

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
ACR ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	ACR-1
S SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Project Synopsis.....	S-1
S.2 Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures that Reduce or Avoid the Significant Effects.....	S-8
S.3 Areas of Controversy	S-8
S.4 Issues to be Resolved by the Decision-Making Body	S-9
S.5 Project Alternatives.....	S-10
S.5.1 No Project Alternative	S-10
S.5.2 Low Density Alternative.....	S-11
S.5.3 Alternate Site Location Alternative	S-11
S.5.4 Otay Ranch GDP/SRP Four-Lane Proctor Valley Road Alternative.....	S-12
S.5.5 Land Exchange Alternative.....	S-13
S.6 Public Outreach and EIR Preparation Process.....	S-13
S.7 EIR Organization	S-15
1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-1
1.1 Project Objectives	1-1
1.2 Project Description.....	1-2
1.2.1 Project Component Parts.....	1-7
1.2.2 Technical, Economic, and Environmental Characteristics	1-27
1.3 Project Location	1-35
1.4 Environmental Setting	1-35
1.4.1 Regional Setting.....	1-35
1.4.2 Geographical and Topographical Setting.....	1-36
1.4.3 Surrounding Land Uses.....	1-36
1.5 Intended Uses of the EIR	1-37
1.5.1 Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits	1-38
1.5.2 Related Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements	1-39
1.6 Project Inconsistencies with Applicable Regional and General Plans.....	1-39
1.7 List of Past, Present, and Reasonably Anticipated Future Projects in the Project Area	1-40
1.8 Growth-Inducing Impacts	1-41
1.8.1 Removing Obstacles to Growth.....	1-43
1.8.2 Requiring Expansion of Public Services.....	1-44
1.8.3 Encouraging or Facilitating Economic Activities.....	1-46

1.8.4 Involving a Precedent-Setting Action 1-46

1.8.5 Conclusion 1-46

2 SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT 2.1-1

2.1 Aesthetics 2.1-1

2.1.1 Existing Conditions 2.1-3

2.1.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.1-17

2.1.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2.1-42

2.1.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation 2.1-45

2.1.5 Mitigation 2.1-46

2.1.6 Conclusion 2.1-47

2.2 Agricultural and Forestry Resources 2.2-1

2.2.1 Existing Conditions 2.2-2

2.2.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.2-8

2.2.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2.2-13

2.2.4 Significance of Impacts Prior To Mitigation 2.2-13

2.2.5 Mitigation 2.2-14

2.2.6 Conclusion 2.2-15

2.3 Air Quality 2.3-1

2.3.1 Existing Conditions 2.3-2

2.3.2 Regulatory Setting 2.3-10

2.3.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.3-17

2.3.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2.3-34

2.3.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation 2.3-38

2.3.6 Mitigation 2.3-38

2.3.7 Conclusion 2.3-41

2.4 Biological Resources 2.4-1

2.4.1 Existing Conditions 2.4-3

2.4.2 Regulatory Setting 2.4-58

2.4.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.4-74

2.4.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2.4-132

2.4.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation 2.4-139

2.4.6 Mitigation 2.4-144

2.4.7 Conclusion 2.4-160

2.5 Cultural Resources 2.5-1

2.5.1 Existing Conditions 2.5-2

2.5.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.5-19

2.5.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis 2.5-24

2.5.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation 2.5-26

2.5.5 Mitigation 2.5-27

2.5.6 Conclusion 2.5-31

2.6 Geology and Soils 2.6-1

2.6.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.6-1

2.6.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.6-14

2.6.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.6-20

2.6.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.6-20

2.6.5 Mitigation..... 2.6-20

2.6.6 Conclusion 2.6-22

2.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions..... 2.7-1

2.7.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.7-2

2.7.2 Regulatory Setting 2.7-7

2.7.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.7-21

2.7.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.7-31

2.7.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.7-31

2.7.6 Mitigation..... 2.7-32

2.7.7 Conclusion 2.7-37

2.8 Noise 2.8-1

2.8.1 Introduction..... 2.8-1

2.8.2 Existing Conditions..... 2.8-4

2.8.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.8-11

2.8.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.8-27

2.8.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.8-28

2.8.6 Mitigation..... 2.8-30

2.8.7 Conclusion 2.8-36

2.9 Transportation and Traffic 2.9-1

2.9.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.9-2

2.9.2 Methodology 2.9-17

2.9.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.9-23

2.9.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.9-66

2.9.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.9-68

2.9.6 Mitigation..... 2.9-73

2.9.7 Conclusion 2.9-83

2.10 Paleontological Resources 2.10-1

2.10.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.10-1

2.10.2 Regulatory Setting 2.10-4

2.10.3 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.10-5

2.10.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.10-6

2.10.5 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.10-7

2.10.6 Mitigation Measures 2.10-7

2.10.7 Conclusion 2.10-9

2.11 Tribal Cultural Resources 2.11-1

 2.11.1 Existing Conditions..... 2.11-1

 2.11.2 Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance 2.11-6

 2.11.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 2.11-8

 2.11.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation..... 2.11-9

 2.11.5 Mitigation..... 2.11-9

 2.11.6 Conclusion 2.11-10

3 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT 3-1

3.1 Effects Found Not Significant as Part of the EIR Process 3-1

 3.1.1 Hazards and Hazardous Materials 3.1.1-1

 3.1.2 Hydrology and Water Quality..... 3.1.2-1

 3.1.3 Land Use and Planning 3.1.3-1

 3.1.4 Mineral Resources 3.1.4-1

 3.1.5 Population and Housing..... 3.1.5-1

 3.1.6 Public Services..... 3.1.6-1

 3.1.7 Recreation 3.1.7-1

 3.1.8 Utilities and Service Systems..... 3.1.8-1

 3.1.9 Energy..... 3.1.9-1

 3.1.10 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes Resultant from
 Project Implementation 3.10-1

3.2 Effects Found Not Significant during Initial Study 3.2-1

4 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES 4-1

4.1 Scope and Purpose 4-1

4.2 Criteria for Selection and Analysis of Alternatives 4-5

 4.2.1 Project Purpose and Objectives 4-5

 4.2.2 Feasibility..... 4-6

 4.2.3 Evaluation of Significant Impacts..... 4-6

4.3 Rationale for the Selection of Alternatives 4-7

 4.3.1 Alternatives Considered But Rejected From Further Analysis..... 4-10

 4.3.2 Alternatives Under Consideration..... 4-13

4.4 Analysis of the No Project (No Build) Alternative..... 4-14

 4.4.1 No Project Alternative Description and Setting..... 4-14

 4.4.2 Comparison of the Effects of the No Project Alternative to the
 Proposed Project 4-14

 4.4.3 Relation to Project Objectives 4-23

 4.4.4 Feasibility..... 4-23

 4.4.5 Evaluation of Significant Impacts..... 4-23

4.5 Analysis of the Low Density Alternative..... 4-24

 4.5.1 Low Density Alternative Description and Setting 4-24

4.5.2	Comparison of the Effects of the Low Density Alternative to the Proposed Project	4-24
4.5.3	Relation to Project Objectives	4-34
4.5.4	Feasibility.....	4-35
4.5.5	Evaluation of Significant Impacts.....	4-35
4.6	Analysis of the Alternate Site Location	4-36
4.6.1	Alternate Site Location Description and Setting	4-36
4.6.2	Comparison of the Effects of the Alternate Site Location Alternative to the Proposed Project	4-37
4.6.3	Relation to Project Objectives	4-48
4.6.4	Feasibility.....	4-49
4.6.5	Evaluation of Significant Impacts.....	4-50
4.7	Analysis of the GDP/SRP Proctor Valley Road Alternative	4-50
4.7.1	Otay Ranch GDP/SRP Four-Lane Proctor Valley Road Alternative (GDP/SRP Proctor Valley Road Alternative) Description and Setting.....	4-50
4.7.2	Comparison of the Effects of the GDP/SRP Proctor Valley Road Alternative to the Proposed Project.....	4-51
4.7.3	Relation to Project Objectives	4-61
4.7.4	Feasibility.....	4-62
4.7.5	Evaluation of Significant Impacts.....	4-62
4.8	Analysis of the Land Exchange Alternative	4-62
4.8.1	Land Exchange Alternative Description and Setting.....	4-62
4.8.2	Comparison of the Effects of the Land Exchange Alternative to the Proposed Project	4-64
4.8.3	Relation to Project Objectives	4-93
4.8.4	Feasibility.....	4-94
4.8.5	Evaluation of Significant Impacts.....	4-94
4.9	Otay Ranch PEIR Alternatives	4-94
4.10	Environmentally Superior Alternative	4-98
5	LIST OF REFERENCES.....	5-1
6	LIST OF EIR PREPARERS.....	6-1
6.1	Lead Agency	6-1
6.2	Preparers of the EIR.....	6-2
7	LIST OF MITIGATION MEASURES AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS.....	7-1
7.1	Mitigation Measures	7-1
7.1.1	Aesthetics	7-1
7.1.2	Agricultural and Forestry Resources	7-1

7.1.3	Air Quality	7-2
7.1.4	Biological Resources	7-4
7.1.5	Cultural Resources	7-19
7.1.6	Geology and Soils	7-23
7.1.7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	7-25
7.1.8	Noise	7-30
7.1.9	Transportation and Traffic	7-35
7.1.10	Paleontological Resources	7-39
7.1.11	Tribal Cultural Resources	7-40
7.2	Project Design Features	7-41
7.2.1	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	7-41
7.2.2	Transportation and Traffic	7-44
7.2.3	Utilities and Service Systems.....	7-45
8	LETTERS OF COMMENT AND RESPONSES.....	8-1
8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.2	List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals that Commented on the Draft EIR.....	8-2
8.3	Summary of Changes to the Draft EIR and Appendices	8-2
8.4	Thematic Responses to Comments	8-20
8.4.1	Baldwin Letter Proposal and PV1, PV2, and PV3.....	8-21
8.4.2	Golden Eagle.....	8-36
8.4.3	Quino Checkerspot Butterfly	8-49
8.4.4	Proctor Valley Road and Other Offsite Roads (located in California Dept. of Fish and Game Lands, City of Chula Vista, City of San Diego Cornerstone Lands and Whispering Meadows Lane/Valley Knolls Road)	8-55
8.4.5	Use of Carbon Offsets.....	8-60
8.4.6	CAP Consistency	8-76
8.4.7	GHG Blasting Emissions	8-84
8.4.8	SR-94 Improvements	8-86
8.4.9	Wildfire Protection and Evacuation.....	8-88
8.4.10	Community Character and Plan Consistency	8-96
8.4.11	Inducements to Growth.....	8-102
8.4.12	Water Shortage/Drought	8-107
8.4.13	Septic/Sewer	8-120
8.4.14	Alternatives.....	8-122

APPENDICES

1-1	NOP
1-2	Initial Study
1-3	NOP Comments
2-1	1993 GDP/SRP PEIR Mitigation Measure Compliance
2.3-1	Air Quality Analysis
2.4-1	Biological Resources Report
2.5-1	Cultural Resources Report - Otay Ranch GDP/SRP
2.6-1	Geotechnical Report
2.7-1	Greenhouse Gases Report
2.8-1	Noise Report
2.9-1	Transportation Impact Study
2.10-1	Paleontological Resources Study
3.1.1-1	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
3.1.1-2	Fire Protection Plan
3.1.1-3	Wildland Evacuation Plan
3.1.2-4	Hydromodification Flow Control Study
3.1.3-1	General Plan Amendment Report/Plan Consistency Analysis
3.1.4-1	Mineral Resources Report
3.1.6-1	Public Facilities Finance Plan
3.1.6-2	Otay Ranch Village 14 & Planning Areas 16/19 Public Facilities Finance Plan
3.1.6-3	Service Availability Letters
3.1.8-1	Sewer Services
3.1.8-2	Water Services
3.1.8-3	Septic Feasibility Report
3.1.8-4	Water Supply Assessment and Verification Report
3.1.9-1	Energy Conservation Plan
4.1	LEA Technical Appendices
4.1-1A	LEA Specific Plan
4.1-1B	LEA Preserve Edge Plan
4.1-1C	LEA Fire Protection Plan
4.1-1D	LEA Energy Conservation Plan
4.1-1E	LEA Public Facilities Financing Plan
4.1-1F	LEA Village Design Plan
4.1-1G	LEA Water Conservation Plan
4.1-1H	LEA Agricultural Plan
4.1-1I	LEA TM & PGP
4.1-1J	LEA General Plan Amendment Report
4.1-2	LEA Visual Simulations
4.1-3	LEA Air Quality Technical Report
4.1-4	LEA Biological Resources Technical Report
4.1-5	LEA Cultural Resources Report
4.1-6	LEA Preliminary Geotechnical Report

4.1-7 LEA Greenhouse Gas Emissions Technical Report
 4.1-8 LEA Noise Technical Report
 4.1-9 LEA Traffic Impact Analysis
 4.1-10 LEA Stormwater Quality Management Plan
 4.1-11 LEA Hydromodification Management Plan
 4.1-12 LEA Drainage Report
 4.1-13 LEA Mineral Resources Report
 4.1-14 LEA Overview of Sewer Services
 4.1-15 LEA Overview of Water Services
 4.1-16 LEA Technical Appendices Errata
 8-1 Otay Ranch Village 14 and Planning Area 16/19 On-site GHG Reduction Memo
 8.1-1 Otay Ranch Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19 On-Site Emissions Reduction Estimates
 8-2 County Response to Comments
 8-3 DEH Letter

FIGURES

1-1 Regional Map..... 1-71
 1-2 Vicinity Map 1-73
 1-3 Regional Context 1-75
 1-4 Surrounding Land Uses..... 1-77
 1-5 Proctor Valley Site Utilization Plan..... 1-79
 1-6 Parks, Recreation, Preserve, Open Space and Trails Plan 1-81
 1-7 Circulation Plan 1-83
 1-8a Landscape Concept Plan 1-85
 1-8b Landscape Concept Plan 1-87
 1-9 Conceptual Water Service Plan 1-89
 1-10 Conceptual Sewer Service Plan 1-91
 1-11 Circulation Element Amendment 1-93
 1-12 Conceptual Grading Plan 1-95
 1-13 Conceptual Phasing Plan..... 1-97
 1-14a Steep Slopes Analysis 1-99
 1-14b Steep Slopes Analysis 1-101
 1-14c Steep Slopes Analysis 1-103
 1-15 Open Space 1-105
 1-16 Cumulative Projects 1-107
 2.1-1 Resource Reserves 2.1-51
 2.1-2 Key View Locations 2.1-53
 2.1-3 Key View 1 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-55
 2.1-4 Key View 2 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-57

2.1-5 Key View 3 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-59

2.1-6 Key View 4 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-61

2.1-7 Key View 5 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-63

2.1-8 Key View 6 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-65

2.1-9 Key View 7 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-67

2.1-10 Key View 8 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-69

2.1-11 Key View 9 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-71

2.1-12 Key View 10 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-73

2.1-13 Key View 11 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-75

2.1-14 Key View 12 – Existing and Proposed Conditions..... 2.1-77

2.1-15 Viewshed Analysis – Village 14..... 2.1-79

2.1-16 Viewshed Analysis – Planning Areas 16 and 19 2.1-81

2.4-1 Project Area Ownership..... 2.4-231

2.4-2 Proctor Valley Site Utilization Plan..... 2.4-233

2.4-3a 2015 Quino Checkerspot Butterfly Survey Area 2.4-235

2.4-3b 2016 Quino Checkerspot Butterfly Survey Area 2.4-237

2.4-4 California Gnatcatcher Survey Area and Results 2.4-239

2.4-5 Burrowing Owl Survey Area 2.4-241

2.4-6 Arroyo Toad Habitat Assessment..... 2.4-243

2.4-7a Hermes Copper Survey Area 2.4-245

2.4-7b Hermes Copper Survey Area 2.4-247

2.4-8a Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-249

2.4-8b Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-251

2.4-8c Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-253

2.4-8d Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-255

2.4-8e Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-257

2.4-8f Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-259

2.4-8g Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-261

2.4-8h Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-263

2.4-8i Fairy Shrimp Survey Area and Results..... 2.4-265

2.4-9 Western Spadefoot Survey Areas 2.4-267

2.4-10 Biological Resources – Legend 2.4-269

2.4-10a Biological Resources 2.4-271

2.4-10b Biological Resources 2.4-273

2.4-10c Biological Resources 2.4-275

2.4-10d Biological Resources 2.4-277

2.4-10e Biological Resources 2.4-279

2.4-10f Biological Resources 2.4-281

2.4-10g Biological Resources 2.4-283

2.4-10h Biological Resources 2.4-285

2.4-10i	Biological Resources	2.4-287
2.4-10j	Biological Resources	2.4-289
2.4-10k	Biological Resources	2.4-291
2.4-10l	Biological Resources	2.4-293
2.4-10m	Biological Resources	2.4-295
2.4-10n	Biological Resources	2.4-297
2.4-10o	Biological Resources	2.4-299
2.4-10p	Biological Resources	2.4-301
2.4-10q	Biological Resources	2.4-303
2.4-10r	Biological Resources	2.4-305
2.4-10s	Biological Resources	2.4-307
2.4-10t	Biological Resources	2.4-309
2.4-10u	Biological Resources	2.4-311
2.4-10v	Biological Resources	2.4-313
2.4-10w	Biological Resources	2.4-315
2.4-10x	Biological Resources	2.4-317
2.4-10y	Biological Resources	2.4-319
2.4-10z	Biological Resources	2.4-321
2.4-10aa	Biological Resources	2.4-323
2.4-10bb	Biological Resources	2.4-325
2.4-10cc	Biological Resources	2.4-327
2.4-11	Critical Habitat.....	2.4-329
2.4-12	Simplified Map of Vegetation Communities, 2016 Quino Host Plant, and Historical Locations	2.4-331
2.4-12a	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-333
2.4-12b	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-335
2.4-12c	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-337
2.4-12d	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-339
2.4-12e	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-341
2.4-12f	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-343
2.4-12g	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-345
2.4-12h	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-347
2.4-12i	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-349
2.4-12j	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-351
2.4-12k	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-353
2.4-12l	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-355
2.4-12m	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-357
2.4-12n	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-359
2.4-12o	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-361
2.4-12p	2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations	2.4-363

2.4-12q 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-365

2.4-12r 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-367

2.4-12s 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-369

2.4-12t 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-371

2.4-12u 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-373

2.4-12v 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-375

2.4-12w 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-377

2.4-12x 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-379

2.4-12y 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-381

2.4-12z 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-383

2.4-12aa 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-385

2.4-12bb 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-387

2.4-12cc 2016 Quino Host Plant Mapping and Historical Locations 2.4-389

2.4-13 Vegetation Communities, 2016 Quino Host Plant, and Historical Locations 2.4-391

2.4-14 2015 Quino Host Plant Mapping, Potential Resource Areas, and
Historical Locations 2.4-451

2.4-15 Biological Resources Core Area 2.4-453

2.4-16 Wildlife Corridor and Habitat Linkages 2.4-455

2.4-17 Regional Planning Context 2.4-457

2.4-18 County of San Diego MSCP South County Sub-Area 2.4-459

2.4-18a MSCP County Sub-Area Plan..... 2.4-461

2.4-19 Impacts to Biological Resources – Legend..... 2.4-463

2.4-19a Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-465

2.4-19b Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-467

2.4-19c Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-469

2.4-19d Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-471

2.4-19e Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-473

2.4-19f Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-475

2.4-19g Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-477

2.4-19h Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-479

2.4-19i Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-481

2.4-19j Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-483

2.4-19k Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-485

2.4-19l Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-487

2.4-19m Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-489

2.4-19n Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-491

2.4-19o Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-493

2.4-19p Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-495

2.4-19q Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-497

2.4-19r Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-499

2.4-19s Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-501

2.4-19t Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-503

2.4-19u Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-505

2.4-19v Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-507

2.4-19w Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-509

2.4-19x Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-511

2.4-19y Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-513

2.4-19z Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-515

2.4-19aa Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-517

2.4-19bb Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-519

2.4-19cc Impacts to Biological Resources..... 2.4-521

2.4-20 Impacts to Critical Habitat..... 2.4-523

2.4-21 Preservation of Documented QCB Sightings in County Subarea Plan..... 2.4-525

2.4-22 Cumulative Analysis..... 2.4-527

2.6-1 Liquefaction Potential..... 2.6-23

2.8-1 Noise Measurement Locations..... 2.8-57

2.8-2 Modeled Roadway Segments and Off-Site Receiver Locations..... 2.8-59

2.8-3 Modeled On-Site Receiver Locations..... 2.8-61

2.8-4 Soundwall Locations..... 2.8-63

2.8-5 Off-Site Noise Impacts – M8/R14..... 2.8-65

2.8-6 Nearest Existing Off-Site Noise-Sensitive Land Uses..... 2.8-67

2.9-1a Study Area Intersection Locations..... 2.9-163

2.9-1b Existing Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Volumes (1-19)..... 2.9-165

2.9-1c Existing Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Volumes (20-38)..... 2.9-167

2.9-1d Existing Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Volumes (39-41)..... 2.9-169

2.9-2a Existing Conditions Roadway Geometry..... 2.9-171

2.9-2b Existing Conditions Roadway Volumes..... 2.9-173

2.9-3 Daily Roadway Segment Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project Build
Out Conditions..... 2.9-175

2.9-4 Daily Roadway Segment Traffic Volumes – Year 2025
Cumulative Conditions..... 2.9-177

2.9-5 Daily Roadway Segment Traffic Volumes – Year 2030
Cumulative Conditions..... 2.9-179

2.9-6 Daily Roadway Segment Traffic Volumes –Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions
Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property..... 2.9-181

2.9-7a Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project Build
Out Conditions..... 2.9-183

2.9-7b Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project Build
Out Conditions..... 2.9-185

2.9-7c Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project Build
Out Conditions 2.9-187

2.9-8a Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2025
Cumulative Conditions 2.9-189

2.9-8b Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2025
Cumulative Conditions 2.9-191

2.9-8c Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2025
Cumulative Conditions 2.9-193

2.9-9a Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2030 Conditions..... 2.9-195

2.9-9b Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2030 Conditions..... 2.9-197

2.9-9c Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2030 Conditions..... 2.9-199

2.9-10a Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2030 Cumulative
Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property 2.9-201

2.9-10b Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Year 2030 Cumulative
Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property 2.9-203

2.9-10c Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Conditions Plus
Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property 2.9-205

3.1.2-1 Proctor Valley Drainages 3.1.2-33

3.1.3-1 Existing General Plan Land Use Designations 3.1.3-33

3.1.3-2 Existing General Plan Regional Category Designations 3.1.3-35

3.1.3-3 Existing County Zoning Map..... 3.1.3-37

3.1.3-4 Adopted Otay Ranch GDP/SRP Land Use Designations 3.1.3-39

3.1.3-5 Otay Valley Regional Park Concept Plan..... 3.1.3-41

3.1.3-6 Corrected General Plan Regional Category Designations 3.1.3-43

3.1.3-7 Corrected General Plan Land Use Designations..... 3.1.3-45

3.1.3-8 Corrected County Zoning Map 3.1.3-47

3.1.4-1 Mineral Resource Zones 3.1.4-13

3.1.8-1 Existing Water Facilities..... 3.1.8-55

3.1.8-2 Existing Salt Creek Interceptor..... 3.1.8-57

3.1.8-3 Existing Landfill Facilities..... 3.1.8-59

3.1.8-4 Village 14 Proposed Water System 3.1.8-61

3.1.8-5 Planning Areas 16/19 Proposed Water System 3.1.8-63

3.1.8-6 Village 14 Proposed Sewer System 3.1.8-65

3.1.8-7 Planning Areas 16/19 Proposed Sewer System 3.1.8-67

4-1 Otay Ranch GDP/SRP Village 15..... 4-127

4-2 Off-site Alternatives..... 4-129

4-3 Low Density Alternative..... 4-131

4-4 Alternative Site Location 4-133

4-5 Existing GDP/SRP 4-Lane Proctor Valley Road..... 4-135

4-6 Land Exchange Alternative..... 4-137

4-7a	Phase I - Progress Plan Alternative.....	4-139
4-7b	Phase II - Progress Plan Alternative	4-141
4-7c	Fourth Alternative.....	4-143
4-7d	Project Team Alternative	4-145
4-7e	Composite General Plans Alternative.....	4-147
4-7f	Low Density Alternative.....	4-149
4-7g	Environmental Alternative.....	4-151
8-1	Existing IODs for Whispering Meadows Lane and Valley Knolls.....	125

TABLES

S-1	Summary of Significant Effects.....	S-16
S-2	Summary of Project Design Features	S-89
1-1	Land Use Summary.....	1-47
1-2	Tentative Map Waivers.....	1-49
1-3	Proposed Discretionary Approvals and Permits	1-61
1-4	Otay Ranch Steep Slopes	1-62
1-5	Project Design Features	1-63
1-6	Future Discretionary Approvals and Permits that May Be Required from Other Agencies.....	1-66
1-7	Cumulative Projects List.....	1-68
2.1-1	Consistency Analysis –Otay Ranch GDP/SRP Visual Resource Policies.....	2.1-48
2.2-1	LARA Model Factor Ratings.....	2.2-16
2.2-2	Interpretation of LARA Model Results	2.2-16
2.3-1	San Diego Air Basin Attainment Classification	2.3-44
2.3-2	Local Ambient Air Quality Data.....	2.3-45
2.3-3	Ambient Air Quality Standards	2.3-46
2.3-4	SDAPCD Air Quality Significance Thresholds.....	2.3-48
2.3-5	Regulatory Compliance Measures that Reduce Construction-Related Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions	2.3-48
2.3-6	Regulatory Compliance Measures that Reduce Operational-Related Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions	2.3-51
2.3-7	Project Design Features that Reduce Construction-Related Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions.....	2.3-52
2.3-8	Project Design Features that Reduce Operational-Related Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions.....	2.3-54
2.3-9	Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions – Unmitigated.....	2.3-59
2.3-10	Estimated Maximum Daily Operational Emissions - Unmitigated	2.3-61
2.3-11	Construction Cancer Risk Assessment Results – Unmitigated Emissions	2.3-62
2.3-12	Construction Cancer Risk Assessment Results – Mitigated Emissions.....	2.3-62

2.3-13 Construction Chronic Hazard Index Assessment Results –
Unmitigated Emissions 2.3-62

2.3-14 Construction Chronic Hazard Index Results – Mitigated Emissions..... 2.3-63

2.3-15 CALINE4 Predicted Carbon Monoxide Concentrations 2.3-63

2.3-16 Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions – Mitigated 2.3-64

2.4-1 Schedule of Surveys.....168

2.4-2 Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types in the Project Area.....175

2.4-3 Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types by Off-Site Improvement
Area (acres).....176

2.4-4 Coastal California Gnatcatcher Locations and Populations within the
Survey Area176

2.4-5 ACOE/RWQCB/CDFW-Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources within the
Project Area177

2.4-6 Summary of Direct Impacts to Special-Status Plant Species.....179

2.4-7 Summary of Impacts to Sensitive Plants and Required Mitigation – County
List A and B Species181

2.4-8 Summary of Impacts to Sensitive Plants – List C and D.....189

2.4-9 MSCP-Defined Golden Eagle SuiForaging Habitat within the Project Area.....193

2.4-10 Permanent Impacts to Special-Status Wildlife Species Present within the
Project Area or Off-Site Improvement Area, or with High Potential to Occur194

2.4-11 Impacts to Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within Village 14
and Planning Areas 16/19 (Acres)213

2.4-12 Impacts to Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within Off-Site
Improvement Areas (Acres).....215

2.4-13 Summary of Proposed Project Impacts.....216

2.4-14 Mitigation Requirements for Permanent Impacts to City of San Diego
(Cornerstone Lands).....217

2.4-15 Impacts to ACOE/RWQCB/CDFW Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources within
Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19 (Acres)218

2.4-16 Impacts to Off-Site ACOE/RWQCB/CDFW Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources
by Jurisdiction (Acres).....218

2.4-17 Improvements to Proctor Valley Road – MSCP County Subarea Plan
Consistency Analysis219

2.4-18 Summary of Siting Criteria for City of San Diego Off-Site Portion of Proctor
Valley Road and Associated Utilities220

2.4-19 Summary of Facilities Siting Criteria for City of Chula Vista Off-Site Portion
of Proctor Valley Road and Associated Utilities222

2.4-20 Estimated Otay Ranch RMP Preserve Conveyance Obligation224

2.4-21 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation for Special-Status Species, Vegetation
Communities, and Jurisdictional Areas224

2.5-1 Evaluated Resources within the ADI..... 2.5-32

2.5-2 Cultural Resources Identified in the APE but Outside the ADI 2.5-33

2.5-3 Cultural Resources Recorded Outside the APE..... 2.5-35

2.5-4 Management Summary 2.5-36

2.5-5 Resource Mitigation Measures 2.5-49

2.7-1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Sources in California..... 2.7-38

2.7-2 San Diego County Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Sectors 2.7-38

2.7-3 County of San Diego General Plan – Project Consistency Analysis 2.7-39

2.7-4 Regulatory Compliance Measures that Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2.7-43

2.7-5 Project Design Features that Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions..... 2.7-46

2.7-6 Estimated Annual Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2.7-51

2.7-7 Vegetation Removal – Estimated Loss of Sequestered Carbon..... 2.7-51

2.7-8 Estimated Total Construction and Vegetation Removal Greenhouse
Gas Emissions 2.7-51

2.7-9 Estimated Annual Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions (2028) 2.7-52

2.7-10 Planted Trees – Estimated Gain of Sequestered Carbon 2.7-52

2.7-11 Estimated Operational Annual Net Greenhouse Gas Emissions (2028)..... 2.7-52

2.7-12 San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan Consistency Analysis..... 2.7-53

2.7-13 Estimated Net GHG Emissions With Mitigation Measures (2028)..... 2.7-55

2.8-1 Typical Sound Levels in the Environment and Industry 2.8-39

2.8-2 County of San Diego Land Use Compatibility Guidelines..... 2.8-39

2.8-3 County of San Diego Noise Ordinance Sound Level Limits per Zone..... 2.8-40

2.8-4 Maximum Sound Level (Impulsive) Measured 2.8-41

2.8-5 City of Chula Vista Exterior Land Use/Noise Compatibility Guidelines..... 2.8-41

2.8-6 City of Chula Vista Exterior Noise Limits 2.8-41

2.8-7 City of Chula Vista Interior Noise Limits..... 2.8-42

2.8-8 Noise Measurement Results..... 2.8-42

2.8-9 Modeled Roadway Segments (Off-Site Receivers) 2.8-43

2.8-10 Modeled On-Site Ground-Floor Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL)..... 2.8-44

2.8-11 Modeled On-Site Second-Floor Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) 2.8-46

2.8-12 Modeled Off-Site Traffic Noise Levels 2.8-48

2.8-13 Typical Noise Levels from Construction Activities for Large
Construction Projects 2.8-52

2.8-14 Guidelines for Determining the Significance of Groundborne Vibration and
Noise Impacts..... 2.8-52

2.8-15 Guidelines for Determining the Significance of Groundborne Vibration and
Noise Impacts for Special Buildings..... 2.8-53

2.8-16 Typical Construction Equipment Vibration Levels 2.8-53

2.8-17 Modeled On-Site Ground-Floor Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) with
Noise Barriers 2.8-53

2.9-1 Freeway/State Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Existing Conditions..... 2.9-103

2.9-2 Ramp Intersection Capacity Analysis – Existing Conditions 2.9-105

2.9-3 Level of Service Definitions 2.9-105

2.9-4 County of San Diego Roadway Classification and Level of Service Standards..... 2.9-106

2.9-5 City of Chula Vista Roadway Classification and Level of Service Standards ... 2.9-106

2.9-6 Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Thresholds – With Signalized Intersection Spacing Over 1 Mile 2.9-107

2.9-7 Signalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria 2.9-107

2.9-8 Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria..... 2.9-108

2.9-9 Caltrans District 11 Freeway and State Highway Segment Level of Service..... 2.9-108

2.9-10 Traffic Flow Conditions at Ramp Intersections at Various Levels of Operation 2.9-108

2.9-11 Project Trip Generation – Build-Out 2.9-109

2.9-12 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Existing Plus Project Build-Out Conditions – County of San Diego 2.9-109

2.9-13 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Existing Plus Project Build-Out Conditions – City of Chula Vista..... 2.9-110

2.9-14 Project Trip Generation – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions..... 2.9-113

2.9-15 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions – County of San Diego 2.9-114

2.9-16 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions – City of Chula Vista..... 2.9-115

2.9-17 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions – County of San Diego 2.9-118

2.9-18 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions – City of Chula Vista..... 2.9-119

2.9-19 Otay Ranch Cumulative Land Uses Trip Generation 2.9-122

2.9-20 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property – County of San Diego..... 2.9-122

2.9-21 Roadway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property – City of Chula Vista 2.9-124

2.9-22 Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion at Intersections – Allowable Increases at Congested Intersections..... 2.9-127

2.9-23 Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results – Existing Plus Project Build-Out Conditions 2.9-129

2.9-24 Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property 2.9-132

2.9-25 Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion – Allowable Increases on Two-Lane Highways with Signalized Intersection Spacing Over 1 Mile 2.9-136

2.9-26 Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion – Allowable Increases on Two-Lane Highways with Signalized Intersection Spacing Under 1 Mile 2.9-136

2.9-27 San Diego Traffic Engineers’ Council/Institute of Traffic Engineers Measure of Significant Project Traffic Impacts..... 2.9-136

2.9-28 Two-Lane Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Existing Plus Project Conditions..... 2.9-137

2.9-29 Freeway/State Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Existing Plus Project Build-Out Conditions 2.9-137

2.9-30 Two-Lane Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-139

2.9-31 Freeway/State Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-139

2.9-32 Two-Lane Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-142

2.9-33 Freeway/State Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-142

2.9-34 Two-Lane Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property 2.9-145

2.9-35 Freeway/State Highway Segment Level of Service Results – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property 2.9-145

2.9-36 Ramp Intersection Capacity Analysis – Existing Plus Project Build-Out Conditions 2.9-149

2.9-37 Ramp Metering Analysis – Existing Plus Project Build-Out Conditions 2.9-149

2.9-38 Ramp Intersection Capacity Analysis – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-150

2.9-39 Ramp Metering Analysis – Year 2025 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-150

2.9-40 Ramp Intersection Capacity Analysis – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-151

2.9-41 Ramp Metering Analysis – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions 2.9-151

2.9-42 Ramp Intersection Capacity Analysis – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property..... 2.9-152

2.9-43 Ramp Intersection Capacity Analysis – Year 2030 Cumulative Conditions Plus Hypothetical Development of State Preserve Property..... 2.9-152

2.9-44 Year 2024 Construction Trip Generation 2.9-153

2.9-45 Worst-Case Trip Generation During Construction – Year 2024 2.9-153

2.9-46 Project Year 2024 Trip Generation..... 2.9-153

2.9-47 Residential Home-Based Automobile VMT Per Capita Baseline and Threshold Values 2.9-154

2.9-48 Project Residential Home-Based Automobile VMT Per Capita..... 2.9-154

2.9-49 Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures 2.9-155

2.9-50 Project Public Roadway Improvement Features..... 2.9-159

3.1.1-1 Fire History in the Project Area 3.1.1-35

3.1.1-2 Project Design Features: Code Exceeding or Alternative Materials and Methods Fire Safety 3.1.1-36

3.1.2-1 Summary of Pre- vs. Post-Developed Condition Flows to the Upper Otay Reservoir as Impacted by the Proposed Project..... 3.1.2-31

3.1.5-1 Population Forecasts for the County of San Diego..... 3.1.5-13

3.1.5-2 EDD Historical Civilian Labor Force for the County of San Diego..... 3.1.5-14

3.1.5-3 SANDAG Regional Housing Estimate for the San Diego Region 3.1.5-14

3.1.5-4 SANDAG Local Job Forecasts 3.1.5-14

3.1.5-5 SANDAG Local Housing Forecasts 3.1.5-14

S-1 Travel Time Standards from the Closest Fire Station* 3.1.6-12

3.1.6-1 Jamul/Dulzura Union School District – Elementary School Capacity 3.1.6-35

3.1.6-2 Chula Vista Elementary School District – Elementary School Capacity 3.1.6-35

3.1.6-3 Sweetwater Union High School District – Middle School Capacity 3.1.6-36

3.1.6-4 Sweetwater Union High School District – High School Capacity 3.1.6-37

3.1.6-5 Jamul/Dulzura Union School District – Middle School Capacity 3.1.6-37

3.1.6-6 Grossmont Union High School District – High School Capacity..... 3.1.6-38

3.1.6-7 County of San Diego Civic Facilities Inventory 3.1.6-38

3.1.6-8 Locations of San Diego County Library Facilities Serving South County..... 3.1.6-38

3.1.6-9 School Size Standards..... 3.1.6-39

3.1.6-10 Village 14 Student Generation for the Proposed Project 3.1.6-39

3.1.6-11 Planning Areas 16/19 Student Generation for the Proposed Project 3.1.6-39

3.1.7-1 Proposed Project Parkland 3.1.7-18

3.1.8-1 Otay Ranch Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19 Projected Potable Water Demands..... 3.1.8-49

3.1.8-2 Mandated Water Conservation Devices..... 3.1.8-50

3.1.8-3 Average/Normal Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment (acre-feet per year) 3.1.8-51

3.1.8-4 Single-Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment (acre-feet per year) 3.1.8-51

3.1.8-5 Multiple-Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment (acre-feet per year) 3.1.8-52

3.1.8-6 Proposed Residential Water Conservation Measures 3.1.8-52

3.1.8-7 Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19 Projected Sewage Flows 3.1.8-53

3.1.8-8 Otay Ranch Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19 Projected Sewage
Flow Summary..... 3.1.8-54

3.1.9-1 Estimated Electrical Demand – Operation..... 3.1.9-25

3.1.9-2 Estimated Natural Gas Demand – Operation..... 3.1.9-25

3.1.9-3 Hours of Operation for Construction Equipment..... 3.1.9-25

3.1.9-4 Construction Equipment Diesel Demand..... 3.1.9-26

3.1.9-5 Construction Worker Vehicle Gasoline Demand 3.1.9-26

3.1.9-6 Construction Vendor Truck Diesel Demand..... 3.1.9-27

3.1.9-7 Construction Haul Truck Diesel Demand..... 3.1.9-27

3.1.9-8 Mobile Source Fuel Consumption – Operation 3.1.9-28

4-1 Comparison of Proposed Project’s Significant Impacts to Alternatives..... 4-102

4-2 Estimated Average Daily Trips for Proposed Project vs. Low
Density Alternative 4-104

4-3 Estimated Average Daily Trips for Proposed Project vs. Alternate Site
Location Alternative 4-104

4-4 Estimated Average Daily Trips for Proposed Project vs. GDP/SRP Proctor
Valley Road Alternative 4-105

4-5 Estimated Average Daily Trips for Proposed Project vs. Land Exchange
Alternative..... 4-105

4-6A Land Exchange Alternative Combined Estimated Maximum Daily
Construction Emissions – Unmitigated 4-106

4-6B Land Exchange Alternative Estimated Maximum Daily Construction
Emissions – Mitigated..... 4-107

4-7 Land Exchange Alternative Estimated Maximum Daily
Operational Emissions 4-108

4-8A Land Exchange Alternative Construction Cancer Risk Assessment Results –
Unmitigated Emissions 4-108

4-8B Land Exchange Alternative Construction Cancer Risk Assessment Results –
Mitigated Emissions..... 4-109

4-9A Land Exchange Alternative Construction Chronic Hazard Index Assessment
Results – Unmitigated Emissions 4-109

4-9B Land Exchange Alternative Construction Chronic Hazard Index Results –
Mitigated Emissions..... 4-109

4-10 Land Exchange Alternative CALINE4 Predicted Carbon
Monoxide Concentrations..... 4-110

4-11 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation for Special-Status Species, Vegetation
Communities, and Jurisdictional Areas 4-110

4-12 Land Exchange Alternative Estimated Annual Net GHG Emissions (2028) 4-116

4-13 Land Exchange Alternative Estimated Net GHG Emissions With
Mitigation Measures (2028)..... 4-117

4-14	Land Exchange Alternative Modeled On-Site Ground-Floor Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) with Noise Barriers	4-118
4-15	Land Exchange Alternative Modeled On-Site Second-Floor Traffic Noise Levels.....	4-119
4-16	Land Exchange Alternative Modeled Off-Site Traffic Noise Levels	4-121
4-17	Land Exchange Alternative Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4-125
8-1	Summary of EIR Text Changes	8-3
8.4.3-1	Proposed Project Impacts on Quino Checkerspot Butterfly	8-52
8.4.6-1	Climate Action Plan Consistency Checklist	8-80
8.4.6-2	Proposed Project VMT Reduction Applicable to Project Commercial Land Uses.....	8-83
8.4.7-1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Blasting.....	8-85
2.7-6	Estimated Annual Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions	8-86
2.7-8	Estimated Total Construction and Vegetation Removal Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	8-86
3.1.1-2	Project Design Features: Code Exceeding or Alternative Materials and Methods Fire Safety.....	8-89

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
AB	Assembly Bill
ACOE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
ADI	area of direct impact
ADT	average daily traffic
AIA	airport influence area
ALS	advanced life support
ALUCP	airport land use compatibility plan
amsl	above mean sea level
APE	area of potential effects
AP Zone	Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
AST	aboveground storage tank
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
ASTREA	Aerial Support to Regional Enforcement Agencies
ATCM	Airborne Toxic Control Measure
BACT	best available control technology
BCC	Bird of Conservation Concern
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMO	Biological Mitigation Ordinance
BMP	best management practice
BRCA	Biological Resource Core Area
BTR	Biological Resources Technical Report
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CalARP	California Accidental Release Prevention
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CalEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CALGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
CalRecycle	California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CAP	Climate Action Plan
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CBC	California Building Code
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFC	California Fire Code

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CH4	methane
CHHSL	California Human Health Screening Level
City	City of Chula Vista
CLUP	comprehensive land use plan
cm	centimeter
CMP	Congestion Management Program
CNDDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL	community noise equivalent level
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CO	carbon monoxide
CO2	carbon dioxide
CO2e	carbon dioxide equivalent
County	County of San Diego
CPEP	Community Protection and Evacuation Plan
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CRHR	California Register of Historical Resources
CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank
CSA	County Service Area
CSC	controlled surface collection
CU	control unit
CUPA	Certified Unified Program Agency
CVESD	Chula Vista Elementary School District
CWA	Clean Water Act
dB	decibel
dBA	A-weighted decibel
DEH	County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health
DIF	Development Impact Fee
DOF	California Department of Finance
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DPR	Department of Parks and Recreation
EDR	Environmental Data Resources Inc.
EDU	equivalent dwelling unit
EIR	environmental impact report
EISA	Energy Independence and Security Act
EO	Executive Order
EOP	Emergency Operations Plan
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
EV	electric vehicle
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FESA	Federal Endangered Species Act

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FMZ	fuel modification zone
FP	Fully Protected
FPP	Fire Protection Plan
FUDS	Formerly Used Defense Site
FY	fiscal year
g	peak ground acceleration
g/L	grams per liter
GDP	General Development Plan
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographic information systems
GPA	General Plan Amendment
GPAP	General Plan Amendment Report
gpd	gallons per day
gpm	gallons per minute
GUHSD	Grossmont Union High School District
GWP	global warming potential
H&SC	Health and Safety Code
HAP	hazardous air pollutant
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HDM	Highway Design Manual
HFC	hydrofluorocarbon
HMP	hydromodification management plan
HOA	homeowner's association
HOV	high-occupancy vehicle
HRA	health risk assessment
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
Hz	Hertz
I	Interstate
IBC	International Building Code
ILV	intersecting lane volume
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
ITE	Institute of Traffic Engineers
IWMA	Integrated Waste Management Act
JDUSD	Jamul/Dulzura Union School District
kg	kilograms
kWh	kilowatt-hour
LAFCO	Local Agency Formation Commission
LARA	Local Agricultural Resource Assessment
LBP	lead-based paint
LBZ	limited building zone
LCFS	Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
LDA	Limited Development Area
Leq	equivalent sound level
LOS	level of service
LTPP	Long-Term Procurement Plan
LTRP	long-term energy resource plan
LUST	leaking underground storage tank
M-	mitigation measure
m	meter
MAF	million acre-feet
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MEIR	maximally exposed individual resident
Metropolitan	Metropolitan Water District
MHPA	Multiple Habitat Planning Area
MLD	most likely descendent
MMT	million metric tons
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
mph	miles per hour
MRZ	Mineral Resource Zone
MS4	municipal separate storm sewer system
MSCP	Multiple Species Conservation Program
MT	metric ton
MTS	Metropolitan Transit System
MWh	megawatt hour
N/A	not applicable
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAHC	Native American Heritage Commission
NCCP	Natural Communities Conservation Plan
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOP	Notice of Preparation
NO _x	oxides of nitrogen
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSLU	noise-sensitive land use
O ₃	ozone
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OHWM	ordinary high water mark
OMP1	1-Hour Ozone Maintenance Plan
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Otay Ranch GDP/SRP	Otay Ranch General Development Plan/Otay Subregional Plan, Volume II
Otay Ranch PEIR	Otay Ranch Program Environmental Impact Report
OWD	Otay Water District

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
PACE	Purchase of Agricultural Conservation Easement
pc/h/ln	passenger cars per hour per lane
PCC	Portland Cement Concrete
PDF	project design feature
PDS	County of San Diego Planning & Development Services
PEIR	Program Environmental Impact Report
PFC	perfluorocarbon
PFFP	Public Facilities Financing Plan
PG&E	Pacific Gas & Electric Company
PLDO	Park Lands Dedication Ordinance
PM10	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PM2.5	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter
POM	Preserve Owner/Manager
Porter-Cologne Act	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act
ppm	parts per million
PPV	peak particle velocity
PRC	Public Resources Code
PRG	Preliminary Remediation Goal
Proposed Project	Otay Ranch Village 14 and Planning Areas 16/19
psi	pounds per square inch
PV	photovoltaic
RAQS	Regional Air Quality Strategy
RCP	Regional Comprehensive Plan
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RFS	Renewable Fuel Standard
RMP	Resource Management Plan
RMS	root mean square
ROW	right-of-way
RPO	Resource Protection Ordinance
RPS	Renewable Portfolio Standard
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Plan
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SAB	State Allocation Board
SACTEC	San Diego Traffic Engineers' Council
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
SANDAG	San Diego Association of Governments
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SB	Senate Bill
SCIC	South Coastal Information Center
SCS	Sustainable Communities Strategy
SDAB	San Diego Air Basin
SDAPCD	San Diego Air Pollution Control District
SDCFA	San Diego County Fire Authority

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
SDCSD	San Diego County Sanitation District
SDCWA	San Diego County Water Authority
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SF6	sulfur hexafluoride
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SLIC	Spills, Leaks, Investigations, and Cleanup
SMAQMD	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
SMARA	Surface Mining and Reclamation Act
SO2	sulfur dioxide
SOx	sulfur oxides
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas
SOV	single-occupancy vehicle
SPA	Sectional Planning Area
SPA	Specific Plan Area
SR-	State Route
SRP	Subregional Plan
SSC	Species of Special Concern
SSSC	side street stop controlled
SSU	surface scrape unit
STP	shovel test pit
SUHSD	Sweetwater Union High School District
SWIS	Solid Waste Information System
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
SWQMP	Stormwater Quality Management Plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TAC	toxic air contaminant
TAF	trillion acre-feet
T-BACT	toxics best available control technology
TCP	traditional cultural property
TCR	tribal cultural resource
TDM	Transportation Demand Management
TDS	total dissolved solids
TIF	Transportation Impact Fee
TIS	Transportation Impact Study
TNM	Traffic Noise Model
UFC	Uniform Fire Code
µg/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
U.S.C.	United States Code
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USO	Uniform Sewer Ordinance
UST	underground storage tank
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan
v/c	volume-to-capacity ratio
VdB	velocity decibel

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VMP	Vibration Monitoring Plan
VOC	volatile organic compound
WFEP	Wildland Fire Evacuation Plan
WL	California Watch List
WPO	Watershed Protection, Storm Water Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance
WUI	wildland/urban interface
ZEV	zero emissions vehicle
ZNE	zero net energy

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK