

Draft Responses to Comment Letter NN

Individual
Andrew Wilson
March 19, 2013

| | Comment | Response to Comment |
|-------|--|--|
| NN -1 | Here are my comments on the EIR. I do not address environmental issues, only those of cost. The supplemental EIR certainly represents improvements over the existing EIR. However, an issue that remains untouched is the lack of attention to making development in remote areas independent of the utility systems that exist in the more urbanized areas of the county. | The County acknowledges this comment and the concern expressed for making development in remote areas independent of utility systems that exist in the more urbanized areas of the county. The Staff Recommendation land use map for this General Plan Amendment proposes to minimize the subdivision potential in the remote areas due, in large part to their lack of infrastructure, by assigning very low densities (one dwelling unit per 40 or 80 acres). However, the issue raised in this comment is not related to an environmental issue pursuant to CEQA. |
| NN -2 | Each new development, whether of single or multiple households, should have its own source of energy and not require being connected to the San Diego systems. This should include electrical, natural gas and communication utilities. Each development should not have to rely on the great expense, borne by the utility and ultimately all its customers, of building power and communication lines to each such development. They should be obliged to create their own solar, wind sources of power. | See response to comment NN-1 above. |
| NN -3 | In addition to avoiding subsidization of cost by the main customer base location of local power sources would eliminate some of the nearly impossible tasks - that of maintaining power to such remote area developments in times of fire, wind and snow, especially the former. This is no longer impossible. Not only is such a plan feasible, it is entirely practical. | See response to comment NN-1 above. |

Draft Reponses to Comments

| | Comment | Response to Comment |
|--------------|---|---|
| NN -4 | The main customer base has been penalized through exorbitant utility rates of the link installation and maintenance (tree trimming, location of transformers etc.) of electricity and gas lines and by the indirect costs of fire services attempting to maintain them. In fact, the entire mountain area should have this requirement. | See response to comment NN-1 above. |
| NN -5 | Water utilities should also be independent, using catchment basins and wells to provide supplies. | See response to comment NN-1 above. |
| NN -6 | In these days, the need for communication links by landlines (telephone, computer data, TV etc.) is no longer apparent. | The issue raised in this comment is not related to an environmental issue pursuant to CEQA. |
| NN -7 | Granted this will raise costs of setting up such developments, but that is only being fair to the main customer base of SDGE and other utilities. | See response to comment NN-6 above. |

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK