

**From:** [Heidi Noyes](#)  
**To:** [Cox, Greg](#); [Jacob, Dianne](#); [Roberts, Ron](#); [Horn, Bill](#); [kridtin.gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:kridtin.gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov); [AdvancePlanning, PDS](#); [Johnston, Kevin](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Fwd: SD24, Borrego Springs, CA  
**Date:** Sunday, February 11, 2018 10:03:11 PM

---

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Heidinoyses <heidinoyses@yahoo.com>  
**To:** heidi noyes <heidinoyses@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 10, 2018 8:48 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: SD24, Borrego Springs, CA revised

Sent from my iPhone

Dear sirs,

I10-1

This is the third time we have discussed and taken action about the section of land, commonly referred to as Rudyville (SD24) in Borrego Springs.... and there have been no changes to the original arguments put forward--- the underground aquifer is not filling, the environmental arguments remain, the fact still stands that no infrastructure exists, the dune is still fragile, there is still plenty of housing and empty land for sale already in a Borrego Springs that cannot grow, etc, etc, etc. There are many documents available, many with the Tubb Canyon Conservancy.

As this is the case, I can only ask questions and wonder about the rumors.

Rumor: outgoing politician wants to give old friend a last gift....  
could that be true?

I10-2

Rumor: the committee of supervisors have already made their decision, in spite of what common sense, the will of the people and the recommendations of the county study dictate ...  
could that be true?

Is there no one to stand up for what is right?

I10-3

If the will of the people is against it, and the county's own study is against it, what reasons could a committee of supervisors give for

I10-3  
con'd.

approving such a proposal (changing the zoning of SD24 from 1 house/ 10 acres to 1 house/1 acre!!!) ?

Why would they do that?

Do they have to explain their decision?

Can they put an end to this? For example: until the desert is gushing with water, this and any similar proposal for SD24 is denied outright---can they do that?

I10-4

Do the present investors, seeing that they are now caught in a no-win situation, hope to hornswoggle a different, unsuspecting investor and thus unload their problem?

Perhaps until one knows and understands this desert, protected by the ABDSP and shared with the animals and plants, one could continue to destroy it for his own gain.

Will no leader stand up for the future of this desert paradise?

Will no one stand against petty corruption, if it does exist?

Answers and explanations are most welcome.

Heidi Noyes

~~625-755-1000~~

Borrego Springs, CA 92004

## **Response to Comment Letter I10**

**Heidi Noyes**  
**February 11, 2018**

- I10-1** This comment provides background remarks regarding PSR Analysis Area DS24.
- This comment does not raise specific issues regarding the adequacy of the Draft SEIR, therefore, no further response is necessary.
- I10-2** This comment does not raise concerns regarding the adequacy of the Draft SEIR, therefore, no further response is necessary.
- I10-3** This comment does not raise issues regarding the adequacy of the Draft SEIR, therefore, no further response is required. However, when the Board of Supervisors makes a decision regarding a project, they often explain the reasons for their decision.
- I10-4** This comment does not raise issues regarding the adequacy of the Draft SEIR, therefore, no further response is necessary.