| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|-------------------|---|--------|--|---|---|--|---|
| 6/152/ 154/155 | Land Use – Semi- Rural and Rural Lands | Policy | Diversity and distinction between homes and overall subdivisions is encouraged. Homes with the same lot configurations and same model elevations should be avoided. | LU-3.1 Diversity of Residential Designations and Building Types LU-3.2 Mix of Housing Units in Large Projects Rural Subdivision Design Guidelines | | Motion: Retain staff recommendation with revision. Staff note: The same revision was adopted for Items 6, 152, 154, and 155 Maker: Steve Hutchison | |
| | | Goal | Two economically viable and socially vibrant villages | (guidance in subdivision design; not regulatory) | | Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this | |
| 7 | Land Ose - Villages | Goal | where dense residential uses, as well as commercial and industrial uses, are contained. | | | item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 8 | Land Use - Community Character | Goal | A pattern of development that conserves Valley Center's natural beauty and resources, and retains Valley Center's rural character. | GP: LU-2 Maintenance of the County's Rural Character | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 9 | Land Use - Community Character | Goal | A pattern of development that accommodates people of diverse ages, lifestyles, occupations, and interests with opportunities for village, semi-rural and rural living. | GP: LU-3 Diversity of Residential Neighborhoods | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| | Conservation and Open Space - Natural Landscapes Conservation | Policy | environmentally significant and/or sensitive resources such as undeveloped steep slopes, canyons, floodplains, ridge tops and unique scenic views in order to reinforce the rural character of the area through sensitive site design and, where appropriate, with open space easements. | GP: COS-2.1 Protection, Restoration, and Enhancement LU-6.1 Environmental Sustainability LU-6.3 Conservation-Oriented Project Design LU-6.4 Sustainable Subdivision Design LU-6.7 Open Space Network LU-6.6 Integration of Natural Features into Project Design LU-6.9 Development Conformance with Topography Rural Subdivision Design Guidelines (guidance in subdivision design; not regulatory) Resource Protection Ordinance County Guidelines for Determining Significance | | Maker: Lisa Adams Second: Steve Hutchison Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | The policy would need additional clarity to be enforced and would ideally separate out different resources. In addition, there are other policies carried forward that address the issues, including Items 165, 406, 14, 86, 171, 160, 161, 429, 427, 85, 55, 425, 15, 422, 153, and 148. |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| ltem # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|---|--------|--|--|---|---|--|
| 14 | Conservation and Open Space - Natural Landscapes Conservation | Policy | Require preservation of unique features such as oak woodlands, riparian habitats, steep slopes, archaeological sites, and ecologically sensitive areas. | COS-2.1 Protection, Restoration, and | | Motion: Recommend inclusion with modifying to change the word "require" to "encourage." Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | |
| 15 | Conservation and Open Space - Aesthetic Resources | Policy | Prohibit ridgeline residential development unless it can be shown through a viewshed analysis that there would be only minimal impact to adjacent properties. | GP: COS-11.1 Protection of Scenic Resources COS-11.2 Scenic Resource Connections COS-12.2 Development Location on Ridgelines | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 17 | Land Use - Community Character | Policy | Require new residential development to adhere to site design standards which are consistent with the character and scale of a rural community. The following elements are particularly important: Roads that follow topography and minimize grading; Built environment that is integrated into the natural setting and topography; Grading that follows natural contours and does not disturb the natural terrain; Structure design and situating that allows preservation of the site's natural assets; Retention of natural vegetation, agricultural groves, rock outcroppings, riparian habitats and drainage areas. | GP: LU-6.4 Sustainable Subdivision Design LU-6.6 Integration of Natural Features into Project Design LU-6.9 Development Conformance with Topography Residential Subdivision Design Guidelines (guidance in subdivision design; not regulatory) | | Staff accidentally left this off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations. | These issues are covered by other policies now, so it would be repetitive. -All grading disturbs the natural terrain -Owners cannot be required to retain agriculture unless it's part of conditioned mitigation for an on-site agricultural conservation easement, or for purchase of off-site PACE credits/easements. GP Policy COS-6.2 details policy requirements to minimize impacts to agriculture Retaining all natural vegetation in all VC projects is not feasible when seeking to get close to allowed GP densities. |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|--|------|---|--|---|--|--|
| 18 | Mobility - Road Planning and Design | | the North and South Villages to construct roads that blend into the natural terrain and avoid "urbanizing" improvements such as widening, straightening, flattening and the installation of curbs, gutters, and | M-4.5 Context Sensitive Road Design | | Motion: Recommend inclusion with revision: " require new residential developments outside of the North and South Villages to construct roads" Maker: Steve Hutchison Second: Kevin Smith Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 1 | Recommend Removal This is covered in the Valley Center Community Right of Way Development Standards, which are in effect now. |
| 22 | Land Use - Conservation Subdivision and Clustering | | Once the appropriate number of lots has been established, the developer may elect to "cluster" or "lot area average" to lots of a minimum 0.5 acre in size in a Specific Plan Area Land Use Designation, no minimum lot size in the Village Area and a minimum lot size of 0.5 acre in size in SR-1 and SR-2, 1 acre in SR-4, and 2.5 acres in SR-10 provided the project is sewered, and providing that: a. The property contains significant environmental resources (such as important, rare, or endangered biological and/or animal habitat, floodplains, drainages, rock outcroppings, or archaeological and cultural resources) which would best be protected and preserved through the irrevocable dedication of these areas as Open Space easements to the County or another approved conservation agency. AND: b. Forty (40) percent of the gross acreage of the property is placed into permanent open space. Whenever possible, a link should be provided between all open space uses within the property. | GP: LU-6.3 Conservation-Oriented Project Design | | Motion: Accept staff revision Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Steve Hutchison Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 24 | Land Use - Community Character | - | would increase the cost of extending infrastructure (roads, utilizes) and would defeat the intention of the community development model. | GP: LU-1.4 Village Expansion LU-12.1 Concurrency of Infrastructure and Services with Development | | Motion: Recommend inclusion with revision: Avoid discontinuous development density that would increase the cost of extending infrastructure (roads, utilities) and would defeat the intention of the community development model. Maker: Steve Hutchison Second: Kevin Smith Vote: Ayes: 4 Noes: 1 | "Discontinuous development density" is too vague. The assumed intent is covered by General Plan policies LU-1.4 and LU-12.1. Refer to the Existing Matrix for additional information on this item. |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|--------------------------|--------|--|--|---|--|---|
| 25 | Land Use - Commercial | Goal | Commercial uses are concentrated within the boundaries of two compact scale, "rural villages" that are consistent in scale and design with a rural residential and agricultural community. | GP: LU-11.1 Location and Connectivity | | Motion: Modify by removing "low density" for item 25, retain item 28 and remove item 32. Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Note: Revisit white items considered. Add item 26 and 30. | |
| 26 | Land Use - Commercial | Policy | and Valley Center Road area and the Mirar de Valle Road and Valley Center Road area. Exceptions to | GP: LU-9.6 Town Center Uses LU-11.1 Location and Connectivity LU-11.3 Pedestrian-Oriented Commercial Centers | | Motion: Leave items 26 and 30 on consent Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | Staff input: This item should be considered by the CPG in comparison with Items 33 and 247 in this section. There is an apparent policy inconsistency issue. "Uses" becomes more problematic when considering existing commercial zoning outside the Villages and when considering certain commercial uses that can be allowed in residential and agricultural zones. An option would be to connect it to the establishment of commercial zones on sites where there wasn't already a commercial zone. Potential revision from staff: The establishment of new commercial zoning (C30, C31, C32, C34, C35, C36, C37, C38, C40, C42, C44, and C46) on sites where there is not an existing commercial zone, shall be limited to within the Village boundaries. Exceptions to this policy can be made for those parcels that were zoned commercial in July 2011 and were rezoned to a non-commercial use regulation with the adoption of the General Plan Update in August 2011. |
| 28 | Land Use - Commercial | Policy | Mobility Element roads or local roads which meet the standards of the County of San Diego. Whenever | Designated Roads | | Motion: Modify by removing "low density" for item 25, retain item 28 and remove item 32. Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Note: Revisit white items considered. Add item 26 and 30. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|--------------------------|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 30 | Land Use - Commercial | Policy | Future commercial development shall be planned so that strip commercial development will be avoided. Exceptions to this policy can be made for those parcels that were zoned commercial in July 2011, and were rezoned to a non-commercial use regulation with the adoption of the General Plan Update in August 2011. | GP: LU-9.6 Town Center Uses LU-11.3 Pedestrian-Oriented Commercial Centers | | Motion: Leave items 26 and 30 on consent Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 31 | Land Use - Commercial | Policy | functionally or visually with adjacent land uses or the rural atmosphere of the community. | GP: LU-11.2 Compatibility with Community Character Valley Center Design Guidelines | | Motion: Include in consideration for Design Guidelines and retain in Community Plan. Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 4 Noes: 0 | The wording is not clear and open to various interpretations. This type of issue is better suited for specific Design Guidelines standards. |
| 33 | Land Use - Commercial | Policy | Discourage commercial and civic uses outside of the Villages. Exceptions to this policy can be made for those parcels that were zoned commercial in July 2011, and were rezoned to a non-commercial use regulation with the adoption of the GP Update in August 2011. | | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | Staff input: This item should be considered by the CPG in comparison with Items 26 and 247 in this section. There is an apparent policy inconsistency issue. "Uses" becomes more problematic when considering existing commercial zoning outside the Villages and when considering certain commercial uses that can be allowed in residential and agricultural zones. An option would be to connect it to the establishment of commercial zones on sites where wasn't already a commercial zone. The staff revision proposed in Item 26 would cover this policy also. |
| 35 | Land Use - Industrial | Goal | Well planned and contained industrial uses which are clean, non-polluting, and compatible with the rural low density residential character of the community. | GP: LU-11.2 Compatibility with Community Character LU-10.4 Commercial and Industrial Development | | Motion: Retain staff recommendation on items 35, 36, 37, 39 and 40. Item 36 "FEMA" will change to "County mapped" Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| 36 | Land Use - Industrial Goal | The retention of established industrial uses outside of the FEMA floodway. | GP: S-9.2 Development in Floodplains | | Motion: Retain staff recommendation on items 35, 36, 37, 39 and 40. Item 36 "FEMA" will change to "County mapped" Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | |
| 41 | Land Use - Industrial Policy | There are six parcels located along Keys Creek, adjacent to the southern boundary of the North Village, which have a history of industrial uses and are mostly within the County-mapped floodway. If updated floodplain/floodway mapping is completed for this area and it shows the properties are no longer in the floodway, a Limited Impact Industrial General Plan land use designation is recommended for the properties, along with zoning components consistent with that land use designation. | GP: S-10.1 Land Uses within Floodways | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 42 | Land Use - Goal Agriculture | Preserve and enhance existing and future agricultural uses in the Valley Center Community Plan. | GP: LU-7 Agricultural Conservation | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 43 | Land Use - Agriculture | Support continued agricultural uses and agriculture supporting businesses in the CPA, by providing areas of A72 (General Agricultural) zoning, areas of commercial zoning that allows open storage of products supporting agriculture (C37, C38, and C40), and the continued widespread application of A70 (Limited Agricultural) zoning. | LU-7.1 Agricultural Land Development COS-6.2 Protection of Agricultural | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 44/249 | Land Use - Policy Agriculture | Support the continued promotion of the Purchase of Agricultural Conservation Easements (PACE) program and outreach to eligible property owners. Encourage property owner participation in this program, in order to preserve agriculture in Valley Center. | f GP: COS-6.4 Conservation Easements Purchase of Agricultural Conservation Easements (PACE) Program | | Motion: Accept staff recommendation Maker: Lisa Adams Second: Kevin Smith Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| ltem # | New Element / Section | Type | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|--|--------|---|--|---|--|---|
| 47 | Mobility - Road Planning and Design | Goal | A circulation system that achieves the combined objectives of connectivity and safety for all users (automobiles, bicyclists, equestrians and pedestrians), and also preserves the rural character of the community. | GP: LU-2.9 Maintaining Rural Character M-1 Balanced Road Network | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 49 | Mobility - Road Planning and Design | Policy | Road design shall reflect the rural character and needs unique to the Planning Area. For example, turn radii shall be such that agricultural vehicles and equestrian rigs can be safely accommodated. | GP: M-4.3 Rural Roads Compatible with Rural Character | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 50 | Mobility - Access and Connectivity | Policy | Conflicting traffic movements such as uncontrolled access and uncontrolled intersections shall be minimized. | GP: M-4 Safe and Compatible Roads County Public Road Standards | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 51 | Mobility - Road Planning and Design | Policy | Road alignment shall minimize the necessity of altering the landscape by following, as much as possible, the contours of the existing, natural topography without sacrificing safety or sight distance criteria. | GP: M-2.3 Environmentally Sensitive Road Design M-4.5 Context Sensitive Road Design | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 54 | Mobility - Access and Connectivity | Policy | To promote unimpeded traffic flow in commercial areas, minimize direct access points to Mobility Element roads by recommending that commercial development provide indirect access through the use of existing road access points, loop or frontage roads, common driveways or similar means. | GP: M-2.2 Access to Mobility Element Designated Roads County Public Road Standards | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 55 | Mobility - Scenic Routes | Policy | Woods Valley Road from Valley Center Road, east to Paradise Mountain Road and, Lilac Road from Old Castle Road to Highway 76 are significant aesthetic resources. Future improvements should maintain as much of their original character as possible without compromising safety. | M-4.5 Context Sensitive Road Design | | Motion: Retain staff recommendation on items #53, #55, #56, #59, #60, #61 Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|---|--------|---|--|---|---|---|
| 62 | Land Use - Wastewater and Imported Water | Goal | | GP: LU-12 Infrastructure and Services Supporting Development | | Motion: Keep item 62 with the following change: Adopt an active program of coordination between the allowable growth of population and the infrastructure serving it, to ensure compliance, that the public welfare and safety are prioritized. Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Kevin Smith Vote: Ayes: 4 Noes: 0 | Recommend Removal This is addressed in General Plan Goal LU-12 and development projects already address this through required service availability forms (and associated project conditions) for water, sewer, fire protection, and schools, as applicable. Projects are also conditioned to address transportation impacts through project conditions for transportation infrastructure improvements. |
| 64 | Safety – Fire Protection | Goal | Protect all life and property from fire hazard potential and minimize those elements within the natural and human-made environment which pose a clear and significant fire hazard. Ensure adequate levels of fire protection. | GP: S-3 Minimized Fire Hazards | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 68 | Land Use - Schools | Goal | Ensure the provision of adequate services and facilities to meet the educational needs of all the residents of the CPA. | GP: LU-17 Adequate Education | | Motion: Recommend inclusion Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | Recommend Removal This is covered by General Plan Goal LU-17 and there were no underlying policies recommended by the Subcommittee or the public |
| 70 | Conservation and Open Space - Active Recreation Parks | Policy | Encourage the development of schools in conjunction with neighborhood and community recreation facilities. | GP: LU-17.2 Compatibility of Schools with Adjoining Uses | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 72 | Land Use - Wastewater and Imported Water | Policy | Support the continued development of emergency storage facilities located near demand areas and away from earthquake faults. | GP: LU-13.1 Adequacy of Water Supply COS-4.5 Recycled Water COS-19.2 Recycled Water in New Development | | Motion: Adopt staff revision. Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | |
| 75 | Land Use - Wastewater and Imported Water | Goal | Ensure the provision of sewage disposal and treatment in a manner that minimizes any adverse impacts to the health, safety and welfare of the community. | GP: LU-14 Adequate Wastewater Facilities | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|--|--------|---|---|---|--|---|
| 76 | Conservation and Open Space - Natural Landscapes Conservation | Goal | Preserve Valley Center's unique, natural and cultural resources while supporting its traditional semi-rural lifestyle. | | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 77 | Conservation and Open Space - Natural Landscapes Conservation | Goal | wildlife habitat in the Valley Center Community Plan | GP: COS-2 Sustainability of the Natural Environment | | Motion: Recommend inclusion and change to "Minimize the disturbance of native vegetation and wildlife habitat in the Valley Center CPA' Maker: Steve Hutchison Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | |
| 78 | Conservation and Open Space - Grading and Erosion | Goal | order to preserve valley Center's unique soil | GP: COS-5 Protection and Maintenance of Water Resources Grading Ordinance Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Ordinance | | Motion: Recommend inclusion. Maker: Pam Wiedenkeller Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 | |
| | Conservation and Open Space - Trails and Pathways | Goal | Encourage and promote a system of interconnecting trails and paths for horses, pedestrians and bicyclists. | GP: COS-21 Park and Recreational Facilities Community Trails Master Plan (CTMP) | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 80 | Land Use - Community Character | Goal | Provide for a "dark sky" which would retain the rural setting and not detract from astronomical research at Palomar Mountain. | GP: COS-13 Dark Skies County Light Pollution Code | | Motion: Include item Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Lisa Adams Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 85 | Conservation and Open Space - Wetlands and Riparian Habitat Conservation | Policy | habitat should be minimized and only allowed when alternatives have been considered and determined infeasible. | LU-6.1 Environmental Sustainability COS-3.1 Wetland Protection COS-3.2 Minimize Impacts of Development | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|---------------------------|--|--------|--|--|---|--|---|
| 86 | Conservation and Open Space - Natural Landscapes Conservation | Policy | Projects within or in the vicinity of a Resource Conservation Area should be designed to minimize adverse impacts on the resources the RCA was created to protect. | GP: COS-2.1 Protection, Restoration and Enhancement COS-2.2 Habitat Protection through Site Design | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 88/91/ 163/167/ 413 | | | Preserve healthy large native and specimen trees as a way to retain community character, wildlife habitat, and for soil preservation. Native trees of particular concern are coast live oaks, California sycamores, Coulter pines, willows, and cottonwoods. However, specimen trees of other species are to be considered as well. Discretionary projects should avoid removal of such trees. If removal is unavoidable during construction, such trees must be replaced with at least an equal number of native or compatible specimen trees on the project property. A large tree has a trunk diameter of at least eight inches, measured four feet above the ground, and a specimen tree is one contained in a 24-inch box or larger with a diameter of at four inches, measured two feet above the box. | COS-2.1 Protection, Restoration and Enhancement LU-6.6 Integration of Natural Features into Project Design Valley Center Design Guidelines | | Motion: Recommend combining items 88, 91, 163, 167 and 413, and revising. Proposed revision: Preserve healthy large native and specimen trees as a way to retain community character, wildlife habitat, and for soil preservation. Native trees of particular concern are Coast Live Oaks, California Sycamores, Coulter Pines, Willows, and Cottonwoods. However, specimen trees of other species are to be considered as well. Discretionary projects should avoid removal of such trees. But if unavoidable during construction, such trees must be replaced with at least an equal number of native or compatible specimen trees on the project property. A large tree has a trunk diameter of at least eight (8) inches measured four (4) feet above the ground and a specimen tree is one contained in a 24 inch box or larger with a diameter of at least four (4) inches two (2) feet above the box. Maker: Steve Hutchison Second: Kevin Smith Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 90 | Conservation and Open Space - Grading and Erosion | Policy | Landscaping should be required to prevent erosion on graded sites and, if the area is contiguous with undisturbed wildlife habitat, the plan should include revegetation with native plant species. | LU-6.5 Sustainable Stormwater | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|---------|---|--------|---|--|---|--|---|
| | Conservation and Open Space - Trails and Pathways | Policy | During the discretionary permit process, encourage the dedication of trails to form a local trail network with a central unifying hub near Cole Grade and Valley Center Roads. | GP: M-12.4 Land Dedication for Trails COS-21.5 Connection to Trails and Networks COS-24.1 Park and Recreation Contributions Community Trails Master Plan Regional Trails Plan | | Motion: Recommend inclusion of original text. Maker: Steve Hutchison Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 102 | Conservation and Open Space – Historic Resources | Policy | Pursue the possibility of obtaining funding from the State Office of Historic Preservation to survey the Valley Center area and nominate all significant resources to the National Register of Historic Places or the County Register. | GP: COS-8.1 Preservation and Adaptive Reuse | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 104 | Conservation and Open Space – Historic Resources | Policy | During the discretionary project review process, it is recommended that all sites with significant historic resources receive an "H" zoning special area designator, so that future development will be subject to the Historic Landmark regulations of the Zoning Ordinance. | GP: COS-8.1 Preservation and Adaptive Reuse Zoning Ordinance Section 5700-5749 | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 105/480 | Conservation and Open Space - Protected Open Space | Goal | Support a system of open space that preserves the unique natural elements of the community, enhances recreational opportunities, conserves scenic resources, and helps to retain the rural community character. | GP: COS-1 Inter-connected Preserve System | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| | Conservation and Open Space - Protected Open Space | Policy | Strive for a functional open space system with passive recreational opportunities where feasible. | GP: COS-1 Inter-connected Preserve System COS-23.2 Regional Coordination | | Motion: Accept staff revision for item 108 and accept staff revision with removal of word "existing" on item 110. Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 109 | Conservation and Open Space - Protected Open Space | Policy | Support efforts by individual citizens and private organizations interested in preserving open space. | GP: COS-1.10 Public Involvement COS-1.11 Volunteer Preserve Monitor | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| ltem # | Section | уре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|---|-----|---|---|---|--|---|
| 110 | Conservation and Open Space - Protected Open Space | • | Design new residential development to preserve public access points to surrounding open space. | GP: LU-6.3 Conservation-Oriented Project Design LU-6.4 Sustainable Subdivision Design LU-6.7 Open Space Network COS-1.1 Coordinated Preserve System | | Motion: Accept staff revision for item 108 and accept staff revision with removal of word "existing" on item 110. Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 112 | Safety - Floodplains Poli | | Support low intensity land use zoning in undeveloped mapped floodplains, such as agricultural and low density residential zoning, to protect downstream areas from flooding hazards, to minimize impacts on wildlife habitat and to provide scenic open space. | | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 116 | Conservation and Open Space - Active Recreation Parks | - | Development of local and neighborhood park and recreation facilities is encouraged through continued collaboration with public agencies whenever possible. This collaboration may include Joint Powers Agreements or similar mechanisms to promote cooperation in development, operation and maintenance. | GP: LU-18.2 Colocation of Civic Uses COS-21.2 Location of Parks | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 118 | Conservation and Open Space - Active Recreation Parks | | Establish priorities and encourage the acquisition of park lands while minimizing public costs. Implement a park acquisition program which will use all possible acquisition and funding mechanisms. | | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 119 | Conservation and Open Space - Protected Open Space | icy | Acquire outstanding scenic areas; cultural, biological and historic resources. The establishment of preserve locations and design shall incorporate these features whenever possible. | GP: COS-21.2 Location of Parks COS-21.3 Park Design County Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) Park Design Manual | | Motion: Accept staff recommendation of 119 and 122 with revision to 122. Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 120 | Conservation and Open Space - Active Recreation Parks | | When park land dedication is required for specific plans that include residential uses, dedicated land shall include one or more areas of relatively flat land suitable for play fields and similar local park activities. | | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- = Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Subcommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|--------|---|--------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | Conservation and Open Space - Trails and Pathways | Policy | Provide riding and hiking trails, staging areas and other facilities within parks when appropriate. | GP: COS-21.5 Connections to Trails and Networks | | Motion : Accept staff recommendation of 119 and 122 with revision to 122: "complement the Valley Center Trails Master Plan". | |
| 122 | | | | Community Trails Master Plan | | Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 123 | Noise | Goal | | GP: LU-2.8 Mitigation of Development Impacts N-2 Protection of Noise Sensitive Uses N-4 Transportation-Related Noise Generators N-5 Non-transportation-Related Noise Sources | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 124 | Noise | Policy | circulation patterns that will minimize noise in | GP: N-1.2 Noise Management Strategies N-2.1 Development Impacts to Noise Sensitive Land Uses N-2.2 Balconies and Patios LU-2.8 Mitigation of Development Impacts LU-9.3 Village and Community Core Guidelines and Regulations | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |
| 125 | Noise | Policy | Levels (CNELs) exceeding 60 decibels near main | GP: N-1.2 Noise Management Strategies N-2.1 Development Impacts to Noise Sensitive Land Uses N-2.2 Balconies and Patios LU-2.8 Mitigation of Development Impacts LU-9.3 Village and Community Core Guidelines and Regulations County Noise Ordinance | | Motion: Recommend inclusion with revision "Permit residential development in the North and South Villages with projected exterior Community Noise Equivalent Levels (CNELs) exceeding 60 decibels near main roads only when traffic noise impacts can be mitigated. " Maker: Kevin Smith Second: Pam Wiedenkeller Vote: Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 | |
| 126 | Safety - Floodplains | Policy | Design subdivisions to retain natural and landscaped sound barriers in preference to earth berms or walls, where they are needed. | GP: N-1.3 Sound Walls COS-11.3 Development Siting and Design | | The Subcommittee recommended inclusion of this item as part of the consent calendar during the Subcommittee's public meeting review process. | |

- = Items staff accidentally left off the order by category document used for Subcommittee deliberations
- A few new proposed goals to fill in gaps in the organization (addressing policies carried forward in the process that lacked a corresponding goal)
- A few Land Use Commercial policies carried forward that posed internal inconsistency issues. You'll see a staff recommended solution (also highlighted in yellow) is proposed in the dot points beneath these items in the Organization Document.
- Items where the Subcommittee and staff have different recommendations

Header Descriptions

Header Descriptions

| Item # | New Element / Section | Туре | Text | Related General Plan/Community Plan Goal/Policy or Other Regulations (Empty Cells = N/A) | Referenced Related Existing VC CP Text | CPU Sucommittee Deliberations | Staff Recommendation (if different from Subcommittee) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Unique number | Updated new | Either goal or policy. For | Text of the goal or | Number identifier and title of | Text of the | Deliberations by the CPU Subcommittee during the public meeting review process. | Recommendations |
| identifier given to | "Element" | each goal, there must be at | policy as it currently | the existing General Plan or | referenced similar | | developed by County staff |
| each existing and | (community plan | least one supporting | appears or is proposed | Community goals and policies | existing Community | If the item was not included as part of the Subcommittee deliberations, the text either reflects that the item was | for consideration by the |
| proposed goal or | section) where | policy. There is no limit on | for the Valley Center | related to the proposed text | Plan goal or policy. | recommended inclusion as part of the consent calendar or is covered by one of the two highlighting conventions, as | Community Planning Group |
| policy | goal/policy is | the number of policies per | Community Plan or as | of the item covered in the | | explained in the highlighted rows. | and public at large. If there is |
| | contained, and sub- | goal. | l | row. Community plan goals or | | | no text in this cell, the staff |
| | section within the | | or policy. This text | policies should not repeat | referenced similar | | recommendation is in |
| | Element. | | _ | General Plan goals and policies | _ | | agreement with the |
| | | | | | goals or policies is | | Subcommittee motion. |
| | | | for inclusion or inclusion | | provided as a the last | | |
| | | | with revision by the CPU | | few pages of the | | Recommend Inclusion |
| | | | | specific to Valley Center. This | matrices (also PDF | | Staff does not find any issues |
| | | | 1 | column also references other | bookmarked). | | and recommends inclusion |
| | | | | existing regulations that cover | | | of the goal or policy in the |
| | | | | (or are related to) the issue(s) | | | Community Plan Update. |
| | | | | in the proposed text of the | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | item. | | | Recommend Removal |
| | | | | | | | The goal or policy is not |
| | | | | | | | recommended to be |
| | | | | | | | included in the Community |
| | | | | | | | Plan Update. Issues staff has |
| | | | | | | | found are explained in the |
| | | | | | | | staff recommendation cell of |
| | | | | | | | the applicable row. |

| Land Use | General Plan Goal/Policy | Text |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Laria OSC | General Flan Godiyi oney | A regionally managed, inter-connected preserve system that embodies the regional |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-1 Inter-Connected Preserve System | biological diversity of San Diego County. |
| | | Identify and develop a coordinated biological preserve system that includes Pre-Approved |
| Consoniation & Onen Space | COS 1.1. Coordinated Drasama Sustam | Mitigation Areas, Biological Resource Core Areas, wildlife corridors, and linkages to allow |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-1.1 Coordinated Preserve System | wildlife to travel throughout their habitat ranges. Collaborate with other jurisdictions and trustee agencies to achieve well-defined common |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-1.4 Collaboration with Other Jurisdictions | resource preservation and management goals. |
| | | Require new development adjacent to biological preserves to use non-invasive plants in |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-1.9 Invasive Species | landscaping. Encourage the removal of invasive plants within preserves. |
| | | Ensure an open, transparent, and inclusive decision-making process by involving the public |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-1.10 Public Involvement | throughout the course of planning and implementation of habitat conservation plans and resource management plans. |
| Conservation a open opace | | Encourage the formation of volunteer preserve managers that are incorporated into each |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-1.11 Volunteer Preserve Monitor | community planning group to supplement professional enforcement staff. |
| | | Sustainable ecosystems with long-term viability to maintain natural processes, sensitive |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-2 Sustainability of the Natural Environment | lands, and sensitive as well as common species, coupled with sustainable growth and development. |
| Conservation & Open Space | 2 Sustainability of the Natural Environment | Protect and enhance natural wildlife habitat outside of preserves as development occurs |
| | | according to the underlying land use designation. Limit the degradation of regionally |
| | | important natural habitats within the Semi-Rural and Rural Lands regional categories, as well |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-2.1 Protection, Restoration and Enhancement | as within Village lands where appropriate. |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-2.2 Habitat Protection through Site Design | Require development to be sited in the least biologically sensitive areas and minimize the loss of natural habitat through site design. |
| Conservation & Open Space | CO3-2.2 Habitat Frotection through Site Design | Require development to preserve existing natural wetland areas and associated transitional |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-3.1 Wetland Protection | riparian and upland buffers and retain opportunities for enhancement. |
| | | |
| | | Require development projects to: |
| | | ■ Mitigate any unavoidable losses of wetlands, including its habitat functions and values; and |
| | | ■ Protect wetlands, including vernal pools, from a variety of discharges and activities, such |
| | | as dredging or adding fill material, exposure to pollutants such as nutrients, |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-3.2 Minimize Impacts of Development | hydromodification, land and vegetation clearing, and the introduction of invasive species. |
| | | Require development to reduce the waste of potable water through use of efficient |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-4.1 Water Conservation | technologies and conservation efforts that minimize the County's dependence on imported water and conserve groundwater resources. |
| Conservation & Open Space | CO3-4.1 Water Conservation | water and conserve groundwater resources. |
| | | Require efficient irrigation systems and in new development encourage the use of native |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-4.2 Drought-Efficient Landscaping | plant species and non-invasive drought tolerant/low water use plants in landscaping. |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-4.5 Recycled Water | Promote the use of recycled water and gray water systems where feasible. |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-5 Protection and Maintenance of Water Resources | Protection and maintenance of local reservoirs, watersheds, aquifer-recharge areas, and natural drainage systems to maintain high-quality water resources. |
| conservation a open space | eos s rrocectori una mantenance or water nesources | Restrict development in floodways and floodplains in accordance with policies in the Flood |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-5.1 Impact to Floodways and Floodplains | Hazards section of the Safety Element. |
| | | Require development to be appropriately sited and to incorporate measures to retain |
| | | natural flow regimes, thereby protecting downslope areas from erosion, capturing runoff to adequately allow for filtration and/or infiltration, and protecting downstream biological |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-5.3 Downslope Protection | resources. |
| | | Protect existing agricultural operations from encroachment of incompatible land uses by |
| | | doing the following: |
| | | ■ Limiting the ability of new development to take actions to limit existing agricultural uses |
| | | by informing and educating new projects as to the potential impacts from agricultural operations |
| | | ■ Encouraging new or expanded agricultural land uses to provide a buffer of non-intensive |
| | | agriculture or other appropriate uses (e.g., landscape screening) between intensive uses and |
| | | adjacent non-agricultural land uses |
| | | Allowing for agricultural uses in agricultural areas and design development and lots in a |
| | | manner that facilitates continued agricultural use within the development Requiring development to minimize potential conflicts with adjacent agricultural |
| | | operations through the incorporation of adequate buffers, setbacks, and project design |
| | | measures to protect surrounding agriculture |
| | | ■ Supporting local and State right-to-farm regulations |
| Concornation 9 Oran Crass | COS 6.2 Protection of Agricultural Operations | Retain or facilitate large and contiguous agricultural operations by consolidation of |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-6.2 Protection of Agricultural Operations | development during the subdivision process Support the acquisition or voluntary dedication of agriculture conservation easements and |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-6.4 Conservation Easements | programs that preserve agricultural lands. |
| | | Encourage best management practices in agriculture and animal operations to protect |
| | | watersheds, reduce GHG emissions, conserve energy and water, and utilize alternative |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-6.5 Best Management Practices | energy sources, including wind and solar power. Protection and preservation of the County's important archaeological resources for their |
| | | cultural importance to local communities, as well as their research and educational |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-7 Protection of Preservation of Archaeological Resources | potential. |
| | | |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-8 Protection and Conservation of Historical Built Environments | Protection, conservation, use, and enjoyment of the County's important historic resources. |
| | | Encourage the preservation and/or adaptive reuse of historic sites, structures, and landscapes as a means of protecting important historic resources as part of the discretionary |
| | | application process, and encourage the preservation of historic structures identified during |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-8.1 Preservation and Adaptive Reuse | the ministerial application process. |
| | · | Encourage and promote the development of educational and interpretive programs that |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-8.2 Education and Interpretation | focus on the rich multicultural heritage of the County of San Diego. |
| | | Require the protection of scenic highways, corridors, regionally significant scenic vistas, and natural features, including prominent ridgelines, dominant landforms, reservoirs, and scenic |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-11.1 Protection of Scenic Resources | landscapes. |
| 1-5 racion a open space | 1- | Instruction and the second sec |

| Land Lie | General Dian Gool/Policy | Toyt |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Land Use | General Plan Goal/Policy | Promote the connection of regionally significant natural features, designated historic |
| | | landmarks, and points of regional historic, visual, and cultural interest via designated scenic |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-11.2 Scenic Resource Connections | |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-11.2 Scenic Resource Connections | corridors, such as scenic highways and regional trails. |
| | | Require development within visually sensitive areas to minimize visual impacts and to |
| | | |
| | | preserve unique or special visual features, particularly in rural areas, through the following: ■ Creative site planning |
| | | ■ Integration of natural features into the project |
| | | ■ Appropriate scale, materials, and design to complement the surrounding natural |
| | | landscape |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-11.3 Development Siting and Design | ■ Minimal disturbance of topography |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-11.5 Development Sitting and Design | Protect undeveloped ridgelines and steep hillsides by maintaining semi-rural or rural |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-12.1 Hillside and Ridgeline Development Density | designations on these areas. |
| conservation & open space | 203 12.1 Timiside dita Mageinie Bevelopment Bensity | Require development to preserve the physical features by being located down and away |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-12.2 Development Location on Ridges | from ridgelines so that structures are not silhouetted against the sky. |
| conservation a open space | 200 1212 Development 200ation on mages | Preserved dark skies that contribute to rural character and are n necessary for the local |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-13 Dark Skies | observatories. |
| conservation a open space | COO 10 PULKOKICO | Minimize injury, loss of life, and damage to property resulting from structural or wildland |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-13.1 Restrict Light and Glare | fire hazards. |
| отпольный странорисс | 202 2012 113011101 213110 213110 | Minimize, to the maximum extent feasible, the impact of development on the dark skies |
| | | surrounding Palomar and Mount Laguna observatories to maintain dark skies which are vital |
| | | to these two world-class observatories by restricting exterior light sources within the impact |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-13.2 Palomar and Mount Laguna | areas of the observatories. |
| conservation a open space | 200 1012 Faloritat and Modific Edgand | Require the use of recycled water in development wherever feasible. Restrict the use of |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-19.2 Recycled Water in New Development | recycled water when it increases salt loading in reservoirs. |
| | z za, saza sazaz manen z erenepinene | -, |
| | | Park and recreation facilities that enhance the quality of life and meet the diverse active and |
| | | passive recreational needs of County residents and visitors, protect natural resources, and |
| | | foster an awareness of local history, with approximately ten acres of local parks and 15 acres |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-21 Park and Recreational Facilities | of regional parks provided for every 1,000 persons in the unincorporated County. |
| | | Locate new local parks and recreation facilities near other community-oriented public |
| | | facilities such as schools, libraries, and recreation centers where feasible, so that they may |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-21.2 Location of Parks | function as the "heart" of a community. |
| 1 1 | | Design parks that reflect community character and identity, incorporate local natural and |
| | | cultural landscapes and features, and consider the surrounding land uses and urban form |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-21.3 Park Design | and cultural and historic resources. |
| , , | | Connect public parks to trails and pathways and other pedestrian or bicycle networks where |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-21.5 Connections to Trails and Networks | feasible to provide linkages and connectivity between recreational uses. |
| , , | | Provide and promote a variety of high quality active and passive recreation programs that |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-22.1 Variety of Recreational Programs | meet the needs of and benefit County residents. |
| | - | Coordinate the planning, acquisition, protection, development, and management of open |
| | | space among governmental agencies and private organizations to maximize opportunities to |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-23.2 Regional Coordination | link regional open space lands. |
| | | Adequate funding for acquisition, development, maintenance, management, and operation |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-24 Park and Recreation Funding | of parks, recreation facilities, and preserves. |
| | | Require development to provide fair-share contributions toward parks and recreation |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-24.1 Park and Recreation Contributions | facilities and trails consistent with local, state, and federal law. |
| | | Maximize funding opportunities for the following: |
| | | ■ The acquisition, expansion, and development of parks, recreation facilities, preserves, and |
| | | trails |
| | | ■ The operation, maintenance, and management of parks, recreation facilities, preserves, |
| Conservation & Open Space | COS-24.2 Funding Opportunities | and trails |
| | | |
| | | Permit new Village Regional Category designated land uses only where contiguous with an |
| | | existing or planned Village and where all of the following criteria are met: |
| | | ■ Potential Village development would be compatible with environmental conditions and |
| | | constrains, such as topography and flooding |
| | | ■ Potential Village development would be accommodated by the General Plan road network |
| | | ■ Public facilities and services can support the expansion without a reduction of services to |
| | | other County residents |
| | | ■ The expansion is consistent with community character, the scale, and the orderly and |
| Land Use | LU-1.4 Village Expansion | contiguous growth of a Village area |
| | | Conservation and enhancement of the unincorporated County's varied communities, rural |
| Land Use | LU-2 Maintenance of the County's Rural Character | setting, and character. |
| | | Maintain updated Community Plans, as part of the General Plan, to guide development to |
| Land III | 111 2.4 Campany 't - Plant | reflect the character and vision for each individual unincorporated community, consistent |
| Land Use | LU-2.1 Community Plans | with the General Plan. |
| | | Community Plans are part of the General Plan. These plans focus on a particular region or |
| | | community within the overall General Plan area. They are meant to refine the policies of the |
| | | General Plan as they apply to a smaller geographic region and provide a forum for resolving |
| | | local conflicts. As legally required by State law, Community Plans must be internally |
| | | consistent with General Plan goals and policies of which they |
| | | are a part. They cannot undermine the policies of the General Plan. Community Plans are |
| | | subject to adoption, review and amendment by the Board of Supervisors in the same |
| 1 | W 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 5 2 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 | manner as the |
| Land Use | LU-2.2 Relationship of Community Plans to the General Plan | General Plan. |
| | | Require measures that minimize significant impacts to surrounding areas from uses or |
| Land Use | III 2 0 Militaria de Constitución de Constituc | operations that cause excessive noise, vibrations dust, odor, aesthetic impairment and/or |
| 11 300 1100 | LU-2.8 Mitigation of Development Impacts | area detrimental to human health and safety. |

| Land Use | General Plan Goal/Policy | Text |
|----------|---|---|
| | | Consider level of service criteria, in accordance with Policy M-2.1, to determine whether |
| | | adding lanes to a Mobility Element road would adversely impact the rural character of a |
| | | community or cause significant environmental impacts. In those instances, consider other |
| Land Use | LU-2.9 Maintaining Rural Character | options to mitigate LOS where appropriate. |
| r. du. | III 2 Di a vita a f Bastila dia la cial di a la cala | A land use plan that accommodates a range of building and neighborhood types suitable for |
| Land Use | LU-3 Diversity of Residential Neighborhoods | a variety of lifestyles, ages, affordability levels, and design options. Maintain a mixture of residential land use designations and development regulations that |
| Land Use | LU-3.1 Diversity of Residential Designations and Building Types | accommodate various building types and styles. |
| | To the strong of the strong contains a strong containing types | Require new large residential developments (generally greater than 200 dwelling units) to |
| | | integrate a range of housing types and lot and building sizes. [See applicable community |
| Land Use | LU-3.2 Mix of Housing Units in Large Projects | plan for possible relevant policies.] |
| | | A built environment in balance with the natural environment, scarce resources, natural |
| Land Use | LU-6 Development-Environmental Balance | hazards, and the unique local character of individual communities. |
| | | Require the protection of intact or sensitive natural resources in support of the long-term |
| Land Use | LU-6.1 Environmental Sustainability | sustainability of the natural environment. |
| | | Support conservation-oriented project design. This can be achieved with mechanisms such as, but not limited to, Specific Plans, lot area averaging, and reductions in lot size with |
| | | corresponding requirements for preserved open space (Planned Residential Developments). |
| | | Projects that rely on lot size reductions should incorporate specific design techniques, |
| | | perimeter lot sizes, or buffers, to achieve compatibility with community character. [See |
| Land Use | LU-6.3 Conservation-Oriented Project Design | applicable community plan for possible relevant policies.] |
| | , , | Require that residential subdivisions be planned to conserve open space and natural |
| | | resources, protect agricultural operations including grazing, increase fire safety and |
| | | defensibility, reduce impervious footprints, use sustainable development practices, and, |
| | | when appropriate, provide public amenities. [See applicable community plan for possible |
| Land Use | LU-6.4 Sustainable Subdivision Design | relevant policies.] |
| | | Ensure that development minimizes the use of impervious surfaces and incorporates other |
| | | Low Impact Development techniques as well as a combination of site design, source control, |
| | | and stormwater best management practices, where applicable and consistent with the |
| Land Use | LU-6.5 Sustainable Stormwater Management | County's LID Handbook. |
| | | Require incorporation of natural features (including mature oaks, indigenous trees, and rock formations) into proposed development and require avoidance of sensitive environmental |
| Land Use | LU-6.6 Integration of Natural Features into Project Design | resources. |
| Lana OSE | LO-0.0 Integration of Natural Features into Froject Design | Require projects with open space to design contiguous open space areas that protect |
| | | wildlife habitat and corridors; preserve scenic vistas and areas; and connect with existing or |
| Land Use | LU-6.7 Open Space Network | planned recreational opportunities. |
| | | Require development to conform to the natural topography to limit grading; incorporate |
| | | and not significantly alter the dominant physical characteristics of a site; and to utilize |
| | | natural drainage and topography in conveying stormwater to the maximum extent |
| Land Use | LU-6.9 Development Conformance with Topography | practicable. |
| | | A land use plan that retains and protects farming and agriculture as beneficial resources that |
| Land Use | LU-7 Agricultural Conservation | contribute to the County's rural character. |
| | = | Protect agricultural lands with lower-density land use designations that support continued |
| Land Use | LU-7.1 Agricultural Land Development | agricultural operations. |
| Land Use | LU-9 Distinct Villages and Community Cores | Well-defined, well-planned, and well-developed community cores, such as Villages and Town Centers, that contribute to a community's identity and character. |
| Land Ose | LO-9 Distillet villages and community cores | Support the development and implementation of design guidelines, Village-specific |
| | | regulations for roads, parking, and noise, and other planning and regulatory mechanisms |
| | | that recognize the unique operations and character of Villages, Town Centers, and |
| | | transportation nodes. Ensure that new development be compatible with the overall scale |
| Land Use | LU-9.3 Village and Community Core Guidelines and Regulations | and character of established neighborhoods. |
| | | |
| | | Locate commercial, office, civic, and higher-density residential land uses in the Town Centers |
| | | of Villages or Rural Villages at transportation nodes. Exceptions to this pattern may be |
| Land Use | LU-9.6 Town Center Uses | allowed for established industrial districts and secondary commercial districts or corridors. |
| | | Semi-Rural and Rural Lands that buffer communities, protect natural resources, foster |
| Land Use | LU-10 Function of Semi-Rural and Rural Lands | agriculture, and accommodate unique rural communities. |
| | | Limit the establishment of commercial and industrial uses in Semi-Rural and Rural areas that |
| Land Use | LU-10.4 Commercial and Industrial Development | are outside of Villages (including Rural Villages) to minimize vehicle trips and environmental |
| Land Use | LO-10.4 Commercial and Industrial Development | impacts. Locate commercial, office, and industrial development in Village areas with high |
| | | connectivity and accessibility from surrounding residential neighborhoods, whenever |
| Land Use | LU-11.1 Location and Connectivity | feasible. |
| | 20 22.2 2000tion and connectivity | Require that commercial, office, and industrial development be located, scaled, and |
| Land Use | LU-11.2 Compatibility with Community Character | designed to be compatible with the unique character of the community. |
| | | |
| | | Encourage the development of commercial centers in compact, walkable configurations in |
| | | Village centers that locate parking in the rear or on the side of the parcel, use transparent |
| | | storefronts with active retail street-fronting uses, minimize setbacks, and discourage "strip" |
| | | commercial development. "Strip" commercial development consists of automobile-oriented |
| | | commercial development with the buildings set back from the street to accommodate |
| Land Use | LU-11.3 Pedestrian-Oriented Commercial Centers | parking between the building and street. |
| | | Locate transitions of medium-intensity land uses or provide buffers between lower intensity |
| | | uses, such as low-density residential districts and higher intensity development, such as |
| | | commercial or industrial uses. Buffering may be accomplished through increased setbacks or |
| | | other techniques such as grade differentials, walls, and/or landscaping but must be |
| Land Use | LU-11.9 Development Density and Scale Transitions | consistent with community design standards. |
| | | Protect designated Medium and High Impact Industrial areas from encroachment of |
| | | incompatible land uses, such as residences, schools, or other uses that are sensitive to |
| | LU-11.10 Integrity of Medium and High Impact Industrial Uses | industrial impacts. The intent of this policy is to retain the ability to utilize industrially designated locations by reducing future development conflicts. |
| Land Use | 1111 44 40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | respirator to cortons by reducing tuture development conflicts |

| Land Use | General Plan Goal/Policy | Text |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Land Use | LU-11.11 Industrial Compatibility with Adjoining Uses | Require industrial land uses with outdoor activities or storage to provide a buffer from adjacent incompatible land uses (refer to Policy LU-11.9 for examples of buffering). |
| Land Use | LU-12 Infrastructure and Services Supporting Development | Adequate and sustainable infrastructure, public facilities, and essential services that meet community needs and are provided concurrent with growth and development. |
| Land Use | LU-12.1 Concurrency of Infrastructure and Services with Development | Require the provision of infrastructure, facilities, and services needed by new development prior to that development, either directly or through fees. Where appropriate, the construction of infrastructure and facilities may be phased to coincide with project phasing. |
| Land Use | LU-12.4 Planning for Compatibility | Plan and site infrastructure for public utilities and public facilities in a manner compatible with community character, minimize visual and environmental impacts, and whenever feasible, locate any facilities and supporting infrastructure outside preserve areas. Require context sensitive Mobility Element road design that is compatible with community character and minimizes visual and environmental impacts; for Mobility Element roads identified in Table M-4, an LOS D or better may not be achieved. |
| | | A balanced and regionally integrated water management approach to ensure the long-term |
| Land Use | LU-13 Adequate Water Quality, Supply, and Protection | viability of San Diego County's water quality and supply. Coordinate water infrastructure planning with land use planning to maintain an acceptable |
| Land Use | LU-13.1 Adequacy of Water Supply | availability of a high quality sustainable water supply. Ensure that new development includes both indoor and outdoor water conservation measures to reduce demand. |
| Land Use | LU-14 Adequate Wastewater Facilities | Adequate wastewater disposal that addresses potential hazards to human health and the environment. |
| Land Use | LU-17 Adequate Education | Site new solid waste management facilities identified in the San Diego County Integrated Waste Management Plan, in a manner that minimizes environmental impacts and prevents groundwater degradation, and in accordance with applicable local land use policies. |
| Land Use | LU-17.1 Planning for Schools | Encourage school districts to consider the population distribution as shown on the Land Use Map when planning for new school facilities. Encourage school districts to minimize conflicts between schools and adjacent land uses through appropriate siting and adequate mitigation, addressing such issues as student drop- |
| Land Use | LU-17.2 Compatibility of Schools with Adjoining Uses | off/pick up locations, parking access, and security. Encourage the co-location of civic uses such as County library facilities, community centers, |
| Land Use | LU-18.2 Colocation of Civic Uses | parks, and schools. To encourage access by all segments of the population, civic uses should be accessible by transit whenever possible. |
| Mobility | M-1 Balanced Road Network | A safe and efficient road network that balances regional travel needs with the travel requirements and preferences of local communities. |
| Mobility | M-2.1 Level of Service Criteria | Require development projects to provide associated road improvements necessary to achieve a level of service of "D" or higher on all Mobility Element roads except for those where a failing level of service has been accepted by the County pursuant to the criteria specifically identified in the accompanying text box (Criteria for Accepting a Road Classification with Level of Service E/F). When development is proposed on roads where a failing level of service has been accepted, require feasible mitigation in the form of road improvements or a fair share contribution to a road improvement program, consistent with the Mobility Element road network. |
| Mobility | M-2.2 Access to Mobility Element Designated Roads | Minimize direct access points to Mobility Element roads from driveways and other non-through roads to maintain the capacity and improve traffic operations. |
| Mobility | M-2.3 Environmentally Sensitive Road Design | Locate and design public and private roads to minimize impacts to significant biological and other environmental and visual resources. Avoid road alignments through floodplains to minimize impacts on floodplain habitats and limit the need for constructing flood control measures. Design new roads to maintain wildlife movement and retrofit existing roads for that purpose. Utilize fencing to reduce road kill and to direct animals to under crossings. Incorporate buffers or other noise reduction measures consistent with standards |
| | | established in the Noise Element into the siting and design of roads located next to sensitive noise-receptors to minimize adverse impacts from traffic noise. Consider reduction measures such as alternative road design, reduced speeds, alternative paving, and setbacks |
| Mobility | M-2.4 Roadway Noise Buffers M-3.1 Public Road Right-of-Way | or buffers, prior to berms and walls. Require development to dedicate right-of-way for public roads and other transportation routes identified in the Mobility Element roadway network (see Mobility Element Network Appendix), Community Plans, or Road Master Plans. Require the provision of sufficient right-of-way width, as specified in the County Public Road Standards, Active Transportation Plan and Community Trails Master Plan, to adequately accommodate all users, including transit riders, pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrians. |
| , | | Require development to contribute its fair share toward financing transportation facilities, including mitigating the associated direct and cumulative traffic impacts caused by their project on both the local and regional road networks. Transportation facilities include road |
| Mobility Mobility | M-3.2 Traffic Impact Mitigation M-4 Safe and Compatible Roads | networks and related transit, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and equestrian. Roads designed to be safe for all users and compatible with their context. |
| Mobility | M-4.2 Interconnected Local Roads | Provide an interconnected and appropriately scaled local public road network in Village and Rural Villages that reinforces the compact development patterns promoted by the Land Use Element and individual community plans. |
| Mobility | M-4.3 Rural Roads Compatible with Rural Character | Design and construct public roads to meet travel demands in Semi-Rural and Rural Lands that are consistent with rural character while safely accommodating transit stops when deemed necessary, along with bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians. Where feasible, utilize rural road design features (e.g., no curb and gutter improvements) to maintain community character. [See applicable community plan for possible relevant policies.] |

| Land Use | General Plan Goal/Policy | Text |
|--------------|--|--|
| A A . I. 111 | | Design and construct roads that are compatible with the local terrain and the uses, scale and pattern of the surrounding development. Provide wildlife crossings in road design and |
| Mobility | M-4.5 Context Sensitive Road Design | construction where it would minimize impacts in wildlife corridors. |
| | | Require development projects to dedicate and improve trails or pathways where the development will occur on land planned for trail or pathway segments |
| Mobility | M-12.4 Land Dedication for Trails | shown on the Regional Trails Plan or Community Trails Master Plan. |
| | | Require the following strategies as higher priorities than construction of conventional noise barriers where noise abatement is necessary: Avoid placement of noise sensitive uses within noisy areas Increase setbacks between noise generators and noise sensitive uses |
| | | Orient buildings such that the noise sensitive portions of a project are shielded from noise sources Use sound-attenuating architectural design and building features Employ technologies when appropriate that reduce noise generation (i.e. alternative |
| Noise | N-1.2 Noise Management Strategies | pavement materials on roadways) Discourage the use of noise walls. In areas where the use of noise walls cannot be avoided, evaluate an require where feasible, a combination of walls and earthen berms and require the use of vegetation or other visual screening methods to soften the visual appearance of |
| Noise | N-1.3 Sound Walls | the wall. |
| Noise | N-2 Protection of Noise Sensitive Uses | A noise environment that minimizes exposure of noise sensitive land uses to excessive, unsafe, or otherwise disruptive noise levels. |
| | | Require an acoustical study to identify inappropriate noise level where development may directly result in any existing or f uture noise sensitive land uses being subject to noise levels equal to or greater than 60 CNEL and requir |
| Noise | N-2.1 Development Impacts to Noise Sensitive Land Uses | e mitigation for sensitive uses in compliance with the noise standards listed in Table N-2. |
| | | Assure that in developments where the exterior noise level on patios or balconies for multi-family residences or mixed-use developments exceed 65 CNEL, a solid no ise |
| Noise | N-2.2 Balconies and Patios | barrier is incorporated into the building design of the balconies and patios while still maintai ning the openness of the patio or balcony. |
| Noico | N 4 Transportation Polated Noice Congrators | A noise environment that reduces noise generated from traffic, railroads, and airports to the |
| Noise | N-4 Transportation-Related Noise Generators | extent feasible. Require that projects proposing General Plan amendments that increase the average daily traffic beyond what is anticipated in this General Plan do not increase cumulative traffice. |
| Noise | N-4.1 Traffic Noise | c noise to off-site noise sensitive land uses beyond acceptable levels. |
| Noise | N-4.2 Traffic Calming | Include traffic calming design, traffic control measures, and low-noise pavement surfaces that minimize motor vehicle traffic noise in development that may impact noise sen sitive land uses. |
| Noise | N-4.5 Roadway Location | Locate new or expanded roads designated in the Mobility Element in areas where the impact to noise sensitive land uses would be minimized. |
| | | For County road improvement projects, evaluate the proposed project against ambient noise levels to determine whether the project would increase ambient |
| | | noise levels by more than three decibels. If so, apply the limits in the noise standards listed in |
| | | Table N-2 for noise sensitive land uses that may be affected by the increased noise levels. Fo r federally-funded roadway construction projects, use the limits in the applicable Federal High |
| Noise | N-4.6 Road Improvement Projects | way Administration Standards. A noise environment that provides minimal noise spillovers from industrial, |
| Noise | N-5 Non-transportation-Related Noise Sources | commercial, agricultural, extractive, and similar facilities to adjacent residential neighborhoods. Minimize impacts from noise in areas where recurring intermittent |
| Noise | N-6.2 Recurring Intermittent | noise may not exceed the noise standards listed in Table N-2, but can have other adverse effects. |
| Safety | S-3 Minimized Fire Hazards | Minimize injury, loss of life, and damage to property resulting from structural or wildland fire hazards. |
| | | Support programs and plans, such as Strategic Fire Plans, consistent with state law that require fuel management/modification within established defensible space boundaries and when strategic fuel modification is necessary outside of defensible space, balance fuel |
| Safety | S-4.1 Fuel Management Programs | management needs to protect structures with the preservation of native vegetation and sensitive habitats. |
| Safety | S-6 Adequate Fire and Medical Services | Adequate levels of fire and emergency medical services (EMS) in the unincorporated County. |
| Safety | S-6.1 Water Supply | Ensure that water supply systems for development are adequate to combat structural and wildland fires. Manage development based on federal floodplain maps. County maps shall also be referred |
| Safety | S-9.1 Floodplain Maps | to and in case of conflict(s) between the County floodplain maps and the federal floodplain maps, the more stringent of restrictions shall apply. |
| Safety | S-9.2 Development in Floodplains | Limit development in designated floodplains to decrease the potential for property damage and loss of life from flooding and to avoid the need for engineered channels, channel improvements, and other flood control facilities. Require development to conform to federal floodproofing standards and siting criteria to prevent flow obstruction. |
| | | Require development within mapped flood hazard areas be sited and designed to minimize on and off-site hazards to health, safety, and property due to flooding. |
| Safety | S-9.3 Development in Flood Hazard Areas | Dam |

| Land Use | General Plan Goal/Policy | Text |
|----------|--|---|
| Safety | S-9.4 Development in Villages | Allow new uses and development within the floodplain fringe (land within the floodplain outside of the floodway) only when environmental impacts and hazards are mitigated. This policy does not apply to floodplains with unmapped floodways. Require land available outside the floodplain to be fully utilized before locating development within a floodplain. Development within a floodplain may be denied if it will cause significant adverse environmental impacts or is prohibited in the community plan. Channelization of floodplains is allowed within villages only when specifically addressed in community plans. Prohibit development in the floodplain fringe when located on Semi-Rural and Rural Lands to maintain the capacity of the floodplain, unless specifically allowed in a community plan. For parcels located entirely within a floodplain or without sufficient space for a building pad |
| Safety | S-9.5 Development in the Floodplain Fringe | outside the floodplain, development is limited to a single family home on an existing lot or those uses that do not compromise the environmental attributes of the floodplain or require further channelization. |
| Safety | S-10.1 Land Uses within Floodways | Limit new or expanded uses in floodways to agricultural, recreational, and other such low-intensity uses and those that do not result in any increase in flood levels during the occurrence of the base flood discharge, do not include habitable structures, and do not substantially harm, and fully offset, the environmental values of the floodway area. This policy does not apply to minor renovation projects, improvements required to remedy an existing flooding problem, legal sand or gravel mining activities, or public infrastructure. |
| Safety | S-10.2 Use of Natural Channels | Require the use of natural channels for County flood control facilities except where necessary to protect existing structures from a current flooding problem and where natural channel use is deemed infeasible. The alternative must achieve the same level of biological and other environmental protection, such as water quality, hydrology, and public safety. |
| Safety | S-10.3 Flood Control Facilities | Require flood control facilities to be adequately sized, constructed, and maintained to operate effectively. |
| Safety | S-10.5 Development Site Improvements | Require development to provide necessary on- and off-site improvements to stormwater runoff and drainage facilities. |
| Safety | S-12 Adequate Law Enforcement Facilities | Timely development of law enforcement facilities in located that serve the unincorporated areas of the County. |