COMMENTS		RESPONSES
Comment Lett From: Bonnie Baumgartner [mailto:bonniedblb@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 5:59 AM To: Smith, Ashley, Aplass, Sarah; Horn, Bill; Ron-Roberts; Jacob, Dianne; Cox, Greg; Gaspar, Kristin; Elfin Forest Harmony Grove Town Council; marcenauditizeralcaplate/biolitons.com Subject: Re: Harmony Grove Village South Draft Environmental Impact Report (PDS2015-GM-15-002; PDS2015-Th-15-002;PDS2015-Th-15-002;PDS2015-Th-15-003;PDS2	19-1 19-2	Response to Comment 19-1 The County acknowledges these introductory comments; however, they do not raise an issue concerning the environmental analysis or adequacy of the EIR. Please see the responses below to specific comments. Response to Comment 19-2 Although community character is mentioned, this comment focuses on fire evacuation. For that reason, the remainder of this response focuses on fire evacuation and secondary access. Please see the Global Responses to Fire Hazards Impact Analysis and Adequacy of Emergency Evacuation and Access. Response to Comment 19-3 Please see the Global Responses to Fire Hazards Impact Analysis and Adequacy of Emergency Evacuation and Access. Response to Comment 19-4 The comment requests review of a recent fire and evacuation in Portugal. A comparison between Portugal and Harmony Grove Village South is not valid as the factors and conditions related to each location are different or unknown. For example, the wildfire in Portugal had different roadway conditions, evacuation process, emergency management oversite, wildland fuels, number of persons and vehicles, distance to safe areas, and options for temporarily refuging on-site. The Portugal wildfire was burning in eucalyptus and pine forest, which would produce a much more aggressive fire than the coastal sage scrub and grasslands around the Project site and larger Harmony Grove Valley. Many other fire protection features built into the Project and measures routinely enacted by emergency personnel in San Diego County are not available and were not employed in the Portugal fire. Therefore, neither the Fire Protection Plan (FPP) or EIR was revised to include this information.

COMMENTS

Response to Comment 19-5

Comment Letter 19

19-5

COMMUNITY CHARACTER: I think it is important to note that placing 453 multifamily units and condos in a rural community, abutting 1000s of acres of recreational and conservation open space is completely out of character. No matter what farm-related names you want to give these condos (granary?) placing a city block's worth of density into an historic, unique rural community that is the last of its kind anywhere in Southern California goes against everything the General Plan of San Diego, our community plan and really, common decency calls for. The traffic alone generated by this project will destroy our way of life since we all live on a narrow two lane country road. 4500 average daily trips takes it from Level A to Level F according to the DEIR. This and the many other impacts will forever change the nature of this community.

Please do not approve this project. Our lives depend on it and our way of life too.

Signed,

concerned resident Bonita Baumgartner 20049 Elfin Forest Lane Elfin Forest, CA 92029-6005 It is acknowledged that the Project exceeds the number of lots (approximately 220) allowed for the site under the 2011 General Plan land use designations. Even with this increased density, the Project is considered consistent with community character. Please see EIR Subchapter 2.1, *Aesthetics*, and the Global Response to Project Consistency with General Plan Policy LU-1.4. Specific to traffic, and the effect of Project traffic on existing levels of service (LOS), the comment regarding the Project reducing levels of service along roadways from LOS A to LOS F is incorrect. Please see EIR Tables 2.2-6 and 2.2-7.

RESPONSES

The Country Club Drive and Harmony Grove Road intersection would be improved as part of Project design (see the Project Vesting Tentative Map) to operate at LOS B. Even when all non-Project cumulative traffic is added in, identified impacts remain few. It is acknowledged that one <u>existing LOS F</u> segment (Harmony Grove Road between Kauana Loa Drive and Enterprise Street) would remain at LOS F. The County has a Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program to address cumulative impacts. As described in EIR Section 2.2.7:

...the segment is bound by two intersections, Harmony Grove Road/Kauana Loa Drive in the County and Harmony Grove Road/Enterprise Street in Escondido. The County intersection is located within the portion of Harmony Grove Road that is classified as a TIF-eligible facility. Therefore, the Project's TIF payment mitigates the shared intersection, which would improve operations on adjacent legs, both TIF and Non-TIF eligible. As such, cumulative improvements from TR-10 would apply to this impact, and implementation of mitigation measure TR-10 would be expected to reduce this cumulative impact to less than significant.

Of all the other analyzed County intersections and roadways, the only remaining LOS F impact would be a related impact at the intersection of Harmony Grove Road and Kauana Loa Drive (where Existing plus Project plus Cumulative traffic would reduce LOS from D to F). As described above, the intersection would be improved to acceptable LOS via the TIF payment.

COMMENTS	RESPONSES
	Elfin Forest Lane was not identified as carrying Project traffic as it is almost 3 miles westerly of the Project (as the bird flies) and, per County guidelines, would not carry the amount of project trips warranting LOS analysis.
	Response to Comment 19-6 The County acknowledges the opposition to the Project. This comment does not raise specific issues regarding substantive environmental analysis within the EIR. The comment will be included as part of the administrative record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.