incorporation into open space. After evaluating the sites that would be impacted, Dudek determined that only three sites are eligible for listing in the CRHR, and thus qualify as significant under CEQA or the County RPO: CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822. These same sites also qualify as tribal cultural resources, and are significant for that reason as well. In addition, these sites, along with the roadbed material beneath Deer Spring Road which connects them, form an integrated TCP and are significant for that reason as well. The dimensions of the TCP impact area are shown in the confidential Tribal Treatment Plan.

**Sites Located on the Project Site Deemed Significant**

**CA-SDI-4558**

Site CA-SDI-4558 is located within the area identified for the proposed widening of Deer Springs Road. However, only certain portions of the site contribute to its CRHR and CEQA significance, and the project proposes to preserve and protect these portions within a natural park. There is still the possibility to inadvertently disturb significant archaeological deposits within the Deer Springs Road improvement area; impacts to these deposits would be considered significant absent mitigation. The natural park, including public trails, has been designed to avoid the significant portions of the site. During past archeological excavations, a wide range of artifacts were recovered, including cobble and flake tools, bifaces, milling tools, bone tools, a crystal, ceramics, shell, and bone that primarily dated to the Archaic period (i.e., pre AD 500). As described in Section 2.5.1.4, CA-SDI-4558 contains or has the potential to contain information important to prehistory. CA-SDI-4558 is identified as significant under CEQA and RPO criteria. However, the RPO protections do not apply to this site because the County has identified the proposed Deer Springs Road improvements as an essential public facility that includes public use (County of San Diego 2007a). However, even if the RPO did apply, no significant impact has been identified because the proposed road widening would not affect the significant portions of the site. Instead, the Deer Springs Road improvements would affect only that portion of site CA-SDI-4558 deemed not significant.
Consistent with the preservation-in-place provisions of CEQA Guidelines Section 15125.4(b)(3), the proposed project would avoid the significant archaeological deposits located at CA-SDI-4558 by incorporating the periphery of the significant deposits into a park or greenspace (preservation in place option 2) with a trail planned through the greenspace park. Incorporation of the periphery of the significant parts of the site into a greenspace park was selected as the preferred option because it achieves complete avoidance of significant deposits and helps the project fulfill its open space allocation and public access requirements. Extending the greenspace park over the significant site deposits (option 2), capping (option 3) and deeding the site into a conservation easement (option 4) are not feasible. The proposed mitigation would reduce the Project’s impacts on the site to a less than significant level. The proposed mitigation also represents a feasible means of preserving the integrity of the site’s role within the Pavxin TCP. In addition, pursuant to the Tribal Treatment Plan, cultural material from the site may be removed and reburied at the agreed-upon repatriation/reinternment area within the Project site.

Improvements to Deer Springs Road may result in the inadvertent damage to significant archaeological deposits not yet identified. These impacts would be potentially significant direct impacts absent mitigation (Impact CR-2).

**CA-SDI-5951**

Site CA-SDI-5951 is located at the south end of the proposed project Site within the area identified for the widening of Deer Springs Road. Significant archaeological deposits containing a diverse range of artifacts, including glass, shell, and stone beads; ceramics (sherds and pipe fragments); multiple forms of stone tools; and food remains (faunal bone and marine shell), were identified in two locations within the transportation corridor impact area. Multiple bedrock milling features and less-dense archaeological deposits are located outside of the impact area to the north. The significant archaeological deposits located within the impact area contribute to the site’s CRHR eligibility, and qualify it as a historical resource and a “unique archaeological resource” under CEQA, and it is considered significant under the RPO. However, because the County has identified Deer Springs Road off-site improvement as an essential public facility that includes public use, the RPO does not apply for this type of impact.

Consistent with the preservation-in-place provisions of CEQA Guidelines Section 15125.4(b)(3), the project can feasibly avoid portions of the significant portions of CA-SDI-5951 (option 1). Such avoidance would be accomplished by constructing a retaining wall along the north side of Deer Springs Road to reduce the amount of archaeological deposits that are disturbed. General avoidance is preferable over incorporation into greenspace or capping because it preserves the avoided areas of the archaeological site in its native state. The use of a conservation easement...
would put restrictions on the area that are not compatible with future visitation by local Native American tribal members.

The remaining significant portions of CA-SDI-5951, however, cannot be feasibly preserved in place by any of the four methods described in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3), because the at-grade alignment and expansion of Deer Springs Road would intersect those parts of the site and potentially disturb significant archaeological deposits located there. Consequently, impacts to such resources would be mitigated to a less-than-significant level through data recovery, as permitted under CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3)(C). Note also that improvements to Deer Springs Road are considered exempt under the RPO, and are included in the County’s 2011 General Plan Update. The General Plan Update includes provisions for expanding Deer Springs Road to six lanes (County of San Diego 2011); however, the current project circulation element lessens impacts with a four-lane expansion of Deer Springs Road. In this way, impacts to CA-SDI-5951 would be reduced to the extent feasible.

For purposes of CEQA, the direct impacts to those portions of site CA-SDI-5951 within and north of Deer Springs Road would be significant absent mitigation (Impact CR-3). The proposed mitigation would reduce the Project’s impacts on the site to a less than significant level. The proposed mitigation also represents a feasible means of preserving the integrity of the site’s role within the Pavxin TCP. In addition, pursuant to the Tribal Treatment Plan, cultural material from the site may be removed and reburied at the agreed-upon repatriation/reinternment area within the Project site.

**CA-SDI-9822**

Site CA-SDI-9822 is located within the area identified for the widening of Deer Springs Road. As described above, five STPs were excavated at CA-SDI-9822 as part of the investigation for this project. Three STPs were positive and two STPs were negative. The positive STP results represent a significant intact subsurface deposit, adjacent to and south of Deer Springs Road. In addition, Palomar College preformed academic-oriented excavations at the site some years ago, generating a collection that includes tens of thousands of artifacts, including glass, shell, and stone beads; bone artifacts; ceramic artifacts (including pipe fragments); myriad stone tools; and large amounts of food remains (i.e., animal bone, marine shell). Numerous burned artifacts and possible cremated human remains are also contained in the collection generated by Palomar College. On the basis of previous and current work, and the presence of a pictograph feature and cremations, site CA-SDI-9822 is identified as significant under CEQA and RPO criteria, and it qualifies as a historical resource and a “unique archaeological resource” under CEQA. As the County has identified Deer Springs Road off-site improvements as an essential public facility that includes public use, the RPO does not apply for this type of impact.
Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3), the project can feasibly avoid the significant portions of CA-SDI-9822 (preservation in place option 1). This can be accomplished by constructing a retaining wall along the north side of Deer Springs Road to reduce the amount of archaeological deposits that are disturbed. General avoidance is preferable over incorporation into greenspace (option 2) or capping (option 3), because it preserves the avoided areas of the archaeological site in its native state. The use of a conservation easement (option 4) would put restrictions on the area that are not compatible with future visitation by local Native American tribal members. The remaining significant portions of CA-SDI-9822 cannot be feasibly preserved in place by any of the four methods described in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3), because the at-grade alignment and expansion of Deer Springs Road would intersect those parts of the site and potentially disturb significant archaeological deposits located there. Consequently, impacts to such resources would be mitigated to a less-than-significant level through data recovery, as permitted under CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3)(C). Note also that improvements to Deer Springs Road are exempt from the RPO, and are included in the County’s 2011 General Plan Update. The General Plan Update includes provisions for expanding Deer Springs Road to six lanes, but the current project circulation element would lessen impacts with a four-lane expansion of Deer Springs Road. In this way, impacts to CA-SDI-9822 have been reduced to the extent feasible.

For the purposes of CEQA, direct impacts to those portions of site CA-SDI-9822 south of, within, and north of Deer Springs Road would be significant absent mitigation (Impact CR-4).

The remaining portion of CA-SDI-9822 would be preserved in place, as it would be located within open space. Construction-related dust may affect the pictograph at the site. This would be a temporary but potentially significant indirect impact absent mitigation (Impact CR-5).

Archaeological materials were collected during the Palomar College excavations in the 1980s from the area of CA-SDI-9822 that would be largely avoided through the use of a retaining wall. These archaeological materials have not been properly cataloged or analyzed, creating a significant impact to the scientific value of the site absent mitigation (Impact CR-6).

As shown below, the proposed mitigation would reduce the Project’s impacts on the site to a less than significant level. The proposed mitigation also represents a feasible means of preserving the integrity of the site’s role within the Pavxin TCP. In addition, pursuant to the Tribal Treatment Plan, cultural material from the site may be removed and reburied at the agreed-upon repatriation/reinternment area within the Project site.
Impacts to Non-Site Deposits within the TCP

Roadbed Materials

Deer Springs Road was constructed prior to the implementation of local, state, and federal regulations regarding the treatment of cultural resources. Given the density of archaeological materials at sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822, and given that archaeological materials are visible in deep road cut exposures along Deer Springs Road, it is possible that archaeological deposits deriving from these sites and associated with the broader Pavxin TCP are embedded in the subsurface roadbed. Widening Deer Springs Road, as contemplated by the County General Plan and this Project, would disturb any such deposits. This would result in a significant impact to the cultural values of the Pavxin TCP absent mitigation (Impact CR-7).

Unexpected Encounters with Cultural Resources

Given the overall cultural sensitivity of the project Site and vicinity, construction-related impacts to currently-unknown/unrecorded archaeological deposits are possible. In addition, although the boundaries for sites CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9822, and CA-SDI-4558 have been delineated based on extensive archaeological and cultural investigations, it is possible that archaeological deposits connected to or associated with CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9822, and/or CA-SDI-4558 exist outside the currently-delineated boundary of those sites. Construction-related activities could adversely affect these resources. Such unexpected encounters with cultural resources would be considered significant impacts absent mitigation (Impact CR-8). Increased Accessibility to Cultural Resources through Public Awareness

The portions of sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 that would be avoided (placed within open space) may result in increased public access, possibly resulting in impacts from pot-hunters and looters. These would be potentially significant indirect impacts absent mitigation (Impact CR-9).

Sites Located on the Project Site Deemed Not Significant

CA-SDI-4370

Site CA-SDI-4370 is located within the proposed development impact area. No further work is recommended for this site, as this isolate bedrock milling feature has been destroyed by previous grading for ranching and housing development.
Site CA-SDI-4371 is an isolate milling station composed of one milling slick, and is recorded at the south end of the proposed project Site. Prior survey records indicate that CA-SDI-4371 exists primarily outside of the project Site; however, the milling feature was not re-located and, therefore, may be within the project Site. It is more likely, however, that the feature has been destroyed by road construction, or is located adjacent to, but outside of, the project Site.

Site CA-SDI-5639 is located within the proposed development impact area, its two bedrock milling features have been destroyed by previous development. Thus, no further work is recommended for this site.

Site CA-SDI-5640 is located within the proposed development impact area, this isolate bedrock milling feature has been destroyed by previous development. Thus, no further work is recommended for this site.

Site CA-SDI-9253 is located within the open space easement within the project Site, and would not be affected. Site CA-SDI-9253 is identified as not significant, and no further work is recommended.

Site CA-SDI-10747H is located within the open space easement within the project Site, and would not be impacted. Site CA-SDI-10747H is identified as not significant, and no further work is recommended.

Site CA-SDI-17264 is located within the proposed development impact area for the project, but does not qualify as significant. Thus, no further work is recommended.

Site CA-SDI-17265 is located within the open space easement within the project Site and would not be affected. Site CA-SDI-17265 is identified as not significant, and no further work is recommended.
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P-37-025968

Although located within the proposed development impact area for the project, isolate P-37-025968 does not constitute a site by California definition, and, therefore, is not significant. No further work is recommended.

SDM-W-3880C

Although located within the proposed development impact area, isolate SDM-W-3880C does not constitute a site by California definition, and, therefore, is not significant. No further work is recommended.

Human Remains

Guidelines for the Determination of Significance

For the purposes of this EIR, an impact is considered significant if it disturbs any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.

Under County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources (County of San Diego 2007b), Guideline 1, human remains must be treated with dignity and respect. State law, including CEQA, requires consultation with the MLD as identified by the NAHC for any project for which human remains have been identified. In addition, Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 also protect human remains from disturbance.

Analysis

During ground disturbing work, there is potential for the discovery of additional human remains (Impact CR-10). In the event that human remains are discovered during ground-disturbing activities, the project must comply with CEQA Section 15064.5 and Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. Under these statutes, if human remains are encountered, work in the area of the find must halt until the Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. If determined to be Native American, consultation with the MLD would be required. The MLD may make recommendations and engage in consultations concerning the treatment of the remains. Therefore, the project would be in compliance with PRC Section 5097.98 and CEQA Section 15064.5.
6 MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS – MITIGATION MEASURES AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 Unmitigated Impacts

There are no unmitigated impacts associated with the project design.

6.2 Mitigable Impacts

As presently planned, eight sites (CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, CA-SDI-5951, CA-SDI-9822, and CA-SDI-17264), two isolates (SDM-W-3880C and P-37-025968), and one historic structure/location on a 1901 USGS map will be directly and/or indirectly impacted by the proposed development (Figure 6-1: Confidential Appendix B; Table 6-1). Three sites (CA-SDI-9253, CA-SDI-10747H, and CA-SDI-17265) will be placed within an Open Space Easement and will not be impacted.

CA-SDI-4558

Site CA-SDI-4558 is located within the proposed development impact area. CA-SDI-4558 is identified as significant under CEQA and RPO criteria (see Table 6-1). As Deer Springs Road improvements are identified as “an essential public facility or project or recreational facility which includes public use,” RPO protection does not apply (see Section 6.2.1). A planned significant portion of CA-SDI-4558 is avoided from direct impacts by surrounding it with a greenspace park, but unanticipated discoveries of significant deposits in the road shoulder are still possible (see Confidential Appendix E). For the portion of site CA-SDI-4558 that will be potentially directly impacted by Deer Springs Road improvements, mitigation of impacts will be achieved through either the proposed At-grade option of data recovery or the Alternative option of avoidance. It is anticipated that the data recovery program will involve the excavation of 35 1x1-m units at CA-SDI-4558, artifact analysis, special studies, and a report of finding.

If the Alternative option is chosen, the site will be mitigated of potential direct impacts through index sampling and capping with surcharged fill. All road-widening construction shall occur on the fill, rather than on site CA-SDI-4558 to lessen impacts. Utility lines will be placed either outside of the archaeological site or within the fill soil. Three feet or more of clean fill will be placed between the archaeological midden and the utility line(s) for water or sewer. A minimum of 1 foot of fill will be placed between the archaeological midden and electrical or telephone lines. The major portion of site CA-SDI-4558 will be avoided. An index sample excavation will be completed to characterize the deposit. This sample, along with an analysis of previous work, will provide a database to address research questions in a technical report of finding.
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For the portion of site CA-SDI-4558 that will be avoided, indirect impacts will occur from increased accessibility, and the potential for pot-hunters/looters. Mitigation of indirect impacts will be achieved through temporary fencing during construction followed by permanent ranch-style fencing after construction. The avoided portion of the site will be cleared of non-native vegetation; however, native vegetation will remain. Non-native trees will be cut down to level with the roots left in place. Non-native grasses and brush will be cleared by hand or weed-whacker. A one-time hydro seeding with native plant seeds may be conducted. No sprinkler system or watering system will be used to promote native vegetation.

The project will also cause a direct impact to the Pavxin TCP through removal of roadbed sediments adjacent to the site that may contain cultural material. These impacts will be mitigated through repatriation of the roadbed sediments adjacent to the site underneath Deer Springs Road in an area permanently set aside for repatriation (see Confidential Appendix E).

In order to ensure that agreed upon site treatment is fulfilled, the County, Applicant, and consulting tribes will enter into a monitoring agreement, and develop a long term management plan.

CA-SDI-5951

Site CA-SDI-5951 is located within the proposed development impact area. As part of the current study, the site was evaluated and found significant under CEQA and County RPO criteria (see Table 6-1). As Deer Springs Road improvements are identified as “an essential public facility or project or recreational facility which includes public use,” RPO protection does not apply (see Section 6.2.1). The impacted portion of the site has been reduced through implementation of a soldier-pier retaining wall, preserving as much as 60% of the site deposit that would have been destroyed (see Confidential Appendix E). For the portion of site CA-SDI-5951 that will be potentially directly impacted by Deer Springs Road improvements, mitigation of impacts will be achieved through either the proposed At-grade option of data recovery of impacted portions, or the Alternative option of avoidance. It is anticipated that the data recovery program will involve the excavation of 35 1x1-m units at CA-SDI-5951, artifact analysis, special studies, additional documentation of milling features, and a report of finding.

If the Alternative option is chosen, the site will be mitigated of potential direct impacts through index sampling and capping with surcharged fill. All road-widening construction shall occur on the fill, rather than on site CA-SDI-5951. Three feet or more of clean fill will be placed between the archaeological midden and the utility line(s) for water or sewer. A minimum of 1 foot of fill will be placed between the archaeological midden and electrical or telephone lines. The major portion of site CA-SDI-5951 will be avoided. An index sample excavation will be completed to
characterize the deposit. This sample, along with an analysis of previous work, will provide a database to address research questions in a technical report of finding.

For the portion of site CA-SDI-5951 that will be avoided (placed within open space), indirect impacts will occur from construction, increased accessibility, and the potential for pot-hunters/looters. Mitigation of indirect impacts will be achieved through temporary fencing during construction followed by permanent ranch-style fencing after construction.

The project will also cause a direct impact to the Pavxin TCP through removal of roadbed sediments adjacent to the site that may contain cultural material. These impacts will be mitigated through repatriation of the roadbed sediments adjacent to the site underneath Deer Springs Road in an area permanently set aside for repatriation (see Confidential Appendix E).

In order to ensure that agreed upon site treatment is fulfilled, the County, Applicant, and consulting tribes will enter into a monitoring agreement, and develop a long term management plan.

**CA-SDI-9822**

Site CA-SDI-9822 is located within the proposed development impact area. As part of the current study, presence/absence testing was conducted for the portion of site CA-SDI-9822 south of Deer Springs Road.

Presence/absence testing of the southern portion of site CA-SDI-9822 included excavation of five STPs. In all, testing produced 1 biface, 68 debitage, 13 ceramic sherds, 1 *Olivella* sp. shell bead, 13.11 grams of bone, and 64.11 grams of shell. Three STPs were positive and two STPs were negative. The positive STP results represent a significant intact subsurface deposit, adjacent to and south of Deer Springs Road. On the basis of previous and current work and the presence of a pictograph feature and cremations, site CA-SDI-9822 is identified as significant under CEQA and RPO criteria (see Table 6-1). As Deer Springs Road off-site improvements are identified as “an essential public facility or project, or recreational facility which includes public use,” RPO does not apply for this type of impact (see Section 6.2.1 for RPO explanation). The impacted portion of the site requiring data recovery has been significantly reduced through design of a soldier-pier retaining wall that preserves as much as 60% of the significant site deposits, as well as the rock art (see Confidential Appendix E). Mitigation of potential direct impacts for portions of site CA-SDI-9822 south of, within, and north of Deer Springs Road will be achieved through either the Proposed At-grade option of data recovery, or the Alternative option of avoidance. It is anticipated that the data recovery program will involve the excavation of at least 100 1x1-m units at CA-SDI-9822, artifact analysis, reanalysis of previously collected materials (Palomar Community College), special studies, laser scanning of a sample of artifacts, and a report of finding.
If the Alternative option is chosen, the site will be mitigated of potential direct impacts through index sampling, artifact analysis, reanalysis of previously collected materials (Palomar Community College), a report of finding, and capping with surcharged fill. All road-widening construction shall occur on the fill, rather than on site CA-SDI-9822 to lessen impacts (County of San Diego 1991). Utility lines will be placed either outside of the archaeological site or within the fill soil. Three feet or more of clean fill soil will be placed between the archaeological midden and the utility line(s) for water or sewer. A minimum of 1 foot of fill will be placed between the archaeological site midden and electrical and/or telephone lines. For CA-SDI-9822, an index sample excavation will be completed to characterize the deposit. This sample, along with an analysis of previous work, will provide a database to address research questions in a technical report of finding.

Indirect impacts include increased accessibility and the potential for pot-hunters/looters, as well as the potential for dust to damage the pictograph during earth moving activities. For the portion of site CA-SDI-9822 (portions within open space) that will be indirectly impacted by Deer Springs Road improvements, mitigation of impacts will be achieved through temporary fencing and minor capping with clean soil as needed. Minor capping will only cover the surface of the site; however, bedrock milling features and the pictograph will not be capped.

Dust, which may be created during earth moving activities, could potentially be cast onto the pictograph, and could damage the images. Every reasonable effort shall be made to control dust that may indirectly or directly affect the pictograph at CA-SDI-9822. Best management practices for dust control shall be determined by the Project Applicant’s contractor in consultation with the Project Archaeologist, the County of San Diego, and consulting Native American organizations. Mitigation of impacts to the pictographs will be achieved by installing a protective covering over the pictograph while construction activities in the vicinity of the pictograph which could create dust occur. The covering will consist of a PVC framework or other material as deemed appropriate to enclose the boulder with the rock art. Canvas sheets will be placed over the frame for the duration of the grading program for the Terraces and the commercial component of the project, and along the Deer Springs Road improvement within 500 feet of the rock art. Photo documentation of the pictograph feature will also be conducted and computer enhancement if necessary, to better define the rock art image.

The fuel modification program as part of the fire management plan for the project will involve removal of some vegetation at the site. This process may potentially impact the site, if the vegetation roots are removed. Mitigation of impacts as a result of this process will be achieved through archaeological and Native American monitoring.
The project will also cause a direct impact to the Pavxin TCP through removal of roadbed sediments adjacent to the site that may contain cultural material. These impacts will be mitigated through repatriation of the roadbed sediments adjacent to the site underneath Deer Springs Road in an area permanently set aside for repatriation (see Confidential Appendix E).

In order to ensure that agreed upon site treatment is fulfilled, the County, Applicant, and consulting tribes will enter into a monitoring agreement, and develop a long term management plan.

**1901 Historic Structure/Location**

The 1901 historic structure/location is within the proposed development impact area (Figure 6-2). The 1901 historic structure/location was not relocated and the structure appears to have been destroyed. As no remains of this structure/location have been identified, it is considered not significant under County guidelines. However, subsurface features may still be present that can provide information on early homesteading in north San Diego County. A subsurface exploratory program will be performed to locate potential buried features, analyze any materials recovered, and document the results in a report.

**6.3 Effects Found Not to Be Significant**

As presently planned, sites CA-SDI-4370, CA-SDI-4371, CA-SDI-5639, CA-SDI-5640, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-17264 and isolates SDM-W-3880C and P-37-025968 will be directly and/or indirectly impacted by the proposed development (Figure 6-1, Confidential Appendix B; Table 6-1). However, all of these resources have been evaluated and determined to be not eligible for listing in the CRHR under CEQA and not significant under County RPO. Therefore, direct/indirect impacts to these resources are found not to be significant.

**CA-SDI-4370**

Site CA-SDI-4370 is located within the proposed development impact area. No further work is recommended for this site, as this isolate bedrock milling feature has been destroyed by previous grading for ranching and housing development.

**CA-SDI-4371**

Site CA-SDI-4371 is an isolate milling station comprised of one milling slick, and is recorded at the south end of the proposed Newland Sierra project area. This site is recorded as primarily outside of the project area; however, the milling feature was not relocated within the project area. It is likely that the feature has been destroyed by road construction, or is located adjacent to, but outside of the project area. As this site is identified as not significant, no further work is recommended.
CA-SDI-5639
Site CA-SDI-5639 is located within the proposed development impact area. No further work is recommended for this site, as the two bedrock milling features have been destroyed by previous development.

CA-SDI-5640
Site CA-SDI-5640 is located within the proposed development impact area. No further work is recommended for this isolate bedrock milling feature within the Twin Oaks North–South off-site improvement area, as it has been destroyed by previous development.

CA-SDI-9253
Site CA-SDI-9253 is located within the Open Space Easement, and will not be impacted. Site CA-SDI-9253 is identified as not significant and no further work is recommended.
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CA-SDI-10747H

Site CA-SDI-10747H is located within the Open Space Easement, and will not be impacted. Site CA-SDI-10747H is identified as not significant and no further work is recommended.

CA-SDI-17264

Site CA-SDI-17264 is located within the proposed development impact area. Site CA-SDI-17264 is identified as not significant and no further work is recommended.

CA-SDI-17265

Site CA-SDI-17265 is located within the Open Space Easement and will not be impacted. This site is identified as not significant and no further work is recommended.

P-37-025968

Isolate P-37-025968 is located within the proposed development impact area. Isolate P-37-025968 does not constitute a site by State of California definition, and is identified as not significant. No further work is recommended.

SDM-W-3880C

Isolate SDM-W-3880C is located within the proposed development impact area. Isolate SDM-W-3880C does not constitute a site by State of California definition, and is identified as not significant. No further work is recommended.

Summary of Impacts Prior to Mitigation

**Impact CR-1:** Project-related construction activities may encounter the 1901 historic structure/location, and development of the proposed project may result in potentially significant impacts to a historic resource.

**Impact CR-2:** Improvements to Deer Springs Road may result in direct impacts to unanticipated significant archaeological deposits from CA-SDI-4558 located beneath the surface along the current road shoulders.

**Impact CR-3:** Improvements to Deer Springs Road would result in direct impacts to those portions of site CA-SDI-5951 within and north of Deer Springs Road.

**Impact CR-4:** Improvements to Deer Springs Road would result in direct impacts to those portions of site CA-SDI-9822 south of, within, and north of Deer Springs Road.
Impact CR-5: Construction-related dust may temporarily affect the pictograph at site CA-SDI-9822.

Impact CR-6: Archaeological materials were collected during the Palomar College excavations during the 1980s from the area of CA-SDI-9822 that would be largely avoided for the proposed project through the use of a retaining wall. These archaeological materials have not been properly cataloged or analyzed, causing a significant impact to the scientific value of the site.

Impact CR-7: Improvements to Deer Springs Road would result in direct impacts to roadbed soils that connect sites CA-SDI-4558, -5951, and -9822 in an integrated traditional cultural property (TCP).

Impact CR-8: Construction-related impacts to unanticipated, unknown, or unrecorded cultural resources, including archaeological deposits are possible.

Impact CR-9: The portions of sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 that would be avoided may result in increased accessibility, possibly resulting in impacts from pot-hunters and looters. Impact CR-10: During excavation, there is potential to discover human remains.

6.4 Mitigation Measures and Design Considerations

For the following discussion, mitigation measures are summarized in Table 6-1 for each resource directly or indirectly impacted by the Proposed Project.

Mitigation Discussion

As sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 are identified as RPO significant sites, the only mitigation measure is avoidance. However, avoidance of impacts to these three sites may not be possible as both sites are located within an off-site improvement area (Deer Springs Road improvements) that is proposed to include public projects under the 2011 County General Plan update (i.e., underground utility, roadway improvement, and water extension). These types of public projects are considered essential and include public use, and are therefore considered exempt from the Resource Protection Ordinance, according to Article V of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

(c) Any essential public facility or project, or recreation facility which includes public use when the authority considering an application listed at Article III, Section 1 above makes the following findings:

1) The facility or project is consistent with adopted community or subregional plans;
2) All possible mitigation measures have been incorporated into the facility or project, and there are no feasible less environmentally damaging location, alignment, or non-structural alternatives that would meet project objectives.

The Newland Sierra project is consistent with the San Diego County General Plan to accommodate the rapid population growth in the north county region. Specifically, services such as water, sewer, fire protection and schools must be available to sustain the annual growth rate of north San Diego County. The Newland Sierra off-site improvements (underground utility, roadway improvement, sewer, and water extension) at Deer Springs Road are required given that the development of the Newland Sierra master-planned community will increase the residential and commercial use of the area. To accommodate this type of growth, public projects (identified in this report as off-site improvement areas) must be achieved.

All feasible less environmentally damaging alternatives were examined to avoid direct impacts to sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822. One alternative included modifying Deer Springs Road further south than its current route; however, this would only avoid direct impacts to CA-SDI-4558. Site CA-SDI-9822, which extends south of the road, would still be impacted, and there would be direct impacts to significant biological resources adjacent to the road. Another alternative consisted of modifying the road further to the north; however, from an engineering perspective, it was unfeasible to build a road against the steep mountain slope. Moreover, site CA-SDI-4558 would not be avoided of direct impacts. Further alternatives were considered; however, significant biological resources adjacent to the road, the design and curvature of the current road, traffic lane issues, and private property disqualified these alternatives.

Consequently, all possible mitigation measures were incorporated into the chosen Deer Springs off-site improvement alternative. Measures to lessen direct impacts to sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 include the Proposed At-grade option using data recovery and the Alternative option of constructing road improvements upon 30 feet of surcharged fill. The proposed At-grade mitigation option of a data recovery program will involve the excavation of 35 1x1-meter units at CA-SDI-4588, 45 1x1-meter units at CA-SDI-5951, and 100 1x1-meter units at CA-SDI-9822. Artifact analysis, special studies, a reanalysis of previously collected materials for CA-SDI-9822, and a report of finding for all three sites will also be conducted for either mitigation option.

The Alternative mitigation option of index sampling and capping will protect the primary site area of CA-SDI-9822, and leave the northern edge of the site (the pictograph and bedrock milling features) within open space. The fill will protect the portion of site CA-SDI-4558 within Deer Springs Road while the remaining site area (the majority) will be avoided. Examples of capping archaeological sites include Moosa Canyon archaeological materials from sites CA-SDI-
Construction of I-15 north of San Diego led to the discovery of prehistoric archeological sites within the highway right-of-way. A local Indian group requested that in lieu of excavation (data recovery), these sites be buried underneath the embankment. Archeologists were concerned that such burial underneath deep fill would pose an adverse effect to the cultural resources. As a result the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) conducted both laboratory and field experiments to test the effects of such burial on the buried artifacts. An artificial archeological site was constructed by Caltrans and then buried under a 75-ft embankment. Reinvestigation of the site by means of a culvert-lined access tunnel permitted evaluation of the nature and degree of damage to the archeological materials resulting from soil compaction...The results of the field study supported the laboratory study, which suggested that a loading equivalent to 75-ft of embankment over archeological sites would produce limited damage to the artifacts... (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1990).

For the Alternative, mitigation will include the excavation of an index sample, wherein six to twelve 1x1-meter units will be excavated to characterize the portion of site CA-SDI-9822 that is to be preserved/protected through capping. In addition to the index sample, a reanalysis of materials previously collected by Palomar Community College and photo documentation of the pictograph feature will be conducted. For the portions of sites CA-SDI-4558 and CA-SDI-5951 that are to be preserved/protected through capping, an index sample program will also be conducted. The index sample program will involve the excavation of six to twelve 1x1-meter units prior to capping. These samples, along with previous work, will serve as a database to address research questions in technical reports of finding for these sites.

For both the Proposed At-grade and the Alternative, mitigation should include permanent ranch-style fencing (minimum 4-feet height) to ensure that off-road vehicles are kept out of these areas (CA-SDI-4558, Ca-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822). The ranch-style fencing should also be used to protect areas of the sites where capping is not practical (i.e., bedrock milling features). Capping of archaeological sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 should include a permeable geotextile fabric (i.e., Amoco cloth) placed over the site, followed by at least six inches of sterile sand, followed by one to three feet of un-compacted fine-grained soil (i.e., decomposed granite), followed by clean fill soil. It is also necessary that the clean fill soil “feather” out ten feet beyond the defined boundary of the capping area to create a buffer. For the purpose of site protection and
interpretative use, only shallow-rooted native plant seeds will be used within the site boundaries. Vegetation growth across the protected area will maintain the cap’s integrity. Utility and irrigation lines will be placed either outside of the archaeological sites or within the fill. A minimum of 3 feet of fill will be placed between the archaeological midden and the utility line(s).

For either the Proposed At-grade or Alternative, there is still the potential for indirect impacts for the portions of sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 that will be avoided (placed in open space), and thus minor capping will occur. Minor capping shall consist of 3 to 6 inches of clean soil over the site area. For all three sites, seeding for shallow-rooted native plants will be used within the boundaries to provide a protective layer to the site. If construction is to be conducted near sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822, then temporary fencing will be constructed and the areas designated as environmentally sensitive. Construction equipment will be directed away from these sites, and construction personnel will be directed to avoid entering the areas.

If mitigation through the Proposed At-grade data recovery or the Alternative using capping of surcharged fill and index sampling is requested and approved for the widening of Deer Springs Road and/or placement of utility lines, then a data recovery or index sampling plan for sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 will be submitted to the County of San Diego for review and approval prior to starting this work.

As part of the fire management plan for the project, a fuel reduction zone is proposed which partially overlaps site CA-SDI-9822. Removal of vegetation at the site may potentially impact the site if reduction efforts require the removal of roots and subsurface part of the vegetation, or require machinery that could disturb site sediments, artifacts, or features. Archaeological and Native American monitors will be present for these activities to ensure features are not disturbed and to reduce any potential impacts to less than significant.

As no comprehensive reports for previous excavations at CA-SDI-9822 has been completed, it is recommended that a report of finding be prepared for CA-SDI-9822. This work should include reanalysis of previously recorded cultural material and/or additional field (i.e., index sample) and laboratory work necessary to complete a report documenting previous work for these sites, as well as special studies, and a report of finding. Monitoring of the project area during ground disturbing activities by a qualified archaeologist and a Native American is necessary to ensure that if features (i.e., human remains, hearths, historic deposits) are present, they will be handled in a timely and sensitive manner.

As presently planned, the 1901 historic structure/location within the Newland Sierra Project development area will be impacted by the proposed mass grading. Mitigation of potential
impacts will be achieved through the completion of a subsurface exploration program to locate previously unidentified extant buried features, analysis of materials recovered, and completion of a report of finding. This program will include a controlled backhoe excavation to determine the presence and/or absence of buried historic resources. If subsurface features and artifacts are identified, then a data recovery program will be conducted and will include excavation of 1x1-m. units, block excavations, feature excavation, and analysis of artifacts. Special studies may include, but will not be limited to, glass, ceramic, metal, and faunal analyses.

**Protection of Sites within the Open Space Easements**

If construction is to occur near sites within the open space easements, then temporary fencing should be constructed and the areas should be designated as environmentally sensitive. Construction equipment will be directed away from these sites, and construction personnel will be directed to avoid entering the areas. If necessary, during construction phase, after-hours monitoring of the open space easements by a private security company will be employed to maintain a high visibility presence and observe through patrols for signs of trespassing, vandalism, pot-hunting, or other site damage; then, taking action and/or reporting any incidents to their client, employer, and the County of San Diego. Significant sites located within open space easements (i.e., pictographs) will also require long-term management and site stewardship to prevent trespassing, vandalism, pot-hunting, or other site damage. Specific activities prohibited within the open space easements should include (but not be limited to) construction of homes and buildings, surface mining activities, industrial uses, and commercial uses. In addition, periodic inspection of the property to verify compliance with the open space easement guidelines should be conducted by the County of San Diego or a qualified archaeologist.

**Mitigation Measures**

The following mitigation measures would be applied and/or agreed to prior to the approval of any plan and issuance of any permit, and prior to occupancy or use of the premises in reliance of any permit.

The mitigation framework provided below is consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b), 15364, and 15370. Pursuant to Section 15126.4(b)(3)(A), and as provided for below, preservation-in-place is the preferred manner of mitigating impacts to significant or unique archaeological resources. However, Section 15126.4(b)(3)(C) also recognizes that, under certain circumstances, preservation-in-place is not feasible, in which case data recovery through excavation is an acceptable mitigation option. In addition, lead agencies may determine that some other form of mitigation is appropriate given the nature of the resource in question and the infeasibility of preserving it in place. For example, the cultural resources that constitute the
**Cultural Resources Report for the Newland Sierra Project**

*Pavxin* TCP cannot feasibly be preserved in place, as large portions of the TCP are located within the roadbed of Deer Springs Road, which is slated for upgrades and widening. None of the four preservation-in-place techniques listed in Section 15126.4(b)(3)(B) – avoidance, incorporation into greenspace, capping with sterile soil, or deeding the site into a conservation easement – provides a feasible mitigation option for impacts to the TCP. Much of the roadbed soils from the TCP cannot be subjected to data recovery because to do so would be infeasible and/or culturally inappropriate. Moreover, the consulting Tribes have indicated they would prefer that such soils, including the cultural materials that may be interred within them, be reburied at an agreed-upon location within the Project site. Accordingly, through consultation with the affected Tribes, the County and the applicant have elected to mitigate the Project’s TCP impacts through a Tribal Treatment Plan which would require, among other things, that the applicant, in consultation with the Tribes, reburies all affected TCP resources within an identified and mutually-agreed upon reinternment area on the Project site. No ground-disturbing activities, including underground trenching, would be permitted at the reinternment area. (See M-CR-8 through M-CR-10).

**M-CR-1 Pre-Grade and Data Recovery for Historic 1901 Structure Location Features (Impact CR-1).** In order to mitigate for potential impacts to the 1901 Historic Structured/Location that is a significant cultural resources pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) but is not determined to be significant pursuant to Section 86.602.o of the Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), a pre-grade data recovery program shall be implemented. The Pre-Grade and Data Recovery Program shall include pre-grade excavations to locate possible buried features and analyze features and materials recovered; a report of any findings shall be prepared. This plan shall also include a ground-penetrating radar survey and controlled backhoe excavation to assess the area for ground anomalies and subjectively explore other areas to determine the presence and/or absence of buried historic resources. If subsurface features and artifacts are identified, a data recovery program shall be conducted, to include excavation of 1- by 1-meter units, block excavations, feature excavations, and analysis of artifacts. Special studies may include glass, ceramic, metal, and faunal analyses.

**M-CR-2 Open Space Easement for Sites CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 (Impacts CR-3 and CR-4).** In order to protect sensitive Cultural Resources at CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822, a Cultural Resource Open Space Easement shall be granted over the portions of these sites that are outside of the Deer Springs Road right-of-way. The open space easement prohibits all of the following on any portion of the land subject to said easement: grading; excavation; placement of soil, sand, rock, gravel, or other material; clearing of vegetation; construction,
erection, or placement of any building or structure; vehicular activities; trash dumping; installation of wet or dry infrastructure, including irrigation systems; or use for any purpose other than as open space. The sole exceptions to this prohibition are:

a. Placement and burial of the cultural site resources and soils that are excavated as part of the development per specifications that are executed in agreement with the Pechanga and San Luis Rey Tribes.

b. Selective clearing of vegetation by hand to the extent required by written order of the fire authorities for the express purpose of reducing an identified fire hazard.

c. Vegetation removal or application of chemicals for vector control purposes where expressly required by written order of the Department of Environmental Health, in a location and manner approved in writing by the Director of PDS.

d. Access shall be provided for Luiseño tribes.

M-CR-3 Temporary Fencing (Impacts CR-2, CR-3, CR-4, CR-9 and CR-10). In order to mitigate for potential impacts to sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 during construction, a temporary fencing plan shall be implemented pursuant to the County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources and CEQA Section 15064.5. The temporary fencing shall include the following requirements:

a. Provide evidence to the Director of Planning & Development Services that the following notes have been placed on the Grading and/or Improvement Plan:

   1. In the event that construction activities are to take place within 100 feet of archaeological site(s) CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822, the temporary fencing plan shall be implemented under the supervision of a County approved archaeologist that consists of the following:

      i. The project archaeologist shall identify the site boundaries in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

      ii. The project archaeologist shall determine an adequate buffer for the protection of the site(s) in consultation with the County archaeologist, the San Luis Rey Band and the Pechanga Band. Upon approval of buffers, install fencing under the supervision of the
project archaeologist and San Luis Rey and Pechanga Native American monitor.

iii. Submit to the Planning & Development Services for approval, a signed and stamped statement from a California Registered Engineer, or licensed surveyor that temporary fences have been installed in all locations of the project where proposed grading or clearing is within 100 feet of the archaeological site(s), CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822.

iv. Fencing may be removed after the conclusion of construction activities.

M-CR-4 Permanent Fencing (Impact CR-2, CR-3, CR-4, CR-9 and CR-10). In order to mitigate for the potential long-term, indirect impacts to sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822, permanent fencing shall be implemented pursuant to the County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources and CEQA Section 15064.5. The permanent fencing type shall be determined during the development of the Treatment Plan Agreement and Preservation Plan, and in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band. The fence, if deemed appropriate by the County, the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band shall be installed under the supervision of the County approved archaeologist and the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Native American Monitors prior to any occupancy or final grading release. Fencing may include a vegetation barrier.

M-CR-5 Data Recovery Program (Impacts CR-2, CR-3, CR-4, CR-6 and CR-10). In order to mitigate for potential impacts to significant cultural resources that cannot be feasibly avoided or preserved in place, pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), which are not subject to Section 86.602.o of the Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), a data recovery and index sampling plan shall be implemented. The Data Recovery and Index Sampling Plan shall comply with research design and performance standards provided in Appendix D of the cultural study, shall be agreed to by the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Tribes and shall include the following requirements:

a. Phase I and Phase II data recovery including artifact analysis, column samples, soil samples, floatation, and analysis of features.

b. Specialized studies may include pollen and phytolith analysis, lithic, groundstone, ceramic, shell, obsidian hydration and sourcing, groundstone use wear and residue, and radiocarbon dating.
c. Re-analysis of the Palomar College collection.

d. High-resolution, 3-dimensional scanning of a sample of artifacts.

e. Reinternment of Native American cultural materials.

f. Curation of historic materials (Non-Native American).

g. Preparation of a final report.

The Data Recovery and Index Sampling Plan will be a part of the Treatment Plan Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band. Data recovery, sampling index and archaeological testing will not apply to TCP resources, tribal cultural resources and Native American human remains and burial goods.

M-CR-6 Dust Control Plan (Impact CR-5). In order to mitigate for potential impacts to the pictograph at site CA-SDI-9822, during any grading or ground-disturbing activities, dust control measures shall be implemented pursuant to the County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources and CEQA Section 15064.5. The Dust Control Plan shall be prepared and implemented by the contractor in consultation with the project archaeologist and the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians. The Dust Control Plan shall include the following requirements:

a. Prior to placing protective material to shield the pictograph, photo-document the condition of the existing pictograph.

b. Place appropriate cloth or material to shield the pictograph and mitigate impacts from dust. The covering must be of a material that will not cause damage to the pictograph.

c. Periodic inspections of the pictograph shall be conducted to evaluate the status of the protective covering and to determine whether maintenance of the covering or replacement is necessary.

d. Upon conclusion of construction, the protective cover may be removed and the pictograph shall be photo-documented to determine the status of the resource.

e. After construction has concluded, the Project Archaeologist shall prepare a final letter report that details how the dust control plan was implemented and the condition of the pictograph at the beginning and end phases of construction.
The Data Recovery and Index Sampling Plan will be a part of the Treatment Plan Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

**M-CR-7 Archaeological Monitoring Program/Treatment of Human Remains (Impacts CR-7, CR-8, CR-10).** In order to mitigate for potential impacts to undiscovered archaeological resources and human remains, including those that may be encountered in the TCP, an Archaeological Monitoring Program and potential Data Recovery Program shall be implemented pursuant to the County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance for Cultural Resources and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Archaeological Monitoring Program shall be developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band and shall include the following requirements:

a. **Pre-Construction**

   The Project Applicant shall contract with a County approved archaeologist to perform Archaeological Monitoring and a contract with a Luiseño Native American monitor to conduct Native American monitoring for the project.

   The pre-construction meeting shall be attended by the Project Archaeologist, the Luiseño Native American monitor, and a representative from the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Bands.

b. **Construction**

   1. **Monitoring.** Both the Project Archaeologist and Luiseño Native American monitor are to be on site during all earth disturbing activities. The frequency and location of monitoring of native soils will be determined by the Project Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American monitor. The Project Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American monitor shall evaluate fill soils, whether imported, exported or from an on-site borrow location, to ensure that they are negative for cultural resources.

   2. **Controlled Grading and Grubbing.** All grubbing shall be controlled in areas of concern as determined by the Project Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American monitor, and as reflected in the Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band, and shall be inspected by the Project Archaeologist and Luiseño Native American monitor prior to initiating grading for those areas. Grading shall be controlled within the area of CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9882 using a slope board or
similar equipment to allow soil to be removed in increments of only a few inches at a time. Other areas which may require controlled grading shall be determined by the Project Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American monitor, as reflected in the Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

3. Milling Features. Milling features shall be relocated to on-site open space or landscaped areas prior to disturbance, if feasible, and as reflected in the Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

4. Deer Springs Road Right-of-Way. Soils from Deer Springs Road right-of-way, as indicated on the Deer Springs Road Right-of-Way exhibit located in the confidential appendix of the cultural study, shall be reinterred on site in the designated location that was approved by the County of San Diego, the applicant, the San Luis Rey Band of Mission Indians, and the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians (the “reinternment area”). Prior to final reinternment, the soils shall be treated in accordance to the terms reflected in the Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band. Once the cultural materials are placed in the reinternment area, a cap shall be placed over the resources and hydroseeded with a native plant mix, developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band, to prevent erosion. Note that no subsurface ground disturbance activities or subsurface facilities will be permitted within the reinternment area, including utility trenches and irrigation systems (except for surface drip systems.)

5. Inadvertent Discoveries:

- Both the Project Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American monitor have the authority to divert or temporarily halt ground disturbance operations in the area of the discovery.
- The Project Archaeologist shall contact the County Archaeologist.
- The Project Archaeologist in consultation with the County Archaeologist and the Luiseño Native American shall determine the significance of discovered resources.
- If appropriate, construction activities will be allowed to resume after the County Archaeologist has concurred with the significance evaluation.
Isolates and non-significant deposits shall be minimally documented in the field and collected by the Project Archaeologist. Native American isolates shall be reinterred on site and historic (Non-Native American) isolates shall be curated or culled.

If cultural resources are determined to be significant by the Tribes, the County Archaeologist and/or the Project Archaeologist, a Research Design and Data Recovery Program shall be prepared by the Project Archaeologist in consultation with the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Tribes, and approved by the County Archaeologist. The preferred option is preservation (avoidance).


- The Property Owner or their representative shall contact the County Coroner and the PDS Staff Archaeologist.
- If the human remains are reasonably believed to be Native American, then the human remains are to remain in situ ("in place"), or in a secure location in close proximity to where they were found, and shall be examined in the field, in the presence of a Luiseño Native American monitor, by a forensic anthropologist or osteologist, if feasible. Any transportation of the remains shall be done in the presence of a Luiseño Native American monitor. Upon identification of human remains, no further disturbance shall occur in the area of the find until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin.
- If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Most Likely Descendant (MLD), as identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), shall be contacted by the Property Owner or their representative in order to determine proper treatment and disposition of the remains.
- The immediate vicinity where the Native American human remains are located is not to be damaged or disturbed by further development activity until consultation with the MLD regarding their recommendations as required by Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 has been conducted.
Public Resources Code §5097.98, CEQA §15064.5 and Health & Safety Code §7050.5 shall be followed in the event that human remains are discovered.

7. Fill Soils. The Project Archaeologist and Luiseño Native American monitor shall evaluate fill soils (including, but not limited to, exported, imported and borrow-site soils) to determine that they are clean of cultural resources.

8. Reporting. The Project Archaeologist shall submit monthly status reports to the Director of Planning and Development Services starting from the date of the Notice to Proceed to the termination of implementation of the archaeological monitoring program. The report shall briefly summarize all activities during the period and the status of progress on overall plan implementation. Upon completion of the implementation phase, a final report shall be submitted describing the plan compliance procedures and site conditions before and after construction. Rough Grading. A copy of the monitoring report shall be provided to the South Coastal Information Center, the San Luis Rey Band of Mission Indians, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians, and any culturally-affiliated tribe who requests a copy.

9. The County Archaeologist shall make a determination for any disagreements between the Project Archaeologist, Luiseño Native American monitor, the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band related to archaeological monitoring.

c. Final Grading

1. A final report shall be prepared substantiating that earth-disturbing activities are completed and whether cultural resources were encountered. A copy of the final report shall be submitted to the South Coastal Information Center, the San Luis Rey Band of Mission Indians, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians and any culturally-affiliated tribe who requests a copy.

d. Disposition of Cultural Material.

The final report shall include:

1. Evidence that all Native American cultural materials have been repatriated to the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band, or the MLD, if applicable, and reinterred on site as reflected in the Preservation Plan developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.
2. The final report shall include evidence that all historic materials have been curated at a San Diego curation facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79.

The Archaeological Monitoring Program/Treatment of Human Remains will be a part of the Tribal Treatment Plan (See M-CR-10, below) that shall be developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

**M-CR-8 Environmentally Sensitive Area - Cultural Open Space (Impact CR-7).** In order to provide an on-site location for the reinternment of cultural materials including cultural soils removed from the TCP, an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) Open Space Easement shall be developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band, and granted to the County by the applicant. The open space easement prohibits all of the following on any portion of the land subject to said easement: grading; excavation; placement of soil, sand, rock, gravel, or other material; clearing of vegetation; construction, erection, or placement of any building or structure; vehicular activities; trash dumping; or use for any purpose other than as open space. No subsurface ground disturbance activities or subsurface facilities will be permitted within the Open Space Easement, including utility trenches and irrigation systems (except for surface drip systems and the preparation of the reinternment area.) The sole exceptions to this prohibition are:

a. Preparation of the reinternment area that may require earth-disturbing activities such as grading; excavation; placement of soil, sand, rock, gravel, or other material; and clearing of vegetation.

b. Reinternment of cultural materials and cultural soils which may require earth-disturbing activities such as grading; excavation; placement of soil, sand, rock, gravel, or other material; and clearing of vegetation.

c. Capping and hydroseeding the reinternment area for the purposes of erosion control.

d. Selective clearing of vegetation by hand to the extent required by written order of the fire authorities for the express purpose of reducing an identified fire hazard.

e. Vegetation removal or application of chemicals for vector control purposes where expressly required by written order of the Department of Environmental Health, in a location and manner approved in writing by the Director of PDS.
Access shall be provided for Luiseno tribes.

M-CR-9 Cultural Resources Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan (“Tribal Treatment Plan”) (Impact CR-2, CR-3, CR-4, CR-7, CR-8, CR-9 and CR-10). In order to mitigate for impacts to Traditional Cultural Properties (TCPs) and impacts to tribal cultural resources, the applicant shall develop in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band of Mission Indians and the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians a Cultural Resources Treatment Agreement and Preservation Plan (“Tribal Treatment Plan”). The Tribal Treatment Plan shall include but is not limited to the following:

a. Parties entering into the agreement and contact information.

b. Responsibilities of the Property Owner or their representative, Principal Investigator, archaeological monitors, the Luiseño Native American monitors, County, and the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

c. Project grading and development scheduling, and terms of compensation for the monitors, including overtime and weekend rates, in addition to mileage reimbursement.

d. Authority of the Native American Monitors to stop and redirect grading in the immediate area of a find in order to evaluate the find and determine the appropriate next steps, in consultation with the Project archaeologist. Such evaluation shall include culturally appropriate temporary and permanent treatment pursuant to the Tribal Treatment Plan.

e. Requirements of the Archaeological Monitoring Program, which shall be incorporated into the Treatment Plan, shall include unanticipated discoveries. The requirements shall address grading and grubbing requirements including controlled grading and controlled vegetation removal in areas of cultural sensitivity, analysis of identified cultural materials, and on-site storage of cultural materials.

f. Treatment of identified Native American cultural materials.

g. Treatment of Native American human remains and associated grave goods.

h. Incorporation of CA-SDI-4558 into a passive park including the method of vegetation removal (e.g. tree removal). The landscape design shall be developed in consultation with the San Luis Rey Band and Pechanga Band.

i. Requirements for the Dust Control Plan (CA-SDI-9822), Temporary Fencing (CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9811), Permanent Fencing (CA-
SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822), Data Recovery Plan (portions of CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822), Bedrock Milling Relocation, and Trail System Design for Oak Park.

j. Interim treatment of cultural soils and resources prior to final on-site internment, including appropriate on-site storage and security for such resources. Final internment of Native American cultural soils and materials.

k. Confidentiality of cultural information including location and data.

l. Negotiation of disagreements should they arise during the implementation of the Agreement and Preservation Plan.

m. Regulations that apply to cultural resources that have been identified or may be identified during project construction.

**M-CR-10 Preservation and Maintenance Plan (Impact CR-1 through CR-9).** Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the Project Applicant and the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Tribes shall prepare a Preservation and Maintenance Plan for the long-term care and maintenance of CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822, and their associated cultural resources and features. The Plan shall indicate, at a minimum, the specific areas to be included in and excluded from long-term maintenance; prohibited activities; methods of preservation to be employed (fencing, vegetative deterrence, etc.); the entity or entities responsible for the long-term maintenance; maintenance scheduling and notification; appropriate avoidance protocols; monitoring by the Tribes and compensation for services; and necessary emergency protocols. The Project Applicant shall submit a fully executed copy of the Preservation and Maintenance Plan to the County to ensure compliance with this mitigation measure.

**M-CR-11 Fair Share Contribution Towards Regional Ethno-historic Study (Impact CR-2 through CR-4, CR-7 through 9).** In order to mitigate for impacts to Traditional Cultural Properties, the applicant shall make a fair share contribution towards a regional ethno-historic study, which study shall be prepared in consultation with the San Luis Rey and Pechanga Tribes. The applicant shall make a fair share contribution in the amount of $50,000 to an account held in trust by a third party manager. The fund shall include the following:

a. An agreement for the preparation of a regional study for the Deer Springs area when funding is 100 percent available. The agreement must identify the entity responsible for the management of the fund, rate of return, and annual
management fees. The agreement must be reviewed and approved by the County of San Diego prior to implementation.

b. Annual reporting to the County of San Diego on the status of the fund is required. The annual report shall include the balance of the fund and an accounting of projects that have contributed to the fund. Project information shall include the project name, project number, condition number and when fair share contributions were made.

c. The County shall retain under contract a qualified ethnographer or anthropologist to complete a Luiséño ethnographic study of the Project area and the associated vicinity as it relates to Luiséño knowledge, history, and culture. The selection of the consultant retained to conduct the ethnography shall consider qualifications, ability to work collaboratively with the Pechanga and San Luis Rey Tribes, cost, and shall be by mutual agreement of the Tribes and the County. Consultant selection shall be approved by the County and Tribes; however, approval of the consultant by Tribes shall not be unreasonably withheld.

d. The study shall be completed within 1 year of the execution of the consultant’s contract. The Tribes agree to work in good faith with the ethnographer to meet this deadline and the goals of this study.

Summary

The 1901 historic structure was not re-located, and no evidence of the structure or related features was identified. Additional research is needed to determine if any subsurface features relating to the 1901 historic structure are present, since impacts to any such features would be considered significant (Impact CR-1). Implementation of a subsurface exploratory program (M-CR-1) to search for historic features associated with the 1901 historic structure would mitigate these impacts to less than significant.

For those portions of sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822 that would be preserved in place by being located within open space, no direct significant impacts would occur. For the portion of sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951, and CA-SDI-9822 that could be affected by Deer Springs Road improvements, impacts would be potentially significant (Impact CR-2, CR-3 and Impact CR-4). Such impacts cannot be feasibly avoided or mitigated through preservation-in-place techniques. These impacts would be mitigated to less than significant through implementation of a data recovery plan (M-CR-3) and a plan for removal, as well as monitoring during construction (M-
CR-4) and treatment of human remains according to California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 and PRC Section 5097.98 (M-CR-8).

In addition, grading operations associated with the widening of Deer Springs Road may cause dust impacts on the pictograph at site CA-SDI-9822, resulting in a significant impact (Impact CR-5). Implementation of a dust control plan (M-CR-5) to protect the pictograph would reduce the impact to less than significant.

Archaeological materials were collected during the Palomar College excavations in the 1980s from the area of site CA-SDI-9822 that would be largely avoided for the project through the use of a retaining wall. These archaeological materials have not been properly cataloged or analyzed, causing a significant impact to the scientific value of this site (Impact CR-6). Prior to the final disposition of these artifacts, the collection would be fully analyzed according to morphological and functional classifications for each artifact class (M-CR-6) to salvage important scientific information.

It is possible that project-related grading may uncover previously unknown cultural resources. If such resources are encountered but cannot be feasibly avoided (Impact CR-7), significance evaluation of newly discovered archaeological resources and data recovery (M-CR-3), proper treatment of human remains (M-CR-8), and archaeological monitoring (M-CR-4) would reduce this potentially significant impact to less than significant.

During excavation, there is potential to discover additional human remains (Impact CR-8). Compliance with PRC Section 5097.98 and CEQA Section 15064.5 (M-CR-8) would reduce potential impacts to less than significant.

The project would increase public access to the sites CA-SDI-4558, CA-SDI-5951 and CA-SDI-9822, and potentially increase the risk of vandalism or unauthorized pot-hunting/looting, thereby resulting in a potentially significant impact (Impact CR-9). Implementation of avoidance measures at these three sites (MM-CR-2), construction of temporary fencing (MM-CR-3) and permanent fencing (MM-CR-4), planning for long-term care of the resources (MM-CR-10), along with contribution to a regional ethnohistoric study (MM-CR-11) would reduce these potentially significant impacts to less than significant.

The County recognizes the cultural significance of the Pavxin TCP, the area associated with sites CA-SDI-9822, CA-SDI-4558, and CA-SDI-5951. The mitigation measures recommended in this section are intended, in part, to preserve and protect the integrity of Pavxin and to do so using culturally sensitive techniques that respect the heritage and cultural values of the site.

In summary, all potentially significant impacts on cultural resources can be mitigated to less than significant. Refer to Table 6-1 for a summary of resources sites and impact significance.
## Table 6-1
Site Status and Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Site Significance/Eligibility Status</th>
<th>Significant Impact Before Mitigation?</th>
<th>Recommended Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance After Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1901 Map for Historic Structure Location</td>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Not Significant; CRHR: Not Eligible; RPO: Not Significant</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>Pre-grade subsurface exploration program (M-CR-1).</td>
<td>Less Than Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-4371</td>
<td>One bedrock milling feature</td>
<td>Not re-located; likely destroyed or located outside of project Site. County: Not Important; CEQA: Not Significant; CRHR: Not Eligible; RPO: Not Significant</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>Monitoring (M-CR-7).</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-4558</td>
<td>Ceremonial site</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Significant; CRHR: Eligible; RPO: Significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>All forms of preservation in place are feasible for the significant portion of site. The County of San Diego is recommending that the significant portions of the site be avoided, potentially significant deposits that may be identified during construction be subject to data recovery (MM-CR-5), and monitoring (M-CR-7). The significant portions of the site will be subject to temporary fencing (MM-CR-3) and permanent fencing (MM-CR-4). Potentially significant impacts to the TCP through roadbed removal will be mitigated through repatriation of roadbed sediments (MM-CR-8), development of a Treatment Agreement (MM-CR-9) and Long Term Management Plan (MM-CR-10), as well as contribution to a regional Ethno-historic study (MM-CR-11) will reduce impacts to the TCP to less than significant.</td>
<td>Less Than Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6-1
Site Status and Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Site Significance/Eligibility Status</th>
<th>Significant Impact Before Mitigation?</th>
<th>Recommended Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance After Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-5639</td>
<td>Two bedrock milling features</td>
<td>Destroyed. Count: Not Important; CEQA: Not Significant; CRHR: Not Eligible; RPO: Not Significant</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>Monitoring (M-CR-7)</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-5951</td>
<td>Ceremonial site</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Significant; CRHR: Eligible; RPO: Significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>All forms of preservation in place are feasible for the northern portion of the site excluded from the off-site roadway circulation component of the project; this area would be avoided through incorporation into open space (M-CR-2). All forms of preservation in place are not feasible for significant deposits of the site located within a roadway circulation component of the project due to engineering design constraints. Mitigation of impacts through temporary fencing, (MM-CR-3), permanent fencing (MM-CR-4), data recovery (MM CR-5), proper treatment of human remains (M-CR-9), and monitoring (MM CR-7) will reduce impacts to less than significant. Potentially significant impacts to the TCP through roadbed removal will be mitigated through repatriation of roadbed sediments (MM-CR-8), development of a Treatment Agreement (MM-CR-9).</td>
<td>Less Than Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6-1
Site Status and Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Site Significance/ Eligibility Status</th>
<th>Significant Impact Before Mitigation?</th>
<th>Recommended Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance After Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-9822</td>
<td>Ceremonial site</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Significant; CRHR: Eligible; RPO: Significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>All forms of preservation in place are feasible for the northern portion of the site excluded from the off-site roadway circulation component of the project, including the area containing the pictograph. This area would be avoided through incorporation into open space (M-CR-2). Dust control measures are required to protect the pictograph during construction (M-CR-6).</td>
<td>Less Than Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and Long Term Management Plan (MM-CR-10), as well as contribution to a regional Ethno-historic study (MM-CR-11) will reduce impacts to the TCP to less than significant.

All forms of preservation in place are not feasible for significant deposits of the site located within a roadway circulation component of the project due to engineering design constraints. Avoided portions of the site will be protected through temporary fencing (MM-CR-3) and permanent fencing (MM-CR-4).

Mitigation of impacts through data recovery (M-CR-5), proper treatment of human remains and monitoring (M-CR-7), and laboratory analysis of the Palomar College excavation collection (M-CR-6) will reduce these impacts to less than significant.

Potentially significant impacts to the TCP through roadbed removal will be mitigated through repatriation of roadbed sediments (MM-CR-8), development of a
### Table 6-1
Site Status and Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Site Significance/ Eligibility Status</th>
<th>Significant Impact Before Mitigation?</th>
<th>Recommended Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance After Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-9253</td>
<td>Temporary camp</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Not Significant; CRHR: Not Eligible; RPO: Not Significant</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>Open space easement and temporary fencing (M-CR-2, MM-CR-3), monitoring (M-CR-7).</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-10747H</td>
<td>Remains of a house, a collapsed wood structure, and a rock and mortar hearth/chimney structure</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Not Significant; CRHR: Not Eligible; RPO: Not Significant</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>Open space easement and temporary fencing (M-CR-2, MM-CR-3), monitoring (M-CR-7).</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SDI-17264</td>
<td>Single bifacial handstone and debitage</td>
<td>County: Important; CEQA: Not Significant; CRHR: Not Eligible; RPO: Not Significant</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>Monitoring (MM CR-7).</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8 LIST OF PREPARERS AND PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED

Micah Hale (Dudek): Acted as Project Manager and approved the technical report.

Brad Comeau (Dudek): Acted as Principal Investigator and authored the technical report.

Adriane Dorrler (Dudek): Acted as co-author of the technical report and performed the records search.

Adam Giacinto (Dudek): Acted as co-author of the technical report.

Monica Guerrero (Gallegos and Associates): Conducted fieldwork and co-authored the technical report.

Tracy Stropes (Gallegos and Associates): Acted as Lithic Analyst and co-author of the technical report.

Dennis R. Gallegos (Gallegos and Associates): Acted as co-author of the technical report.

Susan Bugbee (Gallegos and Associates): Acted as co-author of the technical report.
### LIST OF MITIGATION MEASURES AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacted Archaeological Sites</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CA-SDI-4558</strong></td>
<td>All forms of preservation in place are feasible for the significant portion of site. The County of San Diego is recommending that the site be avoided through incorporation into a greenspace park (M-CR-2) and through monitoring (M-CR-4).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **CA-SDI-5951**              | All forms of preservation in place are feasible for the northern portion of the site excluded from the off-site roadway circulation component of the project; this area would be avoided through incorporation into open space (M-CR-2).  
All forms of preservation in place are not feasible for significant deposits of the site located within a roadway circulation component of the project due to engineering design constraints.  
Mitigation of impacts through data recovery (MM CR-3), proper treatment of human remains (M-CR-8), and monitoring (MM CR-4). |
| **CA-SDI-9822**              | All forms of preservation in place are feasible for the northern portion of the site excluded from the off-site roadway circulation component of the project, including the area containing the pictograph. This area would be avoided through incorporation into open space (M-CR-2). Dust control measures are required to protect the pictograph during construction (M-CR-5).  
All forms of preservation in place are not feasible for significant deposits of the site located within a roadway circulation component of the project due to engineering design constraints.  
Mitigation of impacts through data recovery (M-CR-3), proper treatment of human remains (M-CR-8), monitoring (M-CR-4), and laboratory analysis of the Palomar College excavation collection (M-CR-6). |
| **CA-SDI-4370; CA-SDI-4371; CA-SDI-5369; CA-SDI-5640; CA-SDI-17264** | Monitoring (MM CR-4) |
| **1901 Historic Structure** | Pre-grade Subsurface Exploration Program (MM-CR-1) |
| **CA-SDI-9253; CA-SDI-10747H; CA-SDI-17265** | Open space easement and temporary fencing (M-CR-2), monitoring (M-CR-4). |
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Resumes of Key Personnel
Micah Hale, PhD, RPA
Senior Archaeologist

Micah Hale is Dudek’s cultural resources practice manager and lead principal investigator, with technical expertise as a lithic and groundstone analyst, invertebrate analyst, and in ground penetrating radar. Over the course of his 19-year career, Dr. Hale has served as a principal investigator in the public and private sector for all levels of archaeological investigation, as a public outreach coordinator and as an assistant professor at the University of California, Davis (U.C. Davis). As Dudek’s cultural resources practice manager, he currently functions as a principal investigator in project oversight including proposals, research designs, fieldwork, artifact analysis, and report authorship.

Dr. Hale’s experience is both academic and professional spanning California, Arizona, Nevada, and Oregon, including work for Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Southwest, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Western Area Power Administration, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), California State Parks, various city and county agencies, and directly for Native American groups. Dr. Hale has supervised numerous large-scale surveys, test excavations, data recovery programs, and geoarchaeological investigations, served as a third party review consultant, and an expert witness in legal proceedings. He has authored research designs, management and treatment plans, proposals, preliminary and final reports, and technical analyses. Dr. Hale has integrated his personal research interests into projects and participated in professional symposia at local and national venues, including the Society for American Archaeology and the Society for California Archaeology. Additionally, he has conducted academic research in the Polar Arctic, Greenland. Dr. Hale’s current focus is on hunter-gatherer archaeology of California and the Great Basin, applying theoretical premises of cultural evolution and human behavioral ecology.

Project Experience

Development
Phase II Archaeological Data Recovery for the Newland Homes Sierra Project, San Diego County, California, 2013-present. As project manager and principal investigator, supervising data recovery investigations at two significant prehistoric archaeological sites and historic archival research of a homestead in support of the Newland Sierra Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Phase I Archaeological Inventory and Phase II Archaeological Evaluation for the Yokohl Ranch Project, Tulare County, California, 2012-2013. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised completion of 12,000 acre survey and archaeological evaluation of 85 prehistoric and historical archaeological sites in support of the Yokohl Ranch EIR.
Phase I Inventory and Phase II Cultural Resources Evaluation for the Star Ranch Project, RBF Consulting, San Diego County, California, 2011. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised CEQA inventory and evaluation for private development.

Phase II Archaeological Evaluation of Two Prehistoric Sites, Torrey Pines Glider Port, San Diego County, California, 2012. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised CEQA evaluation of two prehistoric archaeological sites for the Torrey Pines City Park General Development Plan.

Data Recovery of One Prehistoric Site for the Rhodes Property, Sea Breeze Properties, San Diego County, California. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised CEQA compliant data recovery of a large prehistoric site for a residential development.

Archaeological Survey of the Paramount Mine Exploratory Drilling Project, Essex Environmental, Mono County, Nevada, 2006. As principal investigator and field director, conducted archaeological survey for mining exploration and prepared the technical report.

Phase I Inventory of 1,544 Acres and Phase II Evaluation of Archaeological Sites along the Western and Northwestern Boundaries, Edwards Air Force Base, Kern County, California, 2005. As field director, supervised a Phase I inventory of 1,544 acres. Recorded 30 new archaeological sites, more than a dozen "sub-modern" refuse dumps, and a variety of isolate finds. Notable sites include several early Holocene lithic scatters (Lake Mojave-, Silver Lake-, and Pinto-age deposits), a rhyolite lithic quarry, and a complex of historic dumps associated with homesteading activities around Lone Butte.

Pankey Ranch Testing, Pardee Homes, Northern San Diego County, California, 2004. As field director, supervised excavation of shovel test pits to delineate the boundaries of site CA-SDI-682, the prehistoric village of Tom-Kav. Managed field personnel, conducted excavation, and wrote portions of technical report.

Oceanside Hilton EIR, Dudek Associates, Oceanside, San Diego County, California, 2004. As principal investigator and field director, conducted a survey of the proposed Hilton Hotel at the eastern end of Buena Vista Lagoon in Carlsbad and prepared portions of technical report for an EIR.

Archaeological Survey of the La Mesa Meadows Residential Development Project, Helix Environmental, San Diego County, California, 2005. As principal investigator, conducted a survey of a proposed residential development in San Diego County.

Data Recovery of Locus O, Star Canyon Development, Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Palm Springs, Riverside County, California, 2004. As field director, supervised field crews for data recovery mitigation of an archaeological deposit and human remains near Tahquitz Canyon. Coordinated with Native American representatives and prepared portions of the technical report.

Linda Vista Survey, City of San Marcos Planning Department, San Diego County, California, 2003. As field director, conducted a Phase I cultural resource inventory of the proposed road realignment in San Marcos. Prepared technical reports and made recommendations for additional work to be done within the project area.

Archaeological Monitoring for Williams Communications Fiber-Optic Line, Jones and Stokes Associates, San Luis Obispo and Bakersfield, Kern and San Luis Obispo Counties, California, 2001. As resource monitor/Native American coordinator, conducted archaeological monitoring for a fiber-optic cable installation project that spanned 180 miles from San Luis Obispo to Bakersfield. Identified and
protected archaeological resources in the project area in compliance with state and federal regulations. Managed Native American monitors and coordinated daily work with construction and environmental staff to facilitate project completion.

**AT&T Cable Removal Project**, Jones and Stokes Associates, Taft to Los Angeles, Kern and Los Angeles Counties, California, 1998. As field archaeologist, conducted a survey to determine archaeological impact by the removal of a lead-lined subsurface cable.

**Subsurface Survey of a Proposed Bicycle Path Along the Columbia River Slough in Northwest Portland, City of Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon, 2000.** As field archaeologist, conducted auger testing in a variable north-to-south transect at 30-meter intervals, and unit mapping.

**Phase II Test Excavations, AT&T, Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon, and Vancouver, Clark County, Washington, 1999.** This project determined the presence and condition of any cultural resources in the project areas that were situated on the northern and southern sides of the Columbia River in Washington and Oregon.

**Education**

Data Recovery for the Palomar North and Meadowood Projects, Palomar College, San Diego County, California, 2012. As principal investigator, supervised Section 106 and CEQA-compliant data recovery of the ethnohistoric village of Tom-Kav. Expert witness for litigation of archaeological work for the client.

Data Recovery Excavations in Advance of Geotechnical Coring at W-12, University of California San Diego (UCSD), San Diego County, California, 2009. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised data recovery excavations in a midden dated as early as 9,600 years before present.

Archaeological Test Excavations at Selected Sites on Vandenberg Air Force Base, University of California, Davis, Lompoc, Santa Barbara County, California, 2008. As principal investigator and field director, supervised and instructed 21 students for the 2008 U.C. Davis Field School.

Archaeological Survey and Excavations in the Polar Arctic, University of California Davis, Northwest Greenland, 2006. As researcher, conducted a project for the National Science Foundation, National Geographic, and the Inglefieldland Polar Archaeology Expedition; U.C. Davis.

**Energy**

Phase II Evaluation of 19 Archaeological Sites for Soitec’s Tierra Del Sol Solar Project, San Diego County, California, 2012-2013. As principal investigator, oversaw and implemented significance evaluations, including fieldwork and documentation, under CEQA and San Diego County guidelines within the development footprint.

Phase II Evaluation of 42 Archaeological Sites for Soitec’s Rugged Solar Project, San Diego County, California, 2012-2013. As principal investigator, oversaw and implemented significance evaluations, including fieldwork and documentation, under CEQA and San Diego County guidelines within the development footprint.

Class III Cultural Resources Inventory for the Level 3 Fiber Optic Installation Project, Fort Irwin Army Reserve and BLM, San Bernardino County, California, 2012-2013. As Project manager and co-
principal investigator, oversaw and implemented cultural resource inventory of fiber optic corridor and
recordation and evaluation of contributing elements to the NRHP-eligible LADWP transmission line corridor.

Class III Cultural Resources Inventory for Soitec’s Fort Irwin Solar Project, San Bernardino County,
California, 2013. As project manager and co-principal investigator, oversaw and implemented cultural
resources inventory.

Third Party Compliance Monitoring for the Ocotillo Wind Energy Farm, Ocotillo, Imperial County,
California, 2012-2013. As principal investigator, oversaw and implemented compliance assistance to the
BLM to ensure adherence to mitigation measures and proper treatment of cultural resources.

Third Party Compliance Monitoring for the Tule Wind Project, San Diego County, California, 2012-
2013. As principal investigator, oversaw and implemented compliance assistance to the Bureau of Land
Management to ensure adherence to mitigation measures and proper treatment of cultural resources.

Third Party Compliance Monitoring for the East County Substation Project, San Diego County,
California, 2012-2013. As principal investigator, oversaw and implemented compliance assistance to the
BLM and California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to ensure adherence to mitigation measures and
proper treatment of cultural resources.

Third Party Compliance Monitoring for the Rio Mesa Solar Project, Riverside County, California,
2012-2013. As principal investigator, oversaw and implemented compliance assistance to the BLM to
ensure adherence to mitigation measures and proper treatment of cultural resources.

Phase II Archaeological Testing of One Historic Site for the Cool Valley Solar Project, RBF
Consulting, San Diego County, California. As project manager, supervised implementation of
archaeological testing of a historic airfield near Campo.

Phase II Archaeological Testing of Four Prehistoric Sites for the Gildred Solar Project, RBF
Consulting, San Diego County, California. As project manager, supervised implementation of
archaeological testing of four small prehistoric sites along the ancient Lake Cahuilla shoreline.

Phase II Archaeological Testing of One Prehistoric Site for the Borrego A and B Solar Projects, RBF
Consulting, San Diego County, California. As project manager, supervised implementation of
archaeological testing of a large prehistoric habitation site in the Imperial Valley.

Phase I Cultural Resources Inventories for the Sol Orchard and Sol Focus Solar Projects, RBF
Consulting, San Diego County, California. As project manager, supervised implementation of Phase I
CEQA inventories for more than 22 solar projects.

Class II Survey of 4,700 Acres for the Silurian Wind Project, Iberdrola Renewables, San Bernardino
County, California, 2011. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised Section 106
inventory of proposed renewable energy project.

Class III and Class II Cultural Resources Inventory for the Tule Wind Alternative Energy Project,
HDR Engineering for Iberdrola Renewables, San Diego County, California, 2010. As project manager
and principal investigator, supervised inventory of 6,000 acres and recordation of nearly 200
archaeological sites, and assisted the BLM in preparation of a programmatic agreement between Iberdrola
and the California State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).
Monitoring of the Installation of Meteorological (MET) Towers for the Tule Wind Project, HDR Engineering, San Diego County, California, 2010. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised archaeological and Native American monitors during MET tower installation in the Tule Wind project area.

Jamul Substation 6, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), Jamul, San Diego County, California, 2004. As field director, conducted an intensive pedestrian survey of 18 acres in Jamul for a proposed substation construction project. Identified and recorded two archaeological sites within the project area. Prepared the technical report. Coordinated with paleontology subcontractor and incorporated paleontology report into ASM’s archaeology technical report.

Path 15 Transmission Line Corridor, Steigers Corporation, San Joaquin Valley, Fresno and Merced Counties, California, 2004. As field director, supervised survey of over 87 miles of 400-foot transmission line corridor and over 46 miles of access roads in Merced and Fresno Counties. Supervised field crew, documented sites, coordinated with Native American representatives, coordinated access to survey areas, and prepared portions of technical report.

Carmel Valley Substation Survey, SDG&E, Carmel Valley, San Diego County, California, 2003. As field director, conducted a Phase I cultural resource inventory of a proposed power substation.

Federal
Ground-Penetrating Radar Survey and Class III Inventory for the Friendship Circle Project, Department of Homeland Security, Gulf South Research Corporation, San Diego County, California. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised and implemented a ground-penetrating radar survey and surface survey for the Friendship Circle project at Border Fields State Park, San Diego.

Healthcare
Kaiser Permanente Murrieta Valley Medical Center PEIR, City of Murrieta, California. Dr. Hale acted as Principal Investigator on the Kaiser Murrieta project, overseeing a Phase I cultural resources inventory and Phase II archaeological significance evaluation of one prehistoric resource. Dr. Hale assisted the City with Tribal communication and analysis of potential impacts to a viewshed considered sensitive by local Native Americans. All studies were completed to comply with CEQA guidelines in support of an EIR.

Military
Phase II Evaluation of 31 High Complexity Sites on Edwards Air Force Base, CH2M Hill/JT3, Kern and Los Angeles Counties, California, 2010. As project manager, oversaw Section 106 test excavations at 31 prehistoric archaeological sites.

Phase II Evaluation of 85 Archaeological Sites on Edwards Air Force Base, CH2M Hill/JT3, Kern and Los Angeles Counties, California, 2010. As project manager and principal investigator, supervised Section 106 test excavations at 42 prehistoric and 43 historic archaeological sites.

Western Acquisition Survey, Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center (MCAGCC) Twentynine Palms, San Bernardino County, California, 2010. As principal investigator, managed the survey of 10,000 acres on land administered by the BLM in Johnson Valley, west of the base. Duties included
project management, coordination with BLM Barstow field office and MCAGCC 29 Palms personnel, coordinating and supervising field crews, as well as document preparation.

**Management Plan for the Coso Rock Art National Historic Landmark (NHL), Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) China Lake, Inyo County, California, 2010.** As project manager, supervised and co-authored a management plan for the Coso Rock Art NHL, including arranging and implementing stakeholder meetings and field testing the implementation plan.

**Section 110 Intensive Archaeological Survey of the Cole Flat Training Area, NAWS China Lake, Inyo County, California, 2009.** As project manager and principal investigator, supervised the survey of 5,400 acres near the Coso Rock Art NHL.

**Phase I Survey of Selected Parcels in Five Training Areas, MCAGCC Twentynine Palms, San Bernardino County, California, 2009.** As project manager and principal investigator, supervised survey of 4,500 acres in the Blacktop, Lava, Lavic Lake, Sunshine Peak, and Quackenbush training areas.

**Phase I Survey of Aerial Maneuver Zones for the 53 AMZ Project, MCAGCC Twentynine Palms, California, 2009.** As project manager and principal investigator, supervised survey of 72 Aerial Maneuver Zones. Client Reference: Leslie Glover, MCAGCC 29 Palms, 760.830.5369.

**Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation for the Skaggs Island BRAC Disposal Archaeological Survey, Naval Communications Station, Sonoma County, California, 2011-2012.** As principal investigator, supervised survey of installation and recordation and evaluation of historic civilian and military resources.

**Phase I Survey of 8,100 Acres on Edwards Air Force Base, ACOE, Kern County, California, 2008–2009.** As principal investigator, supervised survey of 8,100 acres on Edward Air Force Base.

**Phase I and II Survey of 2,500 Acres and Evaluation of 50 Sites on Edwards Air Force Base, ACOE, Kern County, California, 2008.** As principal investigator, supervised survey of 2,500 acres and evaluation of 50 sites on Edward Air Force Base.

**Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation for the Concord Inland BRAC Disposal Archaeological Survey, Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach, Detachment Concord, Contra Costa County, California.** As principal investigator, supervised survey of 5,200 acres and recordation and evaluation of historic civilian and military resources, and prehistoric archaeological sites.

**Archaeological Evaluation of Eight Prehistoric Sites in the Emerson and Quackenbush Training Areas, ACOE, MCAGCC Twentynine Palms, San Bernardino County, California, 2005.** As field director, supervised excavation of eight prehistoric sites on the Marine Corps base in Twentynine Palms, California.

**Archaeological Evaluation of 22 Sites on Edwards Air Force Base, ACOE, San Bernardino County, California, 2005.** As field director, supervised the National Register evaluation of 22 sites at Edwards Air Force Base.

**Naval Base Point Loma Site Recordation, NAVFAC Southwest (SW), Point Loma, San Diego County, California, 2004.** As principal investigator and field director, supervised relocation of 33 sites
located on Naval Base Point Loma. Reviewed site documentation and re-recorded sites that were improperly documented by past surveys.

**Archaeological Testing of 23 Sites in the Las Pulgas Corridor, MCB Camp Pendleton Environmental Security, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, California, 2004.** As field director, supervised field crews for Phase II testing and mechanical coring of 23 sites on Camp Pendleton. Coordinated with coring contractor and base personnel. Documented sites in the field. Supervised field crews and prepared portions of technical report.

**Rose-Arizona, Clay, and Photo Drainage, and Road Improvement Surveys, NAVFAC SW, NALF San Clemente Island, Los Angeles County, California, 2004.** As field director, supervised archaeological surveys and the placement of protective signing on 750 sites. Coordinated access to the island and supervised one crew member.

**Remote Sensing, NAVFAC SW, NALF San Clemente Island, Los Angeles County, California, 2004.** As Global Positioning System (GPS) specialist, conducted data collection and image rectification for a remote sensing project in the detection of archaeological sites on the base. Supervised one crew member.

**MCB Camp Pendleton Burn Survey, MCB Camp Pendleton Environmental Security, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, California, 2002.** As field director, supervised an archaeological survey of 1,500 acres in the De Luz and Case Springs areas of Camp Pendleton. Managed field crews, documented archaeological sites, prepared site forms and portions of technical report.

**Survey of Yuma Stormwater Basin, NAVFAC SW, MCAS Yuma, Yuma County, Arizona, 2002.** As field director, supervised survey of stormwater basin along the Marine Corps airfield at MCAS Yuma. Managed field crew and prepared technical report. Client

**Archaeological Coring of SDI-811, MCB Camp Pendleton Environmental Security, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, California, 2002.** As field director, supervised first phase of a geologic coring project for a shell midden site along the coast of MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego County. Coordinated with coring contractor and base personnel. Managed field monitors and field crew.

**Archaeological Testing and Survey of the Lemon Tank Area, NAVFAC SW, NALF San Clemente Island, Los Angeles County, California, 2002.** Conducted excavations, survey, and site recording.

**Evaluation of Four Prehistoric Sites, Jones and Stokes Associates, Camp Roberts National Guard, San Luis Obispo County, California, 1998.** As field technician, conducted excavation in order to determine the boundaries of the site for further mitigation.

**Evaluation of Nine Prehistoric Sites, Edwards Air Force Base, San Bernardino County, California, 1999.** As field archaeologist, evaluated nine sites through excavation to determine overall sensitivity and value of the archaeological remains that characterize the region.

**Archaeological Survey and Excavation, ACOE, MCAGCC Twentynine Palms, San Bernardino County, California, 1998.** As field archaeologist, participated in nine field rotations averaging 10 days each. Conducted survey of portions of the Marine Corps base to determine the distribution of cultural materials, and subsequently excavate sites based on priority. This area is characterized as high desert with the typically associated flora and fauna and archaeological sites that range in age from Early to Late Holocene.
Resource Management
South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) EIR, County of Sacramento, California. Dr. Hale led the cultural resources effort on the South Sacramento HCP Project, including development of a long-term plan for analyzing cultural resources constraints and assisting multiple agencies in their tribal outreach obligations.

Archaeological Data Recovery Excavations at Border Fields State Park, California State Parks, Imperial Beach, San Diego County, California, 2005. As field director, supervised excavation of prehistoric sites located within the APE of a fence along the U.S.–Mexico Border in San Diego County. Prepared technical report.

Archaeological Salvage Excavations of Two Ollas in Hellhole Canyon, BLM, San Diego County, California, 2005. As principal investigator, relocated a cache of prehistoric ceramic artifacts uncovered during wildfires in San Diego County. Documented cache and collected artifacts for subsequent reconstruction in the ASM laboratory. Prepared technical report detailing project.

Archaeological Data Recovery Excavations at CA-SDI-16691, Jackson Pendo Development Company, Escondido, San Diego County, California, 2005. As principal investigator, supervised data recovery excavation at a Late Prehistoric site in Escondido, California.

El Cuervo Wetlands Mitigation, City of San Diego Land Development Review Department and Mitigation Monitoring Coordination, Carmel Valley, San Diego County, California, 2004. As co-principal investigator, supervised an archaeological monitoring project in central San Diego County, conducted test excavation of one site identified during monitoring. The site was evaluated as not significant. Prepared portions of technical report and supervised on-site monitor.

Milk Vetch Emergency, Imperial Irrigation District, Imperial County, California, 2002. As archaeological monitor, conducted emergency monitoring along transmission line corridor in Imperial County. Coordinated with IID and construction personnel. Prepared technical report.

Burial Salvage Excavations at the Carp Site, CA-MER-295, California Department of Parks and Recreation, Los Banos, Merced County, California, 1999. As field supervisor, directed excavations at CA-MER-295 in the central San Joaquin Valley in order to salvage cultural remains (including burials) from further destruction by the San Joaquin River.

Archaeological Survey of the Silver Lake Recreation Area, El Dorado Irrigation District, El Dorado County, California, 2006. As principal investigator and field director, supervised an archaeological survey of the Silver Lake Recreation area.

Transportation
Ortega Highway Monitoring, City of San Juan Capistrano, Orange County, California, 2013. As project manager, supervised Dudek’s principal investigator to coordinate archaeological, tribal, and paleontological mitigation monitoring associated with the construction of water conveyance facilities and road repairs.
Archaeological Testing and Ground Penetrating Radar Study of the Forester Creek Biological Mitigation Area, Caltrans District 11, Santee, San Diego County, California, 2005. As principal investigator and field director, supervised archaeological testing of a private parcel.

Bridge 230.6 Replacement, North County Transit District, Agua Hedionda, Carlsbad, San Diego County, California, 2004. As principal investigator and field director, managed an archaeological survey of an APE associated with the replacement of and historic railroad bridge. Recorded archaeological sites within APE and portions of technical report.

Little Lake Phase II Testing, Caltrans District 5, Little Lake, Inyo County, California, 2004. As field director, supervised Phase II testing of four sites including the ethnohistoric village of Pagunda near the town of Little Lake. Supervised field crews, coordinated fieldwork with Caltrans and subcontractors, and prepared portions of technical report.

Extended Phase I Testing, Caltrans District 05, Little Lake, Inyo County, California, 2003. As field director, supervised fieldwork for extended Phase I testing of one prehistoric site along U.S. Highway 395 in Inyo County. Prepared portions of technical report.

Cartago and Olancha Four-Lane Project Test Excavations, Caltrans District 05, Inyo County, California, 2002. As field director, supervised test excavations of 15 sites for the proposed widening of U.S. Highway 395 near Cartago and Olancha. Supervised all fieldwork and managed a team of 12 field archaeologists. Coordinated selected specialized studies, conducted ground stone analysis, and prepared large portions of the resulting 800+-page report.

Survey of Amtrak Second Mainline Right-of-Way, North County Transit District, Oceanside, San Diego County, California, 2002. As co-field director, managed an archaeological survey of 6.2 miles of North County Transportation District railroad right-of-way near San Onofre, California.

State Route 905 Survey, Caltrans District 11, San Diego County, California, 2002. As co-field director, conducted survey and recording of sites along the State Route 905 right-of-way in southern San Diego County. Documented three prehistoric sites within the proposed right-of-way. Created site maps and prepared site forms.

Evaluation of 11 Sites along U.S. 395, Caltrans District 05, Blackrock, Inyo County, California, 2000. As crew chief, managed 6-18 personnel, prepared paperwork and report. Made decisions surrounding site excavations in Owens Valley. Project included Phase II test excavation of numerous sites ranging in age from early to late Holocene.

Phase I Survey, Caltrans District 10, Stockton, San Joaquin County, California, 1997. As field archaeologist, conducted various survey and excavation projects for Caltrans throughout central California. Conducted survey and excavation, operated as a graduate student assistant to the District 10 archaeologist dealing with compliance issues, prepared site mapping and technical reports including Archaeological Survey Reports (ASR), Historic Properties Survey Reports (HPSR), and Negative Declarations.

Phase I Survey/TEA, Caltrans, Inyo and Mono Counties, California, 1996–1997. As field archaeologist, conducted survey of most major highways in Mono and Inyo Counties, California. Documented the distribution of all cultural material within the Caltrans right-of-way in order to determine impacts by road widening.
Tribal

Section 106 Mitigation Development and Tribal Consultation Assistance, BLM, San Diego County, California, 2011–2012. As project manager, assisted the BLM in development of Historic Properties Treatment Plan, Tribal Participation Plan, and other mitigation measures for the Tule Wind project, McCain Valley California.

Mitigative Screening, Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Palm Springs, Riverside County, California, 2003. As field director, supervised archaeological mitigation of an impacted burial site on the Agua Caliente Reservation. Prepared mapping of the project, coordinated field efforts with Tribal representatives, oversaw monitoring of the project, and prepared portions of the technical report.

Water/Wastewater

San Clemente Water Recycling Monitoring, City of San Clemente, Orange County, California, 2013. As project manager, supervised Dudek’s principal investigator to coordinate archaeological, tribal, and paleontological mitigation monitoring associated with the construction of a new water conveyance pipeline. Duties include preparation of a discovery and treatment plan.

Poseidon Resources Desalination Plant and Pipeline Monitoring, City of Carlsbad, San Diego County, California, 2013. As project manager, supervised Dudek’s principal investigator to coordinate archaeological, tribal, and paleontological mitigation monitoring associated with the construction of the desalination plant and a new water conveyance pipeline. Duties include preparation of a discovery and treatment plan and evaluation of archaeological discoveries.

Poseidon Resources Desalination Plant and Pipeline Wetland Mitigation Archaeological Evaluation, City of San Diego, San Diego County, California, 2013. As project manager and principal investigator, developed methods and strategies to evaluate archaeological deposits most likely related to the 1782 ethnohistoric Kumeyaay village of La Punta located within the wetland mitigation area. Project included geotechnical coring and backhoe exploration to locate and evaluate buried archaeological deposits. Duties included assistance provided to the USFWS for NAGPRA consultation and implementation.

Lee Lake Cultural Resources Inventory, Lee Lake Water District, Riverside County, California, 2013. As project manager, supervised Dudek’s principal investigator to coordinate and implement cultural resources inventory for the construction of a new pipeline and water storage facility.

Cultural Resources Monitoring for the City of Napa Levee Improvement Project, ACOE, Sacramento District, Sacramento, California, 2010-2011. As principal investigator, supervised archaeological monitoring requiring HAZWOPER certified archaeologists to treat historical archaeological discoveries for a levee and stormwater improvement project.

Data Recovery Excavations at the Ridge Hill Facilities Site (SDI-18472), Padre Dam Municipal Water District (PDMWD), San Diego County, California, 2009. As principal investigator, supervised data recovery of a complex late prehistoric habitation site.

San Clemente Canyon Survey, City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department, City of San Diego, San Diego County, California, 2004. As principal investigator and field director, supervised and conducted an intensive pedestrian survey of proposed access road maintenance for the San
Clemente Canyon sewer line. Two cultural resources were identified. Conducted site documentation, prepared sites forms and technical report. Managed survey crew member.

**Lake Murray Survey, City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department, La Mesa, San Diego County, California, 2003.** As field director, conducted survey of proposed trunk sewer replacement in La Mesa. Prepared portions of the technical report.

**Imperial Irrigation District’s Phase II Testing, Imperial Irrigation District, Imperial County, California, 2003.** As field director, supervised Phase II testing of eight sites in the Colorado Desert. Managed field crews, conducted test excavations, and prepared site documentation and portions of the technical report.

**Carmel Valley Archaeological Monitoring, City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department, Carmel Valley, San Diego County, California, 2002.** As field monitor for pre-trenching for placement of sewer line, conducted monitoring and wrote portions of technical report.

**EIR/EIS Preparation**

Dr. Hale currently assists in the preparation of technical descriptions and analyses for environmental impact statements and reports at the state and federal levels for Dudek projects. Examples of completed environmental sections include those prepared for the Yokohl Ranch, Rio Mesa Solar, Soitec Rugged and Tierra Del Sol Solar, SDG&E’s Wood to Steel project, and various others. More details are available upon request.

**Other Relevant Experience**

**Training**

≠ 2012 - Accounting and Finance for Non-Financial Managers, UCSD Rady School of Business Management
≠ 2010 - ESOP Planning and Management, UCSD Rady School of Business Management
≠ 2004 - Ground Penetrating Radar Field Methods and Interpretation Certificate
≠ 2002, 2010 - GPS Field Methods Training, ASC Scientific

**Teaching**

≠ 2008 - Assistant Professor, Archaeology, U.C. Davis
≠ 2008 - Instructor/ Principal Investigator, 2008 UC Davis Archaeology Field School, Vandenberg Air Force Base, California.
≠ 2005–2008 – Level III Teaching Assistant, U.C. Davis; taught discussion sections/ lectures for Human Evolution, Archaeology, and Human Ecology
≠ 1998–1999 – Acted as Public Education Coordinator for the Museum of Anthropology at UC Davis; included instructing a course teaching archaeology students how to inform the public about the value of anthropology through in-class presentations, exhibits, and the building of ‘teaching trunks’ for people in grades 1–12 of primary and secondary education
≠ 1997–1998 - Substitute teacher with an Emergency Credential in the Woodland and Davis Joint Unified School Districts for grades K–12, all subjects excluding foreign languages
≠ 1997–present – Regularly perform presentations about the value of archaeology in classrooms at the level of the grades 1–12
Publications

Selected Technical Reports

Hale, Micah J. 2010. “Limited Archaeological Excavations at SDI-4669 (SDM-W-12A).” In Advance of Geotechnical Coring, University House Rehabilitation Project, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California. Submitted to Ione Stiegler Architecture, La Jolla, California. Report on file at South Coastal Information Center, SDSU.


Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Replacement of Bridge 230.6 over Agua Hedionda Lagoon, San Diego County, California. Submitted to North County Transit District, San Diego County, California.

Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Gawle Property, San Diego County, California. Submitted to Helix Environmental for the City of San Diego.


Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Inventory for the San Clemente Canyon Trunk Sewer Maintenance and Access Routes, San Diego County, California. Submitted to Metropolitan Wastewater Department, City of San Diego, California.

Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Montezuma Trunk Sewer Replacement, San Diego County, California. Submitted to Metropolitan Wastewater Department, City of San Diego, California.

Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Oceanside Hotel EIR, San Diego County, California. Submitted to Dudek for the City of Oceanside, California.

Hale, Micah J. 2004. Emergency Test Excavations of an Exposed Olla, Riverside County, California. Submitted to BLM, Riverside County, California.

Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Monitoring for Geotechnical Coring Related to the All-American Canal Lining Project, Imperial County, California. Submitted to Imperial Irrigation District, Imperial County, California.

Hale, Micah J. 2004. Cultural Resources Monitoring of Geotechnical Coring Related to the Coachella Canal Lining Project, Riverside County, California. Submitted to Imperial Irrigation District, Riverside County, California.


Hale, Micah J. 2003. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Linda Vista Drive Re-Alignment Alternatives, City of San Marcos, California. Submitted to Nolte for the City of San Marcos.

Hale, Micah J. 2003. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Lake Murray Trunk Sewer Replacement, San Diego County, California. Submitted to the Metropolitan Wastewater Department, City of San Diego, California.


Hale, Micah, Brad Comeau, and Chad Willis. 2010. Class II and Class III Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Tule Wind Project, McCain Valley, San Diego County, California. Prepared for HDR Engineering Inc. Report on file at the South Coastal Information Center, SDSU.


Hale, Micah J., and Mark S. Becker. 2006. From the Coast to the Inland: Prehistoric Settlement Systems Along the Las Pulgas Corridor, Camp Pendleton, California. ASM Affiliates, Carlsbad, California. Submitted to Southwest Division of Naval Facilities.


Other Publications


Hale, Micah J., and Bruce Winterhalder. 2012. (in prep.) Discontinuous Sociocultural Evolution
Editorial Reviewer


Presentations


Hale, Micah J. 2011. *A 10,000 Year Old Habitation at the University House, La Jolla: Implications for Trans-Holocene Socioeconomic Stability in San Diego*. Presented at the 2011 Society for American Archaeology Meetings, Sacramento, California.


Awards/Commendations
≠ 2010 – NAVFAC SW, Camp Pendleton, Research Grant, $59,000
≠ 2008 – U.S. Air Force, Vandenberg AFB, Radiocarbon Grant, $25,000
≠ 2008 – Fieldwork Fellowship, Graduate Studies, UC Davis, $2,010
≠ 2007 – Fieldwork Fellowship, Graduate Studies, UC Davis, $1,800
≠ 2006 – Fieldwork Fellowship, Graduate Studies, UC Davis, $5,650
≠ 2005–2009 – Graduate Fee Fellowship/Stipend, UC Davis, $74,500

Clearances
≠ Department of Defense (DoD) High-Security Clearance for SPAWAR, Naval Base Point Loma, NALF San Clemente Island, Vandenberg Air Force Base, MCAGCC 29 Palms, Edwards Air Force Base, NAWS China Lake, Yuma Proving Grounds, and MCB Camp Pendleton
Brad Comeau
Archaeologist

Brad Comeau is an archaeologist with over 11 years’ experience as a principal investigator, field director, archaeological monitor, and laboratory technician. He has conducted numerous surveys, evaluation excavations, and data recoveries, primarily in Southern California. He has extensive experience in San Diego County, with additional experience in Riverside County, the Mojave Desert, San Joaquin Valley, and Imperial County, as well as Massachusetts, Arizona, and England. His research interests include the role of experimentation in archaeology, copper production techniques, and lithic production.

Project Experience

Energy

Archaeological Services for the McCoy Solar Energy Project, Blythe, Riverside County, California, 2014-Present. As Principal Investigator, oversaw and implemented compliance monitoring for construction of the solar field, including archaeological significance evaluations and mitigation, tribal coordination, and documentation, under CEQA, Riverside County guidelines, and Section 106 guidelines.

Imperial Solar Energy Center West, Tenaska Solar Ventures, Imperial County, California. As Principal Investigator, coordinated monitors and documented post-review discoveries of cultural resources during construction of a 150 MW solar generation facility (in progress).

Jacumba Solar Energy Project, NextEra, Jacumba, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directed Phase I, Extended Phase I, and Phase II studies of 304 acre project area; directed a crew of 2-4; coordinated with Tribal monitors; documented, treated, and repatriated human remains in accordance with State law; prepared letter report of Extended Phase I study; lead author of County format CEQA report; lead author of Section 106 ARMR-format report; performed lithic, ceramic, and faunal analysis.

Block 4N (North Encanto) Underground Utility District, City of San Diego Public Works Department, San Diego, California. As principal investigator, directed archaeological monitoring for the installation of underground utility lines; scheduled archaeological and Native American monitors; prepared monthly summaries; (in progress).

Desert Green Solar Project, Invenergy LLC, Borrego Springs, San Diego County, California. As principal Investigator, directed archaeological monitoring for a 50 acre, 5MW solar energy generation facility; scheduled archaeological and Native American monitors; directed excavation of newly discovery resources, including human remains; lead author of technical report.

EDUCATION
University of Sheffield
MS, Experimental Archaeology, 2012
University of Massachusetts, Amherst
BA, Anthropology, 2004
BA, Italian Studies, 2004

CERTIFICATIONS
Principal Investigator, Archaeology, State of Nevada
City of San Diego, Certified Archaeological Monitor, 2009

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS
Society for American Archaeology, 2012
Bath and Camerton Archaeological Society, 2012
Society for California Archaeology, 2008
Block 8B Sherman Heights Underground Utility District Archaeological Monitoring, City of San Diego Public Works Department, San Diego, California. As principal investigator, provided internal review of the construction monitoring report prepared by the archaeological subcontractor.

Kent South Solar Substation, Dashiell Corporation, County of Kings, California. As primary author, prepared archaeological and paleontological construction monitoring and inadvertent discovery work plan for construction of the substation.

Tierra del Sol LLC Project, Soitec, LLC, Tierra del Sol, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted pedestrian survey and evaluation of the 337-acre Gen-Tie portion of the solar project; directed crew between 2 and 4 people; prepared the Gen-Tie portion of the technical report; provided internal review and editing on entire report based on agency comments; prepared cost and scoping proposal for evaluation phase.

Rugged Solar Project, Soitec, LLC, Boulevard, San Diego County, California. Provided internal review and editing of the evaluation report based on agency comments for the evaluation of 39 archaeological sites.

LanWest Solar Farm Project, Soitec, LLC, Boulevard, San Diego County, California. Provided internal review and editing based on agency comments of a 231-acre survey report.

LanEast Solar Farm Project, Soitec, LLC, Boulevard, San Diego County, California. Provided internal review and editing based on agency comments of a 35-acre survey report.


San Jacinto Solar Project, NextEra, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, performed site visit and record search review of project area; prepared constraints analysis assessing the potential for sensitive cultural materials; directed Phase I pedestrian survey of 142 acre project area; prepared negative letter report of findings.

Tule Wind Cultural Resources Testing, HDR Inc., McCain Valley, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted eligibility testing for one prehistoric site, led a crew of four people, and assisted in producing an ARMR report of findings.

Occidental of Elk Hills Block Survey II, Occidental Petroleum, Taft, Kern County, California. As field director, conducted pedestrian survey of 2,560 acres in the Elk Hills Oil Field; led a crew of six people; prepared site forms and site descriptions for technical report.

Class III Cultural Resources Inventory, Occidental Petroleum, Taft, Kern County, California. As field director, conducted pedestrian survey of 2,560 acres in the Elk Hills Oil Field; led a crew of six people; performed records search at the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Bakersfield office; prepared site forms and site descriptions for technical report.

Five Well Pads Cultural Resources Survey, Occidental Petroleum, Kern County, California. As field director, led a crew of two people for a Class III pedestrian survey of 60 acres near McKittrick, California; performed the record searches at the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center and BLM Bakersfield office.
Vintage Kern Front Inventory, Vintage Production California LLC, Oildale, Kern County, California. As field director, led a crew of five people for a Class III pedestrian survey of 184 acres in the Kern Front Oil Field; prepared primary record.

Coso Geothermal Plant Road Survey, BLM, Inyo County, California. As field director, led a crew of 2 for a Class III pedestrian survey of proposed roads associated with a geothermal plant in southern Inyo County.

Gildred Solar Cultural Resources Survey, Gildred Building Company, Ocotillo Wells, San Diego County, California. As field director, led a crew of four for a Class III pedestrian survey of 440 acres; coordinated Native American monitor participation: assisted with preparation of ARMR technical report.

Silurian Valley West Cultural Resources Study, Iberdrola Renewables, Baker, San Bernardino County, California. As crew chief, led a crew of four for a Class II pedestrian survey of 440 acres within the project right-of-way; assisted the field director in organizing and scheduling two field crews; trained crew members in operation of Bluetooth-enabled laser range finder.

TL 637 Survey Santa Ysabel to Creelman, San Diego Gas & Electric, San Diego County, California. As archaeological monitor, performed pre-construction fielding study with engineers, biologists, and construction managers for an electrical transmission line pole replacement; located previously recorded sites; helped direct new pole locations to avoid site impacts.

East County Substation Survey, Insignia Environmental, Jacumba, San Diego County, California. As crew chief, conducted survey of linear electric transmission line; directed a crew of three people; recorded multiple prehistoric and multicomponent sites; prepared site forms and site descriptions for technical report of findings.

Sunrise Powerlink Evaluations, San Diego Gas & Electric, San Diego and Imperial Counties, California. As field director, conducted subsurface testing of 17 sites; directed a crew ranging from three to six people; helped organize laboratory artifact processing.

Devers–Palo Verde 2 Survey, Southern California Edison, Riverside County, California. As field director, conducted Class III intensive survey of selected portions of a transmission line area of potential effect (APE); relocated and updated previously recorded sites; identified and recorded new sites.

Colorado River Staging Yard Survey, Southern California Edison, Riverside County, California. As crew chief, conducted Class III pedestrian survey of the Colorado River Staging Yard for the Devers–Palo Verde 2 electric transmission line near Blythe; identified and recorded numerous World War II–era sites relating to the Desert Training Center; led a crew of two people.

Tule Wind Project Surveys, HDR Inc., McCain Valley, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted Class II and Class III intensive pedestrian surveys over 4,900 acres; coordinated multiple survey crews; scheduled and coordinated with Native American monitors; prepared site forms; co-author of ARMR-format report of findings.

Sunrise Powerlink Survey and Monitoring, San Diego Gas & Electric, San Diego and Imperial Counties, California. As crew chief, led survey crew of four people and two Native American monitors for Class III survey of project APE; coordinated with Native American monitors; created survey schedules in conjunction with the field director and right-of-way agents.
Development

Truckee High School Track and Field Improvements Project, Tahoe-Truckee Unified School district, Truckee, California. As Principal Investigator, directed Phase I inventory of QQ acre improvements to the high school track and field facilities and associated

Proctor Valley Village 14 and Preserve Project, Jackson Pendo Development Company, San Diego County, California. As Principal Investigator, directed Phase II evaluation of over 30 sites within the 640 acre project ADI; initiated archival research on historic-period sites; performed lithic, ceramic, and groundstone analysis; lead-author of combined Phase I and II County-formatted technical report.

Palm Avenue Distribution Project, IDS Real Estate Group, City of San Bernardino, California. As Principal Investigator, directed archaeological/paleontological monitoring for the construction of a warehouse facility on a 37 acre parcel; directed evaluation excavation of newly discovered prehistoric site; lead author of monitoring report.

North Eastern Sphere Annexation Area, Sargent Town Planning, Inc., Rancho Cucamonga, California. As Principal Investigator, directed Phase I inventory of 1500 acre parcel; co-author of technical report; performed field director duties for a portion of the survey.

Five Lagunas Project, Merlone Geier Management, LLC, City of Laguna Hills, California. As Principal Investigator, directed Phase I inventory of a 68 acre redevelopment project; prepared Phase I negative letter report documenting findings.

Yorba Avenue Industrial Project, Pacific Industrial, Inc., City of Chino, California. As co-Principal Investigator, managed cultural resource inventory for an 11 acre warehouse development project.

888 N. Sepulveda Blvd. Specific Plan Project, El Segundo, California. As Principal Investigator, coordinated Native American monitors during ground disturbing activities for the construction of a 5-story hotel; prepared a monitoring report in compliance with CEQA and the mitigation measures adopted for the project.

Mira Loma Commerce Center, Western Realco, Jarupa Valley, Riverside County, California. As Principal Investigator, directing cultural and paleontological monitoring for the construction of two commercial buildings on 31 acres; coordinated with Tribal monitors; lead author of technical report.

SCE Bishop Service Center, Elements Architecture, City of Bishop, Inyo County, California. As principal investigator, conducted a Phase I pedestrian survey of a 20 acre parcel; performed records search; prepared site forms and ARMR-format technical report in accordance with CEQA; directed archaeological and Native American monitoring of construction grading; directed additional survey for off-site improvements; prepared revised ARMR-format technical report for Caltrans.

Winchester 1800 Project, Van Daele Development Corporation, French Valley, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, directed a Phase I pedestrian survey for a 40 acre residential subdivision; primary author of ARMR-format technical report in accordance with County guidelines.

Lone Oak Road Project, Hunsaker & Associates, San Diego, Inc., San Diego County, California. As Principal Investigator, directed a Phase I cultural resource inventory for a 14 acre residential subdivision development; coordinated with Native American subcontractor; prepared negative letter report.
Newland Sierra Project, Newland Sierra, LLC, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directing Phase III data recovery of three archaeological sites, including re-analysis of existing collections (in progress).

Alessandro Business Park Project, Western Realco, City of Riverside, Riverside County, California. As primary author, prepared archaeological monitoring report, including discovery evaluation results for seven new archaeological sites. Prepared DPR forms.

The Vineyard, Van Daele Development Corporation, Temecula, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, directed archaeological monitoring for construction of a 25 acre residential development; prepared a monitoring and unanticipated discoveries work plan; prepared negative monitoring letter report.

Shearwater Creek Project, City of Temecula, Temecula, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, performed all aspects of a Phase 1 cultural resource study for a 7 acre residential development project; performed pedestrian survey; coordinated with Native American monitors and Tribal representative in regards to a sacred resource in the project area; primary author of the ARMR-format technical report.

Arbor Vista Cluster Residential Project, City of Temecula, Temecula, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, conducted all aspects of a Phase I pedestrian survey for archaeological and paleontological resources for a 72-acre parcel; directed a crew of two people; primary author ARMR-format technical report of findings, including summation of paleontological resources.

Navy Federal Credit Union Project, City of Temecula, Temecula, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, conducted Phase I pedestrian survey for archaeological and paleontological resources; lead author of ARMR-format report; prepared all archaeological portions of technical report and contributed to the paleontological portions; performed background research into historic context of the project area, incorporating results into the report.

Artesian Road Project, The Harwood Group, Rancho Santa Fe, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directed a Phase I cultural resource study for a 25 acre residential project; coordinated field crew schedule and tribal monitor; primary author of ARMR-format report according to County guidelines; performed background research into historic context of the project area, incorporating results into the report.

Martin Residence Project, HAA Architects, Carlsbad, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, performed all aspects of a Phase I cultural resource study for a 1 acre residential development project within a known archaeological site; instructed staff and provided quality control oversight in the preparation of the ARMR-format technical report.

St. John Garabed Church Project, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted site examinations and limited shovel test pit excavation for an Extended Phase 1 survey; directed a crew of two people; prepared a letter report of findings.

Rhodes Crossing Update, Rhodes Properties, San Diego, California. As field director, led a crew of two people for a Class III pedestrian survey of 88 acres; coordinated Native American monitor participation; assisted with preparation of Archaeological Resource Management Report (ARMR).
Palomar Station Project Survey, Integral Communities Inc., San Marcos, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted Class III pedestrian survey of 14.5-acre parcel and prepared ARMR technical report of findings.

Gregory Canyon Landfill Environmental Impact Statement PHI Assessments, PCR Services Corporation, Pala, San Diego, California. As field director, conducted pedestrian survey of proposed landfill; relocated and verified previously recorded sites; led a crew of four people; coordinated with Native American monitors; prepared site forms and site descriptions for ARMR report.

Robertson Ranch East Excavation, The Corky McMillin Companies, Carlsbad, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted controlled grading of two prehistoric sites that required directing excavation activities of multiple types of heavy machinery; led excavation of numerous roasting pit features by a crew of up to 20 people; instructed crew in carbon-14, thermoluminescence, and soil floatation sampling techniques.

Sky Ranch Monitoring, Lennar, Santee, San Diego County, California. As archaeological monitor, monitored mass grading activities for construction of a subdivision.

Sky Ranch Data Recovery, Lennar, Santee, San Diego County, California. As crew chief, conducted data recovery excavation of two prehistoric sites; led a crew of up to eight staff; drew site maps and unit profiles; collected carbon-14 and soil floatation samples.

4S Ranch Data Recovery, 4S Ranch Company, Rancho Bernardo, San Diego County, California. As field technician and crew chief, conducted Phase III data recovery of a large Late Prehistoric site; excavated numerous hearth features; drew site maps and unit profiles; created a site grid for unit placement; collected carbon-14 and soil floatation samples.

Atlas Monitoring and Excavation, D. R. Horton, San Diego County, California. As archaeological monitor, monitored building/subterranean parking structure excavation; excavated historic deposits.

The Rock Academy Monitoring, The Rock Church, San Diego, California. As archaeological monitor, monitored building foundation excavation, trenching, and building demolition.

Otay Business Park Project, Paragon Management Company, LLC, San Diego County, California. As field technician, excavated 10 prehistoric and multi-component sites as part of a Phase II evaluation project.

Vantage Point, Point of View Monitoring LLC, San Diego County, California. As archaeological and paleontological monitor, monitored excavation, drilling, and other construction activities during the excavation of a subterranean parking garage and building footings. Recorded and collected artifacts and marine fossils.

Audie Murphy Ranch Monitoring, Woodside Homes, Sun City, Riverside County, California. As archaeological monitor, monitored controlled grading of five sites in collaboration with Native American monitors; excavated hearth features; monitored construction grading.

Roberston Ranch Data Recovery, The Corky McMillin Companies, Carlsbad, San Diego County, California. As field technician, excavated four prehistoric sites as part of a data recovery program, including test unit excavation, wet screening, drawing and photographing profiles, excavating hearth and pit features, and artifact sorting.
LaPozz No. 5 Lode Evaluation, Enviroscientists, Indian Wells Valley, Kern County, California. As field director, led a crew of four people for an evaluation testing program of three prehistoric sites; prepared site form updates and site testing results for the ARMR technical report.

Faraday Data Recovery, Carlsbad, San Diego County, California. As field technician, excavated five prehistoric sites as part of a data-recovery program, including test unit excavation, drawing profiles, wet screening, and sorting artifacts.

**Education**

Academy of Our Lady of Peace Parking Garage Project, T.B. Penick & Sons, Inc., San Diego, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directed archaeological and Native American monitoring for construction of a new parking garage; conducted evaluation excavation of a newly discovered historic deposit; directed laboratory analysis; lead author of technical report; coordinated paleontological monitoring subcontractor.

San Elijo Hills K-9th Grade Campus Project, San Marcos Unified School District, San Marcos, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, conducted all aspects of a Phase I pedestrian survey for a 36-acre school; prepared letter report summarizing findings.

Palomar College 7 Building Historic Evaluation, Palomar Community College District, San Marcos, San Diego County, California. As Global Positioning System (GPS) technician and photographer, assisted architectural historians in recording potentially historic buildings; photographed and recorded buildings with Ricoh digital camera, range finder, and Trimble GeoXH GPS.

University House Excavation, University of California, San Diego, San Diego County, California. As crew chief, conducted Phase II test excavation using wet screening; led a crew of five people.


Desert Sands Unified School District (DSUSD) High School Monitoring, DSUSD, Indio, Riverside County, California. As archaeological monitor, monitored grading for construction of a new high school and related facilities.

Maranatha Excavation, Maranatha Christian School, Rancho Bernardo, San Diego County, California. As field technician, excavated test units for a Phase III data recovery of an archaic period site; drew unit profiles; sorted artifacts.

**Federal**

Bunker Hill Survey, GSR Corporation, Imperial Beach, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted Class III pedestrian survey of a road improvement and fence construction covering 7.6 acres for the border fence; directed a crew of two people; recorded a previously identified site for a future nomination to the National Register of Historic Places; prepared site form update; prepared ARMR technical report of findings.

Imperial County Drill Sites Survey, United States Geological Survey, Imperial County, California. As field director, conducted survey of two water well drilling sites; coordinated U.S. Border Patrol escort; prepared ARMR technical report of findings.
BLM Western Expansion Survey, TEC Environmental, Johnson Valley, San Bernardino County, California. As crew chief, surveyed various locations throughout the BLM Johnson Valley off-highway vehicle area; identified and recorded new sites; coordinated survey schedule with the field director.

Border Fence Project Survey and Monitoring, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Diego County, California, and Pima, Santa Cruz and Cochise Counties, Arizona. As archaeological monitor, monitored construction of the U.S./Mexico border fence; surveyed locations of proposed construction activity; mapped new archaeological sites; directed construction activities away from archaeological resources.

Military

Fort Irwin Solar Project, Soitec LLC, Fort Irwin, San Bernardino County, California. As principal investigator, directed pedestrian survey of 12 acres for a proposed solar generation facility; also prepared the technical report.

Level 3 Powerline Road Fiber-Optic Project, HP Communications Inc., Fort Irwin, San Bernardino County, California. As principal investigator, conducted intensive pedestrian survey of approximately 10 acres; also prepared the ARMR technical report of findings.

Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) Road Survey, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Southwest, Ridgecrest, Inyo, San Bernardino, and Kern Counties, California. As field director, conducted Class III pedestrian survey of approximately 129 miles of existing roads; led a crew of four people; scheduled and coordinated with Explosive Ordnance Disposal escorts; prepared ARMR technical report of findings.

NAWS Fiber-Optic Survey, Epsilon Systems Solutions, Ridgecrest, San Bernardino County California. As crew chief, conducted Class III pedestrian survey for a proposed fiber-optic line; led a crew of two people; assisted the field director with scheduling.

Delivery Order (DO) 30 Survey, NAVFAC Southwest, Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center (MCAGCC) Twentynine Palms, San Bernardino County, California. As crew chief, surveyed numerous proposed landing zones throughout MCAGCC; coordinated scheduling/training area access with the field director; prepared site forms and site descriptions for ARMR report.

53 Aerial Maneuver Zone (AMZ) Survey, NAVFAC Southwest, MCAGCC Twentynine Palms, San Bernardino County, California. As crew chief, surveyed numerous proposed landing zones throughout MCAGCC Twentynine Palms; coordinated scheduling/training area access with the field director; prepared site forms and site descriptions for ARMR report.

Southwest Division (SWDIV)-04/DO 27 Survey, NAWS China Lake, NAVFAC Southwest, Ridgecrest, Inyo County, California. As field technician, participated in a Class III intensive survey under Section 106 of National Historic Preservation Act; operated a Trimble GeoXH for navigation and site recording.

Resource Management

Dry Canyon Munition Response and Remediation. As Principal Investigator, directed archaeological monitoring for unexploded ordinance (UXO) sampling and remediation; prepared site forms for updated and newly discovered sites and isolates; prepared ARMR-formatted technical report for the USACE.
Ground Penetrating Radar Study at the Vista Canyon Project, Santa Clarita, California. Conducting a GRP survey of the Mitchell Family Cemetery (in progress).

St Algar’s Farm Geochemical Testing, English Heritage, Frome, Somerset, United Kingdom. As student volunteer, helped perform a pXRF field survey of a Roman-era glass and metalworking site; excavated a 5-by-5-meter trench.

**Transportation**

San Onofre to Pulgas Double Track Project, PGH Wong Engineering, Inc., San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directing cultural, paleontological, and Native American monitoring of installation of second railroad track through Camp Pendleton; prepared monitoring and inadvertent discovery work plan; attended weekly construction meetings; preparing weekly monitoring schedules for all monitors, including multiple Native American Tribes; conducted evaluation excavations for two new discoveries identified during monitoring; prepared letter report summarizing discovery evaluations (in progress).

Ortega Interchange Project, RBF Consulting, San Juan Capistrano, Orange County, California. As principal investigator, directed archaeological and Native American monitoring for construction of a freeway interchange; prepared letter report of findings (in prep).

**Water/Wastewater**

Cultural Resource Inventory for the Barrett Reservoir, City of San Diego Public Utilities Department, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directed a Phase I archaeological survey of lands recently exposed within the high-water line of the lake due to water level draw down; documented over 30 new archaeological sites; lead author of ARMR-format survey report, including recommendations to treat and prevent on-going impacts to the sites, including looting; collected selected surface artifacts potentially at risk of looting; coordinated Native American monitor.

Little Lake MDP Line B, Stage 1 Project, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, directing archaeological and Native American monitoring for a new underground pipeline (in progress).

Tijuana River Valley Channel Maintenance, City of San Diego, San Diego County, California. Assumed responsibility of principal investigator during project implementation from another contractor; coordinated archaeological and Native American monitoring; prepared negative monitoring report; prepared budget for services.

Cultural Resource Inventory for the Morena Reservoir, City of San Diego Public Utilities Department, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, directed a Phase I archaeological survey of lands recently exposed within the high-water line of the lake due to water level draw down; documented 27 new archaeological sites; lead author of ARMR-format survey report, including recommendations to treat and prevent on-going impacts to the sites, including looting; collected selected surface artifacts potentially at risk of looting; coordinated archaeological subcontractor and Native American monitor; presented findings to City and County Parks representatives to institute actions to prevent looting.
Bear River Restoration at Rollins Reservoir Project, Nevada Irrigation District, Nevada and Placer Counties, California. As contributing author, prepared ARMR-format report for 75 acre Phase I pedestrian survey for compliance with CEQA and Section 106 of the NHPA.

Huntington Beach Beach Blvd. Sewer Improvements Project, Civil Source, Huntington Beach, Orange County, California. As principal investigator, directed archeological and Native American monitoring for the installation of a 1 mile sewer line; prepared letter report of findings.

Plano Force Main Project, Santa Margarita Wastewater District, City of Rancho Santa Margarita, Orange County, California. As principal investigator, prepared a constraints analysis for the relocation of an existing force main; reviewed records search results and contacted Native American tribes to assess the potential for cultural resources in the project area; prepared a letter report of findings and recommendations.

Clay Canyon Sewer Pipeline Project, Lee Lake Water District, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, directed a Phase I pedestrian survey for a 200 ft. pipeline installation; prepared letter report of findings.

Recycled Water MNDs, El Toro Water District, Orange County, California. As principal investigator, directed cultural and paleontological monitoring of a water pipeline installation project; coordinated field monitor; prepared technical report.

Water Recycling Monitoring, San Clemente Water District, San Clemente, Orange County, California. As principal investigator, directed cultural and paleontological monitoring of a water pipeline installation project; coordinated field monitor; prepared technical report.

Carlsbad Desal Plant Project, Poseidon Resources, Carlsbad, California. As principal investigator, directed cultural and paleontological monitoring for the water pipeline portion of the project; coordinated and scheduled archaeological and Native American monitors; providing oversight and coordination for paleontological monitoring subcontractor; prepared letter report for Plant portion of the project; performed Phase I inventory for the Intake/Discharge modification, including preparation of negative letter report.

Newhall County Water District Sewer Relocation Project, Alliance Engineering, Santa Clarita, Los Angeles County, California. As principal investigator, directed a Phase I pedestrian survey of 13.4 acre sewer line project; prepared ARMR-format report in compliance with CEQA and Section 106 of the NHPA; prepared DPR site record updates.

30” ETM Replacement at San Juan Creek, Moulton Niguel Water District, San Juan Capistrano, Orange County, California. As principal investigator, prepared a constraints analysis for water main installation project; prepared a records search review and tribal outreach to assess the potential for cultural resources; prepared a letter report of findings.

Poseidon Wetland Mitigation Project, Poseidon Resources, Inc., Imperial Beach, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, conducted all aspects of a Phase II evaluation of three prehistoric archaeological sites; performed ceramic analysis for report; prepared technical report of findings as lead author.

Buena Vista Creek Enhancement Project, City of Vista, Vista, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, conducted all aspects of a Phase I pedestrian survey for archaeological resources; prepared technical report of findings.
Construction Monitoring for the Pipeline 3 Desalination Relining and Pipeline 4 Vent Modifications Project, San Diego County Water Authority, San Diego County, California. As principal investigator, conducted all aspects of a Phase I pedestrian survey for archaeological resources; prepared letter reports summarizing findings of each project component.

MWD Upper Newport Backbay EIR, Metropolitan Water District, Newport Beach, Orange County, California. Requested and reviewed records search for the project area for inclusion in the project EIR.

Wastewater Pipeline Improvement Project, City of South Pasadena, Los Angeles County, California. As principal investigator, conducted all aspects of a constraints analysis for a City-wide pipeline rehabilitation and replacement project; performed a limited pedestrian reconnaissance of selected pipeline segments; prepared letter report of findings.

Temescal Canyon and Dawson Canyon Pipelines and Non-Potable Water Tank Project, Lee Lake Water District, Riverside County, California. As principal investigator, performed Phase I intensive pedestrian survey of the project APE; also prepared letter report of findings.

Padre Dam Data Recovery, Padre Dam Municipal Water District, Lakeside, San Diego County, California. As field director, conducted a data recovery project of a late prehistoric site using wet screening; led a crew of six; coordinated with Native American monitors; performed shell and ceramic lab analysis studies.

Training/Continuing Education


Publications

Professional Presentations


**Technical Reports**


2014 Cultural Resources Monitoring Report for the Alessandro Business Park Project, City of Riverside, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA, Nicholas Hanten, Joshua D. Dunn, MA, RPA, and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA.

2014 Archaeological Monitoring and Unanticipated Discovery Treatment Plan for The Vineyard Project, City of Temecula, Riverside County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA, and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Matt Peters, City of Temecula (in progress).

2014 Cultural and Paleontological Resources Survey Report for the Sheanwater Creek Project, City of Temecula, Riverside County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD RPA. Submitted to Matt Peters, City of Temecula

2014 (Draft) Cultural Resource Monitoring and Discovery Plan for the Kent South Solar Substation, Kings County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Dashiell Corporation.


2014 Cultural Resources Report for the Artesian Road Project, San Diego County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Doug Harwood, The Harwood Group.

2013 (Draft) Cultural Resources Evaluation for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Otay River Estuary Restoration Project, Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA, Nicholas Hanten, Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA, Matt Maxfeldt, and Adam Giacinto, MA, RPA. Submitted to Nick Valentine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

2013 Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Newhall County Water District Sewer Relocation Project, Santa Clarita, Los Angeles County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Craig Whittaker, Alliance Engineering.

2013 Archaeological and Paleontological Monitoring and Unanticipated Discovery Treatment Plan for the San Onofre-Las Pulgas Double Track Project, Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, California. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Prepared for PGH Simon Wong Engineering, Inc.

2013 Cultural Resources Survey Letter Report for the Construction Monitoring for the Pipeline 3 Desalination Relining and Pipeline 4 Vent Modifications Project. Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA, and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA.
2013 *Archaeological Survey and Evaluation for the Tierra del Sol LLC Project, San Diego County, California.* James T. Daniels, MA, RPA, Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA, Brad E. Comeau, MSc, and Adam Giacinto, MA, RPA.

2013 *Negative Cultural Resources Letter Report for the Buena Vista Creek Enhancement Project.* Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Tim Shell, City of Vista.

2013 *Cultural and Paleontological Resources Survey Report for the Arbor Vista Cluster Residential Project, City of Temecula, Riverside County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Matt Peters, City of Temecula.

2013 *Cultural and Paleontological Survey Report for the Navy Federal Credit Union Project, City of Temecula, Riverside County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA, Dylan Duvergé, MS, and David Stone, MA, RPA. Submitted to Kenneth Taylor, City of Temecula.


2013 *Negative Cultural Resources Letter Report for the San Elijo Hills K-8th Grade Campus Project, San Marcos, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA.

2013 *Archaeological Survey Report for the Level 3 Powerline Road Fiber Optic Project, San Bernardino County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, RPA and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA.

2013 *Archaeological Survey Report for the Construction and Operation of a Concentrated Photovoltaic Facility, Fort Irwin, San Bernardino County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, and Micah J. Hale, PhD, RPA. Submitted to Brantley Jackson, Fort Irwin.

2013 *Draft Archaeological Survey Report for the Fort Irwin Solar Project, Fort Irwin, San Bernardino County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, and Micah Hale, PhD, RPA.

2012 *Results of Extended Phase 1 Shovel Probing at Potentially Sensitive Archaeological Sites for the Jacumba Solar Project, San Diego County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, and Micah Hale, PhD, RPA.

2012 *Cultural Resources Report for the Extended Phase I Survey for the St. John Garabed Church Project, San Diego County, California.* Brad Comeau, MSc, and Micah Hale, PhD, RPA.

2012 *Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Lee Lake Water District Dawson Canyon Non-potable Water Storage Tank and Pipeline Design Project, Riverside County, California.* Brad Comeau, BA, and Micah Hale, PhD, RPA.

2011 *Class III Archaeological Inventory of 2,560 Acres Comprised of the Entire Sections of 10Z, 14D, 20B, 28B, 32G, Elk Hills, Kern County, California.* David Whitley, PhD, RPA; and Brad Comeau, BA; and Michelle Dalope, BA.

2011 *An Archaeological Evaluation of KER-7290, KER-7293 and KER-7294 for the LaPozz No. 5 Lode Claim (CAMC286149), Indian Wells Valley, Kern County, California.* Mark S. Becker, PhD, RPA; Brad Comeau, BA; and Tony Quach, BA.
2011 Cultural Resources Inventory for the Gildred Solar Project, San Diego County, California. Chad Willis, MA, RPA; Micah Hale, PhD, RPA; and Brad Comeau, BA.

2011 Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Rhodes Crossing Project, San Diego County, California. Chad Willis, MA, RPA; Micah Hale, PhD, RPA; and Brad Comeau, BA.

2011 Class II Cultural Resources Inventory for the Silurian Wind Project, Silurian Valley, San Bernardino County, California. Diane Winslow, MA, RPA; Micah Hale, PhD, RPA; Sherri Andrews, MA, RPA; and Brad Comeau, BA.

2011 An Archaeological Inventory of Historic and Contemporary Roads at Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, Inyo, Kern, and San Bernardino Counties, California. Brad Comeau, BA; Mark A. Giambastiani, PhD, RPA; and Oliver Patsch, BA.

2011 Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Palomar Station Project, San Marcos, San Diego County, California. Brad Comeau, BA, and Micah Hale, PhD, RPA.

2011 An Archaeological Survey of Bunker Hill in Border Field State Park, San Diego County, California. Brad Comeau, BA, Scott Wolf, BA, and Micah Hale, PhD, RPA.

2010 Archaeological Survey Report for the Imperial County Drill Sites Project, Imperial County, California. Brad Comeau, BA, and Jerry Schafer, PhD, RPA.

2010 Class II and Class III Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Tule Wind Project, McCain Valley, San Diego County, California. Micah Hale, PhD, RPA; Brad Comeau, BA; and Chad Willis, MA.


2009 Data Recovery Excavations at CA-SDI-18472 for the Proposed Padre Dam Municipal Water District Secondary Connection Project (Ridge Hill Facilities), Johnstown, San Diego County, California. Micah Hale, PhD, RPA, with contributions by Brad Comeau and Aaron Sasson.

Master’s Dissertation
2012 Investigating Metallurgical Practice: An Experimental Study of the Sintashta Well-Tunnel-Furnace (WTF) from the Middle Bronze Age, Siberia, Russia. University of Sheffield.

Volunteer History
2012 Student Placement, English Heritage, Portsmouth, United Kingdom.

Awards/Commendations
1999–2003 Francis Ouimet Scholar

Relevant Previous Experience
≠ 2012–present Archaeologist, Dudek, Encinitas, California
≠ 2009–2011 Associate Archaeologist, ASM Affiliates Inc., Carlsbad, California
≠ 2008–2009 Archaeological Monitor, E²m, Denver, Colorado
≠ 2008 Archaeological Monitor/Field Technician, URS Corporation, San Diego, California
≠ 2005–2008 Field Supervisor, Brian F. Smith and Associates, Poway, California
≠ 2003–2004 Field/Lab Technician, University of Massachusetts Archaeological Services, Amherst, Massachusetts
≠ 2003 Field School in Archaeology, University of Massachusetts Amherst/Great Barrington, Massachusetts.
Adriane Dorrler  
Archaeologist

Ms. Dorrler is a field archaeologist with over 14 years’ experience in cultural resource management specializing in cultural resource studies with private, state, and federal regulatory agencies including National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Sections 106 and 110 and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance extending primarily throughout Southern California. Ms. Dorrler has worked directly with Bureau of Land Management, the California Public Utilities Commission, California State Parks, and various military installations including the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center at Twentynine Palms, Marine Corps Base (MCB) Camp Pendleton, Naval Base Coronado, and Navy Installation San Clemente Island. She has experience in all aspects of project development from initial research, planning, and development to interpreting and synthesizing data in technical reports. Ms. Dorrler has acted as project manager and field director on complex data recovery programs, managed multiple archaeology laboratories, worked as liaison between Native American tribes and clients, and engaged in education and public outreach programs. In addition to Southern California, Ms. Dorrler has worked as a consulting archaeologist in the southwestern United States, the Mid-Atlantic region, and New England.

Project Experience

Development

Cannon Road, Caruso Affiliated, City of Carlsbad, San Diego County, California. Served as field director for a cultural resources constraints study of a 203-acre property for a proposed commercial retail center and open space easement in the City of Carlsbad. Conducted an intensive-level cultural resources survey.

Solana Highlands Revitalization, City of Solana Beach, San Diego County, California. Served as staff archaeologist during the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for a proposed 13.4-acre multifamily residential development with usable open space in Solana Beach. Authored Tribal Information Request letters in accordance with CEQA guidelines.

Murrieta 180, City of Murrieta, California. Served as field director for archaeological survey of a 10.9-acre property for a proposed multi-family residential development in Murrieta. Conducted a Phase I cultural resources inventory including a pedestrian survey and records search review of the California Historical Resources Information System.

Homestead South Cultural Resources, Newhall Land and Farming Company, Unincorporated Los Angeles County, California. Served as field director for archaeological survey of approximately 4,000-acre subdivision directly adjacent to the City of Santa Clarita. Conducted an intensive-level cultural resources survey.
Sand Canyon Plaza, JSB Development, City of Santa Clarita, California. Served as staff archaeologist during a Phase I cultural resources inventory for a proposed commercial and residential planning development in Santa Clarita. Performed a records search review of the California Historical Resources Information System.

Newland Sierra, Newland Land Co., San Diego, California. Served as staff archaeologist for the Phase I cultural resources inventory and Phase II significance evaluation of 1,983 acres of a proposed residential development within the North County Metro Subregion. Conducted a pedestrian survey, performed a records search review of the California Historical Resources Information System, and was a contributing author in the technical report.

As-Needed Environmental Planning Consultant Support Services, City of San Diego, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor for underground conduit system installation in the neighborhood of Encanto. Tasks include environmental compliance monitoring.

Open Menu Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Contract for Cultural Resources Related Services, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest (NAVFAC SW), various locations in California, Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah. Served as project manager, field director, health and safety officer, crew chief, and archaeological monitor and supported the undertakings of NAVFAC SW for new construction, ongoing maintenance, and repair projects by conducting cultural resources oversight for various projects throughout the Naval Southwest Division. Tasks included archaeological surveys, construction monitoring, National Register eligibility evaluations, mitigation programs, geographic information system (GIS) support, cultural resource/base support, and development of cultural/landscape contexts. (Approximate contract value: $3,000,000). Examples of projects include:

≠ P-1040 – Wire Mountain Road/Vandegrift Boulevard Intersection Improvements, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego, California
≠ P-1014 – Northern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego, California
≠ P-1048 – Upgrades to Electrical Systems and Associated Facilities, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego, California
≠ Wilcox Range – Archaeological Monitoring to Support the Wilcox Range Ditch Drainage Clearance, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego, California
≠ P-310 – Archaeological Monitoring to Support the Small Arms Magazine, Edson Range P-310 Construction, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego, California
≠ San Clemente Island – Site Recording Only of Archaeological Sites on Northern San Clemente Island, San Clemente, California
≠ Silver Strand Training Complex – National Register Eligibility Determinations for Three Prehistoric Sites, Silver Strand Training Complex South Naval Base, Coronado, California
≠ Cultural Resource Investigation at CA-SDI-14791, MCB Camp Pendleton, San Diego, California

Paleontological Monitoring for the Carmel Valley Skate Facility Project, San Diego County, California. Served as paleontological monitor for the 13,500-square-foot facility excavation.
Cultural Resources Evaluation for Rancho Jamul Estates, Rancho Jamul Estates, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for surveying and testing for 20 historic and prehistoric resources for an approximately 400-acre development project in Jamul, California. Recorded and tested prehistoric and historic resources for significance and eligibility to local and state registers. Assisted in preparation and data analysis of technical report.

Cultural Resource Mitigation for Robertson Ranch, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for data recovery, controlled grading, and mass grading phases for an approximately 400-acre development project in Carlsbad, California. Assisted in preparation and data analysis of technical report.

Centre City Development Corporation Downtown San Diego Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Program, Centre City Development Corporation, San Diego, California. Served as field director/archaeology and paleontology monitor for numerous commercial projects in downtown San Diego subject to the Centre City Development Corporation mitigation measures and mitigation monitoring requirements. Examples of projects include:

≠ The Q Project 
≠ Lofts @ 707 10th Avenue Project 
≠ South Block Lofts Project 
≠ Vista Colina Project 
≠ 6th and Market Project 
≠ Carnation Building/Icon LLC Project 
≠ Electra Project 
≠ Park Terrace Project 
≠ Pointe of View Project 
≠ Vantage Pointe Project 
≠ West Park Project 
≠ Q Street Lofts Project 
≠ The Mark

Paleontological Monitoring for the Glen Abbey Mortuary Project, San Diego County, California. Served as paleontological monitor for utility trenching and construction excavation in Chula Vista, California.

Cultural and Paleontological Resource Monitoring for the Towne Center Industrial Plaza Project, Imperial County, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor for the mass grading and utility trenching of 125 acres of commercial/industrial land in Calexico, California.

Cultural Resource Survey for the Ketchum Ranch Project, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for field survey and eligibility review for prehistoric and historic sites for an approximately 208-acre development project in Jacumba, California.

Cultural Resource Survey for the Yuma Sector Project, BLM, Yuma County, Arizona. Served as archaeology crew chief responsible for in field survey and National Register eligibility review for ten prehistoric sites and three historic objects.

Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Otay Business Park Project, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for surveying and testing programs for an approximately 160-acre development project in Otay Mesa, California. Recorded and tested prehistoric and historic resources for significance and eligibility to local and State registers.
La Jolla Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program, City of San Diego, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor for numerous private residence additions within a culturally significant section of La Jolla, California. Examples of projects include:

≠ The Schroeder Residence Project
≠ The Nicolaou Residence Project
≠ The Underwood/Hall Residence Project

Paleontological Monitoring for the Gateway at Torrey Hills Project, San Diego County, California. Served as paleontological monitor during mass grading and excavation of a 200,000-square-foot building complex in Del Mar, California.

Paleontological Monitoring for the University City Village Project, San Diego County, California. Served as paleontological monitor during mass grading of a 55-acre residential development site in University City, California.

Cultural and Paleontological Resource Monitoring for the Siempre Viva Phase II Project, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor for mass grading of a 60-acre business park site in Otay Mesa, California.

Cultural Resource Study and Paleontological Monitoring for the San Diego State University (SDSU) Campus Master Plan Project, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor for the mitigation monitoring program of the 55-acre SDSU Campus Improvement project.

Paleontological Monitoring for the La Maestra Project, San Diego County, California. Served as paleontological monitor during utility trenching for improvements to a 36,440-square-foot medical clinic in City Heights.

Education
Academy of Our Lady of Peace Parking Garage Project, T.B. Penick & Sons, Inc., San Diego, California. As staff archaeologist, performed all laboratory duties for artifacts recovered from a historic refuse deposit discovered during construction; served as co-author of technical report.

Cultural Resources Monitoring, San Marcos Unified School District, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeology monitor responsible for available data review, construction activities monitoring, identified cultural resources recovery, strategy coordination with Native American groups, and cultural resource compliance establishment among contractors.

**Energy**

**Desert Green Solar Energy, Desert Green Solar Farm LLC, Borrego Springs, California.** Served as co-author of technical report for a solar system project consisting of 45 acres of solar energy facility and offsite improvement corridors in Borrego Springs, San Diego County, California. Tasks include preparation and submittal of CEQA document.

**McCoy Solar Energy, First Solar, Riverside County, California.** Served as lead paleontological monitor during construction of the proposed 750-megawatt photovoltaic solar energy generating facility northwest of Blythe. Task included environmental compliance monitoring and project management support.

**On-Call Cultural Resources, San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), San Diego County, California.** Served as field director and supported the undertakings of SDG&E for new construction, ongoing maintenance, and repair projects by conducting cultural resources inventories for various projects throughout the company service territory. Specific responsibilities included records search review, survey, field excavations, laboratory analysis, preparation of final report, and recommendations for resource significance and stewardship. Coordinated with other cultural resources staff, clients, and their subcontractors to implement, organize, conduct, and complete numerous small- to large-scale projects with overlapping schedules for SDG&E. Applied knowledge of local archaeological and Native American monitoring guidelines to assist SDG&E in completing projects within archaeologically sensitive areas. (Approximate contract value: $1,250,000). Examples of projects include:

- Pole Replacement Monitoring/Survey
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 678
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 6910
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 6914
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 683
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 637
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 688
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 698
- Orange Grove Re-conductoring Project TL 698
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 685
- Wood to Steel Pole Conversion TL 6932

**Cultural Resources for the Devers-Palo Verde 500-kilovolt (kV) Transmission Line, Southern California Edison (SCE), Riverside County, California.** Served as archaeology monitor responsible for available data review, field survey, field monitoring, and cultural resource compliance maintenance among contractors.

**Cultural Resource Survey for Sempra Generation Copper Mountain North Solar Facility, Sempra Energy, Clark County, Nevada.** Served as archaeologist responsible for field survey, identified cultural resources recovery, GIS mapping and navigation, and site recordation.

**Cultural Resource Survey for Kern Front Oil Field, Kern County, California.** Served as archaeologist responsible for field surveying, recovering identified cultural resources, GIS mapping and navigating, and site recordation.
Cultural Resource Survey and Support for the SDG&E East County Substation, SDG&E, San Diego County, California. Served as field director responsible for records search review, field survey, GIS mapping and global positioning system (GPS) data analysis, preparation of final report, and recommendations for resource significance and stewardship.

Cultural Resource Monitoring for the San Juan Capistrano Gas Line Project, Southern California Gas Company, Orange County, California. Served as field director/archaeology monitor to provide immediate on-site response in the event that cultural material was discovered during excavation work. Responsible for review of available data, GIS mapping, site recordation, data analysis, coordination of strategies with Native American groups, and cultural resource compliance establishment among contractors.

Cultural Resource Survey for Silurian Valley Wind Application BLM, San Bernardino County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for review of available data, field survey, GIS mapping and navigation, and site recordation.

Healthcare
Paleontological Monitoring for the Cardinal Court/Cabrillo Medical Center Project, San Diego County, California. Served as paleontological monitor during demolition of existing structure and mass grading for a 3-story Class A building.

Military
Cultural Resources Inventory of Proposed Utility Corridors Associated with the Edwards Air Force Base (AFB) Area Development Plan, 412th Civil Engineer Directorate, Kern and Los Angeles Counties, California. Served as staff archaeologist for the cultural resources inventory for approximately 4,339-acres of utility corridor within Edwards AFB. Assisted in report preparation and submittal of NEPA and NHPA Section 106 deliverable.

Environmental Assessment Addressing Upgrades to Support Maintenance and Energy and Water Supply Project at Navy Installation San Clemente Island, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic (NAVFAC LANT), San Clemente Island, California. Served as field director/health and safety officer and supported the undertakings of NAVFAC LANT through assessing potential impacts to cultural resources within proposed corridors along all utilities, roads, and structures for maintenance, upgrades, and vegetation management. Conducted a base-wide archaeological site record and literature search. Developed a GIS database containing site locational information of cultural resources impacted by the proposed plan. (Approximate contract value: $700,000).

Recreation
Cultural Resource Survey for Palomar Mountain State Park Fire Prevention, California Department of Parks and Recreation, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for review of available data, field survey, GIS mapping and navigation, and site recordation.

Cultural and Paleontological Resource Monitoring for the Fletcher Cove Park Improvements, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor during grading and infrastructure alterations within the existing City of Solana Beach Park.
Resource Management
Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting for the 1900 and 1912 Spindrift Drive Projects, Private Client. La Jolla, California. Served as field director and health and safety officer responsible for implementation and oversight of a multiphase data recovery program and subsequent monitoring to satisfy City of San Diego and CEQA guidelines and regulations. Specific responsibilities included managing the daily operations of the archaeological excavation and cultural materials inventory program and monitoring effort; orchestrating fieldwork, billing, and staffing; coordinating and consulting with Native American tribes and agencies; supervising the project crew; adhering to a strict health and safety plan in order to guarantee project safety standards; ensuring that project progression is adequate to meet or exceed project end goals; observing and interpreting archaeological excavation data in order to maximize research potential and meet the requirements of the City of San Diego, CEQA, and client/representatives; creating daily schedules and staffing plans; coordinating with various agencies and client representatives; and supervising laboratory work. (Approximate contract value: $1,000,000).

Cultural Resource Study and Evaluation for LaPozz Claim Test, Kern County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for review of available data, field excavations and survey, GIS mapping and navigation, site recordation, and data analysis.

Water/Wastewater
Little Lake MDP Line B, Stage 1, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, San Jacinto and Hemet, California. Served as project archaeologist for archaeological monitoring during construction, operation and maintenance of approximately 9,000 linear feet of underground storm drain facilities in the cities of San Jacinto and Hemet. Tasks include evaluation and treatment of unanticipated discoveries and preparation of deliverables.

Cultural Resource Study, Padre Dam Municipal Water District, San Diego County, California. Served as archaeologist responsible for review of available data, field excavation, GIS mapping, site recordation, strategy coordination with Native American groups, and laboratory analysis.

Cultural and Paleontological Resource Study for the City of San Diego Reclaimed Water Distribution System Project, San Diego, California. Served as archaeological and paleontological monitor for the City of San Diego’s continuing annual water and sewer main replacement program. Examples of projects include:

≠ Sewer and Water Group 683A
≠ Sewer and Water Group 676
≠ Sewer and Water Group 796
≠ Sewer and Water Group 741
≠ Sewer and Water Group 718
≠ Sewer Pump Station 19 Replacement
≠ Sorrento Valley Sewer and Pump Station 89
Relevant Previous Experience

Field Director/Health and Safety Officer, HDR, San Diego, California. Responsible for management of all aspects of field projects, including Phase I, II, and III projects under both CEQA and NHPA (Section 106 and 110). Manages crews of up to 20 individuals, supervises all daily field and laboratory operations, and maintains client relationships. Contributed to project’s budget management and project report writing. (2009-2014)

Field Crew Chief/Archaeological Technician, ASM Affiliates, Inc., Carlsbad, California. Responsible for management of fieldwork on a long-term night project. Performed survey, monitoring, and excavation on various projects throughout Southern California and Nevada. Performed laboratory work including identification of prehistoric and historic material from site’s within the Southwestern region. (2009-2010)

Laboratory Manager/Field Director, Brian F. Smith & Associates, Poway, California. Responsible for management of all aspects of field projects including Phase I, II, and III projects. Managed crews of up to ten individuals, supervised all daily field and laboratory operations, and maintained client relationships. Composed final project reports and curated cultural material. Performed as-needed paleontological monitoring. (2004-2009)

Crew Chief/Archaeological Technician, Richard Grubb & Associates, Cranbury, New Jersey. Performed survey, monitoring, and excavation on various projects throughout New England. Performed laboratory work including identification of prehistoric and historic material. Conducted background research for project’s and was responsible for laboratory work and cultural material curation. (2001-2004)

Archaeological Technician, Thunderbird, Washington DC. Performed survey and excavation on various projects throughout the Mid-Atlantic region. Performed laboratory work including identification of prehistoric and historic material. (2000-2001)
Adam Giacinto
Archaeologist

Adam Giacinto is an archaeologist with more than 6 years' experience preparing cultural resource reports, site records, and conducting archaeological survey, evaluation, and data recovery-level investigations. His research interests include prehistoric hunter-gatherer cultures and contemporary conceptions of heritage. His current research focuses on the social, historical, archaeological, and political mechanisms surrounding heritage values. He has gained practical experience in archaeological and ethnographic field methods while conducting research in the Southwest, Mexico, and Eastern Europe.

Mr. Giacinto brings specialized experience in cultural resources information processing gained while working at the South Coastal Information Center. He has worked as part of a nonprofit collaboration in designing and managing a large-scale, preservation-oriented, standardized database and conducting site and impact predictive Geographic Information Systems (GIS) analysis of the existing cultural resources surrounding ancient Lake Cahuilla. He provides experience in ethnographic and applied anthropological methods gained in urban and rural settings, both in the United States and internationally.

Project Experience

Development

**Canergy - Rutherford Road Development Project, Ericsson-Grant, Inc., El Centro, California.** As co-principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated records searches, Native American contact, map preparation and fieldwork.

**Park Boulevard Environmental Impact Report (EIR), City of Palo Alto, California.** As principal archaeological investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated a Northwest Indian College (NWIC) records search, Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a technical report and EIR section. An appropriate mitigation strategy was developed and provided to the City of Palo Alto for this negative cultural inventory.

**Oro Verde Development Fire Protection Planning, Wohlford Land Co., LLC, Valley Center, California.** As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated a SCIC records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a negative technical letter report for this small residential development. The mitigation strategy did not require additional archaeological monitoring or other work based on the lack of archaeological sites, and the low potential for encountering unrecorded subsurface cultural resources. Recommendations were submitted to the County of San Diego.

**Fifth Avenue Development Cultural Inventory, E2 ManageTech, Inc., Chula Vista, California.** As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated the preparation of a paleontological, archaeological, and historic resource inventory for a proposed residential project. Responsibilities included a SCIC records search, San Diego Natural History Museum (SDNHM) records search, archival research, agency and client communication, GIS, and compiling the technical report and appendices. Results were submitted as a technical report to the City of Chula Vista.
Normal Street Evaluations, Darco Engineering, Inc., San Diego, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto managed the preparation of a historic resource evaluation for a number of buildings located in the community of University Heights. Responsibilities included an SCIC records search, agency and client communication, archival research, GIS, and compiling the technical report and appendices. Results were submitted as a technical report and associated appendices to the City of San Diego.

Mapleton Park Centre Site Analysis, Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., Murrieta, California. As principal archaeological consultant, Mr. Giacinto prepared a project constraints study for Kaiser Permanente, within the County of Riverside.

New Kaiser Permanente Medical Center EIR, Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., San Diego, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto conducted a survey of the proposed medical center and reported negative findings to the City of San Diego.

St. John Garabed Church Environmental Services, St. John Garabed Armenian Apostolic Church Trust, San Diego, California. As field director and co-principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto conducted a survey of the proposed church facilities and reported findings to the City of San Diego. Additional responsibilities included preparation of the cultural and paleontological sections for the project EIR.

PMC Quarry Creek Project Phase II Cultural Evaluation, McMillin Land Development, Carlsbad, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto managed and conducted archaeological testing, data analysis, report writing and mapping of existing cultural resources within the 60-acre Quarry Creek Project study area.

University Office and Medical Park Project Cultural Resource Study Survey, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Marcos, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto managed a team of archaeologists in conducting survey of the 49.5-acre study area in a general inventory of potentially impacted cultural resources and prepared maps and a report for the presentation of this information.

Education
Mission Beach Elementary School EIR, McKellar McGowan, San Diego, California. As principal archaeological investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated a Southern California Indian Center (SCIC) records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a technical report. The mitigation strategy did not require archaeological monitoring or other work based on the lack of archaeological sites, and the low potential for encountering unrecorded subsurface cultural resources. Recommendations were submitted to the City of San Diego.

San Diego State University (SDSU) West Campus Housing EIR/Tech Studies, Gatzke, Dillon and Ballance, San Diego, California. As principal archaeological investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated a SCIC records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a technical report and EIR section. An appropriate mitigation strategy was developed and provided to SDSU for this negative cultural inventory.

Orange Coast College Initial Study (IS), Coast Community College District, Orange, California. As principal archaeological investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, preparation of a technical report, and provided management and compliance recommendations relating to cultural resources on three Orange County College campuses.
Energy

Valley Center Solar Site Survey, RBF Consulting, Valley Center, California. As Principal Investigator, Mr. Giacinto managed the inventory and prepared management recommendations for a proposed solar farm in Valley Center, California. A relationship of open dialogue between Mr. Giacinto and the client allowed for the project design to avoid significant direct and indirect impacts to cultural resources the proper the development of compliant mitigation and informed project design. Results were submitted to the County of San Diego Department of Planning and Landuse.

Wind Energy Project, Confidential Client, Riverside, California. As principal cultural investigator, Mr. Giacinto prepared the cultural scope and schedule, coordinated the records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a technical report for the County of Riverside that provided management and compliance recommendations relating to identified cultural resources. Additional responsibilities included coordination of paleontological and Native American monitor subconsultants.

Sol Orchard Solar Farm, RBF Consulting, Ramona, California. As Principal Investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated archaeological and Native American monitoring and prepared management recommendations for a proposed solar farm in Ramona, California. All impacts to significant cultural resources in the vicinity were avoided. Results were submitted to the County of San Diego.

Makani Power Wind Turbine Pilot Program, Google Inc., Alameda, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated a NWIC records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a negative technical memo a for this potential wind farm. The mitigation strategy did not require additional archaeological monitoring or other work based on the lack of archaeological sites, and the low potential for encountering unrecorded subsurface cultural resources. Recommendations were submitted as a categorical exemption to the reviewing agency.

Solar Farm Cultural Resources Services, Confidential Client, San Diego, California. As project director, Mr. Giacinto managed a crew of 8 archaeologists in conducting the survey, surface mapping, surface collection, and excavation of 13 prehistoric and historical period sites throughout the McCain Valley.

As-Needed Environmental Analysis for Solar Project Road Access, Confidential Client, San Diego, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto managed a crew of 12 archaeologists in conducting the survey, surface mapping, surface collection and excavation of 42 prehistoric and historical period sites throughout the McCain Valley.

East County Substation EIR/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), San Diego County, California. As field archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto worked as part of a team to survey the possible impacts to exiting and newly recorded cultural resources.

Class III Cultural Resources Inventory for Meteorological Masts 1 and 4 and Access Roads, Iberdrola Renewables, Kern County, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto managed a team of archaeologists in conducting surveys of the study area in a general inventory of potentially impacted cultural resources.
Wood to Steel Pole Conversion Survey, San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E), San Diego County, California. As crew chief, Mr. Giacinto managed a team of archaeologists in conducting a survey of Circuit 75 in a general inventory of potentially impacted cultural resources.

Sunrise Powerlink Project Monitoring, SDG&E, Imperial and San Diego Counties, California. As a field director, Mr. Giacinto assisted in managing an archaeological field crew, aided in data collection, and conducted monitoring by facilitating planned mitigation strategies of construction and pre-construction activities associated with a 500-kilovolt (kV) transmission line, access roads, and work areas.

Cal Valley Solar Ranch-Switchyard Site No. 3 Archaeological Testing, Ecology & Environment Inc., San Luis Obispo County, California. As part of a team of archaeologists, conducted excavations and general testing of a middle prehistoric site.

Wood to Steel Pole Conversion, SDG&E, Cleveland National Forest (CNF), San Diego County, California. As crew chief, Mr. Giacinto managed a team of archaeologists in conducting a survey of Circuit 440 in a general inventory of potentially impacted cultural resources.

Devers to Palo Verde 2 (DPV2) Colorado River Substation Project Monitoring, Southern California Edison (SCE), Blythe, California. As project archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto monitored the geotechnical testing of soils along access road leading into Colorado River Substation from the west.

Sunrise Powerlink Pole Fielding and Environmental Monitoring, SDG&E, Imperial and San Diego Counties, California. As the archaeological representative, Mr. Giacinto worked with SDG&E-contracted engineers, surveyors, and biologists to assess proposed work areas, access roads, and structure locations for possible impacts upon existing cultural resources.

Wood to Steel Pole Conversion Pole Fielding, SDG&E and CNF, San Diego County, California. As the archaeological representative, Mr. Giacinto worked with SDGE-contracted engineers, surveyors, and biologists to assess proposed pole transmission pole locations for possible impacts upon existing cultural resources.

Wood to Steel Pole Conversion, SDG&E and CNF, San Diego County, California. As field archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto worked as part of a team to survey segments of Circuit 449, Circuit 78, TL 625, and TL 629 for possible impacts to existing cultural resources.

Guy Pole and Stub Pole Removal Monitoring, SDG&E, Carlsbad, California. As archaeological representative, Mr. Giacinto monitored activities associated with the removal of existing unused energy transmission infrastructure in an area near recorded cultural resources of noted significance.

DPV2 500 kV Transmission Line Survey, SCE, Riverside County, California. As field archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto worked as part of a team to survey more than 45 miles of linear proposed project area. Conducted an intensive inventory of prehistoric and historical period cultural resources from Desert Center to Thousand Palms.

DPV2 Colorado Switchyard Survey, SCE, Riverside County, California. As project archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto prepared the site records gathered through a pre-field records search and created project area maps in GIS illustrating the location and type of preexisting cultural resources prior field survey for a fiber-optic ground wire project for DPV2 Colorado switchyard in Blythe.
Pole Replacement Projects Surveying, SCE, Orange and Riverside Counties, California. As project archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto prepared the site records gathered through a pre-field records search and created project area maps in GIS illustrating the location and type of preexisting cultural resources prior to fieldwork for the deteriorated pole project within the CNF, and deteriorated pole and pole replacement on private property.

Sunrise Powerlink Environmentally Superior Southern Alternative Survey, SDG&E, San Diego and Imperial Counties, California. As project archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto assisted in preparing the site records gathered through a pre-field records search and digitized the boundaries if archaeological sites in GIS illustrating the location and type of preexisting cultural resources, and a records search of existing site data for alternative route.

Military
Infill Survey Project at Edwards Air Force Base, U.S. Air Force, California. As crew chief, Mr. Giacint managed a team of five archaeologists in conducting a general pedestrian inventory of cultural resources within a 7,650-acre study area.

Desert Warfare Training Facility Cultural Resources Inventory Project, U.S. Navy Southwest, Imperial County, California. As field archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto worked as part of a team to conduct an intensive inventory of prehistoric and historical period cultural resources in selected areas within the Chocolate Mountains Gunnery Range in Niland.

Morgan/Bircham 55 to 12 kV Project Survey, U.S. Navy-Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS)-China Lake, Inyo County, California. As project archaeologist, Mr. Giacinto prepared the site records gathered through a pre-field records search and created project area maps in GIS illustrating the location and type of preexisting cultural resources prior to field survey at NAWS China Lake.

Resource Management
Yokohl Ranch Cultural Resources, The Yokohl Ranch Company, LLC, Tulare, California. As co-principal investigator and field director, Mr. Giacinto managed 15 archaeologists in conducting 1,900 acres of survey throughout the Yokohl Valley.

Peter’s Canyon Regional Park CEQA Study, Orange County Fire Authority, Orange, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto conducted a cultural resources inventory of all cultural resources within Peters Canyon planned fuel reduction areas. Mr. Giacinto coordinated a SCIC records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a technical report. Recommendations were provided to agency personnel to assist in mitigating any possible adverse effects to cultural resources in the project vicinity.

Yokohl Ranch Development Project, The Yokohl Ranch Company, LLC, Tulare County, California. As co-principal investigator and field director, Mr. Giacinto managed 15 archaeologists in conducting significance evaluation of 118 historical and prehistoric cultural resources throughout the Yokohl Valley.

Lake Cahuilla Management Plan, ASM PARC, Riverside County, California. As project archaeologist and lead analyst, Mr. Giacinto led in the formation of a standardized database associated with ancient Lake Cahuilla. Performed GIS data integration and predictive analysis, data entry of site record information, and completed multi-day, multi-person record search for Riverside County.
Third Party Review and Monitoring
Ocotillo Wind Energy Facility Third Party Compliance Monitoring, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Imperial County, California. As third party observer, Mr. Giacinto collaborated with the BLM in maintaining cultural compliance with federal environmental policies. In addition, processed archaeological and Native American comments for BLM attention.

Rio Mesa Solar Electric Generating Facility CEQA Studies, BrightSource Energy, Inc., Riverside, California. As third party reviewer, Mr. Giacinto collaborated with the BLM, the California Energy Commission, and Brightsource to review URS Corporation’s cultural report content, quality, and environmental compliance.

Tribal
South Palm Canyon West Fork Flood Emergency Work, Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Palm Springs, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto worked with the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians Tribal Historic Preservation Office to conduct archaeological monitoring on tribal lands of emergency repairs within Andreas Canyon National Register of Historic Places listed district. A monitoring report with a summary of findings and implemented mitigation activities, daily monitoring logs and photos, and confidential figures was provided to the tribe.

South Palm Canyon Improvements, Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Palm Springs, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto worked with the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians Tribal Historic Preservation Office to conduct archaeological monitoring on tribal lands of facility improvements within Andreas Canyon National Register of Historic Places listed district. A monitoring report with a summary of findings and implemented mitigation activities, daily monitoring logs and photos, and confidential figures was provided to the tribe.

Shu’luuk Wind Project Cultural Resource Study Survey, Campo Environmental Protection Agency and Invenergy LLC, Campo Indian Reservation, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto managed two teams of archaeologists, consisting of seven total practitioners, in conducting a survey of the 2,400-acre study area in a general inventory of potentially impacted cultural resources. Worked with Campo Environmental Protection Agency, of the Campo Kumeyaay Nation, in forming management objectives and integrating six Native American Monitors into daily survey activities.

Water/Wastewater
Carlsbad Desalination Third Addendum to EIR Biological Survey and Monitoring, Poseidon Water LLC, Carlsbad, California. As archaeological consultant, Mr. Giacinto conducted archaeological monitoring and consultation on an as-needed basis.

Old Mission Dam, City of San Diego, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto conducted an inventory, coordinated survey, and prepared recommendations for the maintenance of the National Register of Historic Places listed resource, Old Mission Dam.

Otay River Wetland Mitigation, Poseidon Water LLC, San Diego, California. As field director, Mr. Giacinto conducted a cultural resources survey of a mitigation property, managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), to be used for estuary restoration.
Vallecitos Water District Rock Springs Sewer, Infrastructure Engineering Corporation, San Diego, California. As principal investigator, Mr. Giacinto coordinated a SCIC records search, NAHC and Native American consultation, archaeological survey, and preparation of a negative technical letter report for this small residential development. The mitigation strategy did require additional archaeological monitoring based on the potential to encounter subsurface cultural resources. Recommendations were submitted to the Vallecitos Water District.

Relevant Previous Experience

Investigation of Emergent Trends of San Diego Cultural Resource Management, San Diego County, California. As ethnographic researcher, conducted verbal, semi-structured interviews with 17 archaeologists, policy makers, and Native American monitors and curators regarding the history and current practice of Cultural Resource Management. Information was contextualized through extensive background research using legal, academic, specialized, and archival sources. Analysis employed a synthesis of cultural anthropological and archaeological theory and practice. Results were published as M.A. thesis in Anthropology at San Diego State University (2012).

Needs Assessment/Diagnostic for the Community of La Sierra de San Francisco, Baja California Sur, Mexico. As ethnographic researcher, worked for San Diego State University through a grant provided by the International Community Foundation to conduct a general needs assessment in a UNESCO protected community within a UNESCO defined region of World Heritage, la Sierra de San Francisco. Resolved to help with improving the infrastructure of potable water, assisting in the construction of a system of telecommunications for education, and conducting workshops aimed at the preservation of local prehistoric and historical cultural and archaeological resources (2010).

Ethnographic Field School, Zimatlan, Oaxaca, Mexico. As ethnographic student/researcher for San Diego State University, lived with local family and conducted interviews with local population regarding microcredit, sustainable/traditional agriculture and husbandry. Additionally, compiled audio/visual digital stories with local youth and conducted training in research and appropriate documentation. Emphasis was placed on dietary and generational cultural changes (2009).

Publications


Conway, F., R. Espinoza, and A. Giacinto. 2010 Results of Needs Assessment Conducted with Communities of La Sierra de San Francisco, 2009-2010. Submitted to the International Community Foundation.
Technical Reports


Hale, M. and A. Giacinto 2014. *Negative Cultural Resources Phase I Inventory for the Canergy Project, Brawley, Imperial County, California.* Prepared for Ericsson-Grant Inc. Submitted to Imperial County Planning and Development.

Castells, J. and A. Giacinto 2014. Historic Resources Inventory for the Normal Street Project, City of San Diego, California. Submitted to City of San Diego.


Hale, M., and A. Giacinto 2013. *Yokohl Ranch Project EIR, Chapter 4.6, Yokohl Valley, Tulare County, California*


Hale, M., and A. Giacinto 2012. *Cultural Resources Inventory for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Otay River Estuary Restoration Project, Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California*

Giacinto, A. 2012. *Negative Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Kaiser Permanente San Diego Central Medical Center, San Diego County, California*

Hale, M., and A. Giacinto 2012. *Cultural Resources Inventory for the Orange County Fire Authority Project, Peters Canyon, Orange County, California*

Hale, M., and A. Giacinto 2012. *North Embarcadero Port Master Plan Amendment (NE-PMPA) EIR, Chapter 4.9, Port of San Diego, San Diego, California.*

Hale, M., and A. Giacinto 2012. *Rio Mesa Solar EIS, Chapter 4.6, Brightsource, Riverside County, California.*


Hale, M., A. Giacinto, and J. Schaefer 2012. *Class III Cultural Resources Inventory for the Campo Invenergy Project, Campo Indian Reservation, San Diego California.*


**Presentations**


* A *GIS Analysis of Ancient Lake Cahuilla Archaeological Sites, Riverside County, CA, United States.* For Balncias y Perspectivas, National Institute of Archaeology and History (NIAH), Mexicali, MX, 2011.
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DENNIS R. GALLEGOS
PRINCIPAL

Gallegos & Associates
5671 Palmer Way, Suite A
Carlsbad, CA 92008
(760) 929-0055

EDUCATION

B.A. Anthropology, California State University, Northridge, 1974
B.S. Business, California State University, Northridge, 1973

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATION

Society for American Archaeology
Archaeological Conservancy
Society for California Archaeology
San Diego County Archaeological Society
Carlsbad Historic Preservation Commission 1989-1993

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Gallegos & Associates
1990 to Present

Principal Investigator for cultural resource studies within southern California for federal, State and local compliance. These projects include constraint level evaluations, surveys, CEQA testing programs, evaluations for National Register status, and data recovery programs. Mr. Gallegos is knowledgeable of Federal legal requirements as well as, City, County and CEQA requirements, having worked on over 500 projects within the past 30 years. He has served as principal investigator for a number of recent federal cultural resource projects which involved agency and 106 compliance. These projects include: surveys and test programs for SR 905 and the widening of Otay Mesa Road, the Otay Mesa Management Plan, Camp Pendleton Santa Margarita River Valley Inventory (5,000 acres), NAS Miramar inventory (sample inventory of 20,000 acres), Naval Radio Receiving Facility inventory, Cleveland National Forest report preparation; and testing of a 5,000 year-old site along the San Luis Rey River Valley to determine site significance.

Major cultural resource overviews include San Dieguito River Valley Park (80,000 acres); and overviews for the City of Escondido, San Marcos planning areas, City of Encinitas, Otay River Valley, and San Luis Rey River Valley. Recent projects managed by Mr. Gallegos include: an inventory for Anza-Borrego Desert State Park; Oceanside-Escondido Bike Trail; Viejas Village inventory and test; survey and testing for Carlsbad Ranch, constraint level study for Carrillo Ranch Specific Plan; Batiquitos Lagoon Enhancement Project; and inventories for Subareas III (3,000 acres), Subarea IV (1,500 acres), and Subarea V (2,000 acres) for the City of San Diego.
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Ogden/ERC Environmental and Energy Services Company
1978 to 1990

Project manager responsible for management and direction of cultural resource surveys, test excavations, and data recovery programs. Major projects include the data recovery programs for Ballast Point, Batiquitos Ridge, Twin Oaks Valley Ranch, Kuebler Ranch - Otay Mesa, Fieldstone Northview, and Daon's Santa Fe Ridge. Utility line projects involving FERC, NEPA, and 106 compliance include the SCE Palo Verde/Devers 200-mile transmission line corridor survey, testing, and data recovery program; SDG&E La Rosita transmission line; and the SDG&E La Jot solar study. Large-scale Class II cultural resource inventories include the Bureau of Land Management's 2.5-million acre Central Mojave and Colorado Desert regions and the BLM's 250,000-acre East/West Mesa Imperial Valley studies.

Archaeological Consultant
1977 to 1978

Archaeological consultant with Wirth Associates, Inc. for SDG&E including: Talega Substation survey (field director); Phase II archaeological inventory report, plant site to Devers and Miguel Substations, Sundesert Nuclear Project transmission system environmental study; archaeological study of the Jamul Mountain Alternative, Sundesert Nuclear Project transmission system environmental study (field director); and Phase I archaeology report, plant site to Victorville/Lugo and Devers to Victorville/Lugo, Sundesert Nuclear Project transmission system environmental study.

Bureau of Land Management
1975 to 1977

Archaeologist for the USDI, Bureau of Land Management, California Desert Planning Staff, Sacramento/Riverside, California. Lead archaeologist for the Saline Valley Unit Resource Analysis (cultural resource inventory of 500,000 acres).

Assisted in the cultural resource inventory, unit resource analysis, and management framework plan for the East Mojave Planning Units (2,000,000 acres in the California Desert). Developed survey inventory and data collection methods for computer input and analysis. Developed a predictive model for locating prehistoric sites on the basis of environmental variables. This model also identified site type and relative site density for each site type on the basis of environmental setting.

State of California
1975

Archaeologist for the State of California, Department of Parks and Recreation. Responsible for site testing and excavation of the 1812 Russian Fort Ross, Fort Ross, California.

Archaeological Consultant
1972 to 1974

Archaeological consultant for historic and prehistoric sites to include mapping, survey, excavation, and data recovery programs for private contractors, utilities, universities, Caltrans, HUD, and museums. Project areas include: Ventura Mission site, Ventura, California; Kirk Creek, Big Sur, California; Salton Sea area, Imperial County, California; Crowder Canyon, San Bernardino County, California; and Cuyama, California. Responsibilities included data recovery, analysis, photography, and report writing.
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State of California
1970 to 1973

Park aide for the Department of Parks and Recreation. Responsible for survey, excavation, payroll, and disbursement of funds for the Castaic, Hardluck, and Pyramid projects, Los Angeles National Forest, California.

AWARDS

Special Achievement Award, presented by the Bureau of Land Management, California Desert Planning Staff, April 1977.

Outstanding Achievement in the Field of Historic Preservation, Leo Carrillo Ranch Master Plan, California Preservation Foundation, February 1998

MAJOR REPORTS

2002  Otay/Kuchamaa: Cultural Resource Background Study, prepared for the Bureau of Land Management. For this 30,000 acre overview, the final report included a record search and literature review, mapping of previously recorded cultural resources using GIS, identification of significant cultural resources, preparing sections on Kumeyaay Native Americans in both the US and Mexico and the historic period, and providing management recommendations.

2002  Data Recovery Program for the McCool/Lohman Homestead: 1880s to 1940s, Otay Mesa, San Diego, California. Project completed for the Larkspur Generating Facility under CEC review. This project included a literature review, record search, field survey, test to determine site significance and eligibility to the California Register of Historical Resources, mitigation program through data recovery, and monitoring during construction. The literature review identified occupation by the McCool and Lohman families from circa 1880 to 1940. Features documented include four cisterns and three privy/dumps with materials documenting the early historic occupation of Otay Mesa.

2001  Cultural Resource Test, Data Recovery and Monitoring Program for the Otay Mesa Generating Project. This study included determining site significance and eligibility to the National Register for 13 cultural resources, data recovery for site CA-SDI-9975, and monitoring during construction of the power plant and related facilities. Tasks included survey, artifact collection using GPS and GIS, excavation of STPs and units, artifact analysis, special studies, and a report of finding. This study was prepared for the California Energy Commission.

2000  Cultural Resources Evaluation Report for the Palomar College Science Building Project, San Marcos, California. Literature review, review of collections made by Palomar students, field survey and testing of one prehistoric site for Palomar College. Testing of this 3600 year old site included surface collection, excavation of STPs and units, artifact analysis, special studies, and a report of finding. The site was identified as significant under CEQA criteria and mitigation of impacts through data recovery excavation was scheduled for student programs over the next five year.
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1999 Historical/Archaeological Inventory Report for the Otay Mesa Generating Company, LCC Project. Literature review, field inventory of 250 acres, and site recording for the Otay Mesa Generating Company.

1999 5000 Years of Occupation: Cultural Resource Inventory and Assessment Program for the Carlsbad Municipal Golf Course Project. Report prepared for the City of Carlsbad.

1999 (with others) Oceanside-Escondido Bikeway Project: Cultural Resource Inventory and Significance Test for Prehistoric Site CA-SDI-14340. Report (HPSR and technical attachments) prepared for the City of San Marcos and Caltrans.


1997 (with others) Route 905 Reports: HPSR, Survey of approximately 2,000 acres, and Test Report for Sites CA-SDI-6941, Loci G and Y; CA-SDI-11423; and CA-SDI-11424. Reports and technical attachments prepared for City of San Diego and Caltrans.


1995 (with others) Historical/Archaeological Survey Report for Subarea V Future Urbanizing Area, San Diego, California. Literature review and field survey of approximately 2,000 acres in north San Diego County.
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1995  (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory of the Santa Margarita River Valley, Camp Pendleton. Background study and field inventory of approximately 5,000 acres for Camp Pendleton, north San Diego County.

1994  (with Kyle)
Archaeological Testing of Seven Sites for the Stardust Golf Course Realignment Project, City of San Diego, California. Testing program to determine site significance for 10 prehistoric sites. Two major habitation sites within the San Diego River Valley were identified as significant.

1993  (with others)
Historical/Archaeological Survey Report for the Reclaimed Water Distribution Master Plan for the Northern and Central Service Areas Phase Ia, San Diego County, California. Literature review and field survey for approximately 100 linear miles.

1993  (with Strudwick)
The Archaeological Investigation of CA-SCLI-847 San Clemente Island, California. Data recovery program for a 4,000 year old site on San Clemente Island for conducted for the U.S. Navy.

1993  (with others)
Historical/Archaeological Survey and Test Report for Subarea III Future Urbanizing Area, San Diego, California. Literature review and field survey for 3,000 acres in north San Diego County.

1993  (with others)
Historical/Archaeological Survey Report, One City Block Within Downtown Oceanside Redevelopment Core Block Area, Oceanside. Testing program to determine presence/absence of historic resources and the significance of resources.

1993  (with others)
Historical/Archaeological Survey and Test Report for Subarea IV Future Urbanizing Area, San Diego, California. Literature review and field survey of 1,500 acres in north San Diego County.

1992  (with Strudwick)
Historical/Archaeological Test Report for Daley Ranch, Escondido, California. CEQA test program to determine importance for 23 prehistoric and historic sites.

1992  (with Strudwick)
Historical/Archaeological Survey Report for Montecito Ranch Property, Ramona, California. Literature review and field inventory for 953 acres producing 36 prehistoric and historic sites.

1992  (with Kyle)
Historical/Archaeological Survey and Test for Carlsbad Ranch, Carlsbad, California. Literature review, field survey and significance testing conducted for five sites.
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1992 (with Schroth and Strudwick)
Historical/Archaeological Sample Inventory for Naval Air Station, Miramar, San Diego, California. Fifteen percent sample inventory of the 18,433 acre facility to provide data for GIS ARC/INFO and site probability modeling for land use planning.

1992 (editor)

1992 (with Kyle)
Historical/Archaeological Survey and National Register Evaluation Report for Camp Pendleton Military Family Housing, San Diego, California. Survey and testing program to identify and determine National Register properties.

1990 (with Schroth)
Archaeological Investigations of a Five Hundred Year Old Settlement at Twin Oaks Valley Ranch, San Marcos, California. A data recovery program for a late period habitation site in compliance with federal, state and local requirements.

1990 (with Kyle)
Early Period Occupation at the Kuebler Ranch Site SDi-8654, Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California. A data recovery program for a 7,000 years old site on Otay Mesa prepared for the County of San Diego.

1989 (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory and Testing Program for Lilac Ranch, Valley Center, California. Survey of 1,000 acres and testing program for 20 prehistoric and historic sites.

1989 (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory and Testing Program for Salt Creek Ranch, Chula Vista, California. Survey of 1,000 acres and testing of historic and prehistoric sites for site importance under CEQA.

1988 (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory and Data Acquisition Program, GEO East Mesa Geothermal Project, Imperial Valley, California. Cultural resource inventory of 1000 acres for geothermal energy development on USDI, BLM lands in the California desert.

1988 (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory for a Series of Drill Sites within the Amir, Indian Rose Area Lease. Inventory conducted in southeastern California for the development of gold exploration on federal lands by Amir Mines, Ltd.

1988 (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory and CEQA Test for Site Importance, Rancho Bernardo Lake Course. Inventory of 315 acres, identification and testing of ten prehistoric sites for the J.W. Colachis Company.
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1988 (with others)
Cultural Resource Survey and Testing Program for the East Mesa Detention Facility, San Diego California. Project involved the survey of 523 acres, the identification of eight prehistoric and one historic site, and the testing of these sites with respect to CEQA. Three of these sites were quarry localities on Otay Mesa. Report prepared for the County of San Diego.

1988 (with others)
Five Thousand Years of Maritime Subsistence at Ballast Point Prehistoric Site SDI-48 (W-164), San Diego, California. Report involved the excavation of a 2.5 percent sample within a coastal shell midden site, dated from 6000 to 1500 years before present. Report prepared for the U.S. Navy.

1987 (with others)
Historical/prehistoric Inventory for the Green Dragon Colony, La Jolla California. Report documents the historical development of the Green Dragon Colony. EIR report for the City of San Diego.

1987 (with others)
Cultural Resource Inventory for Rancho La Quinta. Inventory of 1272 acres identifying six prehistoric sites within Coachella Valley, Riverside County, California. Report prepared for the Landmark Land Company.

1987 (with others)
Subsurface Testing Program to Identify and Evaluate Cultural Resources for the Santa Barbara Retail Revitalization Project. Testing program to identify historical and prehistoric sites within four city blocks of downtown Santa Barbara. Report prepared for the City of Santa Barbara.

1986 (with others)
Cultural and Paleontological Survey and Testing for Pacific Rim, Carlsbad, California. Project involved the survey of over 1,000 acres along the northern shore of Batiquitos Lagoon, the identification of 14 prehistoric, 1 historic, and 1 paleontological site, and the testing of prehistoric and historic sites to determine importance under CEQA. Report prepared for the City of Carlsbad.

1986 (with Cheever)
Cultural Resource Testing Program for Archaeological Sites SDI-607, -612, -212, 6825 and W-105, Carlsbad, California. Testing program for five sites located along the south shore of Batiquitos Lagoon for the City of Carlsbad.

1986 (with Cheever)

1986 (with others)
Lake Cahuilla Prehistoric Occupation at IMP-4434 and IMP-5167, Imperial Valley, California. Data recovery for Ryerson Concrete Company.

1985
Early and Late Period Occupation at Rogers Ridge (SDI-4845, W-182), Carlsbad, California. Data recovery program to include the excavation of 94, 1 by 1 m units at six loci dating from 850 to 7000 years B.P. for Resource Microsystems Inc. and Daon Inc.
DENNIS R. GALLEGOS

1984 (with others)  
Archaeological Investigations at SDI-5130, Mar Lado Project, Oceanside, California. Data recovery program for L and L Development.

1984  

1984  
Windsong Shores Data Recovery Program for Site W-131 (Agua Hedionda), Carlsbad, California. Excavation of a 5 percent sample at a 7,000 to 8,500 year old site for Hunts Partnership.

1984  

1983  

1983  
Archaeological Overview for the City of San Marcos, Business/Industrial, Richman, Lake San Marcos, and Barham/Discovery Community Plan. Report prepared for the City of San Marcos.

1980 (with others)  
Cultural Resource Inventory and National Register Assessment of the Southern California Edison Palo Verde to Devers Transmission Line Corridor (California portion). Prepared for Southern California Edison, Rosemead, California.

1980 (with others)  
Class II Cultural Resource Inventory of East Mesa and West Mesa Regions, Imperial Valley, California. Prepared for USDI, Bureau of Land Management, Riverside, California.

1979 (with others)  
Class II Cultural Resource Inventory of the Central Mojave and Colorado Desert Regions. Prepared for USDI, Bureau of Land Management, Riverside, California.

1978 (with White)  

1978 (with others)  

1978  

1977 (with others)  
Phase I Archaeology Report, Plant Site to Victorville/Lugo and Devers to Victorville/Lugo, Sunfesert Nuclear Project Transmission System Environmental
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1977 Saline Valley Unit Resource Analysis - Cultural Resources. Prepared for USDI, Bureau of Land Management, California Desert Planning Staff, Riverside, California.


PUBLICATIONS

Five Thousand Years of Maritime Subsistence at Ballast Point Prehistoric Site SDi-48 (W-164), San Diego, California. (with Carolyn Kyle). Coyote Press, Salinas, California, No. 40, 1998

Environmental Change and Coastal Adaptations in San Diego County (with Patricia Masters, Ph.D.). In: Archaeology of the California Coast During the Middle Holocene, University of California, Los Angeles, California, Vol. 4, 1997.

A Review and Synthesis of the Archaeological Record for the Lower San Diego River Valley. Society for California Archaeology, San Diego, California, Volume 8, 1995


Relocation of the Ballast Point Tryworks Oven Foundation (with Adella Schroth). In Fort Gujjarros Quarterly, 3:2, 1989
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