

Bennett, Jim

To: Rebecca Falk; Crow, Leanne
Subject: RE: public comments GSP Borrego

From: Rebecca Falk <rebalk7@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2019 8:44 AM
To: Bennett, Jim <Jim.Bennett@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Crow, Leanne <Leanne.Crow@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: public comments GSP Borrego

Jim and Leanne,

Here is my first comment, more to come:

<I am concerned that the language in the body of the GSP for Mandatory Water Metering is weak (conditional, suggests rather than stipulates), even though the language in Appendix E, the Program itself, is strong (assertive of rules and mandates). Since this is the one action the farmers have agreed to as of this writing, and it is critically important, I strongly feel the language in the body of the GSP should be revised to mirror the strength of the language in Appendix E, to avoid giving the impression that all the Program's mandatory provisions aren't in fact mandatory. See draft GSP, pp. 3-39, 2nd paragraph, and E-S5, PMA #4, last sentence.

Becky