I-7 PATTI ROESCH

- **I-7-1** This comment is introductory and expresses the opinions of the commenter. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required or provided.
- I-7-2 The commenter expresses concerns regarding traffic in the area, and traffic safety on Proctor Valley Road. Transportation and Traffic, Section 2.9 of the Draft EIR, analyzes the Proposed Project's traffic impacts, including impacts to Proctor Valley Road.

With respect to the reference to Proctor Valley Road, the Draft EIR identified Impacts TR-1,TR-3, TR-5, TR-7, TR-8 (segment impacts within the City of Chula Vista), TR-2a, TR-2b, TR-3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 6a, 6b, 6c, and 6d (segment impacts within the County) related to Proctor Valley Road, and recommended mitigation measures M-TR-1 (coordinating with the City of Chula Vista for the widening Proctor Valley Road), M-TR-4 (payment of County of San Diego Transportation Impact Fee), M-TR-5 through M-TR-8 (fair-share payment for improvements to Proctor Valley Road), and M-TR-9 (coordinating with the City of Chula Vista for the widening Proctor Valley Road).

With respect to traffic safety, the County refers the comment to **Response to Comment I-1-3**. As described therein, traffic safety was analyzed in Sections 2.9.3.6 and 2.9.3.7 of the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required or provided.

- **I-7-3** This comment expresses the opinions of the commenter. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required or provided.
- I-7-4 This comment refers to endangered plants, toads, animals, and relics in the area. Section 2.4, Biological Resources, and Section 2.5, Cultural Resources, of the Draft EIR fully analyzed any potential impacts relative to biological and cultural resources. With implementation of mitigation measures, as described in Sections 2.4.6 and 2.5.5 of the Draft EIR, impacts to biological and cultural resources would be mitigated to less than significant. The comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required or provided.
- I-7-5 The County acknowledges the comment and notes that it expresses general opposition to the Proposed Project. This comment does not raise any issue concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is provided or required.

September 2018 8207

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK