## REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF 7270 Rancho Sueños, Agricultural Clearing; PDS2017-AD-17-009

**November 3, 2017** 

I. HABITAT LOS	SS PERMIT (	ORDINANC	<b>E</b> – Does the proposed project conform to th
			Ordinance findings?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
of the Multiple Sp off-site improvem Scrub Ordinance Exemption which of Fish and Wildli on September 21	pecies Conse nents contain . However, th was discuss ife (CDFW) a l, 2017. To s	ervation Pro- habitats sune project queed at a bate and the Unit upport the e	ements are located outside of the boundaries gram and the project site and locations of any object to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sagualifies for a de minimus Habitat Loss Permit ching meeting with the California Department ed States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) exemption, the USFWS and CDFW have 017, and the following required findings have
<ul> <li>The habita</li> <li>The project identified p</li> <li>The habita</li> </ul>	at is not occu ct occurs in lo oreserve plar	pied by the bw value ha nning areas t preclude t	he design or prevent the preparation of the
	•		ect conform to the Multiple Species igation Ordinance?
Y	′ES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.

III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the partner of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?		mply \	with the requirements of
YES NO I	NOT APP	LICAE	BLE/EXEMPT
The project will obtain its water supply from the lobtains water from surface reservoirs and/or impany groundwater for any purpose, including irrigative project project on opposition of the lowest project p	oorted sou ation or d	urces. omest	The project will not use ic supply.
IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE -			
The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  ☑
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  ☑
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  ☑
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO) is not 86.603 (a) of the RPO states that Administrative the RPO. Since the proposed project is an Admi it is exempt from the RPO.	Permits 1	for cle	aring are not subject to
V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)- Does San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater M Ordinance (WPO)?			
YES NO I	NOT APP	LICAE	BLE

The project Storm Water Management Plan has been reviewed and is found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO. The project does not require Hydromodification because it is a standard project and does not meet the threshold for a priority development project.

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE		

Transportation (traffic, railroad, and aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to expose people to noise levels that exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) = 60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

The proposed project is for a vineyard which will be harvested by hand, the nature of the land use would not result in noise generating activities. Therefore the proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.