COMMENTS	RESPONSES	
Comment Letter l15	Response to Comment I15-1 The County acknowledges these introductory comments; however, the raise an issue concerning the environmental analysis or adequacy of Please see the responses below to specific comments. Response to Comment I15-2	•
Ms. Ashley Smith Land Use & Environmental Planner Planning & Development Services 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310 San Diego, CA 92123 E-Mail: Ashley.Smith2@sdcounty.ca.gov	Although community character is mentioned, this comment focuses evacuation. For that reason, the remainder of this response focuses evacuation and secondary access. Please see the Global Responses Hazards Impact Analysis and Adequacy of Emergency Evacuation and	s on fire s to Fire
Dear Ms. Smith: Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the Harmony Grove Village South proposal being put forth by the Kovach Group and RCS Partners. I am a resident of the united rural communities of Eden Valley, Harmony Grove and Elfin Forest in unincorporated San Diego County, one of the last contiguous stretch of rural land and open space in the County within 15 minutes of the coast and with thousands of acres of open space. We are one of the oldest rural communities in the County, going back to the late 1800s with the establishment of the Harmony Grove Spiritualist Association where, until the most recent fires, the oldest church in San Diego stood. Tve lived in Harmony Grove for 6 years and have hiked/mountainbiked/ridden horseback/etc here for over 25years. My wife and I moved here because of the rural nature and spread-out design of housing and open space in the area.	Please see the Global Responses to Fire Hazards Impact Analy Adequacy of Emergency Evacuation and Access. Response to Comment I15-4 The comment requests review of a recent fire and evacuation in Porcomparison between Portugal and Harmony Grove Village South is a	rtugal. A
FIRE EVACUATION ISSUES: I'm very concerned about this project because it risks my safety and destroys the community character of this wonderful little area I live in. The fire evacuation issue is of utmost concern and the fact the Fire Protection Plan waives the crucial secondary access requirement is a very big deal for us. According to the DEIR, the project will help fund a shortfall that the County created when it approved the first development, HGV. We lived through Coco's Fire and evacuation was very compromised even without any new developments. We had many horse trailers clogging the roads, fire trucks, blocked traffic on both ends of our valley and it took a long time to evacuate. Harmony Grove Village had not been built yet. With HGV fully built out AND your misleadingly named HGV South, there will be another 1200 dwellings in the area which could bring 2400 more vehicles (all trying to get out). But what will NOT change are the roads in and out of our community and be proposed project. A two lane road is the only way in and out of this valley. And the County, in its infinite wisdom, has granted a variance to the secondary exit requirement. Even the DEIR's own fire studies show that Country Club is the ONLY safe way out during a fire. 1400 feet of road they propose improving prior to crossing the Escondido Creek is worthless when it comes to evacuation because what good is a wide road if it is only wider for 1400 feet. It bottlenecks right back to 2 lanes for the remainder of the several miles that it takes to get out of the fire trap we live in. Please re-analzye the fire risk, and require, at the very least, a secondary exit like all communities in Very High Fire Severity Zones. And please don't tell me that their un-official Shelter in Place 'philosophy' will lessen traffic or reduce the fire risk. It will protect the existing residents, but we will be even more at risk. And studies show most people evacuate in the shelter-in-place communities anyways. Even though their houses might not all burn, ou	because the factors and conditions related to each location are diffunknown. For example, the wildfire in Portugal had different conditions, evacuation process, emergency management oversite, fuels, number of persons and vehicles, distance to safe areas, and op temporarily refuging on-site. The Portugal wildfire was burning in eur and pine forest, which would produce a much more aggressive fire coastal sage scrub and grasslands around the Project site and larger I Grove Valley. Many other fire protection features built into the Promeasures routinely enacted by emergency personnel in San Diego Conot available and were not employed in the Portugal fire. Therefore, ne Fire Protection Plan (FPP) or EIR was revised to include this information.	roadway wildland stions for acalyptus than the Harmony oject and ounty are either the

COMMENTS

Response to Comment I15-5

Comment Letter I15

once a decade that have cost property damage and even fatalities.

WATER QUALITY: There is a proposal in this development to 'potentially' build a plane for HGVS. This seems fairly transparent as they mention many times that they would like to tie into the HGV project plant which, when approved years ago, was agreed that no future developments could tie into it. During the last rains the HGV plant failed so tragically that there was raw-sewage and toilet paper flowing in great volume down Harmony Grove Road. The current plant in the City of Escondido was leaking so much raw sewage for decades that it made San Elijo Lagoon in Encinitas the #2 polluter of CA coastline for MANY years.

#21. That means our ocean water quality was worse than the harbor in LA or Long Beach because of a failing plant in Escondido! Now another plant is proposed for a ~450 home development and it's effluents

COMMUNITY CHARACTER: I think it is important to note that placing 453 multi-family units and condos in a rural community, abutting 1000s of acres of recreational and conservation open space is completely out of character. No matter what farm-related names you want to give these condos (granary?) placing a city block's worth of density into an historic, unique rural community that is the last of its kind anywhere in Southern California goes against everything the General Plan of San Diego, our community plan and really, common decency calls for. The traffic alone generated by this project will destroy our way of life since we all live on a narrow two lane country road. 4500 average daily trips takes it from Level A to Level F according to the DEIR. This and the many other impacts will forever change the nature of this community.

treated or not would enter the creek near the already mis-functioning and poorly managed HGV plant? Not

Please do not approve this project. Our lives depend on it and our way of life too.

I15-7

115-6

Eric Neubauer

Automotive Technology Wood Technology Engineering

acceptable in any way.

Torrey Pines High School

eric.neubauer@sduhsd.net

MiraCosta College

eneubauer@miracosta.edu

www.ericneubauer.com

The Project's water reclamation facility is described in Chapter 1.0, *Project* Description, of the EIR. The water reclamation facility is subject to Waste Discharge Requirement (WDR) permits by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) similar to the WDR requirements for the existing Harmony Grove Village water reclamation plant. Water reclamation facilities are subject to monitoring and reporting program requirements which are intended to ensure the reliability of the operation and maintenance of these facilities and to protect the water quality of receiving waters. It is noted that certain significant storm events may occasionally exceed the capacity of water treatment facilities resulting in occasional overflows of effluent into receiving waters. These occasions are extremely rare, particularly in San Diego County. Project-related water quality was evaluated in FEIR Section 3.1.4, Hydrology/Water Quality, and all issues were identified as less than significant based on technical data provided in EIR technical appendices M-1, the CEQA Preliminary Hydrology/Drainage Study, M-4, the Hydraulic (Floodplain) Analyses for HGV South, and N, the Priority Development Project Storm Water Quality Management Plan.

RESPONSES

Response to Comment I15-6

It is acknowledged that the Project exceeds the number of lots (approximately 220) allowed for the site under the 2011 General Plan land use designations. Even with this increased density, the Project is considered consistent with community character. Please see the Global Response to Project Consistency with General Plan Policy LU-1.4.

Response to Comment I15-7

The County acknowledges this comment and its opposition to the Project. This comment does not raise specific issues regarding the substantive environmental analysis conducted within the EIR. The comment will be included as part of the administrative record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project. No additional response is required.