



In reply refer to:
FWS/R8/ES

United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Pacific Southwest Region
2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-2606
Sacramento, CA 95825



NOV 20 2018

Mark Wardlaw, Director
Planning and Development Services
County of San Diego
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, Ca 92123

Subject: Village 14, Status of Parcels PV 1, 2, 3

Dear Mr. Wardlaw,

We have reviewed the County's Subarea Plan under the San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), the Implementing Agreement, and your letter detailing your rationale for why PV 1, 2, 3 are not preserve. While it is clear to us that the intent of the MSCP and its supporting documents was for PV 1, 2, 3 to be preserve, the Fish and Wildlife Service has determined the parcels were in private ownership and not conservation status when the MSCP was finalized, and they remain in that same condition today. Since these parcels are specifically identified as areas where "Take Permits Will Not Be Issued" and also are not specifically identified for development, they fall into a gray area within the plan. Therefore, because no take has been authorized in PV 1, 2, 3 we are evaluating approaches for authorizing take in those parcels including the options considered in the County's draft Condition of Approval for the Village 14 project.

Sincerely,

Michael Fris,
Assistant Regional Director,
Pacific Southwest Region,
Sacramento, California.