Agriculture and Conservation

Emissions Gap: Needed reductions to meet CAP Targets after GHG

Reduction Measures have been applied (MT CO₂e) (Surplus)

TOTAL Reductions from Proposed Measures

TOTAL BAU Emissions

16,384

878,010

1,374,851

4,220,560

GHG Measure Reduction Summary					
GHG Emission Reductions by Category					
Annual GHG Reduction (MT CO₂e)					
Category	2020	2030	2050		
Built Environment and Transportation	6,020	191,014	64,459		
Energy	125,140	613,728	710,464		
Solid Waste	0	79,052	86,052		
Water and Wastewater	254	386	651		

791

132,205

-260,810

3,407,168

12,965

897,145

0

3,723,596

Projections with Legislative Reductions						
	Annual GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e)					
Category	2014	2020	2030	2050		
Built Environment and Transportation	1,492,987	1,347,494	1,125,161	1,165,847		
Energy	1,061,264	1,002,533	995,329	1,088,173		
Solid Waste	338,107	358,651	389,610	411,298		
Water and Wastewater	155,452	148,617	153,813	167,430		
Agriculture and Conservation	163,696	161,376	160,136	158,760		
TOTAL Emissions with Legislative Reductions	3,211,505	3,018,671	2,824,049	2,991,507		
Projected Percent Reduction from	2014	-6%	-12%	-7%		
CAP Targets (adjusted for percent reductio	n from 2014)	-2%	-40%	-77%		
CAP Targets (MT CO₂e)		3,147,275	1,926,903	738,646		
Needed reductions to meet CAP Targets from 2014 levels (MT CO ₂ e)		64,230	1,284,602	2,472,859		
Needed reductions to meet CAP Targets from Leg (MT CO ₂ e) (Surplus)	gislative reductions	-128,605	897,145	2,252,861		

Projections with Legislative Reductions and County CAP Measures					
Category		Annual GHG Emi	ssions (MT CO ₂ e)		
Category	2014	2020	2030	2050	
Built Environment and Transportation	1,492,987	1,341,474	934,146	1,101,388	
Energy	1,061,264	877,393	381,601	377,708	
Solid Waste	338,107	358,651	310,558	325,246	
Water and Wastewater	155,452	148,363	153,427	166,779	
Agriculture and Conservation	163,696	160,585	147,171	142,376	
TOTAL	3,211,505	2,886,465	1,926,903	2,113,497	
Percent below 2014		-10%	-40%	-34%	
Additional Reductions Needed to meet CAP (Surplus)	Targets (MT CO₂e)	-260,810	0	1,374,851	

3,211,505

GHG Measure Reduct	tion Summary (con	tinued)	
Percent below 2014 by Cat	egory. Legislative reduc	tions only	
Category	2020	2030	2050
Built Environment and Transportation	-10%	-25%	-22%
Energy	-6%	-6%	3%
Solid Waste	6%	15%	22%
Water and Wastewater	-4%	-1%	8%
Agriculture and Conservation	-1%	-2%	-3%
Percent below 2014 by Category. Combined e	effect of legislative redu	ctions and proposed	actions
Category	2020	2030	2050
Built Environment and Transportation	-10%	-37%	-26%
Energy	-17%	-64%	-64%
Solid Waste	6%	-8%	-4%
Water and Wastewater	-5%	-1%	7%
Agriculture and Conservation	-2%	-10%	-13%
Percent below BAU by Cate	egory. Effect of propose	ed actions	
Category	2020	2030	2050
Built Environment and Transportation	0%	-17%	-6%
Energy	-12%	-62%	-65%
Solid Waste	0%	-20%	-21%
Water and Wastewater	0%	0%	0%
Agriculture and Conservation	0%	-8%	-10%

Assumptions		2020	2030	2050
Con Direct County Assessed Floatsists Facilities Factor (ATTCO2 - /ANVI)		0.250	0.227	0.22
San Diego County Average Electricity Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/MWh) Natural Gas Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/therm)		0.260	0.237 0.00685	0.237
T-1.1				
Acquire Open Space Conservation Land	2014	2020	2030	2050
Current MSCP program (2011-2016)				
Average Annual Acres purchased	436.93			
Dwelling Units Offset	31			
Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2015 and 2020	184			
Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030	307			
		2020	2030	2050
Annual Dwelling Units offset due to acquisition of open space conservation lands		184	491	491
Building Electricity Avoided (kWh)		1,723,535	4,594,533	4,594,533
Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms)		68,329	182,148	182,148
ransportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)		2,189	4,154	3,674
Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)		916	1,090	1,090
Naste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)		109	291	291
Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)		89	237	237
Source: Scaled from modeling results from CalEEMod 2016 for 25 single family homes in 2030.				
Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi) (used to scale emissions from 2030 values)		2.90E-04	2.07E-04	1.83E-04
Back-calculated annual VMT from transportation emissions		7,536,082	20,089,393	20,089,393
Building Energy Reductions	MTCO2e	916	1,090	1,090
Transportation Emissions Reductions	MTCO2e	2,189	4,154	3,674
Waste Emissions Reductions	MTCO2e	109	291	291
Water Emissions Reductions	MTCO2e	89	237	237
GHG Reductions from T-1.1 (MTCO2e)		3,303	5,771	5,291
T-1.2				
T-1.2 Acquire Agricultural Easements	2014	2020	2030	2050
	2014	2020	2030	2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements	2014 443	2020	2030	2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020		2020	2030	2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020	443	2020	2030	2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit	443 24.60	2020	2030	2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually	443 24.60 18	2020	2030	2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020	443 24.60 18 18	2020 2020	2030	
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020	443 24.60 18 18			2050
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030	443 24.60 18 18	2020	2030	2050
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18	2030 198	2050 198
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms)	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18 168,607	2030 198 1,854,674	205(198 1,854,674
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Transportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18 168,607 6,684	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (MTCO2e) Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440
Acquire Agricultural Easements Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh)	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units Offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Transportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Transportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)	443 24.60 18 18	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118 95
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Transportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Fource: Scaled from modeling results from CalEEMod 2016 for 25 single family homes in 2030. Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi) (used to scale emissions from 2030 values)	443 24.60 18 18 180	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118 95	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118 95
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units Offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Bransportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Source: Scaled from modeling results from CalEEMod 2016 for 25 single family homes in 2030. Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi) (used to scale emissions from 2030 values) Back-calculated annual VMT from transportation emissions	443 24.60 18 18 180	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11 9	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118 95	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118 95
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units Offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Transportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Water Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Source: Scaled from modeling results from CalEEMod 2016 for 25 single family homes in 2030. Semissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi) (used to scale emissions from 2030 values) Back-calculated annual VMT from transportation emissions	443 24.60 18 18 180 	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11 9 2.90E-04 737,225	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118 95	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118 95 1.83E-04 8,109,480
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Fransportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Source: Scaled from modeling results from CalEEMod 2016 for 25 single family homes in 2030. Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi) (used to scale emissions from 2030 values) Back-calculated annual VMT from transportation emissions Building Energy Reductions Fransportation Emissions Reductions	0.00E+00 MTCO2e MTCO2e	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11 9 2.90E-04 737,225 90 214	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118 95 2.07E-04 8,109,480 440 1,677	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118 95 1.83E-04 8,109,480 440 1,483
Annual Activity based on County estimates of \$1,500,000 in annual funding starting in 2020 Total Acres Purchased by 2020 Acres per unit Dwelling Units Offset Annually Total Dwelling Units Offset in 2020 Total Dwelling Units Offset between 2021 and 2030 Annual Dwelling Units offset due to expanded PACE program Building Electricity Avoided (kWh) Building Natural Gas Avoided (therms) Transportation Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Building Energy Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e) Waste Emissions Avoided (MTCO2e)	443 24.60 18 18 180 	2020 18 168,607 6,684 214 90 11 9 2.90E-04 737,225	2030 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,677 440 118 95	2050 198 1,854,674 73,528 1,483 440 118 95 1.83E-04 8,109,480

T-1.3				
Update Community Plans	2014	2020	2030	2050

Measure assumes that reductions from other street-transforming measures affect areas outside of these 19 Community Plans.

Background Calculations

Number	Community Plan	2016 Population
Number	Area Name	Estimate
	Alpine	5,701
	Bonsall	1,451
	Central Mountain	1,854
	County Islands	2,427
	Desert	711
	Fallbrook	27,508
	7 Julian	55
	Lakeside	55,251
	Mountain Empire	1,025
1	North County Metro	28,033
1	North Mountain	123
1	Pala-Pauma	803
1	Rainbow	-
1	1 Ramona	9,550
1,	San Dieguito	16,889
1	Spring Valley	61,401
1	7 Sweetwater	10,083
1	Valle De Oro	21,292
1	Valley Center	216
Population Affected by the 19 Community Plans		
(excluding Specific Plan Areas, Otay, and Camp		
Pendleton)		244,372

Source: County of San Diego 2017

	2014	2020	2030	2050
Modified Unincorporated County Population	454,599	493,604	551,712	600,560
Population affected by Community Plan updates (assumes 2016 population remains in 2020)		244,372	280,210	310,953
Percent of Population/VMT affected		50%	51%	52%
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute)	1,654,960,756	1,906,820,493	2,186,461,667	2,426,351,442
VMT affected by Community Plan updates		944,022,641	1,110,485,989	1,256,297,888
Percent of Plans Implemented		0%	50%	100%

CAPCOA LUT-9: Improve Design of Development (note that CAPCOA mislabels LUT-9 as LUT-8)

% VMT Reduction (Low)	3%
% VMT Reduction (High)	21%
Median Percentage	12.2%
% VMT reduction	12.2%

Emissions Reductions

Annual VMT Reduced	=	67,462,024	152,640,193
Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi)	0.0002905	0.0002068	0.0001829
Emissions Reductions (MTCO2e)	=	13,949	27,913
GHG Reductions from T-1.3 (MTCO2e)	-	13,949	27,913

T-2.1							
Improve Roadway Segments as Multi-Modal				2014	2020	2030	2050
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporate	d County employee	commute)		1,654,960,756	1,906,820,493	2,186,461,667	2,426,351,442
New Passenger and LDT1 VMT since 2020 (for calculation		ommute)		1,034,300,730	1,500,820,455	279,641,173	519,530,949
New 1 assenger and ED11 VWH since 2020 (for calculation	011 01 1-2.2)				O	275,041,175	313,330,343
CAPCOA SDT-2 (Percent reduction in VMT for rural cor	ntexts)						
% of s	treets with improver	nents					
	5%	10%	25%	36%	50%	61%	100%
% of intersections with improvements	% VMT Reduction						
5%	0.02%	0.04%	0.12%	0.13%	0.17%	0.27%	0.42%
10%	0.04%	0.06%	0.15%	0.16%	0.20%	0.29%	0.45%
25%	0.12%	0.16%	0.25%	0.23%	0.25%	0.36%	0.50%
36%	0.15%	0.17%	0.23%	0.30%	0.38%	0.42%	0.63%
50%	0.17%	0.19%	0.25%	0.38%	0.50%	0.50%	0.75%
61%	0.27%	0.29%	0.36%	0.42%	0.50%	0.55%	0.75%
75%	0.37%	0.41%	0.50%	0.48%	0.50%	0.61%	0.75%
100%	0.42%	0.44%	0.50%	0.63%	0.75%	0.75%	1%
Note: Bolded percentage values were interpolated base	d on CAPCOA estima	tes for 25%, 50%, 75	5%, and 100%.				
				-	2020	2030	2050
Number of Intersections Improved by X year	>				0	250	500
Streets Improved by X year (measured in centerline mile Total Number of Intersections	es)				5054	700 5054	1200 5054
					1954	1954	
Total Streets (measured in centerline miles)					1954	1954	1954
Source: County GIS Data dated June 16, 2016							
					2020	2030	2050
Percent of intersections in the Unincorporated County v	with improvements				0%	5%	10%
Percent of streets in the Unincorporated County with in	•				0%	36%	61%
,							
Percent Reduction in VMT under T-2.1					0.00%	0.13%	0.29%
Annual VMT Reduced under T-2.1					-	2,919,809	7,062,562
Annual VMT Reduced under T-2.1 (from new VMT as of	2020 only)				-	373,434	1,512,237
Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MT	CO2e/mi)				2.90E-04	2.07E-04	1.83E-04
,	-, ,						
GHG Reductions from T-2.1 (MTCO2e)					-	604	1,292
, ,							,

T-2.2 Reduce New Non-Residential Development Vehicle Miles Traveled		2020	2030	2050
neduce New Non-nesidential Development Vehicle Wiles Traveled		2020	2030	2030
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute)	1,654,960,756	1,906,820,493	2,186,461,667	2,426,351,442
New Passenger and LDT1 VMT since 2020		0	279,641,173	519,530,949
New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures T-1.1		0	20,089,393	20,089,393
I-1.1 T-1.2		0	8,109,480	8,109,480
T-2.1		0	373,434	1,512,237
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· ·	373,131	1,012,207
Adjusted New Passenger and LDT1 VMT (assumed to represent all new household VMT)		0	251,068,866	489,819,838
Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013)	28%			
New County Commute VMT from Adjusted Passenger and LDT1 VMT since 2020		=	70,299,283	137,149,555
New Jobs in Unincorporated County since 2020			8,487	34,117
Annual VMT per employee			8,283	4,020
Target Target Percent Reduction in New Commute VMT starting in 2020		0%	15.0%	15%
Annual VMT reduced under T-2.2		-	10,544,892	20,572,433
Target Average Annual VMT per employee			7,041	3,417
CAPCOA Percent Commute VMT reduction from TRT-1, TRT-2, and TRT-3				
CAPCOA TRT-1 Percent Shift in Vehicle Mode Share of Commute Trips for Participating Employees (Commute Trip	5.2%			
Reduction Programs - Voluntary) - Low Density Suburb	5.270			
CAPCOA TRT-2 Percent Shift in Vehicle Mode Share of Commute Trips for Participating Employees (Commute Trip	21.0%			
Reduction Programs with Monitoring)	21.0%			
CAPCOA TRT-3 Percent Shift in Vehicle Mode Share of Commute Trips with a Ride Sharing Program - Low Density	50/			
Suburb	5%			
		2020	2030	2050
Percent of New Employees eligible/participating in TDM programs (Required to meet the Target Percent				
Reduction in Commute VMT)			100%	100%
Commute Trip Reduction Programs - Voluntary (TRT-1)		0%	33%	33%
Commute Trip Reduction Programs - Monitored (TRT-2)		0%	62%	62%
Commute Trip Reduction Programs - Ride Sharing (TRT-3)		0%	5%	5%
Total Participation Rate		0%	100%	100%
Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi)	0.00E+00	2.90E-04	2.07E-04	1.83E-04
GHG Reductions from T-2.2 (MTCO2e)		_	2,180	3,762

GHG Reductions from T-2.4 (MTCO2e)

Built Environment and Transportation Reduction Measure Quantification (Continued)

T-2.3				
Reduce County Employee Vehicle Miles Traveled	2014	2020	2030	2050
County employee commute miles (scaled by change in employee forecast) (VMT)	155,043,720	156,969,260	160,178,494	166,596,960
County Employee Count Forecast	19,205	19,444	19,841	20,636
Emissions per mile for Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (MTCO2e/mi)	0.00E+00	2.90E-04	2.07E-04	1.83E-04
Forecasted emissions from County Employee Commuting (MTCO2e)	-	45,595	33,119	30,465
Percent reduction in employee commute miles below 2014 levels		0%	20%	20%
Annual employee commute miles after reduction (VMT)		156,969,260	124,034,976	124,034,976
Annual reduction in employee commute miles from forecasts (VMT)		-	36,143,517	42,561,984
Forecasted commute emissions after reduction (MTCO2e)		45,595	25,646	22,682
Forecasted commute emissions after reduction (MTCO2e)		45,595	22,440	19,847
GHG Reductions from T-2.3 (MTCO2e)		-	7.473	7.783
T-2.4				
Shared and Reduced Parking in New Non-Residential Development	2014	2020	2030	2052
		2020	2030	2050
	2014	2020	2030	2050
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-				
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non- unincorporated County employee commute)	1,654,960,756	1,906,820,493	2,186,461,667	2,426,351,442
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non- unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020)				
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non- unincorporated County employee commute)	1,654,960,756	1,906,820,493	2,186,461,667 279,641,173	2,426,351,442 519,530,949
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non- unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020)	1,654,960,756	1,906,820,493 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non- unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020)	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non- unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020)	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013) 28%	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892 240,523,974	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433 469,247,405
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1 T-2.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013) New Commute VMT Reductions in Commute VMT from other measures not included as the percent reduction is from the forecast	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1 T-2.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892 240,523,974 67,346,713	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433 469,247,405 131,389,273
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013) New Commute VMT Reductions in Commute VMT from other measures not included as the percent reduction is from the forecast Target Percent VMT reduction from New Commute VMT Calculated Percent Reduction in Parking Spaces at new	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1 T-2.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892 240,523,974	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433 469,247,405
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013) New Commute VMT Reductions in Commute VMT from other measures not included as the percent reduction is from the forecast Target Percent VMT reduction from New Commute VMT Calculated Percent Reduction in Parking Spaces at new Non-residential land uses to achieve the target percent	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1 T-2.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892 240,523,974 67,346,713	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433 469,247,405 131,389,273
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013) New Commute VMT Reductions in Commute VMT from other measures not included as the percent reduction is from the forecast Target Percent VMT reduction from New Commute VMT Calculated Percent Reduction in Parking Spaces at new	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1 T-2.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892 240,523,974 67,346,713	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433 469,247,405 131,389,273
Passenger and LDT1 VMT (excluding non-unincorporated County employee commute) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) New Passenger VMT (since 2020) reduced from other measures Adjusted New VMT Percent of Household VMT for commuting (AASHTO 2013) New Commute VMT Reductions in Commute VMT from other measures not included as the percent reduction is from the forecast Target Percent VMT reduction from New Commute VMT Calculated Percent Reduction in Parking Spaces at new Non-residential land uses to achieve the target percent	1,654,960,756 T-1.1 T-1.2 T-2.1 T-2.2	1,906,820,493 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,186,461,667 279,641,173 20,089,393 8,109,480 373,434 10,544,892 240,523,974 67,346,713	2,426,351,442 519,530,949 20,089,393 8,109,480 1,512,237 20,572,433 469,247,405 131,389,273

1,392

2,403

T-3.1 MEASURE REMOVED				
T-3.2				
Use Alternative Fuels in County Projects	2014	2020	2030	2050
Measure assumes the level of conversion from diesel to alternative fuels is proportional to level of emissions reductions from such actions Measure also assumes that any emissions related to additional electricity use from converted equipment are negligible. Emissions from electricity use would decrease in future years due to the increasing renewable energy mix in the electricity generation. This measure only applies to construction equipment in the County fleet.				
County-Only (Municipal) Construction Equipment Emissions (MTCO2e) from CRIS database and Municipal Forecast	431	381	364	369
Percent County construction fuel offset due to conversion of equipment to renewable diesel or electric fuel sources		0%	100%	100%
Construction Equipment Emission offset by renewable and electric conversions (MTCO2e) Diesel fuel emission factors (kg CO2/gal) (The Climate Registry 2016)	10.21	=	364	369
Approximate diesel fuel use offset by electric conversions (gal)		-	35,653	36,143
GHG Reductions from T-3.2 (MTCO2e)		-	364	369

Develop a Local Vehicle Retirement Program	2014	2020	2030	2050
Measure assumes any replaced vehicles are replaced with the average light-duty vehicle in the sa	me year, as a			
conservative approach. Newer vehicles would have even lower emission factors.			0.38	
			4,248.01	
Light Duty Vehicles MY1996 or older County-wide (LDA, LDT1, LDT2, and MDV)				
/ehicle Population (EMFAC2014 forecasts)			28,600	
Annual VMT Annual VMT per vehicle			97,786,270 3,419	
Allitual vivit per verilcie			5,419	
light Duty Vehicles MY1997 or newer County-wide (LDA, LDT1, LDT2, and MDV)				
/ehicle Population (EMFAC2014 forecasts)			2,581,230	
Annual VMT			27,086,935,423	
Annual VMT per vehicle			10,494	
Average Emission Factor for Light Duty Vehicles MY1996 or older in San Diego County (g CO2/m	ni)		396	
Average Emission Factor for Light Duty Vehicles MY1997 or older in San Diego County (g CO2/m	•		214	
CO2 to CO2e Conversion factor used in inventory for transportation emissions			1.01	
Average Emission Factor for Light Duty Vehicles MY1996 or older in San Diego County (g CO2e/	mi)		400	
Average Emission Factor for Light Duty Vehicles MY1997 or older in San Diego County (g CO2e/	mi)		216	
Total Number of MY1996 vehicles removed			1,600	
otal Number of Wit 1990 vehicles removed			1,000	
Replacement Rate (based on 2013 ARB Survey Report) (https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/aqip/E	FMP_Update_Staff_Report_November_2013.	pdf) page 34	48%	
Annual VMT from retired vehicles			5,470,653	
Annual VMT from replacement vehicles			16,790,094	
Emissions from old vehicles (MTCO2e)			2,187	
Emissions from replaced vehicles (MTCO2e) Emissions Reductions (MTCO2e)			1,742 446	
GHG Reductions from T-3.3 (MTCO2e)			446	
GHG Reductions from T-3.3 (MTCO2e) T-3.4			446	
	2014	2020	2030	2050
F-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions	-		2030	
r-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e)	2014 2014 40	2020	2030 2030	2050
Corecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e)	2014		2030	2050
F-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel	2014 40	2020 41	2030 2030 42	2050 43 3,860
Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline	2014 40 4,061	2020 41 3,916	2030 2030 42 3,779	2050 2050 43 3,860 13,152 17,055
F-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Fotal	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365	2050 43 3,860 13,152
Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) English Special Gasoline Foreign Type	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365	2050 43 3,860 13,152
Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG CNG Diesel Gasoline Total	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions	205(43 3,860 13,152 17,055
T-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa	205(43 3,860 13,152 17,055
T-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG Diesel	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa	205(43 3,860 13,152 17,055
F-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG Diesel	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa	205(43 3,860 13,152 17,055
F-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG Diesel	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa	2050 43 3,860 13,152 17,055
T-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG Diesel Gasoline Gasoline	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast action emissions fro onstant after 2020	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa	205(43 3,860 13,152 17,055
T-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast ection emissions fro onstant after 2020	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa	2050 43 3,860 13,152 17,055 ated through
T-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG Diesel Gasoline Percent reduction in vehicle fleet emissions below future forecasts years	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast sction emissions fro onstant after 2020 2020 10%	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipates 2030 20%	205(43 3,860 13,152 17,055 ated through
T-3.4 Reduce the County's Fleet Emissions Forecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Diesel Gasoline Total Fuel Type CNG Diesel Gasoline Percent reduction in vehicle fleet emissions below future forecasts years Target fleet emissions after reduction (MTCO2e) Annual reduction in fleet emissions from forecast (MTCO2e)	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast action emissions fro constant after 2020 2020 10% 21,548 2,394	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipa 2030 20% 14,692 3,673	2050 43 3,860 13,152 17,055 ated through 2050 20% 13,644 3,411
Corecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) CNG Chesel Gasoline Cotal Guel Type CNG Chesel Casoline Cotal Corecasted BAU Emissions by Fuel from County Fleet Operations (MTCO2e) Cotal Cota	2014 40 4,061 22,063 26,164 Scaling Factors for business No change Includes additional constru	2020 41 3,916 19,985 23,942 s-as-usual forecast oction emissions fro constant after 2020 2020 10% 21,548	2030 2030 42 3,779 14,544 18,365 ed emissions om capitol projects anticipation 2030 20% 14,692	205 43 3,860 13,152 17,055 ated through 205 209 13,644

Install Electric Vehicle Charging Stations	2020	2030	205
The reductions calculated for this measure are assumed to achieve reductions above and beyond those forecasted by the State.			
EMFAC2014 Outputs for San Diego County			
otal Vehicle Miles per day (All vehicle types)	82,315,741	89,623,697	100,696,45
/MT/year	30,045,245,368	32,712,649,577	36,754,206,22
lumber of EVs	28,999	188,321	330,33
Inincorporated San Diego County Adjustments			
ANDAG unincorporated VMT/year	3,240,906,504	3,546,863,373	3,945,087,1
Inincorporated percentage of regional VMT	11%	11%	1
lumber of EVs in Unincorporated County	3,128	20,419	35,4
0% of EVs	313	2,042	3,5
0% of EVs (rounded)	310	2,040	3,5
missions from EV Charger Usage			
umber of Chargers installed by 2030 (no additional targets set for 2050)	-	2,040	2,0
umber of Connections per Charge	0	2	
verage Charging hours per Connection per day	0	3	
umber of hours of charge per year for all chargers (h/year)	=	4,169,760	4,169,7
verage Efficiency of EV LDV (kWh/100-mi) (1)	34	34	
HG Emissions per MWh in San Diego (MTCO2e/MWh)	0.260	0.237	0.2
narger Power (kW) (Level 2 - High) (2)	6.6	6.6	
harged amount (kWh)	-	27,520,416	27,520,4
V emissions (MT CO2e)	-	6,526	6,5
ource:			
1) http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/download.shtml (Without EV efficiency forecasts, EV efficiency assumed to be the san	ne for all future years)		
2) https://www.driveclean.ca.gov/pev/Charging.php			
missions from Equivalent Gasoline/Diesel Vehicles			
quivalent Annual VMT (mi)	-	81,837,791	81,837,7
vg GHG Emissions per mi for Gasoline/Diesel Passenger and LDT1 vehicles (gCO2/mi) (EMFAC2014)	296	224	2
O2 to CO2e Conversion factor used in inventory for transportation emissions	1.01	1.01	1
HG Emissions per mi for average gasoline LDV (gCO2e/mi)	299	226	2
quivalent Gasoline emissions (MT CO2e)	-	18,514	16,6
missions Reductions			
missions reductions (MT CO2e)	-	11,987	10,1
missions reductions per hour of charge (kg CO2e/h)		2.9	:
GHG Reductions from T-3.5 (MTCO2e)	-	11,987	10,1
4.1	2020	2030	20
stablish a Direct Investment Program alculation based on emissions reductions from the forecast needed to meet the 2030 target with all other measures applied.	2020	2030	
s of January 26, 2018, the revisions shown for E-1.2 resulted in a decrease in the DI reduction for 2030 by 1,842 MTCO2e.			
GHG Reductions from T-4.1 (MTCO2e)	-	140,845	-

Energy Reduction Measure Quantification

Assumptions				
		2020	2030	2050
S 8: 6 . A				
San Diego County Average Electricity Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/MWh)		0.260	0.237	0.237
SD County Average Electricity EF with E-2.1		0.000	0.000	0.000
SD County Local Government Electricity Emission Factor				
(MTCO2e/MWh)		0.317	0.237	0.237
Natural Gas Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/therm)			0.00685	
Propane Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/therm)			0.00627	
E-1.1	MEASURE REMOVED			

E-1.2			
Use Alternatively-powered Water Heaters in Residential Development	2020	2030	2050

Note: Only homes not connected to natural gas utilities are allowed to install electric water heaters (See 2016 California Energy Code, Title 24 Part 6). Measure is conservative in that it assumes no water heaters are converted to solar, which would reslut in more GHG reductions.

As of January 26, 2018, E-1.2 has been revised to reflect the impacts of this measure on new construction. Previously, water heater improvements in new construction were assumed to be accounted for in E-1.1. Without E-1.1, the credits associated with usage of more efficient water heaters in new construction have been added to the calculation of E-1.2, resulting in an increase of approximately 1,842 MTCO2e of reductions.

Percent of natural gas use in homes by end use in California (assumed to apply to propane -only homes also) 2009 25% Space Heating Water Heating 34% Cooking 25% Other 16% Water heating usage by fuel type 2009 Natural Gas 85% Electric 11% Propane 4%

Source: EIA 2009. http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/

Note: This is based on most recent data from the US. Energy Information Administration as of May 2017. There was a survey done in 2015, but the breakdown of fuel use by end use will not be available until 2018.

https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/index.php?view=consumption

Average age of natural gas water heater at replacement (years)

A

Percent of existing

	NG/Propane water	Assumed percent of existing	NG/Propane water heaters	replaced by this year by
	heaters by age (EIA 2009)		age	
	2009	2020	2030	2050
Less Than 2 Years	16%	0	100%	100%
2 to 4 Years	16%	0	100%	100%
5 to 9 Years	30%	50%	100%	100%
10 to 14 Years	18%	100%	100%	100%
15 to 19 Years	7%	100%	100%	100%
20 Years or More	14%	100%	100%	100%
	2014	2020	2030	2050
Annual Residential Natural Gas Use in San Diego with Legislative				
Reductions (therms)	28,860,437	30,197,611	32,189,665	33,864,286
Annual Residential Propane Gas Use in San Diego with Legislative				
Reductions (therms)	1,577,792	1,650,894	1,759,799	1,851,350
Total Therms	30,438,228	31,848,505	33,949,464	35,715,636

13

Percent of replacement water heaters that are electric (only applicable to households that do not have natural gas connections per 2016 Percent of replacement water heaters that are electric (only applicable to households that do not have natural gas connections per 2016 Percent of replacement water heaters that are natural gas tankless Natural Gas Sourings from not using traditional Water Heaters in new construction Natural Gas Sourings from not using traditional Water Heaters in new construction Natural Gas Sourings from a voicing control (Natural Gas Savings from work that the natural gas tankless) Natural Gas Sourings from work that the natural gas tankless Natural Gas Sourings from work that the natural gas tankless of traditional water heaters in new constructions from natural gas usage ger water heaters replaced what and gas savings from work that the natural gas values the natural gas usage ger water heaters replaced what gas savings from work that the natural gas values per water heaters (Noutput) Natural Gas Sourings from souridance of traditional water heaters in new constructions from natural gas usage ger water heater (No Action) (Intermo) New regressional industrial gas values ger water heaters replaced water heaters (Noutput) Natural Gas Sourings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Noutput) Natural Gas Sourings from neutral gas values ger water heaters replaced water heaters (Noutput) Natural Gas Sourings from neutral gas values from the natural gas values for heater (Noutput) Natural Gas Sourings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters in several control (Noutput) Natural Gas Sourings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters in several gas water heaters in percentage of the several gas values and the several gas values of the several gas values in easing water heaters in the sexting water for popular souring (NCTC2) National Gas Sourings from replacement of Existing Water Natural ga	E-1.2 (Continued)				
Percent of replacement water heaters that are electric (only applicable to households that do not have natural gas connections per 2016 Energy Code) Percent of replacement water heaters that are natural gas tankless (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	2 212 (continues)				
to households that do not have natural gas tomictions per 2016 EPERCENT OF replacement water heaters that are natural gas tankless **Program Control Ges Sovings from not using traditional Water Heaters in new construction **Program using from protein program of the state of t			2020	2030	2050
to households that do not have natural gas tomictions per 2016 EPERCENT OF replacement water heaters that are natural gas tankless **Program Control Ges Sovings from not using traditional Water Heaters in new construction **Program using from protein program of the state of t	Percent of replacement water heaters that are electric (only applicable				
Percent of replacement water heaters that are natural gas analiess 0% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95					
Natural Gas Savings from not using traditional Water Heaters in new construction Autural gas usage in new water heaters (No Action) (therms) Werage annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Autural Gas Savings from production of matural gas usage per water heaters replaced studies dequivalent number of water heaters replaced studies dequivalent number of water heaters replaced studies dequivalent number of water heaters in new construction (therms) Autural Gas Savings from sociations of traditional water heaters in new construction (therms) Autural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (No Action) (therms) Werage annual natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Werage annual natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Werage annual natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Water Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (No Action) (therms) 244 **Satismated equivalent number of water heaters replaced **Satismated equivalent number of water heaters replaced **Satismated Gavined number of water heaters replacement (therms) **Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) **Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (therms) **Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (therms) **Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (therms) **Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (therms) **Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (therms) **Propone Saving	Energy Code)		0%	5%	5%
Astural gas usage in new water heaters (No Action) (therms) werage annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) starsamme of 4 gifty and a 0.61 energy factor) Intigos / Jennergy any vierter (mergy cost-calculation-electric-and gas- vater-heater-official grows and a 0.61 energy from york of the party of	Percent of replacement water heaters that are natural gas tankless		0%	95%	95%
Natural gas usage in new water heaters (No Action) (therms) Weerage annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms) Notural Gas Savings from avoidance of traditional water heaters in new contraction (therms) Notural Gas Savings from projectment of Existing Water Heaters water heaters (therms) Notural Gas Savings from projectment of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Notural Gas Savings from existing water heaters (the Action) (therms) Notural Gas Savings from existing water heaters (therms) Notural Gas Savings from existing water heaters (the Action) (therms) Notural Gas Savings from existing water heaters (the Action) (therms) Notural Gas Savings from explacement of Existing Water Heaters in existing water heaters (the Action) (therms) Notural Gas Savings from explacement of Existing Water Heaters in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Notural Gas Savings from explacement of Existing Water Heaters in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Notation of the Action of Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Notation of the Action of Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Notation of the Action of Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Notation of the Natural Gas Savings (MITCO2e) Notation of the Natural Gas Savings	Natural Gas Savings from not using traditional Water Heaters in new				
Average annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Sassuming 64 gal/yar and a d. 61. energy gov/eere/fempleenergy-cost-calculator-electric-and-gas- water-heater-coloutouth) Zatimated equivalent number of water heaters replaced Autural Gas Savings from avoidance of traditional water heaters in new Statural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Statural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Notural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Notural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Veerage annual natural gas usage per water heaters (No Action) Notural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) Notural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) Notural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) Notural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Veerage annual natural gas usage per water heaters (No Action) Notural Gas Savings from replacement of traditional water heaters in existing homes (therms) Activated Gas Savings from replacement of traditional water heaters in existing homes (therms) Activated Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Propane savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propone-only homes Total electricity use needed to offset propane water heating (Wth) Additional Gind emissions from making gas use in new WG tankless water heaters in Existing Propone-only homes Total electricity use needed to new KG tankless water heaters Note the missions from making gas use in new WG tankless water heaters Note the missions from making gas use in new KG	construction				
Assuming 64 gal/war and a 0.51 energy factor of Introst/energy solverel femplenergy-cots calculator-electric-and-gas-water-heaters-000utput through the water heaters replaced valural Gas Savings from avoidance of traditional water heaters in new construction (therms) 344 Satisfaction of the state of traditional water heaters in new construction (therms) 345 Ged Reductions from Natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e) 346 Reductions from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 347 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 347 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 347 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 348 Avorage annual natural gas usage in existing water heaters (Nation) (therms) 340 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 341 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 344 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 344 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Nation) (therms) 344 Avoid Gas Savings in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) 344 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Therms) 345 Avoid Gas Savings in existing water heaters (Nation) (therms) 345 Avoid Gas Savings in existing water heaters (Nation) (therms) 346 Reductions from Propane Savings (MTCO2e) 347 Avoid Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) 347 Avoid Gas				1,120,622	1,684,302
water-heaters-Olioutout) Startianded equivalent number of water heaters replaced Natural Cas Savings from avoidance of traditional water heaters in new construction (herms) CHG Reductions from Natural Cas Savings (MTCO2e) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Savings (NTCO2e) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Savings (NTCO2e) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas Savings (No Action) (therms) Natural Cas S	(assuming 64 gal/year and a 0.61 energy factor)				
A 593 6,000 A Water Gas Savings from avoidance of traditional water heaters in new construction (therms)	(https://energy.gov/eere/femp/energy-cost-calculator-electric-and-gas-water-heaters-0#output)	244			
1,120,622 1,684,302 1,68	Estimated equivalent number of water heaters replaced	244		4,593	6,903
Self-Bill Reductions from Natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e) Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural gas usage or water heater (therms/heater) Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (therms/heater) Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Water heaters replaced Natural Gas Savings from removal of traditional water heaters in existing women (therms) Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note the Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note Heaters (Note Heaters) Note The Natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e) Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Note The Natural Gas Savings from replacement (Itherms) Note Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Itherms) Note Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Itherms) Note Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (Itherms) Note Savings from Note The Natural Gas Savings (Itherms) Note Savings from Note Natural (Savings from Note Natur	Natural Gas Savings from avoidance of traditional water heaters in new				
Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water heaters (No Action) (therms) Nowarage annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Nowarage annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Nowarage annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Nowarage annual natural gas usage per water heaters (replaced) Nowarage annual natural gas usage per water heaters replaced Nowarage natural dequivalent number of water heaters replaced Nowarage natural dequivalent number of water heaters replaced Nowarage natural Gas Savings from removal of traditional water heaters in Sexisting homes (therms) Nowarage natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e) Nowarage natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Propane usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Nowarage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Nowarage in existing water heaters after beat the sall of the existing water heaters in existing water heaters (therms) Nowarage in exis					
Natural gas usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Average annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Average annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Average annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Average annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Average pound natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Average nound natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) Average nound natural gas usage per water heaters (refund of a station of the propose o	,			.,	11,007
Average annual natural gas usage per water heater (therms/heater) lassuming 64 gal/year and a 0.61 energy factor) littips://energy.cov/erer/femp/energy-cost-calculator-electric-and-gas-water-heaters-0houtput) 244 245 246 247 248 248 248 249 249 249 249 240 240 240 240	Natural Gas Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters				
lassuming 64 gal/year and a 0.61 energy factor/)				9,714,461	9,714,461
water-heaters-0toutput) 244 Steinande equivalent number of water heaters replaced Savings from removal of traditional water heaters in existing homes (therms) Selfsing homes (therms) Selfsing Reductions from Natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e) Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Propane usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Savings from repl	(assuming 64 gal/year and a 0.61 energy factor)				
Estimated equivalent number of water heaters replaced 39,813 39,8	(https://energy.gov/eere/femp/energy-cost-calculator-electric-and-gas-incomplex of the control				
Natural Gas Savings from removal of traditional water heaters in existing homes (therms) 39,714,461 9,714		244		30 813	30 813
Sand Reductions from Natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e) Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Sali,087 Sa	Natural Gas Savings from removal of traditional water heaters in			33,013	33,013
Propone Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters Propane usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Sid Reductions from Propane Savings (MTCO2e) Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) (wh needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) (wh per therm conversion for water heating) Interest electricity use needed to offset propane water heating (kWh) Additional emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e) Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Merrage) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless wate	existing homes (therms)				9,714,461
Propane usage in existing water heaters (No Action) (therms) Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) Additional emissions from Propane Savings (MTCO2e) Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (61% efficiency) With needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) With needed to hea	GHG REductions from Natural Gas Savings (MTCO2e)			66,544	66,544
Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms) Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) GHG Reductions from Propane Savings (MTCO2e) Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (61% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to olicity use needed to offset propane water heating Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (95% efficiency) Why needed to heat 45	Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters			524.007	524 007
Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms) GHG Reductions from Propane Savings (MTCO2e) 3,329.91 3,329.9	Propane usage in existing water neaters (No Action) (therms)			531,087	531,087
Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (61% efficiency) Why heeded to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why heeded to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why heeded to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Why her therm conversion for water heating Total electricity use needed to offset propane water heating (kWh) Additional GHG emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e) Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 3,329.91 3,329	Propane usage in existing water heaters after replacement (therms)			-	-
Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Kink needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) Koal definition of hot water (99% effici	Propane Savings from replacement of Existing Water Heaters (therms)			·	•
Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (61% efficiency) Additional GHG emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) Additional GHG emissions from new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (GHG Reductions from Propane Savings (MTCO2e)			3,329.91	3,329.91
Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (61% efficiency) (AWH) needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) (AWH) needed to heat 45 gallo	Additional emissions from electricity use in new water heaters in				
kWh needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency) kwh per therm conversion for water heating 19.8000198 Total electricity use needed to offset propane water heating (kWh) Additional GHG emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e) 125 125 Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average) 20% Source: https://energy.gov/energysaver/tankless-or-demand-type-water-heaters (Itherms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) 20% Source: https://energy.gov/energysaver/tankless-or-demand-type-water-heaters (Itherms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) 21,018 21,945	Existing Propane-only homes Therms needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (61% efficiency)	U 333333			
Total electricity use needed to offset propane water heating (kWh) Additional GHG emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e) Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 525,776 526,776 525,776 526,776 5	kWh needed to heat 45 gallons of hot water (99% efficiency)				
Additional GHG emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e) 125 Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average) 126 207 208 208 208 208 209 209 209 209	kwh per therm conversion for water heating	19.8000198			
Additional GHG emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e) 125 Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average) 126 207 208 208 208 208 209 209 209 209	Total electricity use needed to offset propane water heating (kWh)			525.776	525.776
heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 20% Source: https://energy.gov/energysaver/tankless-or-demand-type-water-heaters 8,234,663 8,663,060 8,663,060 56,407 59,342 21,945	Additional GHG emissions from Electricity Use (MTCO2e)			·	•
heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 20% Source: https://energy.gov/energysaver/tankless-or-demand-type-water-heaters 8,234,663 8,663,060 8,663,060 56,407 59,342 21,945	Additional emissions from natural gas use in new NG tankless water				
(Average) Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 20% Source: https://energy.gov/energysaver/tankless-or-demand-type-water-heaters (therms) 8,234,663 8,663,060 56,407 59,342 21,018 21,945	heaters in Existing NG Homes and New Construction				
Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters (therms) Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 8,234,663 8,663,060 56,407 59,342 21,018 21,945	Percent savings relative to storage tank natural gas water heaters (Average)	20%	Source: https://energy.gov	/eneravsaver/tankless-or-der	mand-type-water-heaters
Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCO2e) 55,407 59,342 GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 21,018 21,945	Total natural gas use needed for new NG tankless water heaters	20/0	gov		
GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e) 21,018 21,945	(therms)				8,663,060
	Additional GHG emissions from new NG Use (MTCUZE)			56,407	59,342
E-1.3 MEASURE REMOVED	GHG Reductions from E-1.2 (MTCO2e)			21,018	21,945
	E-1.3	MEASURE REMOVED			

E-1.4				
Reduce Energy Use Intensity at County Facilities	2014	2020	2030	205
Propane and diesel use is not included in these calculations because the County				
primarily uses these fuels for facilities in emergency generators.				
Electricity Use at County Facilities County-wide (MWh)				
Facility Type				
Airports	755	771	797	849
Buildings & Other Facilities	133,837	134,387	135,305	137,139
Public Lighting	7,594	7,879	8,354	9,305
Wastewater/Water Facilities	739	802	897	977
Total Electricity	142,925	143,840	145,353	148,270
Total Electricity in the unincorporated County (from CRIS data)	44,051	44,559	45,394	46,956
Percent of Electricity use in the unincorporated County	31%	31%	31%	32%
Natural Gas Use at County Facilities (therms)				
Airports	6,730	6,954	7,329	8,077
Buildings & Other Facilities	2,334,004	2,341,919	2,355,110	2,381,492
Total Natural Gas	2,340,734	2,348,873	2,362,438	2,389,568
Facility Type	Forecasting Methodology			
Airport	County plans to construct accessor funded through 2020. Assume no			nas not yet been
Building & Other Facilities	County's 5-year plan through 2020	. Assumed growth rate conti	nues through 2050.	
Lighting	County's 5-year plan through 2020	. Assumed growth rate conti	nues through 2050.	
Wastewater/Water Facilities	County Population			
Percent reduction in energy use below 2014 levels		10%	20%	20%
Target Annual Electricity Use (MWh)		128.633	114,340	114,340
Target Annual Natural Gas Use (Therms)		2,106,661	1,872,587	1,872,587
Annual Electricity Reductions (MWh)		15,207	31,013	33,930
Annual Electricity Reductions in the unincorporated County (MWh)		4,711	9,685	10.745
Annual Natural Gas Reductions (therms)		242,212	489,851	516,981
. ,		•	•	,
Emissions savings from reduced electricity (MTCO2e)		4,827	7,346	8,037
Emissions savings from reduced natural gas (MTCO2e)		1,659	3,355	3,541
GHG Reductions from E-1.4 (MTCO2e)		6,486	10,702	11,578
		-,	-,	==,5,0

Increase Renewable Electricity		2020	2030	2050
mercuse henewasie Electricity	I	2020	2030	
Background Calculations				
Forecasted County electricity from existing and new of	evelopment			
(MWh)	•	2,633,427	2,788,644	3,051,096
Reductions from other measures (MWh)	Existing or New	Residential or Non-residential		
	E-1.1 New only	MEASURE REMOVED	0	
	E-1.2 New and Existing		-526	-526
	E-1.3 Existing Only	MEASURE REMOVED	0	
	New and Existing (Co	ounty only) Excludes municipal		
	E-1.4 electricity use outsid	e the County	9,685	10,74
	E-2.3 Existing Only	,	1,097,768	1,097,76
	New and Existina (Co	ounty only) Excludes municipal		
	E-2.4 electricity use outsid	* **	7,142	7,24
	T-1.1 New only	,	4,595	4,59
	T-1.2 New only		169	1,85
	T-3.1 New and Existing		2,611	2,64
	W-1.3 Excludes electricity u	ise outside the County	73	7:
	W-2.1 New and Existing	,	10	10
	A-1.2 New and Existing		-1	-(
Total Reductions fro	m Other Measures		1,121,526	1,124,40
Note: W-1.1, A-1.1, T-3.2, and T-3.3 were not included from electricity vs. other fuels, so it is more conservati			o what part of the reduction	ins are coming

Non-Renewable Emissions from Local Utility (MTCO2e/MWh)	0.474	0.474
Estimated Renewable Energy Program (REP) Emission Factor		
(MTCO2e/MWh)	-	-
Average SDGE Emission Factor (MTCO2e/MWh)	0.237	0.237
REP Participation Rate	80%	90%
REP Renewable Mix	100%	100%
REP Member Participation Rate in 100% renewable option	6%	15%
City of Fairfax's current participation rate with similar subsidy program		
for Deep Green which is limited to 100 households		
Overall Renewable Mix of REP (includes those choosing the 100%		
renewable option)	100%	100%
Adjusted County Electricity Use (MWh)	1,667,118	1,926,693
Electricity Use of Participating Customers (MWh)	1,333,694	1,734,024
Emissions related to Electricity Use from participating customers		
without REP program (MTCO2e)	316,269	411,202
Emissions related to Electricity Use from participating customers with		
REP program (MTCO2e)	-	-
Emissions Reductions (MTCO2e)	316,269	411,202
GHG Reductions from E-2.1 (MTCO2e)	316,269	411,202

MEASURE REMOVED

E-2.3

Install Solar Photovoltaic in Existing Homes

This assumes that buildings with solar would opt out of the Renewable Energy Program (REP). (See measure discounts in E-2.1). Also assumes that permitted solar panels are constructed six months after permits are approved. An assumption of 5.06 kW per home allows the calculated electricity generated by solar per existing home to match the average energy use per existing home in 2020. With additional improvements in energy efficiency from other measures, some homes may still see lower energy use compared to solar electricity generation post-2020.

Solar permits approved from July 2013 through January 2017 for				Number of Residential
existing and new construction	Total kW	Total Non-residential kW	Total Residential kW	Permits
Fiscal Year 13/14	32,680	0	32,680	4,583
Fiscal Year 14/15	57,359	8,854	48,505	6,165
Fiscal Year 15/16	70,617	7,149	63,468	8,674
Fiscal Year 16/17 (through January 2017)	27,474	2,374	25,100	3,394
Total	188,130	18,377	169,753	22,816

Annual kWh per kW in San Diego County	1,665	
Average solar size per residence based on average electricity demand		
per existing household as of 2014 (kW)	5.06	

Calculating Residential solar permits for **new construction only** with only information on number of new building permits.

Assume all new homes construct minimum solar requirement as a conservative approach.

		Mobi	le Homes (Private
Number of New Home Building Permits	Custom Homes	Tract Homes	Lot)
Fiscal Year 13/14	298	218	39
Fiscal Year 14/15	351	292	29
Fiscal Year 15/16	380	256	45
Fiscal Year 16/17 (through January 2017)	206	53	29
Total	1,235	819	142
Size per system (kW)	5.06	5.06	5.06
Annual electricity generated per system (kWh)	8,433	8,433	8,433
Assumed Solar Panel Size if all New Construction installed Solar (kW)	Total		
Fiscal Year 13/14	2,810		
Fiscal Year 14/15	3,403		
Fiscal Year 15/16	3,448		

1,458 11,120

Fiscal Year 16/17 (through January 2017) Total Source: County of San Diego 2017. NREL PV Watts Calculator

E-2.3 (Continued)				
Calculated Size of residential solar permits approved from July 2013		Numbe	r of Existing	
through January 2017 for existing buildings only	kW	Months Resider	_	
Fiscal Year 13/14	29,870	12	4,028	
Fiscal Year 14/15	45,102	12	5,493	
Fiscal Year 15/16	60,020	12	7,993	
Fiscal Year 16/17 (through January 2017)	23,642	7	3,106	
Total	158,633	43	20,620	
Average annual size	44,270	N/A	N/A	
	2014-2017	2018-2019	2020-2029	2040-2050
Farget annual number of homes (residential permits approved) within hese years	5,754	10,027	8,200	-
Target annual size of solar permits for existing residential buildings				
approved within these years (kW)	44,270	50,773	41,523	-
Size of solar permits approved within these years (kW)	177,079	101,547	415,229	
Installation rate: Percent of permitted solar panel actually constructed	95%			
		2020	2030	2050
Cumulative size of all rooften solar systems in apparation from 2014 (IAV)				
Cumulative size of all rooftop solar systems in operation from 2014 (kW) Average solar size per residence (kW/unit)		264,695 5.06	659,162 5.06	659,162 5.06
Target cumulative number of existing residential units with solar since 2014		52,273	130,175	130,175
		2020	2030	2050
Annual kWh generated per kW of solar PV in San Diego County Annual Electricity Generated by new Solar PVs from new permits in	1,665			
existing residences (MWh)		440,822	1,097,768	1,097,768
Feasibility Assessment				
Existing Electricity Usage in Residential land uses (MWh) Electricity Reductions from Existing Residential land uses from other Measures (MWh) (excludes measures that only affect Non-residential,		1,377,278	1,377,278	1,377,278
new construction, or any energy use not used on existing residential and uses, such as water consumption)				
E-1.2		0	-526	-52
Adjusted Electricity Usage from Existing Residential land uses (MWh)		1,377,278	1,377,803	1,377,803
Number of Existing Residential units		163,354	163,354	163,354
Electricity Usage per Existing Residence (MWh/residence)		8.43	8.43	8.43
Number of Existing Residences with Solar under this measure		52,273	130,175	130,175
lectricity use in participating residences (MWh)		440,729	1,097,954	1,097,954
Annual Electricity Generated by new Solar PVs from new permits (MWh)		440,822	1,097,768	1,097,768
Jnused electricity generated (MWh)		94	(186)	(186
Percent of electricity sent back into grid		0%	0%	09
Percent of Electricity use in Existing Homes offset by solar (Feasibility Check)		32%	80%	80%
GHG Reductions from E-2.3 (MTCO2e)		114,571	260,322	260,322
E-2.4				
ncrease Use of On-Site Renewable Electricity Generation for County		2020	2030	2050
Operations		2020	2030	2050
County electricity use after the implementation of E-1.4 (MWh) Percent of renewable electricity generated on-site		128,633 10%	114,340 20%	114,340 20%
Electricity offset (MWh)		12,863	22,868	22,868
GHG Reductions from E-2.4 (MTCO2e)		4,083	5,417	5,417

Solid Waste Reduction Measure Quantification

SW-1.1

Increase Solid Waste Diversion

See additional quantification on separate sheets.

From implementation of Zero Waste diversion program (80% diversion)				
rioni implementation of zero waste diversion program (80% diversion)		Source		
Baseline				
		Unincorporated Co	unty of San Diego	
Total Unincorporated Waste Accepted by Landfills in 2014 (wet short		2014 Greenhouse G	Gas Emissions Inventory and	
tons)	449,323	Projections		
Total Unincorporated Waste Accepted by Landfills in 2030 (Post-diversion) (tons)	545,308	Scaled with populat	tion	
Organics Content in Unincorporated SD County	66%	Calculated from Cal	Recycle Data. Date unreported.	
Total Unincorporated Waste Accepted by Landfills in 2030 (Post-				
diversion) - organics only (tons)	362,486			
Current Diversion Rate	62%	CalRecycle		
Total Unincorporated Generated Waste (tons)	1,435,022	Calculated		
Target				
Target Diversion Rate	80%	Assumed		
Target Disposal Tonnage under 80% diversion rate	287,004	Calculated		
Target Diverted Tonnage under 80% diversion rate	1,148,018	Calculated		
Waste disposal reduction under 80% diversion rate compared to baseline (ton)	258,304	Calculated		
Organics content in reduced waste	60%	Estimated from HF8	&H Calculations	
Additional Diverted waste generation under 80% diversion rate - organics only (ton)	154,483	Calculated		
Reduction in Organics				
		Calculated. Assume	that emissions are proportional to	
Percent reduction in organics compared to baseline	43%	organics content in	waste	
Forecasted Emissions Reductions				
	2030	2050		
GHG Emissions from Waste Disposal (MTCO2e)	185,492	201,915		
Emissions reductions from SWP (MTCO2e)	79,052	86,052		
	2020	2030	2050	
GHG Reductions from SW-1.1 (MTCO2e)	-	79,052	86,052	

San Diego County Average Electricity Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/MWh) San Diego County Average Electricity Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/MWh) Natural Gas Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/therm) Note that this measure will not be in effect until after 2020. Mandatory Reqmt/ Increase Water Efficiency in New Residential Development	
Natural Gas Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/therm) W-1.1 Increase Water Efficiency in New Residential Development Note that this measure will not be in effect until ofter 2020. Mandatory Reqmt/ Standard Equivalent Rating Requirement Metric 1.8 1.5 Flow Rate Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) 5 3.5 Energy Star Appliance - standard size Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) 1.6.82 9.25 Energy Star Appliance - 25 cu-ft front loading Clotheswasher water use (gal/cycle) 1.6.82 9.25 Energy Star Appliance - 25 cu-ft front loading Clotheswasher energy use (kWh/cycle) 7.93 Assumption based on water usage used for dishwashing and standard flowrate: https://water.usgs.gov/edu/qa-home-percapita.html. Assumes water is also used for water good in the properties of	ashing produce,
Mandatory Reqmt/ Standard Equivalent Standard Equivalent Requirement Metric Standard Equivalent Standard E	
Mandatory Reqmt/ Standard Equivalent Rating Requirement Metric Standard Size Jishwasher water use (gal/cycle) S 3.5 Energy Star Appliance - standard size Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) 307 270 Energy Star Appliance - standard size Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) S 16.82 9.25 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 u-ft front loading S 1.5 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 u-ft front loading S 1.5 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 u-ft front loading S 1.5 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 u-ft front loading S 1.5 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 u-ft front loading S 1.5 Energy Star Appliance S 2.5 Energy Star Appliance S 3.5 Energy Star Appliance S 3.5 Energy Star Appliance S 3.5 Energy Star Applianc	
Mandatory Reqmt/ Standard Equivalent Rating Requirement Metric Standard Equivalent Rating Requirement Metric Standard Equivalent Rating Requirement Metric 1.8 1.5 Flow Rate Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) 5 3.5 Energy Star Appliance - standard size Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) 307 270 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading Clotheswasher energy use (kWh/cycle) 16.82 9.25 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading Clotheswasher energy use (kWh/cycle) 7.93 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading Assumption based on water usage used for dishwashing and standard flowrate: https://water.usgs.gov/edu/qa-home-percapita.html. Assumes water is also used for water Average dishwasher cycles per unit per year Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average One Nouseholds in Unincorporated San Diego County Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average Merican family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average Merican family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average Merican family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Clo	
Standard Equivalent Rauting Requirement Metric Rating Requirement Metric Schwasher Auter (gal per minute) Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher use with standard equipment (MG/year) Dishwasher use with standard equipment (MG/year) Dishwasher use uith standard E	
Standard Equivalent Rauting Requirement Metric Rating Requirement Metric Schwasher Auter (gal per minute) Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher water use (gal/cycle) Dishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Dishwasher use with standard equipment (MG/year) Dishwasher use with standard equipment (MG/year) Dishwasher use uith standard E	
Kitchen Faucet Flow Rate (gal per minute) 1.8 1.5 Flow Rate Jishwasher water use (gal/cycle) 5 3.5 Energy Star Appliance - standard size Jishwasher water use (gal/cycle) 16.82 16.82 17.93 16.82 17.93 17.	
Sishwasher energy use (kWh/year) Sishwasher use (gal/cycle) Sis	
tiotheswasher water use (gal/cycle) 16.82 9.25 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 6.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 5.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cu-ft front loading 6.95 Energy Star Appliance - 2.5 cut front loading 6.95 Energy Star Appliance Front Energy Energ	
Assumption based on water usage used for dishwashing and standard flowrate: https://water.usgs.gov/edu/qa-home-percapita.html. Assumes water is also used for water use per day per household with dishwasher (HH) minutes) Average dishwasher cycles per unit per year Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year 300 https://www.energystar.gov/products/appliances/clothes_washers 400 bttps://www.energystar.gov/products/appliances/clothes_washers 400 bttps://www.energystar.gov/products/appliance	
https://water.usgs.gov/edu/qa-home-percapita.html. Assumes water is also used for water use per day per household with dishwasher (HH) minutes) Average dishwasher cycles per unit per year Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average Cotheswasher cycles per year per HH 300 Average Cotheswasher cycles per year per HH 300 2014 2020 2030 Households in Unincorporated San Diego County Number of new households since 2014 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers Clotheswashers 60	
Average dishwasher cycles per unit per year Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year Average clotheswasher cycles per year per HH Average American family wash loads per year 2014 2020 2030 Households in Unincorporated San Diego County Number of new households since 2014 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers Clotheswashers Clotheswashers 60	
Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH 215 Average American family wash loads per year 300 https://www.energystar.gov/products/appliances/clothes_washers 300 2014 2020 2030 Households in Unincorporated San Diego County 162,805 163,354 174,741 Number of new households since 2014 549 11,936 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets 39 Dishwashers 13 Clotheswashers 60	:_criteria
Average dishwasher cycles per year per HH 215 Average American family wash loads per year Average clotheswasher cycles per year per HH 300 Average American family wash loads per year and success are success and success a	L_CITTETIA
Average clotheswasher cycles per year per HH 300 2014 2020 2030 Households in Unincorporated San Diego County 162,805 163,354 174,741 Number of new households since 2014 549 11,936 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers Clotheswashers 60	
Average clotheswasher cycles per year per HH 300 2014 2020 2030 Households in Unincorporated San Diego County 162,805 163,354 174,741 Number of new households since 2014 549 11,936 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers Clotheswashers 60	
Households in Unincorporated San Diego County 162,805 163,354 174,741 Number of new households since 2014 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers Clotheswashers 162,805 163,354 174,741 174,	
Number of new households since 2014 Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers Clotheswashers 13 Clotheswashers 60	205
Activity in New Households Only Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets 39 Dishwashers 13 Clotheswashers 60	204,60 41,79
Water use with standard equipment (MG/year) Kitchen Faucets Dishwashers 13 Clotheswashers 60	, -
Dishwashers 13 Clotheswashers 60	
Clotheswashers 60	133
	4!
	21:
Total Vater use with Tier 1 equipment (MG/year) 112	393
Kitchen Faucets 33	114
Dishwashers 9	3:
Clotheswashers 33	110
Total 75	262
Vater Savings (MG/year)	_
Kitchen Faucets 7	23
Dishwashers 4 Clotheswashers 27	13 9!
Total 37	13:
Emissions per gallon of water (MTCO2e/MG) (see calculation in measure	
W-2.1) 2.31	2.33
For water GHG Reductions from W-1.1 (MTCO2e) reductions only - 87	30:
Note that this measure will not be in effect until after 2020.	30.
lectricity use with standard equipment (kWh/year)	
Dishwashers 3,662,284	12,824,62
Clotheswashers 28,408,473	99,481,09
Total 32,070,757	112,305,710
lectricity use with Tier 1 equipment (kWh/year) Dishwashers 3,222,810	
Dishwashers 3,222,810 Clotheswashers 21,306,355	11 205 67
Total 24,529,165	11,285,670 74,610,818
lectricity Savings (kWh/year)	74,610,818
Dishwashers 439,474	
Clotheswashers 7,102,118	74,610,818
Total 7,541,592	74,610,818 85,896,488

6,263

1,788

Assumed to be

included in E-1.1

GHG Reductions from W-1.1 (MTCO2e)

Water and Wastewater Reduction Measure Quantification (Continued)

W-1.2	MEASURE REMOVED			
W-1.3				
Reduce Potable Water Consumption at County Facilities	2014	2020	2030	2050
Imported Potable water consumption at all County facilities (HCF)	622,568			
Imported Potable water consumption at all County facilities (Million				
gallons)	466	472	481	501
Forecasting method: Employee growth				
County Employee Count Forecast	19205	19,444	19,841	20,636
Electricity Use from Potable Water Consumption (MWh)	4,988	5,049	5,153	5,359
Electricity intensity per million gallons of imported potable water				
(includes conveyance, treatment, and distribution) (Average for the				
County)				
Water Activity	kWh/MG			
Upstream Supply and Conveyance	9,727			
Local water distribution	292			
Conventional water treatment	684			
Total (kWh/MG)	10,703			
Total (MWh/MG)	10.70			
Percent reduction in potable water consumption at County facilities				
below 2014 levels		15%	20%	20%
Water use forecast with water reduction (MG)		396	373	373
Electricity Use with water reduction (MWh)		4,239	3,990	3,990
Difference in electricity use (MWh)		810	1,163	1,369
GHG Reductions from W-1.3 (MTCO2e)		244	276	325
Electricity savings from local water distribution and treatment				
(MWh) to calculate E-2.1		58	73	73

Water and Wastewater Reduction Measure Quantification (Continued)

W-2.1				
Increase Rain Barrel Installations				
Note: Rainwater catchment would only be used for landscaping uses.				
Background and Assumptions	2014	2020	2030	205
Modified Unincorporated County Population	454,599	493,604	551,712	600,560
Water Use (million gallons)	45,678	49,597	55,436	60,344
Emissions from water use (MTCO2e)	134,269	125,616	128,104	139,446
Emissions per gallon (MTCO2e/MG)		2.53	2.31	2.31
Water Demand		2020	2030	205
Landscaping water demand		16,972	18,970	20,649
Total roof sqft in County (see below)		116,938,533	130,255,005	144,445,872
Annual landscaping water demand per roof sqft (gal/sqft)		145	146	143
Annual landscaping water demand per barrel (see below) (gal/sqft)		72,568	72,818	71,478
Rain Barrel Savings				
Annual Rainfall in San Diego, CA (inches) (height per any unit area)	10.13	Source: Western Regional Climate Center 2016		
Number of rain barrels installed starting in 2020		1,200	3,200	3,200
Rain barrel size (gal)	50			
Average roof collection area per barrel (e.g., half of a low-rise house roof				
slanted in a single direction) (sqft)	500			
Maximum annual rain collected per average roof per barrel (gal/barrel)	3,157			
Annual rain collected per roof sqft (gal/sqft)	6.31			
Annual rain collected under this measure (assuming average roof area per				
parrel) (gal)		3,788,883	10,103,688	10,103,688
Maximum annual barrel fillings per year (feasibility check)		3,157	3,157	3,157
Utilization/Emptying rate (Rate at which barrels are emptied everytime it				
s full so there is no wasted water to overflow)		100%	100%	100%
Annual water savings per year under this measure (gal)		3,788,883	10,103,688	10,103,688
Percent of landscaping demand of participating buildings		4.4%	4.3%	4.49
Percent of landscaping demand offset by this measure		0.022%	0.053%	0.049%
Emissions reductions from water savings (MTCO2e) (million gallons X				
MTCO2e/MG) (see beginning of calculation)		10	23	23
Existing Countywide Rooftop Area				
Area of commercial/industrial roofspace in 2005 (sqft) (Anders and	225 047 224			
Bailek 2009)	235,047,321			
Area of residential roofspace in 2010 (sqft) (calculated below)	646,002,117			
Sum of roofspace (sqft) Source: Anders and Bailek 2009 (https://www.sandiego.edu/law/docume	881,049,438 nts/centers/epic/06	0309 ASESPVPotentialPaperFINAL 000.pdf)		
Calculating Residential Rooftop Space in San Diego County Matching PV rating (kW) from NREL PV Calculator	2 772 000	Source: Anders and Bailek 2009		
sq meter per kW		PV Watts Calculator Default		
sqft per sq meter		PV Watts Calculator Default		
Module efficiency		PV Watts Calculator Default PV Watts Calculator Default		
Size of PV area needed (sf)	186,484,748	•		
Tilt Degree		Source: Anders and Bailek 2009		
Footprint of PV area needed (sqft)	161,500,529			
% sqft roof		Source: Anders and Bailek 2009		
% homes suitable		Source: Anders and Bailek 2009		
Footprint of Available Rooftop (sqft)	646,002,116.66			
Calculations based on methods used in NREL's PV Watts Calculator	0.0,002,110.00	Carcarated		
http://pvwatts.nrel.gov/pvwatts.php				
Estimated Unincorporated San Diego County Roofspace (Scaled from	***		***	
entire county) (sqft)	2014		2030	2050
Commercial/Industrial	13,890,169	15,498,609	16,873,464	21,025,604
Residential	93,424,065	101,439,924	113,381,541	123,420,268
Total	107,314,235	116,938,533	130,255,005	144,445,872
All New Professor (since 2014)	107,314,235	107,314,235	107,314,235	107,314,235
All New Roofspace (since 2014)	-	9,624,299	22,940,770	37,131,637
GHG Reductions from W-2.1 (MTCO2e)		10	23	23
				
Electricity savings from local water distribution and treatment (MWh) to calculate E-2.1		3.70	9.86	9.86
,		3.70	3.00	5.00

Agriculture Reduction Measure Quantification

Agriculture Reduction Measure Quantification				
Assumptions				
		2020	2030	2050
Can Diago County Average Flortricity Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/MN/h)		0.260	0.237	0.237
San Diego County Average Electricity Emissions Factor (MTCO2e/MWh)	07.422			
Cropland in SD County (acres)	97,432	96,051	95,313	94,494
A-1.1				
Convert Farm Equipment to Electric		2020	2030	2050
Background Information		2020	2030	2050
Emissions from Agricultural Equipment Except for Irrigation Pumps. Scaled		2020	2030	2030
by change in cropland. (MTCO2e)	86,087	84,867	84,215	83,491
		2020	2030	2050
Percent of Equipment Converted to Electric or Alternative Fuel		0%	8%	8%
0110 0 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
GHG Reductions from A-1.1 (MTCO2e)		-	6,737	6,679
A-1.2				
Convert Stationary Irrigation Pumps to Electric	2014	2020	2030	2050
Number of Diesel Pumps in San Diego County. Scaled by change in	450	457	456	454
cropland. Total Number of Pumps Converted	159	157	156 44	154 44
Percent of Pump Energy Converted		4 3%	28%	28%
Emissions from Diesel Pumps to be Converted (MTCO2)	11,768	296	3,251	3,251
Emissions from Dieserr umps to be converted (WireO2)	11,700	250	3,231	3,231
Diesel Emission Factor (kg CO2/gal)	10.21			
Calculated fuel use of converted pumps (gal)	1,152,982	28,954	318,491	318,491
Energy content of diesel (kBTU/gal) - lower heating value	128	128	128	128
Efficiency of diesel pump (%)	35%	35%	35%	35%
Energy required by pumps (kBTU)	51,851	1,302	14,323	14,323
Efficiency of electric pump (%)	75%	75%	75%	75%
Calculated electricity use in electric pumps (kBTU)	69,134	1,736	19,097	19,097
Calculated electricity use in electric pumps (kWh)	20,261	509	5,597	5,597
Emissions from electricity use (MTCO2e)		0.13	1.33	1.33
GHG Reductions from A-1.2 (MTCO2e)		295	3,249	3,249
Calculated electricity use in electric pumps for selected option (kWh)		509	5,597	5,597
(Marris)		555	_,00.	5,557

Agriculture Reduction Measure Quantification (Continued)

A-2.1				
Increase Residential Tree Planting	2014	2020	2030	2050
	1			
Modified Number of Single Family Posidences in Unincorporated County				
Modified Number of Single Family Residences in Unincorporated County (detached units) (Exluding Camp Pendleton units)	134,815	146,436	164,009	178,110
Number of New SFRs starting in 2020	134,013	-	17,573	31,674
Trees planted per home		2	2	2
,				
Total trees planted since 2020		0	35,146	63,348
Default Annual CO2 accumulation per tree for Miscellaneous Trees (MT				
CO2e/tree/year) (From Appendix A of CalEEMod v2016.3.1)	0.0354			
Annual Sequestration from Planted Trees (MTCO2e/year)		-	1,244	2,243
GHG Reductions from A-2.1 (MTCO2e)			1.244	2,243
GHG Reductions from A-2.1 (MTCO2e)		-	1,244	2,243
A-2.2				
A-2.2				
Increase County Tree Planting		2020	2030	2050
		2020	2030	2050
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017	3500	2020	2030	2050
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020	3500 3500			
Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017		2020 14,000	2030 49,000	2050 119,000
Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test	3500			
Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft)		14,000	49,000	119,000
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres)	3500	14,000 4.0	49,000 56.24	119,000 136.59
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres) Total undeveloped acres in the County (Acres) (SANDAG)	3500 50	14,000	49,000	119,000
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres)	3500	14,000 4.0 346,055	49,000 56.24 306,876	119,000 136.59 219,557
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres) Total undeveloped acres in the County (Acres) (SANDAG)	3500 50	14,000 4.0 346,055	49,000 56.24 306,876	119,000 136.59 219,557
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres) Total undeveloped acres in the County (Acres) (SANDAG) Percent Coverage by new trees	3500 50	14,000 4.0 346,055	49,000 56.24 306,876	119,000 136.59 219,557
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres) Total undeveloped acres in the County (Acres) (SANDAG) Percent Coverage by new trees Default Annual CO2 accumulation per tree for Miscellaneous Trees (MT	3500 50 Very Low>	14,000 4.0 346,055	49,000 56.24 306,876	119,000 136.59 219,557
Increase County Tree Planting Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2017 Annual Tree Planting Targets starting in 2020 Total number of Trees Planted since 2017 Feasability Test Average Tree Canopy Area of mature tree (sqft) Total Acres of Planted Tree Canopy (Acres) Total undeveloped acres in the County (Acres) (SANDAG) Percent Coverage by new trees Default Annual CO2 accumulation per tree for Miscellaneous Trees (MT CO2e/tree/year) (From Appendix A of CalEEMod v2016.3.1)	3500 50 Very Low>	14,000 4.0 346,055 0.001 %	49,000 56.24 306,876 0.018 %	119,000 136.59 219,557 0.062%

Assumptions			
Category	Value		
Conversions			
sqin/sqft	144		
cubic in/gallons	231		
sqft/acre	43560		
acre/hectare	2.47105		
g/MT	1000000		
lb/MT	2204.622622		
g/lb	453.592		
kg/MT	1000		
lb/kg	2.20462		
tons/MT	1.10231		
kWh/MWh	1000		
MWh/GWh	1000		
btu/kWh	3412.14		
Btu/therm	100000		
MMBtu/therm	0.1		
MMBtu/MWh	3.41214148		
LPG Gallons/GGE	1.344086022		
LNG Gallons/GGE	1.572327044		
gal/cubic foot	7.480519481		
gal/Liter	3.785411784		
gallon/acrefoot	325851.429		
million gal/hundred cubic feet	0.000748503		
million gal/acre-feet	0.325851429		
GWP			
CO2	1		
CH4	25		
N2O	298		
Source	IPCC Fourth Assessment Report		